

# On Ideals and Multiplicative (Generalized) - $(\Phi, \Phi)$ - Derivations

S. Lalitha<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, S. Sreenivasulu<sup>1</sup> and A. Mallikarjun Reddy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, GDC (M), S. K. University, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, S. K. University, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**Abstract:** Let  $P$  be a prime ring.  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $P$ .  $\Phi$  is an automorphism on  $P$ . A mapping  $M : P \rightarrow P$  is called Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\Phi, \Phi)$ -derivation if there exist a map  $d : P \rightarrow P$  such that  $M(a, b) = M(a)\Phi(b) + \Phi(a)d(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in P$ . The objective of the present paper is to study the following identities (i). If  $M(ab) + M(a)M(b) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in I$  then  $\Phi(I)[M(a), M(b)] = 0$  for all  $a \in I$  (ii). Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two multiplicative (generalized)- $(\Phi, \Phi)$  derivations on  $P$  associated with the maps  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on  $P$  respectively. If  $M_1(ab) = \Phi(b) \circ M_2(a)$  for all  $a, b \in I$  then  $R$  is abelian or commutative or  $\Phi(I)[\Phi(I), M_2(I)] = 0$  (iii). If  $M_1(ab) = [\Phi(b), M_2(a)]$  for all  $a, b \in I$  then either  $\Phi(I)[\Phi(I), M_2(I)] = (0)$  or  $R$  is commutative.

**MSC:** 16W25, 16N60, 16U80.

**Keywords:** Primerring, Ideal, Multiplicative (generalized) derivation, Multiplicative (generalized)- $(\Phi, \Phi)$ -derivation.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

A ring  $P$  is prime if for any  $a, b \in P$ ,  $aPb = (0)$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .  $M(a, b) = M(a)\Phi(b) + \Phi(a)d(b)$  for all  $a, b \in P$  is called Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\Phi, \Phi)$ -derivation, where  $M : P \rightarrow P$  is a mapping and  $P$  is a prime ring. In 2014 [1] Dhara proved few identities connected to Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  derivations where  $\sigma$  is an epimorphism. Furthermore accurately they demonstrated succeeding outcomes. Let  $R$  be a semi prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero left ideal of  $R$  and  $\sigma$  any epimorphism of  $R$  Suppose that  $F$  is a Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  derivation associate with the map  $d$ . If  $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) = 0$  holds for all  $x, y \in I$  then  $\sigma(I)d(I) = (0)$  and  $\sigma(I)[F(x), \sigma(x)] = (0)$  for all  $x \in I$ . In 2020 [2] chirag Garg showed few results associated to Left ideals and Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations. Particularly, they proved the subsequent result. Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $L$  be a non zero left ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $F$  is Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation on  $R$  associated with the map  $d$  on  $R$ . If  $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L$  then either  $\sigma(L)[F(x), \alpha(x)] = (0)$  or  $\beta(L)[F(x), \beta(x)] = (0)$  for all  $x \in L$ . Considering exceeding results we initiate our theorems We will frequently use the basic commutator and Skew-commutator identities

$$(i). [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y.$$

$$(ii). [x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z.$$

$$(iii). x \circ yz = (x \circ y) - y[x, z] = y(x \circ z) + [x, y]z.$$

$$(iv). xy \circ z = x(y \circ z) - [x, z]y = (x \circ z)y + x[y, z] \text{ for all } x, y \in P.$$

\* E-mail: [lalitha.gdc@gmail.com](mailto:lalitha.gdc@gmail.com) (Research Scholar)

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *In a prime ring  $P$  and  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $P$  if  $M(ab) + M(a)M(b) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in I$ , where  $M$  is a multiplicative (generalized)  $(\Phi, \Phi)$  derivation on  $P$  related with the map  $d$  on  $P$  then  $\Phi(I)[M(a), M(b)] = 0$  for all  $a \in I$ .*

*Proof.* From the hypothesis we have

$$(1). M(ab) + M(a)M(b) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in I.$$

Restore  $bc$  in the place of  $b$  in (1).

$$(2). M(abc) + M(a)M(bc) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I.$$

$$(3). M(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) + M(a)\{M(b)\Phi(c) + \Phi(b)d(c)\} = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I.$$

$$(4). M(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) + M(a)M(b)\Phi(c) + M(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I.$$

$$(5). \{M(ab) + M(a)M(b)\}\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I. \text{ Using (1) we have}$$

$$(6). \Phi(ab)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I. \text{ Substitute } au \text{ in the place of } a \text{ in (6).}$$

$$(7). \Phi(aub)d(c) + M(au)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(8). \Phi(aub)d(c) + \{M(a)\Phi(u) + \Phi(a)d(u)\}\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(9). \Phi(aub)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) + \Phi(a)d(u)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I. \text{ Another time substitute } ub \text{ in the place of } b \text{ in (6)}$$

$$(10). \Phi(aub)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(ub)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(11). \Phi(aub)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I. \text{ Subtract (9) from (11),}$$

$$(12). \Phi(aub)d(c) + M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) + \Phi(a)d(u)\Phi(b)d(c) - \Phi(aub)d(c) - M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(13). M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) + \Phi(a)d(u)\Phi(b)d(c) - M(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(14). \{M(a)\Phi(u) + \Phi(a)d(u) - M(a)\Phi(u)\}\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I.$$

$$(15). \{M(au) - M(a)\Phi(u)\}\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, u \in I. \text{ By the primness of } P, \text{ we have,}$$

$$(16). M(au) - M(a)\Phi(u) = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$(17). \Phi(b)d(c) = 0.$$

**Case 1:** If  $M(au) - M(a)\Phi(u) = 0$  for all  $a, u \in I$ . In particular, for all  $a, b \in I$ , we have  $M(ab) - M(a)\Phi(b) = 0$ .

$$(18). M(ab) = M(a)\Phi(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in I. \text{ From (1), we have } M(ab) = -M(a)M(b). \text{ Replace } bc \text{ in the place of } b,$$

$$(19). M(abc) = -M(ab)M(c) = -M(a)M(bc) \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I. \text{ Above equation can be written as } M(ab)M(c) = M(a)M(bc).$$

Using (18),

$$(20). M(a)\Phi(b)M(c) = M(a)M(b)\Phi(c).$$

(21).  $M(a)\{\Phi(b)M(c) - M(b)\Phi(c)\} = 0$ . Replace  $a$  by  $apu$ ,  $p \in P$ ,  $u \in I$

$$M(apu)\{\Phi(b)M(c) - M(b)\Phi(c)\} = 0 \text{ Using (19)}$$

$$M(a)M(pu)\{\Phi(b)M(c) - M(b)\Phi(c)\} = 0$$

$$M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)\{\Phi(b)M(c) - M(b)\Phi(c)\} = 0$$

$$M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)M(c) + M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)M(b)\Phi(c) = 0$$

(22).  $M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)M(b)\Phi(c) - M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)M(c) = 0$ . Replace  $b$  by  $c$

(23).  $M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)M(c)\Phi(c) - M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)\Phi(c)M(c) = 0$ .

(24).  $M(a)M(p)\Phi(u)[M(c), \Phi(c)] = 0$ .

(25).  $\Phi(u)[M(c), \Phi(c)] = 0$ . In particular  $\Phi(I)[M(a), \Phi(a)] = 0$ .

**Case 2:** Now when  $\Phi(b)d(c) = 0$ . We get  $M(ab) = M(a)\Phi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in I$ . And proceeding in the similar way as before time we obtain  $\Phi(I)[M(a), \Phi(a)] = 0$  for all  $a \in I$ . Therefore the proof of the theorem is completed.

□

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\Phi$  is an automorphism on  $P$ . In a prime ring  $P$ , let  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $P$ .  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two multiplicative (generalized)- $(\Phi, \Phi)$  derivations on  $P$  associated with the maps  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on  $P$  respectively. If  $M_1(ab) = \Phi(b) \circ M_2(a)$  for all  $a, b \in I$  then  $R$  is abelian or commutative or  $\Phi(I) [\Phi(I), M_2(I)] = 0$ .

*Proof.* From the hypothesis

(26).  $M_1(ab) = \Phi(b) \circ M_2(a)$ . For all  $a, b \in I$ , substitute  $bc$  in the place of  $b$  in (26)

(27).  $M_1(abc) = \Phi(bc) \circ M_2(a)$ .

(28).  $M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = \Phi(b)\Phi(c) \circ M_2(a)$ .

(29).  $M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = (\Phi(b) \circ M_2(a))\Phi(c) + \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$  using(26)

(30).  $M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(b)[\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ .

(31).  $\Phi(ab)d(c) = \Phi(b)[\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ . For all  $a, b, c \in I$ , substitute  $ub$  in the place of  $b$  in (31). We obtain

(32).  $\Phi(aub)d(c) = \Phi(ub) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ .

(33).  $\Phi(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) = \Phi(u)\Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ . For all  $a, b, c, u \in I$ . Left multiply (31) with  $\Phi(u)$

(34).  $\Phi(u)\Phi(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = \Phi(u)\Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ . Subtract (33) from (34) we have

(35).  $\Phi(a)\Phi(u)\Phi(b)d(c) - \Phi(u)\Phi(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = 0$ .

(36).  $[\Phi(a), \Phi(u)]\Phi(b)d(c) = 0$ . For all  $a, b, c, u \in I$ , substitute  $pb$  in the place of  $b$  in (36)

(37).  $[\Phi(a), \Phi(u)]\Phi(pb)d(c) = 0$ , where  $p \in P$ ,  $b \in I$ ,  $pb \in I$ . Using primeness of  $R$ , we have either

$$\Phi(b)d(c) = 0 \tag{38}$$

or

$$[\Phi(a), \Phi(u)] = 0. \tag{39}$$

**Case 1:** If  $\Phi(b)d(c) = 0$ , from (31),  $\Phi(a)\Phi(b)d(c) = \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)]$ .

$$(40). \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)] = 0. \text{ Therefore } \Phi(I) [\Phi(I), M_2(I)] = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I.$$

**Case 2:** If  $[\Phi(a), \Phi(u)] = 0$  for all  $a, u \in I$ . In particular  $[\Phi(a), \Phi(b)] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in I$ .

$$(41). \Phi(a)\Phi(b) - \Phi(b)\Phi(a) = 0. \text{ Substitute } pb \text{ in the place of } b \text{ in (41), where } p \in P$$

$$(42). \Phi(a)\Phi(pb) - \Phi(pb)\Phi(a) = 0.$$

$$(43). \Phi(a)\Phi(p)\Phi(b) - \Phi(p)\Phi(b)\Phi(a) = 0. \text{ Left multiply (41) with } \Phi(p).$$

$$(44). \Phi(p)\Phi(a)\Phi(b) - \Phi(p)\Phi(b)\Phi(a) = 0. \text{ Subtract (43) from (44), we have } \Phi(a)\Phi(p)\Phi(b) - \Phi(p)\Phi(a)\Phi(b) = 0.$$

$$(45). [\Phi(a)\Phi(p)]\Phi(b) = 0. \text{ Since } I \text{ is nonzero and primness of } R \text{ forces that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a)\Phi(p) - \Phi(p)\Phi(a) &= 0 \\ [\Phi(a), p]_{\Phi, \Phi} &= 0 \text{ for all } a \in I, p \in P \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2 [12], let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $I$  be a left ideal of  $R$ . If  $[x, r] = 0$  for all  $x \in I, r \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Hence  $R$  is commutative. Therefore the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *In a prime ring  $P$ ,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\Phi, \Phi)$  derivations on  $R$  associated with the maps  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on  $P$  (respectively). If  $M_1(ab) = [\Phi(b), M_2(a)]$  for all  $a, b \in I$ , then either  $\Phi(I) [\Phi(I), M_2(I)] = (0)$  or  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* From the hypothesis

$$(46). M_1(ab) = [\Phi(b), M_2(a)] \text{ for all } a, b \in I. \text{ Substitute } be \text{ in the place of } b$$

$$(47). M_1(abc) = [\Phi(bc), M_2(a)].$$

$$(48). M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = [\Phi(b)\Phi(c), M_2(a)].$$

$$(49). M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)] + [\Phi(b), M_2(a)] \Phi(c). \text{ Using (46)}$$

$$(50). M_1(ab)\Phi(c) + \Phi(ab)d(c) = \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)] + M_1(ab)\Phi(c). \text{ We have}$$

$$(51). \Phi(ab)d(c) = \Phi(b) [\Phi(c), M_2(a)] \text{ for all } a, b, c \in I$$

Equation (51) is same as (31) in Theorem 3.2 we go-ahead in the same way as in Theorem 3.2. And we obtain the required result.  $\square$

At present we windup this segment with an example, which exhibit that the primness of the ring in our results is essential.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the ring  $P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} / u, v, w \in Z \right\}$ , where  $Z$  is the set of integers Let  $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} / u, v \in Z \right\}$  be a left ideal. Let  $\Phi : P \rightarrow P$  defined as  $\Phi \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$  be an autoorphism Define

a mapping  $d_1 : P \rightarrow P$  on  $M_1$  as  $d_1 \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_1 \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. Again define mapping  $d_2$  on  $M_2$  as  $d_2 \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_1 \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We observe that  $M_1, M_2$  are multiplicative (generalized)  $(\Phi, \Phi)$ -derivations on  $P$  related to the maps  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on  $P$ . We can substantiate that  $M_1(ab) + M_1(a)M_1(b) = 0, M_1(ab) = \Phi(b) \circ M_2(a)$  for all  $a, b \in I$ . We realize that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0)$  but  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  are nonzero elements of  $P$ . It implies that  $P$  is not prime ring. In this illustration we also observe that  $R$  is not commutative.

$$\Phi(I) [\Phi(I), M_2(I)] \neq (0)$$

$$\Phi(I) [M_1(a), \Phi(a)] \neq 0 \text{ for } a \in I$$

$$\Phi(I) [M_1(a), \Phi(a)] \neq 0 \text{ for some } a \in I$$

## References

- [1] Basudeb Dhara, Sukhendu Kar and Deepankar Das, *A multiplicative (generalized)- $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivation acting as (anti) homomorphism in semiprime rings*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 3(2)(2014), 240-246.
- [2] Hidetoshi Marubayashi, Mohammad Ashraf, Nadeem-ur Rehman and Shakir Ali, *On generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivations in prime rings*, Algebra Colloquium, 17(1)(2010), 865-874.
- [3] S. Khan, *On semi prime rings with multiplicative (generalized)-derivations*, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry, 57(1)(2016), 119-128.
- [4] S. Ali, B. Dhara, N. A. Dar and A. N. Khan, *On Lie ideals with multiplicative (Generalized)-derivations in prime and semi prime rings*, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry, 56(2015), 325-337.
- [5] B. Dhara and S. Ali, *On multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semi prime rings*, Aequationes Math., 86(1-2)(2013), 65-79.
- [6] O. Golbasi and E. Koc, *Generalized derivations of Lie ideals in prime rings*, Turk. J. Math., 35(2011), 23-28.
- [7] N. Rehman, *On commutativity of rings with generalized derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 44(2002), 43-49.
- [8] M. Ashraf and N. Rehman, *On commutativity of rings with derivations*, Results Math., 42(2002), 3-8.
- [9] E. Albas, *Generalized derivations on ideals of prime rings*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 14(1)(2013), 3-9.
- [10] H. Goldmann and P. Semrl, *Multiplicative derivations on  $C(X)$* , Monatsh. Math., 121(1996), 189-197.
- [11] H. E. Bell and M. N. Daif, *On derivations and commutativity in prime rings*, Acta Math. Hungar., 66(1995), 337-343.
- [12] Mohammad Ashraf, Asma Ali and Shakir Ali, *Some Commutativity Theorems for Rings with Generalized Derivations*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 31(3)(2007), 415-421.