

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Disease Control in Eco-epidemic Prey-Predator Model: An Algebraic Study on Alternative Food Mechanism in Predator

Research Article*

K.Sujatha¹ and M.Gunasekaran²

1 Department of Mathematics, Meenakshi College for Women, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

2 PG Department of Mathematics, Sri Subramaniya Swami Government Arts College, Tiruttani, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, the complex dynamic behavior of a discrete time non-linear mathematical prey – predator model with a disease in the prey population is analyzed. The existence, the boundedness and the stability of equilibrium points are studied algebraically. The main objective of this work is to provide a mathematical framework to study the response of a prey – predator model to a disease in the prey population and to understand the role of supplying alternative food to predator as disease controller in the eco-epidemiological system.

Keywords: Mathematical modelling, Infected prey, Alternative food, stability, eco-epidemiology. © JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Mathematical modelling allows us to identify the key parameters that determine the rich dynamics of ecological systems. In the development of quantitative theory for prey-predator interactions, mathematical and experimental ecology are both important. Predator-prey models with disease are a major concern and are now becoming an interesting field of study known as eco-epidemiology. Epidemiology is the study of the patterns, causes and effects of health and disease conditions in defined populations. Anderson and May [1] were the first who merged the above two fields and formulated a prey-predator model where prey species were infected by some disease. In the subsequent time, many researchers have proposed and studied different prey-predator models in the presence of disease [2-5, 17-18].Exploitation of biological resources and harvesting of the species is a common practice in fishery, forestry, agriculture and wild life management. The mathematical model in this area was first introduced by C.W. Clark [20].Harvesting or constant quota of harvesting has been studied by manyresearchers in prey-predator models [21-24].

Most important initiatives of the 20^{th} century in the field of applied ecology has been the control of populations of economically damaging species, particularly of agricultural weed and insect pests [6,7]. A major portion of the literature dealing with biological control aspects assumes the role of pest for the prey. There are several chemical control measures for the eradication of infectious diseases such as vaccination, treatment, isolation, insecticide etc. But there are many problems associated with their continued deployment including increasing pressure to reduce chemical use in the environment in

^{*} Proceedings : National Conference on Recent Trends in Applied Mathematics held on 22 & 23.07.2016, organized by Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College of Arts & Science, Manjakuppam, Cuddalore (Tamil Nadu), India.

general, development of pesticide resistance in many pathogens and decreasing availability of active ingredients. Hence, a non-chemical method of disease control continues to gain significance. Haque and Greenhalgh were the first who introduced the first eco-epidemic model with alternative food for the predator [8]. The consequences of providing a predator with alternative food and the corresponding effects on the prey-predator dynamics and its utility in biological control have been an interesting topic of study for many researchers, due to its eco-friendly nature [9-11].Sahoo et.al proposed a food chain model with seasonal effects on additional food and discussed the extinction criteria of species in a system depending on the interaction functions and supply of the quantity of additional food [12-13, 19].

The main objective of this paper is to investigate the role of supplying alternative food to the predators for controlling disease in an epidemic model. The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 an epidemic model representing the dynamics of prey-predator system in presence of alternative food to predator is proposed. Section 3 contains the conditions for the boundedness of the system. The conditions for the existence of the system for various equilibria are determined in section 4. Section 5 presents the local stability analysis of various equilibria that the model exhibits. Finally, Section 6 devotes to conclusion and further research.

2. Model Formulation

A Mathematical model is proposed and analyzed to study the response of a predator – prey model to a disease in the prey population. We impose the following assumptions to formulate the mathematical model.

- (1). It is assumed that a parasite is infectious and it spreads among prevs. In the presence of disease the prev population consists of two sub classes, namely, the susceptible prev $X_1(T)$ and infected prev $X_2(T)$ and the density of the predator is denoted by Y(T) at time T.
- (2). In the presence of disease, the susceptible prey population grows according to logistic law having carrying capacity K and intrinsic birth rate a.

$$\frac{dX_1}{dT} = a \ X_1 \left(1 - \frac{X_1}{K} \right)$$

- (3). The Susceptible prey population becomes infected when it comes in contact with the infected prey and this contact process is assumed to follow the simple mass action kinetics with α as the rate of conversion.
- (4). The infected prey is removed with death rate D_2 or by predation before the possibility of reproducing.
- (5). We have considered Holling type-II functional response for the predation of susceptible prey and since infected preys are easier to catch, Holling type-I is chosen for the predation of infected prey.
- (6). Predators are provided with alternative food (additional food) of constant biomass F which is distributed uniformly in the habitat.
- (7). The predator population suffers loss due to death at constant rate D_3 .
- (8). The number of encounters per predator with the alternative food is proportional to the density of the alternative food. The proportionality constant characterizes the ability of the predator to identify the alternative food [11].

Taking into account the aforementioned considerations, an epidemic mathematical model is formulated as follows:

$$\frac{dX_1}{dT} = aX_1 \left(1 - \frac{X_1}{K} \right) - \alpha X_1 X_2 - \frac{P_1 X_1 Y}{(S + X_1 + \lambda \mu F)} - D_1 X_1
\frac{dX_2}{dT} = \alpha X_1 X_2 - \frac{P_2 X_2 Y}{S + \lambda \mu F} - D_2 X_2
\frac{dY}{dT} = \frac{P_1 C_1 \left(X_1 + \mu F \right) Y}{S + \lambda \mu F + X_1} + \frac{P_2 C_2 X_2 Y}{S + \lambda \mu F} - D_3 Y$$
(1)

With the initial conditions $X_1(0)$, $X_2(0)$ and Y(0) > 0 and parameters are all positive. Model parameters are described below.

Parameters	Biological Description	
a	Logistic growth of susceptible prey	
Κ	Environmental carrying capacity	
α	Rate of transformation from infected prey to susceptible prey	
β	Rate of transformation from infected prey to susceptible prey	
P_1	Predation rate on S. prey	
P_2	Predation rate on I. prey	
C_1	Conversion efficiency on susceptible prey	
C_2	Conversion efficiency on infected prey	
S	Half saturation constant	
D_1	Natural death rate of Susceptible Prey	
D_2	Natural death rate of Infected Prey	
D_3	Natural death rate of predator	
h_1	Handling time of the predator per prey	
h_2	Handling time of the predator per unit quantity of alternative food	
$\lambda = \frac{h_1}{h_2}$	Quality of the alternative food	
a_1	Ability of the predator to detect the prey item	
a_2	Ability of the predator to detect the alternative food	
$\mu F = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right) F$	Quantity of the alternative food supplied to predator	

To reduce the number of parameters and to determine which combinations of parameters control the behavior of the system, we non-dimensionalize the system (1) using $x_1 = \frac{X_1}{K}$, $x_2 = \frac{X_2}{K}$, $y = \frac{Y}{K}$ and t = aT.

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_1 (1 - x_1) - \beta x_1 x_2 - \frac{qx_1 y}{1 + ux_1 + \lambda v} - d_1 x_1
\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \beta x_1 x_2 - \frac{rx_2 y}{1 + vF} - d_2 x_2
\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{\gamma_1 (x_1 + e_1 v) y}{(1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1)} + \frac{\gamma_2 x_2 y}{1 + \lambda v} - d_3 y$$
(2)

Where $\beta = \frac{\alpha K}{a}, q = \frac{P_1 K}{as}, r = \frac{P_2 K}{as}, d_1 = \frac{D_1}{a}, u = \frac{K}{S}, v = \frac{\mu F}{S}, \gamma_1 = \frac{P_1 C_1 K}{as}, e_1 = \frac{S}{K}, e_2 = \frac{K}{S}, \gamma_2 = \frac{P_2 C_2 K}{as}, d_3 = \frac{D_3}{a}$ and $x_1(t) \ge 0, x_2(t) \ge 0, y(t) \ge 0$ and $0 < \gamma_1 < q, 0 < \gamma_2 < r, 0 < d_3 < d_2$ and $0 < e_1 < 1$.

3. Boundedness of the System

For the system to be biologically valid and well behaved in a theoretical eco-epidemiology, all its solution must be within a certain region of confinement. This will only happen if the following theorem is satisfied.

Theorem 3.1. All the solutions of the system (2) are uniformly bounded within R_{+}^{3} .

Proof. Let $\{x_1(t), x_2(t), y(t)\}$ be any solution of the system (2). Define a positive definite function W as $W = x_1 + x_2 + y$. From (2), $\frac{d}{dt}(x_1 + x_2 + y) \leq x_1(1 - x_1) - d_1x_1 - d_2x_2 - d_3y$. For arbitrarily chosen η , this simplifies to $\frac{d}{dt}(W) + \eta W \leq x_1(1 - x_1 - \eta)$. Applying the theorem of differential inequalities, the above equation has the solution $W \leq \frac{x_1}{\eta}(1 - x_1 - \eta)(1 - e^{-\eta t})$. As $t \to \infty$, $W \leq \frac{x_1}{\eta}(1 - x_1 - \eta)$. This implies that the solution is bounded for $0 \leq W \leq \frac{x_1}{\eta}(1 - x_1 - \eta)$. This shows that all the solutions of the system (2) in R_+^3 are uniformly bounded in the region $\Gamma = \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in R_+^3 : W \leq \frac{x_1}{\eta}(1 - x_1 - \eta) + \epsilon\}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $t \to \infty$. This shows that we can sufficiently study the dynamics of the system (2) within Γ and hence consider the system (2) to epidemiologically and mathematically well-formed within Γ .

4. Existence of Equilibrium States of the System (2)

In this section, the conditions for the existence of all possible equilibrium points of the system (2) are discussed. It is easy to check that the system (2) possesses the following equilibrium points.

- a). The trivial equilibrium point $E_0(0,0,0)$ always exists.
- b). The axial equilibrium point $E_1(1 d_1, 0, 0)$ always exists.
- c). The disease free boundary equilibrium point $E_2(\overline{x_1}, 0, \overline{y})$ where $\overline{x_1} = \frac{d_3 + \lambda v d_3 \gamma_1 e_1 v}{\gamma_1 d_3 e_2}$ and $\overline{y} = \frac{(1 d_1) + (u 1 \gamma v)\overline{x} u\overline{\overline{x}}^2}{q}$ exists only when $\overline{x_1} < 1$, $\gamma_1 > d_3 e_2$ and $(1 d_1) + (u 1 \lambda v)\overline{x_1} u\overline{x_1}^2 > 0$.
- d). The predator free boundary equilibrium point $E_3(\frac{d_2}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2}(\beta d_2 \beta d_1), 0)$ exists if $\beta > \frac{d_2}{1-d_1}$ and $d_1 < 1$.
- e). The endemic equilibrium point $E_4(x_1^*, x_2^*, y^*)$ where $y^* = \frac{g_2}{q}(\beta x_1^* d_2), x_2^* = \frac{g_1}{\beta}\left(d_3 \frac{\gamma_1(x_1^* + e_1v)}{(1 + \lambda v + e_2x_1^*)}\right)$ and x_1^* is the positive root of the equation $Q_1x_1^{*^3} + Q_2x_1^{*^2} + Q_3x_1^* + Q_4 = 0$ where $Q_1 = e_2u, Q_2 = e_2(1 - u + ug_1d_3 + \lambda v + \beta g_2 + ud_1) + u(1 + \lambda v + \gamma_1g_1), Q_3 = 1 + e_2(g_1d_3 - 1 - \lambda v + g_1d_3\lambda v - d_2g_2 + d_1 + \lambda vd_1) + \gamma v(2 - u + ug_1d_3 + 1 + \lambda v - \gamma_1g_1 + \beta g_2 + ud_1) + u(g_1d_3 - 1 - \gamma_1g_1e_1v + d_1) - \gamma_1g_1 + \beta g_2, Q_4 = \lambda v(2g_1d_3 - 2 - \lambda v + \lambda vg_1d_3 - \gamma_1g_1e_1v - d_2g_2 + 2d_1 + \lambda vd_1) + g_1d_3 - \gamma_1g_1e_1v - d_2g_2 + d_1 - 1$ exists only when $\frac{d_2}{\beta}x_1^* < \frac{d_3 + \lambda v d_3 - \gamma_1e_1v}{\gamma_1 - d_3e_2}.$

Therefore, the existence conditions of the equilibrium points E_2 , E_3 and E_4 depend on the parameters λ and v.

5. Stability Analysis

In this section, we obtain the sufficient conditions of local asymptotically stable for each equilibrium point. The conditions for the stability of E_1 and E_2 are derived by using the next generation matrix approach introduced in [14] and the conditions for the stability of E_0 , E_3 and E_4 are obtained by applying linearization approach.

5.1. The Next Generation Matrix Method

The non-linear vector function $f(x_1, x_2, y)$ for the system (2) is $f = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{V}$ where the matrix \mathcal{F} represents the transmission matrix and \mathcal{V} represents the transition matrix. The transmission constitutes all epidemiological events that involve new

infection and all other events are incorporated in \mathcal{V} . Hence we have $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \beta x_1 x_2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 - x_1 + \frac{qx_1y}{1+w_1+\lambda v} + d_1x_1\\ \frac{rx_2y}{1+vF} + d_2x_2\\ -\frac{\gamma_1(x_1+e_1v)y}{1+\lambda v+e_2x_1} - \frac{\gamma_2x_2y}{1+\lambda v} + d_3y \end{bmatrix}$. The Jacobian matrices of the functions $F = D\mathcal{F}$ and $v = D\mathcal{V}$ are obtained as below. $F = [f_{ij}]_{3\times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta x_2 & 0\\ 0 & \beta x_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$V = [v_{ij}]_{3\times3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 1 + \frac{qy}{1 + ux_1 + \lambda v} - \frac{qx_1y_u}{(1 + ux_1 + \lambda v)^2} + d_1 & 0 & \frac{qx_1}{1 + ux_1 + \lambda v} \\ 0 & \frac{ry}{1 + vF} + d_2 & \frac{rx_2}{1 + vF} \\ \frac{-\gamma_1 y}{1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1} + \frac{\gamma_1 x_1 y e_2}{(1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1)^2} & \frac{-\gamma_2 y}{1 + \lambda v} & \frac{-\gamma_1 (x_1 + e_1 v)}{1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1} - \frac{\gamma_2 x_2}{1 + \lambda v} + d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We call, FV^{-1} , the next generation matrix for the model and set $\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho(FV^{-1})$, where $\rho(A)$ denotes the spectral radius of a matrix A. By applying the Theorem 2 in [12], if x_0 is a disease free equilibrium (DFE) of the model, then x_0 is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, but unstable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $R_{01} = \frac{\beta(1-d_1)}{d_2}$. The equilibrium point E_1 of system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{01} < 1$, otherwise, unstable.

Proof. The Jacobian matrices F and V for the equilibrium E_1 are as follows.

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(1-d_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{bmatrix} 2\beta(1-d_1) - 1 + d_1 & 0 & \frac{q\beta(1-d_1)}{1+u\beta(1-d_1)+\gamma v} \\ 0 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-\gamma_1(\beta(1-d_1)+e_1v)}{1+\lambda v+e_1\beta(1-d_1)} + d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, $R_{01} = \rho \left(FV^{-1} \right) = \frac{f_{22}}{v_{22}} = \frac{\beta(1-d_1)}{d_2}$. Thus, if $R_{01} < 1$, the equilibrium point E_1 is locally asymptotically stable. Otherwise, E_1 is unstable.

Proof. Let $R_{02} = \frac{(1+vF)\beta\overline{x}}{(1+vF)d_2+r\overline{y}}$. The equilibrium point E_2 of system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{02} < 1$, otherwise, unstable.

Proof. The Jacobian matrices F and V for the equilibrium E_2 are as follows.

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \left(\frac{d_3 + \lambda v d_3 - \gamma_1 e_1 v}{\gamma_1 - d_3 e_2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{bmatrix} 2\overline{x} - 1 + \frac{q\overline{y}}{1 + u\overline{x} + \lambda v} - \frac{q\overline{x}\overline{y}u}{(1 + u\overline{x} + \lambda v)^2} + d_1 & 0 & \frac{q\overline{x}}{1 + u\overline{x} + \lambda v} \\ 0 & \frac{r\overline{y}}{1 + vF} + d_2 & 0 \\ \frac{-1\overline{y}}{1 + \lambda v + e_2\overline{x}} + \frac{\gamma_1 \overline{x}\overline{y}e_2}{(1 + \lambda v + e_2\overline{x})^2} & \frac{-\gamma_2 \overline{y}}{1 + \lambda v} & \frac{-\gamma_1(\overline{x} + e_1v)}{1 + \lambda v + e_2\overline{x}} + d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, $R_{02} = \rho \left(FV^{-1} \right) = \frac{f_{22}}{v_{22}} = \frac{(1+vF)\overline{x}}{(1+vF)d_2+r\overline{y}}$. Thus, if $R_{02} < 1$, the equilibrium point E_2 is locally asymptotically stable. \Box

5.2. Linear Stability Analysis

The Jacobian matrix of the system (2) at state variable is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2x_1 - \beta x_2 - \frac{qy}{1 + ux_1 + \lambda v} + \frac{quyx_1}{(1 + ux_1 + \lambda v)^2} - d_1 & \beta x_2 & \frac{\gamma_1 y}{1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1} - \frac{\gamma_1 x_1 y e_2}{(1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1)^2} - d_1 \\ & -\beta x_1 & \beta x_1 - \frac{ry}{1 + vF} - d_2 & \frac{\gamma_2 y}{1 + \lambda v} \\ & \frac{-qx_1}{1 + ux_1 + \lambda v} & \frac{-rx_2}{1 + vF} & \frac{\gamma_1 (x_1 + e_1 v)}{1 + \lambda v + e_2 x_1} + \frac{\gamma_2 x_2}{1 + \lambda v} - d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The linearized stability technique for analyzing the local behavior of the non-linear system (2) is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let $p(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + B\lambda^2 + C + D$. There are atmost three roots of the equation $p(\lambda) = 0$. Then the following statements are true:

- (a). If every root of the equation has absolute value less than one, then the fixed point of the system is locally asymptotically stable and fixed point is called a sink.
- (b). If at-least one of the roots of the equation has absolute value greater than one, then the fixed point of the system is unstable and fixed point is called saddle.
- (c). If every root of the equation has absolute value greater than one, then the system is source.
- (d). The fixed point of the system is called hyperbolic if no root of the equation has absolute value equal to one. If there exists a root of the equation with absolute value equal to one, then the fixed point is called Non-hyperbolic [15].
- Stability of Equilibrium E_0 : The Jacobian matrix $J(E_0)$ at the equilibrium point E_0 is given as follows.

$$J(E_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & -d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\gamma_1 e_1 v}{1 + \lambda v} - d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Eigen values are $\lambda_1 = 1 - d_1$, $\lambda_2 = -d_2$ and $\lambda_3 = \frac{\gamma_1 e_1 v}{1 + \lambda v} - d_3$. Thus, the trivial equilibrium point E_0 of system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if $d_1 > 1$ and $\gamma_1 e_1 v < d_3(1 + \lambda v)$ otherwise, E_0 is unstable. We summarize the result in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. The trivial equilibrium point E_0 of system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if $d_1 > 1$ and $\gamma_1 e_1 v < d_3(1 + \lambda v)$ otherwise, E_0 is unstable.

• Dynamical behavior of the system (2) around the equilibrium point E_3 : The Jacobian matrix $J(E_3)$ at the equilibrium point E_3 is given as follows.

$$J(E_3) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $b_{11} = \frac{-d_2}{\beta}$, $b_{12} = 1 - \frac{d_2}{\beta} - d_1$, $b_{13} = 0$, $b_{21} = -d_2$, $b_{22} = -2d_2$, $b_{23} = 0$, $b_{31} = \frac{-qd_2}{\beta + ud_2 + \lambda v\beta}$, $b_{32} = \frac{-r(\beta - d_2 - \beta d_1)}{\beta^2(1 + vF)}$, $b_{33} = \frac{\gamma_1(d_2 + e_1\beta v)}{\beta^2(1 + \lambda v)} + \frac{\gamma_2(\beta - d_2 - \beta d_1)}{\beta^2(1 + \lambda v)} - d_3$. The Eigen values are $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{R_{1\pm}\sqrt{R_1^2 - 4R_2}}{2}$, where $R_1 = b_{11} + b_{22}$ and $R_2 = b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{21}$ and $\lambda_3 = b_{33}$. By Theorem 5.2, E_3 is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $R_1 + \sqrt{R_1^2 - 4R_2} < 2$, $R_1 - \sqrt{R_1^2 - 4R_2} < 2$ and $\beta^2\gamma_1(d_2 + e_1\beta v)(1 + \lambda v) + \gamma_2(\beta - d_2 - \beta d_1)(\beta + \lambda v\beta + e_2d_2) < 1 + d_3\beta^2(1 + \lambda v)[\beta(1 + \lambda v) + e_2d_2]$.

• Local Stability of the system (2) around the interior equilibrium point \mathbf{E}_4 : The Jacobian matrix of system (2) at the equilibrium point $E_4(x_1^*, x_2^*, y^*)$ is given below.

$$J(E_4) = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} & H_{13} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} & H_{23} \\ H_{31} & H_{32} & H_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $H_{11} = 1 - 2x_1^* - \beta x_2^* - \frac{qy^*}{1+ux_1^*+\lambda v} + \frac{quy^*x_1}{(1+ux_1^*+\lambda v)^2} - d_1$, $H_{12} = \beta x_2^*$, $H_{13} = \frac{\gamma_1 y^*}{1+\lambda v + e_2 x_1^*} - \frac{\gamma_1 x_1^* y^* e_2}{(1+\lambda v + e_2 x_1^*)^2}$, $H_{21} = -\beta x_1^*$, $H_{22} = \beta x_1^* - \frac{ry^*}{1+vF} - d_2$, $H_{23} = \frac{\gamma_2 y^*}{1+\lambda v}$, $H_{31} = \frac{-qx_1^*}{1+ux_1^*+\lambda v}$, $H_{32} = \frac{-x_2^*}{1+vF}$ and $H_{33} = \frac{\gamma_1 (x_1^*+e_1 v)}{1+\lambda v + e_2 x_1^*} + \frac{\gamma_2 x_2^*}{1+\lambda v} - d_3$. The characteristic equation of $J(E_4)$ is $\lambda^3 + A_1 \lambda^2 + A_2 \lambda + A_3 = 0$, where $A_1 = -(H_{11} + H_{22} + H_{33})$, $A_2 = H_{11}H_{22} - H_{12}H_{21} - H_{23}H_{32} + H_{11}H_{33}$ and $A_3 = H_{11}H_{23}H_{32} - H_{11}H_{22}H_{33} + H_{12}H_{21}H_{33}$. According to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion [16], $E_4(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable if only $A_1 > 0$, $A_3 > 0$ and $A_1A_2 > A_3$. Thus, the sufficient conditions for the existence and the local stability of equilibria for the system (2) are summarized in the following table.

Equilibria	Existence Condition	Stability Condition
$E_0(0,0,0)$	Always exists	$d_1 > 1$ and $\gamma_1 e_1 v < d_3(1 + \lambda v)$
$E_1(1-d_1,0,0)$	$d_1 < 1$	$R_{01} < 1$
$E_2(\overline{x_1},0,\overline{y})$	$\overline{x_1} < 1, \gamma_1 > d_3 e_2$ and $(1 - d_1) +$	$R_{02} < 1$
	$(u-1-\lambda v)\overline{x_1}-u\overline{x_1}^2>0$	
$E_3\left(\frac{d_2}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2}\left(\beta - d_2 - \beta d_1\right), 0\right)$	$\beta > \frac{d_2}{1-d_1}$ and $d_1 < 1$	$R_1 + \sqrt{R_1^2 - 4R_2} < 2,$
		$R_1 - \sqrt{R_1^2 - 4R_2} < 2$ and
		$\beta^2 \gamma_1 \left(d_2 + e_1 \beta v \right) \left(1 + \lambda v \right) + $
		$\left \gamma_2\left(\beta - d_2 - \beta d_1\right)\left(\beta + \lambda v\beta + e_2 d_2\right)\right < $
		$\left 1 + d_3\beta^2 \left(1 + \lambda v\right) \left[\beta \left(1 + \lambda v\right) + e_2 d_2\right]\right $
$E_4(x_1^*, x_2^*, y^*)$	$\frac{d_2}{\beta} < x_1^* < \frac{d_3 + \lambda v d_3 - \gamma_1 e_1 v}{\gamma_1 - d_3 e_2}$	$A_1 > 0, A_3 > 0 \text{ and } A_1 A_2 > A_3$

Therefore, we observe that the stability conditions for every equilibrium point depend on the parameters λ and v.

6. Conclusion and Further Research

Mathematical modelling has been a great tool for understanding disease dynamics as well as disease control policies which allow us to obtain useful biological insights and enable us to make correct decision to obtain disease free system in nature. In this paper, we proposed an epidemic prey-predator model with disease in prey in presence of alternative food to predator. The conditions for the existence and the local stability of various equilibria of the system were obtained algebraically and we observe that these conditions depend on the quality and quantity of alternative food supplied to predator. Therefore, Suitable alternative food to predator has the capability to make the system disease free. This non-chemical method of disease control will be useful for the biological conservation of prey species in real world biological systems.

Analytical findings always remain incomplete without numerical verification of the results. In future, it is interesting to see the dynamical behavior of the system (2) by performing numerical simulation with variation in the infection rate β , the quality of alternative food λ and the quantity of alternative food v within specified range.

References

- [1] R.M.Anderson and R.M.May, Infectious diseases and population cycles of forest insects, Science, 210(1980), 658-661.
- [2] W.O.Kermack and A.G.Mc Kendrick, Contributions to the mathematical theory of epidemics, Proc R SocEdinib Sect A Math., 115(1927), 700-721.
- [3] K.P.Hadeler and H.I.Freedman, Predator-Prey populations with parasite infection, J Math. Biol., 27(1989), 609-631.
- [4] M.Haque and E.Venturino, The role of transmissible diseases in the Holling-Tanner predator-prey model, Theorpopul, 70(2006), 273-288.
- [5] M.Haque and J.Chattopadhyay, Role of transmissible disease in an infected prey dependent predator-prey system, Math.Comput. Model DynSyst, 13(2007), 163-178.
- [6] P.Debach, Biological control by natural enemies, Cambridge University Press, UK, (1974).
- [7] R.D.Holt and M.Hochberg, When is biological control evolutionarily stable (or is it)?, Ecology, 78(1997), 1673-1683.
- [8] M.Haque and D.Greenhalgh, When a predator avoids infected prey: a model based theoretical study, Math. Med. Biol., 27(2010), 75-94.
- [9] J.D.Harwood and J.J.Obrycki, The role of alternative prey in sustaining predator populations, In: HoddleMS (ed) Proc. Second int. symp. Boil. Control of arthropods, II(2005), 453-462.
- [10] M.W.Sabelis and P.C.J.Van Rijn, When does alternative food promote biological pest control?, In: HoddleMS (ed) Proc. Second int. symp. Boil. Control of arthropods, II(2005), 428-437.

- [11] P.D.N.Srinivasu, B.S.R.V.Prasad and M.Venkatesulu, Biological control through provision of additional food to predators: a theoretical study, Theor. Popul. Boil., 72(2007), 111-120.
- [12] B.Sahoo and S.Poria, Dynamics of a predator-prey system with seasonal effects on additional food, Int. J. Ecosy., 1(2011), 10-13.
- [13] B.Sahoo, A predator-prey model with general Holling interactions in presence of additional food, Int. J. plant Research, 2(2012), 47-50.
- [14] P.Van Den Driessche and J.Watmough, Reproduction number and Subthreshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission, Math. Biosci., 180(2002), 29-48.
- [15] S.N.Elaydi, An Introduction to Difference Equations, Springer-Verlag publishers, (1996).
- [16] H.I.Freedman and P.Waltman, Persistence in models of three interacting predator-prey populations, Math. Biosci., 68(1984), 213-231.
- [17] Prodip Roy, Dr.KrishnaPada Das, Dr.ParthaKarnakar and Dr.Seema Sarkar, Disease and Alternative Food in the Intermediate Predator Stabilize Chaotic Dynamics-Conclusion drawn from a Tri-trophic Food Chain Model, An International Journal (ECOJ), 1(1)(2015).
- [18] Bob W.Kooi, EzioVenturino, Ecoepidemic predator-prey model with feeding satiation, prey herd behavior and abandoned infected prey, Mathematical Biosciences, 274(2016), 58-72.
- [19] Banshidharsahoo, Disease Control through provision of alternative food to predator: a model based study, International Journal of Dynamics and Control, 4(3)(2016), pp 239253.
- [20] C.W.Clark, Mathematical Bioeconomics: the optimal Management Of Renewable Resources, Wiley, New York, U.S.A., (1990).
- [21] Krishna Pada das, Sourav Kumar Sasmal and Joydev Chattopadhyay, Disease Control through Harvesting-Conclusion drawn from a mathematical study of a predator-prey model with disease in both the population, International Journal of Biomathematics and systems Biology, 1(1)(2014).
- [22] K.Sujatha and M.Gunasekaran, Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches in Dynamics of Two Different Prey-Predator systems, International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering, 5(1)(2015), 76-80.
- [23] K.Sujatha and M.Gunasekaran, Two ways of stability analysis of predator-prey system with diseased prey population, IJES, 4(2015), 61-66.
- [24] S.Vijayalakshmi and M.Gunasekaran, Complex Dynamical Behavior of Disease Spread in a Plant-Herbivore System with Allee Effects, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 11(6-III)(2015), 74-83.