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#### Abstract

A free boundary problem of type $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=-(h(x) \gamma(u))_{x}$ with $h_{x}<0$ is considered. A regularity of the free boundary as a curve $y=\Phi(x)$ is established using a local monotony $b u_{x}-u_{y}<0$ close to free boundary points. MSC: $35 \mathrm{~A} 15,35 \mathrm{R} 35,35 \mathrm{JXX}$.


Keywords: Variational methods, free boundary problems, Linear elliptic equations.
(C) JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

Our interest in this work came from the lubrication model studied by M. Chambat and G. Bayada in [2] where the pressure $p$ is a periodic function solution of

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(h^{3}(x) \nabla p\right)=(h(x) \gamma)_{x} ; \quad h(x)=1+\alpha \cos x ; \quad \alpha \in(0,1)
$$

and $0 \leqslant \gamma(p) \leqslant 1$ with $\gamma(p)=1$ on $[p>0]$. The authors established the existence of a solution and the uniqueness under regularity assumptions on the free boundary.

Recent works [4-6], showed a continuity of the free boundary in

$$
\operatorname{div}(a(x, y) \nabla u)=-(h(x, y) \gamma(u))_{x} \text { when } h_{x}(x, y) \geqslant 0
$$

This monotony on $h$ led to a monotony of $\gamma$ which allowed the characterization of the free boundary as a function $x=\phi(y)$. The continuity of $\phi$ is established under assumptions relating $a(x, y)$ with $h(x, y)$ in [4], and under $C_{l o c}^{0, \alpha}$ regularity on $a$ in [5]. These work brought answers to the Lubrication free boundary problem in half of the domain since

$$
h^{\prime}(x)=-\alpha \sin (x) \text { is negative on }(0, \pi) \text { and positive on }(\pi, 2 \pi)
$$

In an attempt to explore the situation where $h_{x}<0$, we assume, in this paper, that $a$ and $h$ are independent of $y$. We look for a monotone solution $u$ in the $y$-direction. The free boundary is then defined as a function $y=\Phi(x)$. We establish its

[^0]continuity by using techniques developed in $[1,9]$ for obstacle problems where a solution is more regular at the free boundary points than it is in our case. The idea is to construct a cone with a vertex at a free boundary point while controlling a part of $[u>0]$ in that cone.
For simplicity, we set the domain
$$
\Omega=(0,1) \times(0,1), \quad \text { denote: } \quad \Gamma_{0}=(0,1) \times\{0\}, \quad \Gamma_{1}=(0,1) \times\{1\},
$$
and formulate the problem as:
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find }(u, \gamma) \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { such that: } \\
\text { (i) } u \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 1, \quad u(\gamma-1)=0 \text { a.e. in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\
\text { (ii) } u=\varphi \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\text { (iii) } \int_{\Omega}\left(a(x) \nabla u+\gamma h(x) e_{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d y=0 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $e_{x}=(1,0), \varphi \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with

$$
\varphi(x, y)=\| \begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & \text { on } & \Gamma_{0}, & & \theta_{0}(y) & \text { on } & \{0\} \times[0,1] \\
u_{a} & \text { on } & \Gamma_{1}, & & \theta_{1}(y) & \text { on } & \{1\} \times[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

with $\theta_{i}$ being regular and nondecreasing functions satisfying $0 \leqslant \theta_{i}(y) \leqslant u_{a}, i=1,2$, and $u_{a}$ is a positive constant. The function $h$ is $C^{2}([0,1])$ and satisfies for some positive constants $\bar{h}$ and $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x)| \leqslant \bar{h}, \quad-\bar{h} \leqslant h^{\prime}(x)<-\lambda<0, \quad\left|h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant \bar{h} \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $a$ depends only on the $x$-variable and satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \in W^{2, \infty}(0,1) \cap C^{1,1}[0,1]  \tag{2}\\
& m|\xi|^{2} \leqslant a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leqslant M|\xi|^{2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad m>0, \quad M>0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The existence of a solution to $(P)$ follows the proof in [3].
We introduce, for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(1, u_{a}\right)\right)$, the penalization problem:

$$
\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { such that: } \\
\text { (i) } \quad u_{\epsilon}=\varphi \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
(i i) \quad \int_{\Omega}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+h(x) H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) e_{x}\right) \nabla \xi d x d y=0 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
H_{\epsilon}(t)=\| \begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } t<0 \\
t / \epsilon & \text { if } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \epsilon \\
1 & \text { if } t>\epsilon
\end{array}
$$

We show, as in [3], that there exists a unique solution for $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ satisfying:

$$
u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega), \quad H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \gamma \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

and that $(u, \gamma)$ is a solution of $(P)$.
Taking $u_{\epsilon}^{-}\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{a}\right)^{+}\right)$as a test functions in $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$, shows that $u_{\epsilon} \geqslant 0$ (resp. $\left.u_{\epsilon} \leqslant u_{a}\right)$. Then, comparing $u_{\epsilon}^{\eta}=u_{\epsilon}(x, y+\eta)$ with $u_{\epsilon}$ as in [7], we obtain $\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{y} \geqslant 0$ and finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant u \leqslant u_{a}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { a.e in } \Omega . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all what follows, we consider only monotone solutions of $(P)$. As a consequence, we deduce that:

- $\forall\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in[u>0]=[u(x, y)>0] \cap \Omega, \exists \delta>0$ such that $u(x, y)>0$ for $(x, y) \in B_{\delta}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \cup\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left[y_{0}, 1\right]$
- $\Phi:(0,1) \longrightarrow[0,1)$ is well defined by $\Phi(x)=\inf \{y \in(0,1) / u(x, y)>0\}$ and is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c) on $(0,1)$.
- $[u>0]=[y>\Phi(x)]$.

Now, we list some properties of the solutions of $(P)$. We have

- $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=-(h \gamma)_{x}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.
- $u \in C_{l o c}^{0, \alpha}\left(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}\right)([8$, Theorem 8.24, p 202] $)$.
- $[u>0]$ is an open set.
- If $a \in C^{1,1}[0,1]$ and $h \in C^{2}(0,1)$, then $u \in C_{l o c}^{2}([u>0])([8$, Theorem 8.10, p 186] $)$.
- $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) \geqslant-(h)_{x} \chi([u>0])$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.
- $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) \geqslant 0$ and $(h \chi)_{x} \leqslant 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.1. The above last inequalities are obtained by taking $\pm\left(H_{\epsilon}(u) \xi\right), \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \xi \geqslant 0$ as a test function in $(P)$. The $C_{l o c}^{0, \alpha}$ regularity holds because $h \gamma \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $q>2$.

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Assume the interior of the set $(0,1) \cap[\Phi(x)>0]$ non empty. Then $\Phi$ is continuous at each interior point of $(0,1) \cap[\Phi(x)>0]$.

To prove the theorem, we work close to a free boundary point $P_{0}$. We construct a half cone with vertex at $P_{0}$. This is possible by establishing a local monotony $b \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \leqslant 0$.

## 2. Local Monotony

For the purpose of clarity, we establish the monotonicity result through the following steps.
Step 1: First, we have

## Lemma 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}>0 \text { in }[u>0] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u))=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(h^{\prime}(x)\right)=0 \quad \text { in }[u>0] \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \geqslant 0
$$

By the strong maximum principle ([8, Theorem 9.6 p.225]), one has

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}>0 \quad \text { in } \quad[u>0] \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad[u>0] .
$$

But if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0$ in $[u>0]$, then $u=u(x)=u(x, 1)=u_{a}$ since $u \in C^{0}\left(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1}\right)$. This leads to $0=\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=-h^{\prime}(x)>0$ in $[u>0]$ which is not possible.

Step 2: Next, let $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ with $y_{0}=\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Set $\epsilon_{0}=\left(1-y_{0}\right) / 6, \delta_{0}=\min \left(x_{0}, 1-x_{0}\right) / 6$. Since $\Phi$ is u.s.c, then for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right), \exists \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ such that $\Phi(x)<\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\epsilon$ for any $x \in\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right)$. Using the continuity of $u$ up to the boundary $y=1$, we can find $0<\rho<1-\left(y_{0}+3 \epsilon_{0}\right)$ such that $u>0$ on $\left[x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right] \times[1-\rho, 1]$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\left[x_{0}-\delta / 2, x_{0}+\delta / 2\right] \times\left[y_{0}+2 \epsilon, 1-\rho\right] \\
G & =\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left(y_{0}+\epsilon, 1-\frac{\rho}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that: $G \subset[u>0]=[y>\Phi(x)]$.


Figure 1: Set F and set G

Step 3: Since $u \in C^{2}([u>0]$, then we have in $G$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) & =-h^{\prime}(x) \\
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u))-\left(\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial a_{22}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} a_{11}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} a_{12}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)
$$

Using the assumptions on $a$ and $h$, we deduce that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=u+\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-E \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w) & =\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+\tau \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\right)-E \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right) \\
& =-h^{\prime}(x)+\tau \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\right)-0 \geqslant \lambda-|\tau| C_{1} \quad \text { in } \quad G
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}=C\left(\bar{h},|a|_{1,1},|u|_{C^{2}(\bar{G})},|a|_{W^{2}, \infty}\right)$ is a constant depending on $\epsilon$. Thus, for $|\tau|<\frac{\lambda}{2 C_{1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w)>\frac{\lambda}{2} \quad \text { in } \quad G . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4:. Now, let $\zeta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfying $\zeta=0$ on $\mathrm{F}, 0 \leqslant \zeta \leqslant 1$ and $\zeta \geqslant 1$ on $\partial G$. We have

$$
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \zeta)=a_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial y^{2}}+\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial a_{12}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}
$$

Then,

$$
|\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \zeta)| \leqslant C_{2}=\max _{\bar{G}}|\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \zeta)|
$$

We choose $\mu \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda}{2 C_{2}}\right)$ so that

$$
\mu \operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \zeta) \leqslant \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad \text { in } \quad G
$$

From (7), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla(w-\mu \zeta)) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5: Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =\max _{\bar{G}}|u|+\max _{\bar{G}}|\nabla u|, & \tau_{0} & =\frac{\mu}{1+k}, \\
E_{0} & =\frac{k}{\beta\left(\tau_{0}\right)}, & \beta\left(\tau_{0}\right) & =\min _{\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right] \cap \bar{G}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the extreme value theorem, the minimum value $\beta\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ is attained if the closed bounded set $\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right] \cap \bar{G} \neq \emptyset$ and is strictly positive by Lemma 2.1; that is

$$
\beta\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(m_{0}\right)>0 ; \quad \quad m_{0} \in\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right] \cap \bar{G}
$$

To show that $w-\mu \zeta \leqslant 0$ on $\partial G$, we discuss the following:

- If $\partial G \cap\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right]=\emptyset$, then $u<\tau_{0}$ on $\partial G$. As a consequence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =u+\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-E \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \leqslant \tau_{0}+|\tau| k \text { since } \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \geqslant 0 \\
& \leqslant \tau_{0}+\tau_{0} k=\mu \text { on } \partial G \text { if }|\tau|<\tau_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $\partial G \cap\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right] \neq \emptyset$, then
- on $\partial G \cap\left[u<\tau_{0}\right]$, we have, as in the previous case $w \leqslant \mu$ on $\partial G \cap\left[u<\tau_{0}\right]$ if $|\tau|<\tau_{0}$.
- on $\partial G \cap\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =u+\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-E \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \leqslant k+|\tau| k-E \beta\left(\tau_{0}\right) \text { since } \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \geqslant \beta\left(\tau_{0}\right)>0 \\
& \leqslant k+\tau_{0} k-E_{0} \beta\left(\tau_{0}\right) \text { for } E \geqslant E_{0} \\
& =\tau_{0} k \leqslant \tau_{0}(1+k)=\mu \text { on } \partial G \cap\left[u \geqslant \tau_{0}\right] \text { if }|\tau|<\tau_{0} \text { and } E \geqslant E_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6: Now, since $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla(w-\mu \zeta)) \geqslant 0$ in $G$ and $w-\mu \zeta \leqslant 0$ on $\partial G$, we deduce, by the maximum principle, that $w-\mu \zeta \leqslant 0$ in $G$. In particular, we have $w \leqslant 0$ in $F$. Hence

$$
\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-E \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \leqslant-u<0 \text { in } F \text { if }|\tau|<\tau_{0} \text { and } E \geqslant E_{0}
$$

Setting $b=\frac{\tau}{E}$ and $b_{0}=\frac{\tau_{0}}{E_{0}}$, we finally established, through the 6 steps, the following result:
Theorem 2.2. There exists a constant $b_{0}>0$ depending on $x_{0}, y_{0}, \epsilon$ such that

$$
b \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}<0 \text { in } F \text { for }|b|<b_{0}
$$

## 3. Set of Directions



Figure 2: Lines $P_{0} P_{n}$ and $P_{n}^{-} P_{0}$

In this section, we describe how we form particular lines passing through the vertex $P_{0}=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and crossing the horizontal line $y=y_{0}+2 \epsilon=y_{\epsilon}$.

Line segment $P_{0} P_{1}$ : It is possible to find $x_{1} \in\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+\delta / 4\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{2 \epsilon}=\kappa b_{0}<b_{0}
$$

It suffices, to choose

$$
\kappa=\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{2 \epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{b_{0}} \text { such that }\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|=2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa<\frac{\delta}{4} ; \quad \kappa \in\left(0, \min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\delta}{8 \epsilon b_{0}}\right)\right) .
$$

Set $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $b_{1}=\kappa b_{0}$. The line joining $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ has the slope

$$
\frac{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}=b_{1}^{-1}
$$

and can be described by the following two parameterizations:

$$
P_{0} P_{1}: \quad\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x = x _ { 1 } - t b _ { 1 } } \\
{ y = y _ { \epsilon } - t }
\end{array} \quad t \in [ 0 , 2 \epsilon ] \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+s b_{1} \\
y=y_{0}+s
\end{array} \quad s \in[0,2 \epsilon]\right.\right.
$$

Define the function

$$
f_{b_{1}}(t)=u\left(x_{1}-t b_{1}, y_{\epsilon}-t\right) .
$$

We have

$$
f_{b_{1}}(0)=u\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)>0, \quad f_{b_{1}}^{\prime}(0)=\left(-b_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)<0
$$

By continuity, we have $f_{b_{1}}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t$ small. Let

$$
t_{2}=\sup U ; \quad U=\left\{t \in[0,2 \epsilon] \text { such that } f_{b_{1}}(s)>0 \forall s \in[0, t)\right\} .
$$

Since $U \neq \emptyset$ and bounded, then $t_{2}$ is well defined and by continuity of $f_{b_{1}}$, we have $f_{b_{1}}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. Note that $t_{2}>\epsilon$ since $u>0$ in $\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left[y_{0}+\epsilon, y_{\epsilon}\right]$. If $t_{2}=2 \epsilon$, then $u>0$ along the line segment $P_{0} P_{1} \backslash\left\{P_{0}\right\}$. If $t_{2} \neq 2 \epsilon$, set

$$
x_{2}=x_{1}-t_{2} b_{1} ; \quad y_{2}=y_{\epsilon}-t_{2} ; \quad Q_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right),
$$

then form the second line segment.
Line segment $P_{0} P_{2}$ : Set $P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{x_{2}-x_{0}}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}} & =\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left(\left(x_{1}-t_{2} b_{1}\right)-x_{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left(\left(x_{0}+2 \epsilon b_{1}-t_{2} b_{1}\right)-x_{0}\right) \text { since } x_{1}=x_{0}+2 \epsilon b_{1} \\
& =\left(\frac{2 \epsilon-t_{2}}{2 \epsilon}\right) b_{1}=b_{2}<b_{1}<b_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The line joining $P_{0}$ and $P_{2}$ has the slope

$$
\frac{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}}=b_{2}^{-1}
$$

and can be described by the following two parameterizations:

$$
P_{0} P_{2}: \quad\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x = x _ { 2 } - t b _ { 2 } } \\
{ y = y _ { \epsilon } - t }
\end{array} \quad t \in [ 0 , 2 \epsilon ] \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+s b_{2} \\
y=y_{0}+s
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Define the function

$$
f_{b_{2}}(t)=u\left(x_{1}-t b_{2}, y_{\epsilon}-t\right) .
$$

We have

$$
f_{b_{2}}(0)=u\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)>0, \quad f_{b_{2}}^{\prime}(0)=\left(-b_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)<0
$$

By continuity $f_{b_{2}}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for small $t$. Let $t_{3} \in(0,2 \epsilon]$ such that

$$
f_{b_{2}}\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \text { and } f_{b_{2}}(t)>0 \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{3}\right) .
$$

Note that $t_{3}>\epsilon$ since $u>0$ in $\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left[y_{0}+\epsilon, y_{\epsilon}\right]$. If $t_{3}=2 \epsilon$, then $u>0$ along the line segment $P_{0} P_{2} \backslash\left\{P_{0}\right\}$. If $t_{3} \neq 2 \epsilon$, set

$$
x_{3}=x_{2}-t_{3} b_{2} ; \quad y_{3}=y_{\epsilon}-t_{3} ; \quad Q_{3}=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)
$$

then we form the line segment $P_{0} P_{3}$.
Line segment $P_{0} P_{n}$ : By repeating the previous process, we will obtain a sequence of points $P_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $Q_{n+1}=$ $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{\epsilon}-t_{n+1}\right)$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}-x_{0}=2 \epsilon b_{1}=2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa & x_{1}-x_{2}=t_{2} b_{1} \geqslant \epsilon b_{1} \\
x_{2}-x_{0}=2 \epsilon b_{2} & x_{2}-x_{3}=t_{3} b_{2} \geqslant \epsilon b_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n}-x_{0}=2 \epsilon b_{n} & x_{n}-x_{n+1}=t_{n+1} b_{n} \geqslant \epsilon b_{n}
\end{array}
$$

The sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is convergent since it is decreasing and bounded. Indeed, we have

$$
0 \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{n+1} \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{n} \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa \leqslant \epsilon b_{0} \text { and } t_{n+1} \geqslant \epsilon .
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa & =x_{1}-x_{0} \geqslant x_{1}-x_{n+1} \\
& =\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)+\ldots+\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right) \\
& \geqslant \epsilon b_{1}+\epsilon b_{2}+\ldots+\epsilon b_{n}=s_{n} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(s_{n}\right)$ is a bounded increasing sequence. Thus convergent. As a consequence

$$
\epsilon b_{n}=s_{n}-s_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \text { and } x_{n}=x_{0}+2 \epsilon b_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0} \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty .
$$

Similarly, we obtain a sequence of points $P_{1}^{-}, P_{2}^{-}, \ldots, P_{n}^{-}, \ldots$ to the left of $P_{0}$.
Line segment $P_{1}^{-} P_{0}$ : With $x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}=-2 \epsilon \kappa b_{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}}=\frac{x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}}{2 \epsilon}=-\kappa b_{0}>-b_{0}, \quad x_{1}^{-} \in\left(x_{0}-\delta / 4, x_{0}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\kappa=\frac{x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}}{2 \epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{-b_{0}} \text { is such that }\left|x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}\right|=2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa<\frac{\delta}{4} \text { for } \kappa \in\left(0, \min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\delta}{8 \epsilon b_{0}}\right)\right) \text {. }
$$

Set $P_{1}^{-}=\left(x_{1}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $b_{1}=\kappa b_{0}$. The line joining $P_{1}^{-}$and $P_{0}$ has the slope

$$
\frac{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}}{x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}}=-b_{1}^{-1}
$$

and can be described by the following two parameterizations:

$$
P_{1}^{-} P_{0}: \quad\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x = x _ { 1 } ^ { - } + t b _ { 1 } } \\
{ y = y _ { \epsilon } - t }
\end{array} \quad t \in [ 0 , 2 \epsilon ] \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}-s b_{1} \\
y=y_{0}+s
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Define the function

$$
g_{b_{1}}(t)=u\left(x_{1}^{-}+t b_{1}, y_{\epsilon}-t\right) .
$$

We have

$$
g_{b_{1}}(0)=u\left(x_{1}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)>0, \quad g_{b_{1}}^{\prime}(0)=\left(b_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\left(x_{1}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)<0
$$

By continuity $g_{b_{1}}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for small $t$. Let $t_{2}^{-} \in(0,2 \epsilon]$ such that $g_{b_{1}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=0$ and $g_{b_{1}}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{2}^{-}\right)$. Note that $t_{2}^{-}>\epsilon$ since $u>0$ in $\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left[y_{0}+\epsilon, y_{\epsilon}\right]$. If $t_{2}^{-}=2 \epsilon$, then $u>0$ along the line segment $P_{1}^{-} P_{0} \backslash\left\{P_{0}\right\}$. If $t_{2}^{-} \neq 2 \epsilon$, set $x_{2}^{-}=x_{1}^{-}+t_{2}^{-} b_{1} ; y_{2}^{-}=y_{\epsilon}-t_{2}^{-} ; Q_{2}^{-}=\left(x_{2}^{-}, y_{2}^{-}\right)$, then we form the following line segment $P_{2}^{-} P_{0}$ with $P_{2}^{-}=\left(x_{2}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$.
Line segment $P_{n}^{-} P_{0}$ : We obtain a sequence of points $P_{n}^{-}=\left(x_{n}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $Q_{n+1}^{-}=\left(x_{n+1}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}-t_{n+1}^{-}\right)$satisfying:

$$
0 \leqslant x_{0}-x_{n}^{-}=2 \epsilon b_{n} \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{1}=2 \epsilon b_{0} \kappa \leqslant \epsilon b_{0} ; x_{n+1}^{-}-x_{n}^{-}=t_{n+1}^{-} b_{n} \geqslant \epsilon b_{n}
$$

and $x_{n}^{-}=x_{0}-2 \epsilon b_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$ as $n \longrightarrow+\infty$.

## 4. Proof of Continuity

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $x_{0}$ be in the interior of $(0,1) \cap[\Phi(x)>0]$. Define $\epsilon_{0}, \delta_{0}, \rho$, and the sets $F$ and $G$ as in Step 2 of section 2. Then, construct points $P_{1}^{-}, P_{2}^{-}, \ldots, P_{n}^{-}, \ldots$ to the left of $P_{0}$ and points $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}, \ldots$ to the right of $P_{0}$ (as in section 3) such that $u>0$ above the line segments $\left[P_{i}^{-} Q_{i+1}^{-}\right)$and $\left[P_{i} Q_{i+1}\right) ; i=1,2, \ldots, n, \ldots$.
For $x \in\left(x_{0}-\frac{\delta}{8}, x_{0}+\frac{\delta}{8}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$, there exists $n \geqslant 1$ such that $x_{n+1} \leqslant x<x_{n}$ or $x_{n}^{-}<x \leqslant x_{n+1}^{-}$since $x_{n}=x_{0}+2 \epsilon b_{n}$ and $x_{n}^{-}=x_{0}-2 \epsilon b_{n}$. Now, because $u(x, \Phi(x))=0$, the point ( $x, \Phi(x)$ ) will be under the line segment

$$
\left[P_{n} Q_{n+1}\right): \quad x-x_{0}=b_{n}\left(y-y_{0}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left[P_{n}^{-} Q_{n+1}^{-}\right): x-x_{0}=-b_{n}\left(y-y_{0}\right)
$$

We discuss two situations:
i). $\Phi(x) \geqslant y_{0}=\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x)-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)<b_{n}^{-1}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \text { if } x_{n+1} \leqslant x<x_{n} \\
& \Phi(x)-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)<b_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{0}-x\right) \text { if } x_{n}^{-}<x \leqslant x_{n+1}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

* For $x_{n+1} \leqslant x<x_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<x-x_{0} & =\left(x-x_{n}\right)+\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)+\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right) \\
& =t_{n} b_{n}+2 \epsilon b_{n} \\
b_{n}^{-1}\left(x-x_{0}\right) & \leqslant t_{n}+2 \epsilon \leqslant 2 \epsilon+2 \epsilon=4 \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

* For $x_{n}^{-}<x \leqslant x_{n+1}^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<x_{0}-x & =\left(x_{0}-x_{n+1}^{-}\right)+\left(x_{n+1}^{-}-x\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(x_{0}-x_{n+1}^{-}\right)+\left(x_{n+1}^{-}-x_{n}^{-}\right) \\
& =2 \epsilon b_{n+1}+t_{n+1}^{-} b_{n} \\
b_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{0}-x\right) & \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{n}^{-1} \cdot b_{n+1}+t_{n+1}^{-} \leqslant 2 \epsilon+2 \epsilon=4 \epsilon \text { since } b_{n+1} \leqslant b_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Phi(x)-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant 4 \epsilon$.
ii). $\Phi(x)<y_{0}=\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Set $x_{0}^{\prime}=x$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}=\Phi(x)=y$. The point $P_{0}^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ will play the role of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in section 3 and we will form with the same process the sequence of points $P_{1}^{-1}, P_{2}^{-\prime}, \ldots, P_{n}^{-1}, \ldots$ to the left of $P_{0}^{\prime}$ and points $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots$ to the right of $P_{0}^{\prime}$. It is sufficient that we start with $P_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $P_{1}^{-\prime}$ ) such that the corresponding $b_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $b_{1}^{-\prime}$ ) is less than $b_{0}$.
Line segments $P_{0}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{1}^{-\prime} P_{0}^{\prime}$ : We choose $P_{1}^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{\epsilon}\right)=P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $P_{1}^{-1}=\left(x_{1}^{-1}, y_{\epsilon}\right)=P_{1}^{-}=\left(x_{1}^{-}, y_{\epsilon}\right)$. This ensures that we remain working on the interval $\left[x_{1}^{-}, x_{1}\right]$ and $x_{0}$ is in this interval. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right| & \leqslant 2 \epsilon b_{1} \text { since }\left|x_{0}-x_{1}\right|=\left|x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}\right|=2 \epsilon b_{1} \\
\left|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right| & =\left|x_{1}-x_{0}+x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|+\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4 \epsilon b_{1} \text { since } x_{1}=x_{0}+2 \epsilon b_{1} \\
y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime} \geqslant y_{\epsilon}-y_{0} & =2 \epsilon \text { since } y_{0}^{\prime}<y_{0} \\
b_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{0}^{\prime}}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left|b_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{\left|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{4 \epsilon b_{1}}{2 \epsilon}=2 b_{1}=2 \kappa b_{0}<b_{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \kappa<\frac{1}{2}
$$

which is satisfied by the first choice of $\kappa$. Similarly, we have

$$
-b_{1}^{-\prime}=\frac{x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}^{\prime}}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime}} ; \quad\left|b_{1}^{-\prime}\right|=\frac{\left|x_{1}^{-}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime}}=\frac{\left|x_{0}-2 \epsilon b_{1}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|}{y_{\epsilon}-y_{0}^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{4 \epsilon b_{1}}{2 \epsilon}=2 b_{1} .
$$

Arguing as in i), we obtain

$$
\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\Phi(x) \leqslant \max \left(\frac{1}{b_{q}^{-\prime}}, \frac{1}{b_{m}^{\prime}}\right)\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant 4 \epsilon,
$$

depending if $x_{0}$ is to the right of $x ; x_{m+1}^{\prime} \leqslant x_{0}<x_{m}^{\prime}$, or $x_{0}$ is to the left of $x ; x_{q}^{-1}<x_{0} \leqslant x_{q+1}^{-1}$. Finally, we have

$$
\left|\Phi(x)-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant 4 \epsilon \text { for }\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\frac{\delta}{8} .
$$

This completes the proof of the continuity of $\Phi$.
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