

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Centralizing Properties of $(\alpha,1)$ Derivations in Semiprime Rings

G. Naga Malleswari^{1,*}, S. Sreenivasulu² and G. Shobhalatha¹

1 Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, Government College(Autonomous), Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract: Let R be a semiprime ring with center Z, S be a non-empty subset of R, α be an endomorphism on R and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. A mapping f from R into itself is called centralizing on S if $[f(x), x] \in Z$, for all $x \in S$. In the present paper, we study some centralizing properties of $(\alpha, 1)$ derivations in semiprime rings one of the following conditions holds: $(i) d([x,y]) = [x,y]_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. $(i) d([x,y]) = -[x,y]_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. $(ii) d(x) d(y) \mp xy \in Z$, for all $x, y \in R$. $(iv) d(xoy) = (xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. $(v) d(xoy) = -(xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. Also we prove that d is centralizing on R if d acts as a homomorphism on R and d is centralizing on S if d acts as an antihomomorphism on R.

MSC: 16N60, 16W25, 16U10.

Keywords: Semiprime rings, $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation, centralizing mappings, homomorphism and antihomomorphism. © JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will represent an associative ring with center Z. A ring R is said to be prime if xRy = 0 implies that either x = 0 or y = 0 and semiprime if xRx = 0 implies that x = 0, where $x, y \in R$. A prime ring is obviously semiprime for any $x, y \in R$, the symbol [x, y] stands for the commutator xy - yx and the symbol (xoy) stands for the anti-commutator xy+yx. A derivation d on R is determined to be an additive endomorphism satisfying the product rule d(xy) = d(x)y+xd(y), for all $x, y \in R$. Let α be an endomorphism on R. An additive mapping d from R into itself to be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$. Let S be a non-empty subset of R. A mapping f from R into itself is called centralizing on S if $[f(x), x] \in Z$, for all $x \in S$ and is called commuting on S if [f(x), x] = 0, for all $x \in S$. If d(xy) = d(x)d(y) or d(xy) = d(y)d(x)for all $x, y \in R$, then d is said to act as homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on R respectively. The study of centralizing mappings was initiated by E.C.Posner [8]. He proved that the existence of a non-zero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative(Posner second Theorem). Yenigul and Argac [9] studied prime and semiprime rings with α derivations. Then in 2004, Argac [1] obtained some results on near-rings with two sided α derivations, that is, $(\alpha, 1)$ derivations and $(1, \alpha)$ derivations. Several authors have proved commutativity theorems for prime rings or semiprime rings admitting automorphisms or derivations which are centralizing or commuting on appropriate subsets of R (see [2, 4, 8]). The purpose of this paper is to study some centralizing properties of $(\alpha, 1)$ derivations in semiprime rings. Also we prove that d is centralizing on R if d acts as a homomorphism on R and d is centralizing on S if d acts as an antihomomorphism

^{*} E-mail: malleswari.gn@gmail.com (Research Scholar)

on R. Through out the present paper, we will make extensive use of the following basic commutator identities [7]:

$$\begin{split} [x, yz] &= y \left[x, z \right] + \left[x, y \right] z, \\ [xy, z] &= \left[x, z \right] y + x \left[y, z \right], \\ [xy, z]_{\alpha,1} &= x \left[y, z \right]_{\alpha,1} + \left[x, z \right] y = x \left[y, \alpha(z) \right] + \left[x, z \right]_{\alpha,1} y, \\ [x, yz]_{\alpha,1} &= y \left[x, z \right]_{\alpha,1} + \left[x, y \right]_{\alpha,1} \alpha(z), \\ xo \left(yz \right) &= \left(xoy \right) z - y \left[x, z \right] = y \left(xoz \right) + \left[x, y \right] z, \\ (xy) oz &= x \left(yoz \right) - \left[x, z \right] y = \left(xoz \right) y + x \left[y, z \right], \\ (xo \left(yz \right))_{\alpha,1} &= \left(xoy \right)_{\alpha,1} \alpha(z) - y \left[x, z \right]_{\alpha,1} = y \left(xoz \right)_{\alpha,1} + \left[x, y \right]_{\alpha,1} \alpha(z) \\ ((xy) oz_{\alpha,1} &= x \left(yoz \right)_{\alpha,1} - \left[x, z \right] y = \left(xoz \right)_{\alpha,1} y + x \left[y, \alpha(z) \right]. \end{split}$$

2. Results

Lemma 2.1 ([?]). Let R be a semiprime ring and suppose that $a \in R$ centralizes all commutators xy - yx, $x, y \in R$. Then $a \in Z$.

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a semiprime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d satisfies one of the following conditions, then d is centralizing.

- (*i*). $d([x,y]) = [x,y]_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.
- (*ii*). $d([x,y]) = -[x,y]_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof. (i). Assume that $d([x, y]) = [x, y]_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by yx, we get

$$d(y[x,x] + [x,y]x) = y[x,x]_{\alpha,1} + [x,y]_{\alpha,1}\alpha(x),$$

and so $d(y) \alpha([x,x]) + yd([x,x]) + d([x,y]) \alpha(x) + [x,y] d(x) = y [x,x]_{\alpha,1} + [x,y]_{\alpha,1} \alpha(x)$. Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$[x, y] d(x) = 0, for all x, y \in R.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Substituting d(x) y for y in (1) and using (1) we have

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) = 0, for all x, y \in R.$$
(2)

Replacing y by yx in (2) we get

$$[x, d(x)]yxd(x) = 0, for all x, y \in R.$$
(3)

Multiplying (2) on the right of x, we have

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) x = 0, for all x, y \in R.$$
(4)

Subtracting (4) from (3), we arrive at [x, d(x)] y [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x, y \in R$. By the semiprimeness of R, we conclude that [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in R$ and so $[x, d(x)] \in Z$.

(*ii*). If d is an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation satisfying the property $d([x, y]) = -[x, y]_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in R$, then (-d) satisfies the condition $(-d)([x, y]) = -[x, y]_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. Hence d is centralizing by (i).

Corollary 2.3. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d satisfies one of the following conditions, then R is commutative integral domain.

- (*i*). $d([x, y]) = [x, y]_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.
- (*ii*). $d([x,y]) = -[x,y]_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a semiprime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d acts as a homomorphism on R, then d is centralizing.

Proof. Assume that d acts as a homomorphism on R. Now we have $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y) = d(x)d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by $yz, z \in R$ in the above equation, we get

$$d(x) \alpha(y) \alpha(z) + xd(y) \alpha(z) + xyd(z) = d(x) d(y) \alpha(z) + d(x) y\alpha(z), for all x, y, z \in R.$$

Using the hypothesis and d is derivation on R in the last relation gives xyd(z) = d(x)yd(z), and so

$$(d(x) - x) y d(z) = 0, for all x, y, z \in R.$$
(5)

Writing y by d(y) in (5) we get (d(x) - x) d(y) d(z) = 0, for all $x, y, z \in R$. By the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(d(x) - x) d(yz) = (d(x) - x) d(y) \alpha(z) + (d(x) - x) d(yz) = 0$$

Using (5), we have

$$(d(x) - x) d(y) \alpha(z) = 0,$$

and so

$$\begin{split} d\left(x\right) d\left(y\right) \alpha\left(z\right) &= xd\left(y\right) \alpha\left(z\right), \\ d\left(xy\right) \alpha\left(z\right) &= d\left(x\right) \alpha\left(y\right) \alpha\left(z\right) + xd\left(y\right) \alpha\left(z\right) = xd\left(y\right) \alpha\left(z\right) \end{split}$$

That is $d(x) \alpha(y) \alpha(z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Explain to this part, we can show that $[x, d(x)] \alpha(y) [x, d(x)] = 0$, for all $x, y \in R$. Since R is semiprime, we get [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in R$. Hence d is commuting, and so d is centralizing.

Corollary 2.5. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d acts as homomorphism on R, then R is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a semiprime ring and S be a non-empty subset of R. Let d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R such that $\alpha(x) = x$, for all $x \in S$. If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on R, then d is centralizing on S.

Proof. Assume that d acts as an anti-homomorphism on R, Now by the hypothesis we have

$$d\left(xy\right)=d\left(x\right)\alpha\left(y\right)+xd\left(y\right)=d\left(y\right)d\left(x\right), \ for \ all \ x,y\in R.$$

Replacing y by xy, in the last relation and using d is a $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R, we arrive at

$$d(x) \alpha(y) \alpha(y) + xd(x) \alpha(y) + xxd(y) = d(x) \alpha(y) d(x) + xd(y) d(y), for all x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

129

By the hypothesis, we get $d(x) \alpha(y) + xd(x) \alpha(y) + xxd(y) = d(x) \alpha(y) d(x) + xd(x) \alpha(y) + xxd(y)$. That is

$$d(x) \alpha(x) \alpha(y) = d(x) \alpha(y) d(x), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(6)

Writing yx by y in (6), we have $d(x) \alpha(x) \alpha(yx) = d(x) \alpha(yx) d(x)$. Using (6), we arrive at $d(x) \alpha(x) d(x) \alpha(x) = d(x) \alpha(y) \alpha(x) d(x)$, and so $d(x) \alpha(y) [d(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$, for all $x, y \in R$. Using the same arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i), we obtain $[d(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$. Since $\alpha(x) = x$, for all $x \in S$, then [d(x), x] = 0, for all $x \in S$. Hence d is commuting on S, and d is centralizing on S.

Corollary 2.7. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d acts as anti-homomorphism on R, then R is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 2.8. Let R be a semiprime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If R admits an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation such that $d(x) d(y) - xy \in Z$, for all $x, y \in R$, then d is centralizing.

Proof. Replacing x by xz in the hypothesis, we get

$$d(x)\alpha(z)d(y) + x(d(z)d(y) - zy) \in Z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$
(7)

Commuting (7) with x, we have $[d(x) \alpha(x) d(y), x] = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in R$ and so $[d(x) \alpha(z), x] d(y) + d(x) \alpha(z) [d(y), x] = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in R$. Writing $\alpha(z)$ by $zd(t), t \in R$ in this equation and using this equation yields that [d(x) zd(t), x] d(y) + d(x) zd(t) [d(y), x] = 0. That is, d(x) zd(t) [d(y), x] = 0, for all $t, x, y, z \in R$. Taking x instead of y in the above equation, we find that

$$d(x) z d(t) [d(x), x] = 0, \text{ for all } t, x, z \in R.$$
 (8)

Multiplying (8) on the left by x, we have

$$xd(x) zd(t) [d(x), x] = 0, \text{ for all } t, x, z \in R.$$
 (9)

Again replacing z by xz in (8), we obtain

$$d(x) xzd(t) [d(x), x] = 0, \text{ for all } t, x, z \in R.$$
(10)

Subtracting (9) from (10), we see that [d(x), x] z d(t) [d(x), x] = 0, for all $t, x, z \in R$. Again multiplying this equation on the left by d(t), we have d(t) [d(x), x] z d(t) [d(x), x] = 0, for all $t, x, z \in R$. Since R is semiprime ring, we get d(t) [d(x), x] = 0, for all $t, x \in R$. Substituting xt for t in the last equation and using the last equation, we obtain $d(x) \alpha(t) [d(x), x] = 0$, for all $t, x \in R$. Using the same arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (i), we conclude that $[d(x), x] \alpha(t) [d(x), x] = 0$, for all $t, x \in R$. Again using the semiprimeness of R, we get [d(x), x] = 0, for all $x \in R$. This yields that d is commuting, and so d is centralizing.

Corollary 2.9. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If R admits an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation such that d $(x) d(y) - xy \in Z$, for all $x, y \in R$, then d is centralizing.

In the similar manner of Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. Let R be a semiprime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If R admits an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation such that $d(x) d(y) + xy \in Z$, for all $x, y \in R$, then d is centralizing.

Corollary 2.11. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If R admits a $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation such that $d(x) d(y) + xy \in Z$, for all $x, y \in R$, then d is centralizing.

Theorem 2.12. Let R be a semiprime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d satisfies one of the following conditions, then d is centralizing.

- (i). $d(xoy) = (xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.
- (ii). $d(xoy) = -(xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof. (i). Assume that $d(xoy) = (xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$. Writing y by yx in this equation yields that

$$d(xoy)\alpha(x) + (xoy)d(x) - d(y)\alpha[x, x] - yd[x, x] = (xoy)_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(x) - y[x, x]_{\alpha, 1}, for \ all \ x, y \in R.$$

Using the hypothesis, we get (xoy) d(x) = 0, for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by zy in the above equation and using this equation, we get z(xoy) d(x) + [x, z] y d(x) = 0, for all $x, y \in R$. That is [x, z] y d(x) = 0. Again replacing z by d(x) in the above equation, we obtain

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$
(11)

Replacing y by yx in (11), we get

$$[x, d(x)] yxd(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

$$(12)$$

Multiplying (11) on the right by x, we have

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$
(13)

Subtracting (13) from (12), we arrive at

$$[x, d(x)] y [x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$
(14)

By the semiprimeness of R, we conclude that [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in R$ and so $[x, d(x)] \in Z$.

(*ii*). In the similar manner, we can prove that $d(xoy) = -(xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Corollary 2.13. Let R be a prime ring and d be an $(\alpha, 1)$ derivation of R. If d satisfies one of the following conditions, then d is centralizing.

- (i). $d(xoy) = (xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.
- (ii). $d(xoy) = -(xoy)_{\alpha,1}$, for all $x, y \in R$.

References

- [1] N. Argac, On near-rings with two sided α derivations, Turk. J. Math., 28(2004), 195-204.
- [2] N. Argac, On On prime and semiprime rings with derivations, Algebra Colloq., 13(3)(2006), 371-380.
- [3] H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe, Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions, Acta Math.Hungarica., 59(1989), 339-346.
- [4] H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale, Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, Canada. Math. Bull., 30(1)(1987), 92-101.

- [5] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci., 15(1)(1992), 205-206.
- [6] I. N. Herstein, Rings with involution, Vol. 4, The University of Chicago Press, Illinois, (1976).
- [7] Muhammad Anwar Chaudry, Oznus Golbasi and Emine Koc, Some results on Generalized (α, β)-Derivations in *-Primerings, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci., 2015(2015), 1-6.
- [8] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Soc., 8(1957), 1093-1100.
- [9] M. S. Yenigul and N. Argac, On prime and semiprime rings with α derivations, Turk. J. Math., 18(1994), 280-284.