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## 1. Introduction

In the domain $\Omega=(0,1) \times(0,1)$, we consider the free boundary problem:

$$
(P)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find }(u, \gamma) \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { such that : } \\
\text { (i) } u \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 1, \quad u(\gamma-1)=0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\
\text { (ii) } u=\varphi \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
(\text { iii }) \int_{\Omega}\left(a(x) \nabla u+\gamma h(x) e_{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d y=0 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $e_{x}=(1,0), \varphi \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$
\varphi(x, y)=\| \begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{0}=(0,1) \times\{0\}, & \theta_{0}(y) \quad \text { on } \quad\{0\} \times[0,1] \\
u_{a} \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{1}=(0,1) \times\{1\}, & \text { and } & & \\
& \theta_{1}(y) \quad \text { on } & \{1\} \times[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

with $\theta_{i}$ being regular and nondecreasing functions satisfying $0 \leqslant \theta_{i}(y) \leqslant u_{a}, i=1,2$, and $u_{a}$ is a positive constant. The function $h$ is $C^{1}([0,1])$ and satisfies for some positive constants $\bar{h}$ and $h^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<h(x) \leqslant \bar{h}, \quad h^{\prime}(x) \leqslant-h^{*}<0 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The matrix $a$ depends only on the $x$-variable and satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \in W^{1, \infty}(0,1) \cap C^{0,1}[0,1]  \tag{2}\\
& m|\xi|^{2} \leqslant a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leqslant M|\xi|^{2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad m>0, \quad M>0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This problem has been studied by the author in [3] under higher regularity assumptions on the functions $h(x)$ and $a(x)$. The method used involved $C^{2}$ regularity of the solution $u$ far from the free boundary.

In this paper, we will establish the regularity using comparison methods in the weak formulation of the problem. The motivation of this approach came from its application to similar problem with $h_{x} \geqslant 0$ in [6, 7] and for the study in a heterogeneous coastal aquifer in [8]. Another motivation (see [4, 10]), is the fact that the solution behaves like the solution of an obstacle problem because of the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) \geqslant-(h)_{x} \chi([u>0]) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi=1$ if $x \in[u>0]$ and $\chi=0$ if not. The property (4) is established by taking $\pm\left(H_{\epsilon}(u) \xi\right), \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \xi \geqslant 0$ as a test function in $(P)$, with

$$
H_{\epsilon}(t)=\| \begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & t<0 \\
t / \epsilon & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \epsilon \\
1 & \text { if } & t>\epsilon
\end{array}
$$

This function involves in the penalization problem:

$$
\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { such that : } \\
\text { (i) } u_{\epsilon}=\varphi \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
(i i) \quad \int_{\Omega}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+h(x) H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) e_{x}\right) \nabla \xi d x d y=0 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where, for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(1, u_{a}\right)\right)$, we establish, as in [5], that there exists a unique solution for ( $P_{\epsilon}$ ) satisfying:

$$
u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1}(\Omega), \quad H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \gamma \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

and that $(u, \gamma)$ is a solution of $(P)$.
Taking $u_{\epsilon}^{-}$(respectively $\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{a}\right)^{+}$) as a test function in $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$, shows that $u_{\epsilon} \geqslant 0$ (resp. $u_{\epsilon} \leqslant u_{a}$ ). Then, comparing $u_{\epsilon}^{\eta}=u_{\epsilon}(x, y+\eta)$ with $u_{\epsilon}$ as in [8], we obtain $\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{y} \geqslant 0$ and finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant u \leqslant u_{a}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { a.e in } \quad \Omega . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This work brings answers to the situation where the function $h$ is not increasing. Applications of such a model appear, for example, in the Lubrication problem [2] and the dam problem [1]. In all what follows, we consider only monotone solutions of $(P)$. As a consequence, we deduce that [5]:

- $\forall\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in[u>0]=[u(x, y)>0] \cap \Omega, \quad \exists \delta>0$ such that

$$
u(x, y)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad(x, y) \in B_{\delta}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \cup\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right) \times\left[y_{0}, 1\right]
$$

- $\Phi:(0,1) \longrightarrow[0,1)$ is well defined by

$$
\Phi(x)=\inf \{y \in(0,1) / u(x, y)>0\}
$$

and is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c) on $(0,1)$.

- $[u>0]=[y>\Phi(x)]$.

We recall also some properties:

- $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=-(h \gamma)_{x}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.
- $u \in C_{l o c}^{0, \alpha}\left(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}\right) \quad([9$, Theorem 8.24, p.202] $)$.
- $[u>0]$ is an open set.
- $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) \geqslant 0 \quad$ and $\quad(h \gamma)_{x} \leqslant 0 \quad$ in $\quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.


## 2. A Barrier Function and Comparison

Let $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $\epsilon_{0}=\min \left(x_{0}, 1-x_{0}\right) / 6$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\left[x_{0}-\epsilon_{0}, x_{0}+\epsilon_{0}\right] \times[0,1]\right) \quad \text { for some } \quad \alpha \in(0,1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists $\epsilon_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\forall \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{1}\right), \quad \underline{y}-3 \epsilon>0
$$

where $\underline{y} \in(0,1)$ and $\underline{y}$ may depend on $\epsilon$.
Let $x_{1} \in(0,1)$ satisfying

$$
x_{1}<x_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|<\epsilon^{3 / \alpha} \quad \text { with } \quad \epsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times(\underline{y}-\epsilon, \underline{y}), \quad D=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times(0, \underline{y}), \\
w(x, y) & =\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[(y-f(x))^{+}\right]^{2}, \\
f(x) & =\underline{y}-\epsilon+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left[\frac{a_{12}(s)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(s)}\right] d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{0}$ and $\lambda$ are constants. We choose $C_{0}=2 M$ so that

$$
1=\frac{-M+2 M}{M} \leqslant \frac{a_{12}(x)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(x)} \leqslant \frac{3 M}{m} \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{0}-\epsilon_{0}, x_{0}+\epsilon_{0}\right]
$$

Then $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{a_{12}(x)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(x)} \geqslant 1>0 \quad \text { and } \quad-a_{11}(x) f^{\prime}(x)+a_{12}(x)=-C_{0} \\
f\left(x_{1}\right) & =\underline{y}-\epsilon \\
f\left(x_{0}\right) & =\underline{y}-\epsilon+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}}\left[\frac{a_{12}(s)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(s)}\right] d s \leqslant \underline{y}-\epsilon+\frac{3 M}{m}\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \underline{y}-\epsilon+\frac{3 M}{m} \epsilon^{3 / \alpha}<\underline{y} \quad \text { if } \quad \epsilon \in\left(0,\left(\frac{m}{3 M}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{3-\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $[y>f(x)] \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ since we have for $\epsilon \in\left(0,\left(\frac{m}{6 M}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{3-\alpha}}\right)=\left(0, \epsilon_{2}\right)$

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{2}<\epsilon-\frac{3 M}{m} \epsilon^{3 / \alpha} \leqslant \underline{y}-f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant \underline{y}-f(x) \leqslant \underline{y}-f\left(x_{1}\right)=\epsilon \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{0}\right] .
$$

Next, we have $w \in H^{1}(D)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla w(x, y)=\lambda(y-f(x))\left[\begin{array}{c}
-f^{\prime}(x) \\
1
\end{array}\right] \chi([y>f(x)]) \\
a(x) \nabla w=\lambda(y-f(x))^{+}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-f^{\prime}(x) a_{11}+a_{12} \\
-f^{\prime}(x) a_{21}+a_{22}
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w)=\lambda a(x)\left[\begin{array}{c}
-f^{\prime}(x) \\
1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
-f^{\prime}(x) \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { in } \quad[y>f(x)] \\
\lambda m\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \leqslant \operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w) \leqslant \lambda M\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \quad \text { in }[y>f(x)] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\epsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\theta>0$. Then, there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that: $\forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{D}[a(x) \nabla w \cdot \nabla \zeta+\theta \chi([w>0]) \zeta] d x d y \geqslant 0 \quad \forall \zeta \in H_{0}^{1}(D), \quad \zeta \geqslant 0 .
$$

Proof. Let $\zeta \in H_{0}^{1}(D), \zeta \geqslant 0$. We have

$$
\int_{D}[a(x) \nabla w \cdot \nabla \zeta+\theta \chi([w>0]) \zeta] d x d y=\int_{[y>f(x)]}[-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w)+\theta] \zeta d x d y+\int_{[y=f(x)]}[a(x) \nabla w \cdot \nu] \zeta
$$

where $\nu=\left\langle\nu_{x}, \nu_{y}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)}}\left\langle f^{\prime}(x),-1\right\rangle$ is the unit normal to the curve $[y=f(x)]$ pointing towards the set $[y<f(x)]$. Since $\nabla w=0$ on $[y=f(x)]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D}[a(x) \nabla w \cdot \nabla \zeta+\theta \chi([w>0]) \zeta] d x d y & =\int_{[y>f(x)]}[-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla w)+\theta] \zeta d x d y \\
& \geqslant \int_{[y>f(x)]}\left[-\lambda M\left(1+\left(\frac{3 M}{m}\right)^{2}\right)+\theta\right] \zeta d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude the lemma by choosing $\lambda_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\theta}{M\left(1+\left(\frac{3 M}{m}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)$.
The following lemma compares $u$ and $w$ near a free boundary point, along the $x$ direction. First, from (6), there exists a positive constant $K^{*}$ such that for $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in\left[x_{0}-\epsilon_{0}, x_{0}+\epsilon_{0}\right] \times[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u(x, y)-u\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant K^{*}\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $u\left(x_{0}, \underline{y}\right)=0$. Then for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(\frac{\lambda}{8 K^{*}}, \epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)\right)$, we have $u(x, \underline{y}) \leqslant w(x, \underline{y}) \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{0}\right]$.
Proof. Since $u\left(x_{0}, \underline{y}\right)=0$, we have by (7) in particular

$$
u(x, \underline{y}) \leqslant K^{*}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}<K^{*}\left(\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in\left[x_{0}-\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}, x_{0}+\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}\right] .
$$

On another hand, we have for $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{0}\right]$,

$$
w(x, \underline{y})=\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[(\underline{y}-f(x))^{+}\right]^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda}{2}\left[\left(\underline{y}-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right]^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence,

$$
u(x, \underline{y}) \leqslant K^{*}\left(\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \leqslant \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8} \leqslant w(x, \underline{y}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \epsilon \leqslant \frac{\lambda}{8 K^{*}} .
$$

## 3. Non Oscillation Lemma

The following Lemma shows that we cannot have a vertical segment where $u=0$ without having $u=0$ at some point to the left of the segment. When, we have a point to the right of the segment, where $u=0$, we have necessarily another point to the left. This property is needed when proving the continuity of the free boundary.

Lemma 3.1. Let $u$ be a solution of $(P)$. Let $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \Omega$ and $r>0$ such that $B_{r}=B_{r}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \subset \subset \Omega$. Then we cannot have the following situations:
i). $u>0$ in $B_{r} \backslash S_{r}$ and $u=0$ in $S_{r}$
ii). $u>0$ in $B_{r}^{-}$and $u=0$ in $B_{r}^{+} \cup S_{r}$
with $S_{r}=\left\{x_{0}\right\} \times\left(y_{0}-r, y_{0}+r\right), \quad B_{r}^{-}=B_{r} \cap\left[x<x_{0}\right] \quad$ and $\quad B_{r}^{+}=B_{r} \cap\left[x>x_{0}\right]$.
Proof.
Case i). Since $u>0$ in $B_{r} \backslash S$ and $|S|=0$, then $\gamma=1$ a.e in $B_{r}$. We have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(a(x) \nabla u+h(x) e_{x}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d y=0 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Let $\eta \in(0, r / 4)$ and $u_{\eta}(x, y)=u(x, y-\eta)$. Then, $u_{\eta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r / 4}} a(x) \nabla u_{\eta} \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y & =\int_{B_{r / 4}-\eta e_{y}} a\left(x^{\prime}\right) \nabla u\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+\eta\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \\
& =-\int_{B_{r / 4}-\eta e_{y}} h\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi_{x^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}+\eta\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \\
& =-\int_{B_{r / 4}} h(x) \xi_{x}(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\int_{B_{r / 4}} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{D}\left(B_{r / 4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} \\
u-u_{\eta} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} \\
u-u_{\eta}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad S_{r / 4}
\end{gathered}\right.
$$

By the strong maximum principle, we deduce that $u-u_{\eta}=0$ in $B_{r / 4}$.
Consequently, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(B_{r / 4}\right)$ which leads to $u(x, y)=\tau(x)$ in $B_{r / 4}$. Now, we have

$$
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \tau)=-h^{\prime}(x) \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} .
$$

Using the monotony of $u$, we have, in particular

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla(u-\tau))=0 \quad \text { in } \quad D_{r / 4}=\left(x_{0}-\frac{r}{4}, x_{0}+\frac{r}{4}\right) \times\left(y_{0}, 1\right) \\
u-\tau \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad D_{r / 4} \\
u-\tau=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} \cap D_{r / 4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying the strong maximum principle, we deduce that: $u-\tau=0$ in $D_{r / 4}$. The continuity of $u$ up to $\Gamma_{1}$ implies that $\tau=1$ for $x \in\left(x_{0}-\frac{r}{4}, x_{0}+\frac{r}{4}\right)$. This contradicts $\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla \tau)=-h^{\prime}(x)>0$.
Case ii). Because $\quad u=0 \quad$ in $B_{r} \cap\left[x \geqslant x_{0}\right]$, we will have

$$
(h(x) \gamma)_{x}=-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h(x) \gamma=L(y) \quad \text { a.e. in } B_{r}^{+} .
$$

Therefore, for $\xi \in \mathcal{D}\left(B_{r}\right)$,

$$
\int_{B_{r}} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y=-\int_{B_{r}^{-}} h(x) \xi_{x}(x, y)+\int_{y_{0}-r}^{y_{0}+r} L(y) \xi\left(x_{0}, y\right) d y .
$$

For $u_{\eta}$, as defined in Case i), we have for $\xi \in \mathcal{D}\left(B_{r / 4}\right)$ and $\xi \geqslant 0$,

$$
\int_{B_{r / 4}} a(x) \nabla u_{\eta} \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y=-\int_{B_{r / 4}^{-}} h(x) \xi_{x}(x, y)+\int_{y_{0}-r}^{y_{0}+r} L(y-\eta) \xi\left(x_{0}, y\right) d y
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_{r / 4}} a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y=\int_{y_{0}-r}^{y_{0}+r}(L(y)-L(y-\eta)) \xi\left(x_{0}, y\right) d y .
$$

Thus

$$
\| \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right)\right) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4}
$$

since we have $L_{y} \geqslant 0$. Indeed, we have $\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{y} \geqslant 0,\left(H_{\epsilon}\right)^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{y}=(h(x) \gamma)_{y} & =h(x) \gamma_{y} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(B_{r}^{+}\right), \quad \text { and } \\
\quad\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right)_{y} & \left.=H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \cdot\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{y} \longrightarrow \gamma_{y} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Case i), we conclude that $u=\tau(x)$ and get a contradiction.
Arguing as in the previous proof, we establish the following property of the free boundary:
Lemma 3.2. The set $[y=\Phi(x)] \cap \Omega$ has no horizontal segment.

Proof. Assume that there exist $x_{1}, x_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
x_{1}<x_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(x)=y_{0} \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] .
$$

Using the monotony of $u$, we have

$$
u>0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times\left(y_{0}, 1\right] \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times\left(0, y_{0}\right]
$$

from which we deduce that $h(x) \gamma=L(y)$ a.e in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times\left(0, y_{0}\right)$.
Let $x_{0} \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and let $r>0$ such that $\Delta_{r}=\left(x_{0}-r, x_{0}+r\right) \times\left(y_{0}-r, y_{0}+r\right) \subset\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times(0,1)$. For $\eta \in(0, r / 4)$, define $u_{\eta}(x, y)=u(x, y-\eta)$. Then, for $\xi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{r / 2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta_{r / 2}} a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right) \cdot \nabla \xi(x, y) d x d y & =\int_{\Delta_{r / 2} \cap\left[y<y_{0}\right]}(L(y-\eta)-L(y)) \xi_{x} d x d y \\
& =\int_{y_{0}-r / 2}^{y_{0}}(L(y-\eta)-L(y))\left[\xi\left(x_{0}+r / 2, y\right)-\xi\left(x_{0}-r / 2, y\right)\right] d y \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\| \begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Delta_{r / 2} \\
u-u_{\eta} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Delta_{r / 2} \\
u-u_{\eta}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Delta_{r / 2} \cap\left[y<y_{0}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and we get a contradiction as in the proof of the previous lemma.

## 4. Regularity of the Free Boundary

The main result of this section is the following theorem on the regularity of the free boundary.

Theorem 4.1. $\Phi$ is either continuous or left continuous on a point in $(0,1) \cap[\Phi(x)>0]$.
Proof. We will adopt the notations in Section 2. Let $\left(x_{0}, \Phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \Omega$ with $\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Set $\epsilon_{0}=\min \left(x_{0}, 1-x_{0}\right) / 6$. Using (7), for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$, there exists $\delta_{1} \in\left(0, \epsilon^{3 / \alpha}\right)$ such that:

$$
u(x, y)=\left|u(x, y)-u\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant K^{*} \epsilon^{3} \quad \forall(x, y) \in B_{\delta_{1}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Situation: In applying the oscillation Lemma 3.1 i), assume that

$$
\exists\left(x_{0}^{-}, y_{0}^{-}\right) \in B_{\delta_{1}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \quad u\left(x_{0}^{-}, y_{0}^{-}\right)=0, \quad x_{0}^{-}<x_{0}, \quad\left|x_{0}^{-}-x_{0}\right|<\delta_{1}<\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{-}, & \underline{y} & =\min \left(\Phi\left(x_{0}\right), y_{0}^{-}\right) \\
Z & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times(\underline{y}-\epsilon, \underline{y}), & D & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times(0, \underline{y}) \\
w(x, y) & =\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[(y-f(x))^{+}\right]^{2}, & f(x) & =\underline{y}-\epsilon+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left[\frac{a_{12}(s)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(s)}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from Lemma 2.2 that $u(x, \underline{y}) \leqslant w(x, \underline{y})$ and that $(u-w)^{+} \in H_{0}^{1}(D)$. We can write then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u-w)^{+} d x d y \leqslant \int_{D} h^{\prime}(x) \chi([u>0])(u-w)^{+} d x d y \\
& \int_{D} a(x) \nabla w \cdot \nabla(u-w)^{+} d x d y \geqslant \int_{D}-\theta \chi([w>0])(u-w)^{+} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting the two inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D} a(x) \nabla(u-w)^{+} . \nabla(u-w)^{+} d x d y & \leqslant \int_{D \cap[w=0]} h^{\prime}(x) \chi([u>0])(u-w)^{+} d x d y+\int_{D \cap[w>0]}\left(h^{\prime}(x)+\theta\right)(u-w)^{+} d x d y \\
& \leqslant \int_{D \cap[w>0]}\left(-h^{*}+\theta\right)(u-w)^{+} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

since $h^{\prime}(x) \leqslant-h^{*}<0$ on $(0,1)$.
First, we choose $\theta \leqslant h^{*}$. Then, we use the ellipticity of the matrix $a(x)$ and apply Poincaré's inequality to conclude that $(u-w)^{+}=0$ in $D$. In particular, we have $u(x, \underline{y}-\epsilon)=0$; that is the free boundary remains above the horizontal line segment $\left(x_{0}^{-}, x_{0}\right) \times\{\underline{y}-\epsilon\}$ which we can express by writing that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \geqslant \underline{y}-\epsilon \geqslant \Phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}-\epsilon \quad \forall x \in\left(x_{0}^{-}, x_{0}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from (8) that $\Phi$ is lower semi continuous at $x_{0}$ at the left. Therefore $\Phi$ is continuous to the left at this point since it is u.s.c at $x_{0}$.
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ situation: Using oscillation Lemma 3.1 i), we assume this time

$$
\exists\left(x_{0}^{+}, y_{0}^{+}\right) \in B_{\delta_{1}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \quad u\left(x_{0}^{+}, y_{0}^{+}\right)=0, \quad x_{0}^{+}>x_{0}, \quad\left|x_{0}^{+}-x_{0}\right|<\delta_{1}<\epsilon^{3 / \alpha} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =x_{0}, \quad x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{+}, & \underline{y} & =\min \left(\Phi\left(x_{0}\right), y_{0}^{+}\right) \\
Z & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times(\underline{y}-\epsilon, \underline{y}), & D & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times(0, \underline{y}), \\
w(x, y) & =\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[(y-f(x))^{+}\right]^{2}, & f(x) & =\underline{y}-\epsilon+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left[\frac{a_{12}(s)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(s)}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as in the first situation, we deduce that $u(x, \underline{y}-\epsilon)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \geqslant \underline{y}-\epsilon \geqslant \Phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}-\epsilon \quad \forall x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{+}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from (9) that $\Phi$ is lower semi continuous at $x_{0}$ to the right. So $\Phi$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ to the right. Now, by Lemma 3.1 ii), we cannot have $u>0$ to the left of the vertical line $\left\{x_{0}\right\} \times(0, \underline{y}-\epsilon)$. Therefore,

$$
\exists\left(x_{0}^{-}, y_{0}^{-}\right) \in B_{\delta_{1}}\left(x_{0}, \underline{y}-\epsilon\right) \cap[y<\underline{y}-\epsilon], \quad u\left(x_{0}^{-}, y_{0}^{-}\right)=0, \quad x_{0}^{-}<x_{0}, \quad\left|x_{0}^{-}-x_{0}\right|<\delta_{1}<\epsilon^{3 / \alpha} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{-}, & \underline{y}^{\prime} & =y_{0}^{-} \\
Z & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times\left(\underline{y}^{\prime}-\epsilon, \underline{y}^{\prime}\right), & D & =\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right) \times\left(0, \underline{y^{\prime}}\right), \\
w(x, y) & =\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[(y-f(x))^{+}\right]^{2}, & f(x) & =\underline{y}^{\prime}-\epsilon+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left[\frac{a_{12}(s)+C_{0}}{a_{11}(s)}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then have $u\left(x, \underline{y}^{\prime}-\epsilon\right)=0$ and $\Phi$ is lower continuous to the left of $x_{0}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \geqslant \underline{y}^{\prime}-\epsilon \geqslant \underline{y}-\epsilon-\epsilon^{3 / \alpha}-\epsilon \geqslant \Phi\left(x_{0}\right)-2 \epsilon^{3 / \alpha}-2 \epsilon \quad \forall x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{-}, x_{0}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we conclude from (10) that $\Phi$ is lower semi continuous at $x_{0}$ to the left. Therefore $\Phi$ is continuous at this point.
Remark 4.2. We weren't able to establish the continuity of $\Phi$ completely at any point in $(0,1) \cap[\Phi(x)>0]$. Indeed, if the situation

$$
\text { iii) } u>0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r}^{-} \cup S_{r}
$$

couldn't occur, this would complete the proof in situation 1 and show that $\Phi$ is continuous at $x_{0}$. Our attempt to establish iii) by similar arguments as in the proof of i) and ii) in Lemma 3.1 led to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u-u_{\eta}\right)\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} \\
u-u_{\eta} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4} \\
u-u_{\eta}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{r / 4}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

which prevented us to apply the maximum principle.
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