

A Generalized Method to Find the Square Root of Matrix Whose Characteristic Equation is Quadratic

Ram Milan Singh^{1,*}

¹ Department of mathematics, Govt. P. G. College, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we generalized the method to calculating the square root of matrix whose characteristic is quadratic and how to Cayley-Hamilton theorem may be used to determine the formula for all square root of matrix whose order is 2×2 .

Keywords: Eigen values, Matrix equation, Square root of matrix.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Let $M_n(C)$ be the set of all complex matrices whose order is $n \times n$. Matrix Q is said to be a square root of matrix P , if the matrix product $Q \cdot Q = P$. Now, what is the square root of matrix such as $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$. It is not, in general $\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} & \sqrt{q} \\ \sqrt{r} & \sqrt{s} \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to see that the upper left entry of its square is $p + \sqrt{q}$ and not p . In recent years, several article have been written about the root of a matrix, and one can refer to [4–6]. A number of method have been proposed to computing the square root of matrix and these are usually based on Newton's method, either directly or the sign function (see e.g., [1–3]).

2. Generalized Method

The set of all matrices which their square is P , denoted by \sqrt{P} , i.e.,

$$\sqrt{P} = \{Y : Y \in M_n(C), Y^2 = P\}$$

This set can be very large. For example, we will see that \sqrt{I} has infinite members. We can define the n^{th} root of a matrix P as follows.

$$\sqrt[n]{P} = \{Y : Y \in M_n(C), Y^n = P\}$$

It is well known to all, if $P = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$, then characteristic equation is

$$\lambda^2 - (\text{Trace } P)\lambda + \det P = 0 \tag{1}$$

* E-mail: rmmilansinghlig@gmail.com

Apply Cayley - Hamilton theorem, putting $\lambda = P$, then equation (1) is

$$P^2 - (\text{Trace } P)P + (\det P)I = 0$$

Thus, we have

$$P^2 = (\text{Trace } P)P - (\det P)I \quad (2)$$

Putting, $P^2 = Q$, then equation (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (\text{Trace } P)P - (\det P)I \\ Q + (\det P)I &= (\text{Trace } P)P \\ \frac{1}{(\text{Trace } P)} [Q + (\det P)I] &= P \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.1. Let P be a 2×2 matrix. Then $\text{trace } P^2 = (\text{trace } P)^2 - 2 \det P$.

Proof. Suppose λ_1 and λ_2 are the two Eigen values of the matrix P . Then we can easy to see that λ_1^2 and λ_2^2 are the Eigen values of P^2 . We know that, $\text{trace } P = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\det P = \lambda_1\lambda_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } P^2 &= \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2 \\ &= (\text{trace } P)^2 - 2 \det P \end{aligned}$$

Second Proof. In other words, let $P = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \\ P^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & pq + qs \\ pr + rs & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace } P^2 &= (p^2 + rq) + (s^2 + rq) \\ \text{Trace } P^2 &= p^2 + s^2 + 2rq \\ \text{Trace } P^2 &= p^2 + s^2 + 2ps - 2ps + 2rq \\ \text{Trace } P^2 &= (p + s)^2 - 2(ps - rq) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

But, $\text{trace } P = p + s$ and $\det P = ps - qr$, then equation (4), $\text{Trace } P$. Let $P, Q \in M_n^2(C) = (\text{trace } P)^2 - 2 \det P$. \square

Remark 2.2. Let $P, Q \in M_2(C)$ and $P^2 = Q$. Then the following statements are holds:

(1). $\det P = \sqrt{\det Q}$.

(2). $\text{tracet } P = \sqrt{\text{trace } Q + 2\sqrt{\det Q}}$.

Example 2.3. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$. So $\det Q = 64 - 15 = 49$, and $\text{trace } Q = 8 + 8 = 16$, therefore if $P^2 = Q$, then, $\det P = \sqrt{\det Q} = \sqrt{49} = \pm 7$, and $\text{trace } P = \sqrt{\text{trace } Q + 2\sqrt{\det Q}} = \sqrt{16 + 2\sqrt{49}} = \sqrt{16 \pm 14}$, taking positive and negative sign then, $\text{trace } P = \pm\sqrt{30}$ or $\text{trace } P = \pm\sqrt{2}$, thus, from equation (3),

$$P = \frac{1}{(\text{trace } P)} [Q + (\det P) I],$$

$$P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{30}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (\pm 7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ or}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (\pm 7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{30}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ or } P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{30}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (-7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ or } P = \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} + (-7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

on calculating then we have,

$$P = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 5 \\ 3 & 15 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } P = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$P = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 5 \\ 3 & 15 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } P = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $P \in M_2(C)$. If $\text{trace } P = 0$, then $P^2 \in \langle I \rangle$.

Proof. We will prove this lemma in two ways. In general, we have

$$P^2 - (\text{trace } P)P + (\det P)I = 0 \tag{5}$$

Therefore, if $\text{trace } P = 0$, then from (5) we obtain,

$$P^2 + (\det P)I = 0$$

$$P^2 = -(\det P)I \text{ and } P^2 \in \langle I \rangle$$

Second Proof. let $P = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$, and $p + s = 0$. Then,

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & pq + qs \\ pr + rs & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix}$$

Putting $p = -s$, then

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & 0 \\ 0 & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, when $p^2 = s^2$ then $P^2 = (p^2 + rq)$. □

Example 2.5. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\det Q = 2 - 6 = -4$, and $\text{trace } Q = 1 + 2 = 3$. If $P^2 = Q$ then $\det P = \sqrt{\det Q} = \sqrt{-4} = 2i$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } P &= \sqrt{\text{trace } Q + 2\sqrt{\det Q}} \\ &= \sqrt{3 + 2\sqrt{-4}} \\ &= \sqrt{3 + 4i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{1}{(\text{trace } P)} [Q + (\det P) I], \\ P &= \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{3 + 4i}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + 2i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ P &= \frac{1}{\pm\sqrt{3 + 4i}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2i & 3 \\ 2 & 2 + 2i \end{bmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. For each $\beta \in C$ and any matrix P , $\sqrt{\beta P} = \sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{P}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\beta \neq 0$ and $Y \in \sqrt{\beta P}$. So $Y^2 \in \beta P$, hence $\frac{Y}{\sqrt{\beta}} \in \sqrt{P}$, which implies that $Y \in \sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{P}$.

Conversely, if $Y \in \sqrt{\beta P}$, then $\frac{Y^2}{\beta} = P$. Hence $Y^2 = \beta P$ and $Y \in \sqrt{\beta P}$. Now, we try to compute \sqrt{I} . Suppose that

$P \in M_2(C)$ and $P^2 = I$. Let $P = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$. Then,

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & pq + qs \\ pr + rs & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix},$$

but $P^2 = I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & pq + qs \\ pr + rs & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + rq & pq + qs \\ pr + rs & s^2 + rq \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have,

$$p^2 + rq = 1 \tag{6}$$

$$pq + qs = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$pr + rs = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$s^2 + rq = 1 \tag{9}$$

From (7) and (8), $q = 0$ or $p + s = 0$ and $r = 0$ or $p + s = 0$. We consider two cases:

(1). If $p + s = 0$, then equation (7) and (8) hold. We have $p^2 + rq = 1$ or $p = \sqrt{1 - rq}$ and since $a + d = 0$ and since $p + s = 0$ we have $p = -s = -\sqrt{1 - rq}$. Therefore

$$P = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{1 - rq} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{1 - rq} \end{array} \right] : b, c \in C \right\}.$$

(2). If $p + s \neq 0$ we must have $q = 0$ and $r = 0$. Hence $p = \pm 1$ and $s = \pm 1$. Therefore there are two solutions

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Hence we can write}$$

$$\sqrt{I} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \cup \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{1 - rq} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{1 - rq} \end{array} \right] : b, c \in C \right\}.$$

□

Example 2.7. Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore $Q = 16 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 16I$. Then $\sqrt{Q} = 4\sqrt{I}$, hence we have

$$\sqrt{I} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{array} \right] \cup \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4\sqrt{1 - rq} & 2q \\ 2r & -4\sqrt{1 - rq} \end{array} \right] : b, c \in C \right\}$$

References

- [1] G. Alefeld and N. Schneider, *On square roots of M-matrices*, Linear. Algebra. Appl., 42(1982), 119-132.
- [2] E. D. Denman and A. N. Beavers, *The matrix sign function and computations in systems*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2(1)(1976), 63-94.
- [3] W. D. Hoskins and D. J. Walton, *A faster method of computing the square root of a matrix*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control., 23(3)(1978), 494-495.
- [4] B. W. Levinger, *The square root of a 2×2 matrix*, Math. Mag., 53(4)(1980), 222-224.
- [5] A. Nazari, H. Fereydooni and M. Bayat, *A manual approach for calculating the root of square matrix of dimension*, Math. Sci., 7(1)(2013), 1-6.
- [6] D. Sullivan, *The square roots of 2×2 matrices*, Math. Mag., 66(5)(1993), 314-316.