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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the family of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ is of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ of starlike functions in $\mathbb{U}$, if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{C}$ of convex functions in $\mathbb{U}$, if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} .
$$

Recently Frasin [7] introduced and studied a generalized Sakaguchi type class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, s, t)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{(s-t) z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(s z)-f(t z)}\right\}>\alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for some $0 \leq \alpha<1, s, t \in \mathcal{C}$ with $s \neq t$ and for all $\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{U}$.
We also denote by the subclass $T(\alpha, s, t)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all functions $f(z)$ such that $z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, s, t)$. The class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, 1, t)$ was introduced and studied by Owa [15, 16] , and the class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, 1,-1)=\mathcal{S}_{s}(\alpha)$ was introduced by Sakaguchi [19]. Also we note that $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, 1,0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha, 1,0) \equiv \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ which are, respectively, the familiar classes of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. With a view to recalling the principal of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$, if there exits a Schwarz function $\omega$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with
$$
\omega(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|\omega(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$
such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z)), z \in \mathbb{U}$. We denote this subordination by
$$
f \prec g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec g(z) .
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Let $\phi$ be analytic, and let the Maclaurin series of $\phi$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all coefficients are real and $B_{1}>0$. Mathur \& Mathur [21] investigated the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$ as follows,
Definition 1.1. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{(s-t) z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(s z)-f(t z)}\right\} \prec \phi(z), \quad s \neq t . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$ denotes the subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting functions $f(z)$ such that $z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$.
Remark 1.2. By the suitable choices of $s$ and $t$,we obtain the following subclasses

- $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, 1,0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, 1,0) \equiv \mathcal{C}(\phi)$ which is the class introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [13].
- $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, 1,-1) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi)$, which is the class introduced and studied by Shanmugam [20].

For $s=1, t=0$ and $\phi(z)=\frac{1+A(z)}{1+B(z)},(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$, the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, 1,0)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ studied by Janowski [9].

### 1.1. Hankal Determinant

The Hankel determinant $H_{q}(n)$ of Taylor's coefficients of function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1), is defined by

$$
H_{q}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1}  \tag{5}\\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & a_{n+q} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \ldots & a_{n+2(q-1)}
\end{array}\right| \quad(n, q \in \mathbb{N}=1,2,3 \ldots)
$$

The Hankel determinant is useful,in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in $\mathbb{U}$, i.e., a function which is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational [4]. Pommerenke [17] proved that the Hankel determinants of univalent functions satisfy $\left|H_{q}(n)\right|<K n^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\beta\right) q+\frac{3}{2}}$, where $\beta>1 / 4000$ and K depends only on q. Later Hayman [8] proved that $\left|H_{q}(n)\right|<A n^{1 / 2} \quad$ (A is an absolute constant) for areally mean univalent functions. A classical theorem of Fekete-Szegö [6] considered the second Hankel determinant $\left|H_{2}(1)\right|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for univalent functions. They made an early study for the estimate of well known Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ when $\mu$ is real. Janteng [10] investigated the sharp upper bound for second Hankel determinant $\left|H_{2}(2)\right|=\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ for univalent functions whose derivative has positive real part.

Recently, Babalola [1], Raza and Malik [18], Bansal [3] and Mishra [14] have studied third Hankel determinant $H_{3}(1)$, for various classes of analytic and univalent functions.

In this paper, we consider the Hankel determinant for the case $q=3$ and $n=1$,

$$
H_{3}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5}
\end{array}\right|
$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}, a_{1}=1$ so that,

$$
H_{3}(1)=a_{3}\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)+a_{5}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

and by using the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Preliminary Results

Let $P$ denote the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2}^{2}+\cdots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are regular in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy $\Re[p(z)]>0, z \in \mathbb{U}$. To prove the main results we shall require the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([19]). If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$ of the form (1), then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1, n \geq 2$.
Lemma 2.2 ([5]). $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$ of the form (1), then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}, n \geq 2$.
Lemma 2.3 ([11, 12]). Let $p \in P$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 c_{3}=c_{1}^{3}+2 x c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)-x^{2} c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)+2 z\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x, z$ such that $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.
Lemma 2.4 ([2]). Let $p \in P$. Then

$$
\left|c_{2}-\sigma \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|= \begin{cases}2(1-\sigma) & \text { if } \sigma \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text { if } 0 \leq \sigma \leq 2 \\ 2(\sigma-1) & \text { if } \sigma \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
R_{2}=3-s^{2}-s t-t^{2} .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z) \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(s-t) z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(s z)-f(t z)}=\phi(w(z)), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}, s \neq t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P_{1}(z)$ is analytic and has positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$ and $p_{1}(0)=1$, then define the functions $p_{1}(z)$ as

$$
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
$$

From the above equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}=\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $p_{1}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p_{1}(0)=1$ and has a positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$. By using (11) and (3), it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{2}(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{B_{1} c_{1}}{2} z+\left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{2} c_{1}^{2}}{4}\right\} z^{2} \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) and (12), we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
(s-t)\left(z+2 a_{2} z^{2}+3 a_{3} z^{3}+4 a_{4} z^{4} \ldots\right)= & \left\{(s-t) z+a_{2}\left(s^{2}-t^{2}\right) z^{2}+a_{3}\left(s^{3}-t^{3}\right) z^{3} \ldots\right\} \\
& \left\{1+\left(\frac{B_{1} c_{1}}{2}\right) z+\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{2} c_{1}^{2}}{4}\right] z^{2} \ldots\right\} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the co-efficients of like powers of $z$ in (13) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} & =\frac{B_{1} c_{1}}{2 R_{1}}  \tag{14}\\
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{4 R_{2}}\left[2 B_{1} c_{2}+Q_{1} c_{1}^{2}\right]  \tag{15}\\
a_{4}= & \frac{1}{R_{3}}\left[\frac{B_{1} c_{3}}{2}+\left\{\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{2}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{4 R_{1}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{4 R_{2}}\right\} c_{1} c_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{8}+\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{8 R_{1}}+\frac{\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right) Q_{1} B_{1}}{8 R_{2}}\right\} c_{1}^{3}\right] . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=2-s-t, R_{2}=3-s^{2}-s t-t^{2}, R_{3}=4-s^{3}-s^{2} t-s t^{2}-t^{3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=B_{2}-B_{1}+\frac{(s+t) B_{1}^{2}}{R_{1}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (14), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\left\lvert\, \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{3}} c_{1} c_{3}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{4 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}(s+t)}{8 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{8 R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\left\{\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{16 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2} Q_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\left(2 c_{2} B_{1}+Q_{1} c_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, \\
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| & =\left\lvert\, \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{3}} c_{1} c_{3}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{4 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}(s+t)}{8 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{8 R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{4 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{16 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2} Q_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}}-\frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c_{1}^{4}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{2}^{2}} c_{2}^{2} \right\rvert\, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the values of $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ from equations (8) and (9) in (19), we assume that $c_{1}=c \in[0,2]$. With elementary calculations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|= & \left\lvert\,\left\{\frac{\beta}{2}+\eta+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2} x\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}} x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{4 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}(s+t)}{8 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{8 R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{4 R_{2}^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\eta=\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{16 R_{1}^{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2} Q_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}}-\frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}
$$

Now applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & \left|\left\{\beta+\eta+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}\right|+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}} \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2} .  \tag{20}\\
= & F(c, \rho) .
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that the upper bound occurs at the interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating (20) with respect to $\rho$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}=\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{3}} c\left(4-c^{2}\right) \rho+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{2}^{2}} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

For $0<\rho<1$ and fixed $c \in[0,2]$, it can be easily seen that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}>0$. This shows that $F(c, \rho)$ is an increasing function of $\rho$. Therefore, $\max F(c, \rho)=F(c, 1)=G(c)$
$F(c, 1)=G(c)=\left\{\beta+\eta+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{8 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{2}^{2}}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}$.

By elementary calculus we have $G^{\prime \prime}(c) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq c \leq 2$ and $G(c)$ has real critical point at $c=0$. Thus the upper bound of $F(\rho)$ corresponds to $\rho=1$ and $c=0$. Thus the maximum of $G(c)$ occurs at $c=0$. Hence,

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}
$$

Remark 3.2 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.1 reduces to $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq 1$.
Theorem 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq 2 A_{3}, \quad \text { where } A_{3}=\frac{B_{1}}{2 R_{3}} .
$$

Proof. From equation (14), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|= & \left\lvert\,\left\{\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{8 R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{8}+\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{8 R_{1}}+\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{8 R_{2}}\right\} c_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{2 R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{4 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{4 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1} c_{2}-\frac{B_{1} c_{3}}{2 R_{3}} \right\rvert\, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the values of $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ from equations (8) and (9) in (21), we assume that $c_{1}=c \in[0,2]$ we get,

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\left\{A_{1}+\frac{A_{2}}{2}-\frac{A_{3}}{4}\right\} c^{3}+\left\{\frac{A_{2}}{2}-\frac{A_{3}}{2}\right\} c x\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{4} c x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)-\frac{A_{3}}{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z,
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\left\{\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{8 R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{8}+\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(s+t)}{8 R_{1}}+\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{8 R_{2}}\right\}  \tag{22}\\
A_{2} & =\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{2 R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{4 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{4 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\}  \tag{23}\\
\text { and } A_{3} & =\frac{B_{1}}{2 R_{3}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Now applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq\left|\left\{A_{1}+\frac{A_{2}}{2}-\frac{A_{3}}{4}\right\} c^{3}\right|+\left\{\frac{A_{2}}{2}-\frac{A_{3}}{2}\right\} c \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{4} c \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)=F(c, \rho) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the upper bound occurs at the interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating (25) with respect to $\rho$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}=\left\{\frac{A_{2}}{2}-\frac{A_{3}}{2}\right\} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{2} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)(c-2)
$$

For $0<\rho<1$ and fixed $c \in[0,2]$, it can be easily seen that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}<0$. This shows that $F(c, \rho)$ is an decreasing function of $\rho$. Therefore, $\max F(c, \rho)=F(c, 0)=G(c)$

$$
G(c)=\left\{\frac{4 A_{1}+2 A_{2}-A_{3}}{4}\right\} c^{3}+\frac{A_{3}}{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)
$$

For optimum value of $G(c)$, consider $G^{\prime}(c)=0$ this implies that $c=0$ or

$$
c=\frac{4 A_{3}}{3\left(4 A_{1}+2 A_{2}-A_{3}\right)}
$$

Thus G attained its maximum value at $c=0$. Hence the maximum of the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|$ are given by the inequalities of the theorem. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.4 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.3 reduces to $\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 3.5. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{R_{2}}
$$

Where $R_{2}$ is given by (17)

Proof. Since $f \in S_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$,then using equations (14) and (15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{B_{1}}{2 R_{2}} c_{2}-\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{4 R_{2}}\right\} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \\
& =\frac{B_{1}}{2 R_{2}}\left|c_{2}-\left\{\frac{B_{1} R_{2}}{2 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{2 B_{1}}\right\} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{1}, R_{2}$ is given by (17) and setting $\sigma=\frac{B_{1} R_{2}}{2 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{2 B_{1}}$, by using Lemma 2.4, we have $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{R_{2}}$.
Theorem 3.6. Let the function $f$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\phi, s, t)$. Then

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}+2 A_{3}+\frac{B_{1}}{R_{2}} .
$$

Where $R_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ is given by (17) and (24).
Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 in (26), the above results can be easily obtained.
Remark 3.7 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.6 reduces to $\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{5}{2}$.
Theorem 3.8. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{9 R_{2}^{2}}
$$

Where $R_{2}$ is given by (17).
Proof. From the definitions of the classes $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{s}$, it follows that the function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$. Thus replacing $a_{n}$ by $n a_{n}$ in (14), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\frac{B_{1} c_{1}}{4 R_{1}}  \tag{27}\\
& a_{3}=\frac{B_{1} c_{2}}{6 R_{2}}+\frac{Q_{1} c_{1}^{2}}{12 R_{2}}  \tag{28}\\
& a_{4}= \\
& \frac{B_{1} c_{3}}{8 R_{3}}+\left\{\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{8 R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1} c_{2}  \tag{29}\\
& \\
& \quad+\left\{\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{32 R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}(s+t)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{32 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{Q_{1} B_{1}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{32 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $Q_{1}$ is given by (17) and (18). From the equations (27), (28) and (29),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\left|\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{32 R_{1} R_{3}} c_{1} c_{3}+\beta_{1} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+\eta_{1} c_{1}^{4}-\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{36 R_{2}^{2}} c_{2}^{2}+\frac{Q_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{4}}{144 R_{2}^{2}}\right\}\right| \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\beta_{1}=\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}+B_{1}^{3}(s+t)\right)}{32 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{64 R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)+B_{1} Q_{1}}{36 R_{2}^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{1}=\frac{B_{1}\left(B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}\right)+B_{1}^{2}(s+t)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{128 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right) Q_{1} B_{1}}{128 R_{2} R_{3}}+Q_{1}^{2}
$$

Putting the values of $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ from equations (8) and (9) in (30), we assume that $c_{1}=c \in[0,2]$. With elementary calculations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|= & \left\lvert\,\left\{\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}+\eta_{1}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2} x\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{144 R_{2}^{2}} x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & \left|\left\{\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}+\eta_{1}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}\right|+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{144 R_{2}^{2}} \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{31}\\
= & F_{1}(c, \rho) .
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that the upper bound occurs at the interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating (31) with respect to $\rho$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial \rho}=\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{32 R_{1} R_{3}} c \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{72 R_{2}^{2}} \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

For $0<\rho<1$ and fixed $c \in[0,2]$, it can be easily seen that $\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial \rho}>0$. This shows that $F_{1}(c, \rho)$ is an increasing function of $\rho$. Therefore, $\max F_{1}(c, \rho)=F(c, 1)=G(c)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(c, 1)=G(c)= & \left\{\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}+\eta_{1}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{4}+\left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{64 R_{2}^{2}}\right\} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{128 R_{1} R_{3}} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{144 R_{2}^{2}}\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By elementary calculus we have $G^{\prime \prime}(c) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq c \leq 2$ and $G(c)$ has real critical point at $c=0$. Thus the upper bound of $F_{1}(\rho)$ corresponds to $\rho=1$ and $c=0$. The maximum of $G(c)$ occurs at $c=0$. Hence,

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{9 R_{2}^{2}}
$$

Remark 3.9 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.8 reduces to $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{9}$.
Theorem 3.10. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\left(3 A_{3}-2 A_{2}\right)}{3\left(4 A_{1}+2 A_{3}\right)}}\left[\frac{6 A_{3}-4 A_{2}}{3}\right]
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{48 R_{2}}-\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{32 R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{32 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}(s+t)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{32 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2} Q_{1} B_{1}\right)}{32 R_{2} R_{3}}  \tag{32}\\
& A_{2}=\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{24 R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{8 R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}++s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{2} R_{3}}  \tag{33}\\
& A_{3}=\frac{B_{1}}{8 R_{3}} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From equations (27), (28) and (29), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\mid & \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{24 R_{1} R_{2}} c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{B_{1} Q_{1}}{48 R_{2}} c_{1}^{3}-\frac{B_{1}}{8 R_{3}} c_{3}-\left\{\frac{B_{2}-B_{1}}{8 R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}(s+t)}{16 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right)}{16 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1} c_{2} \\
& \left.-\left\{\frac{B_{1}-2 B_{2}+B_{3}}{32 R_{3}}+\frac{B_{1}(s+t)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{32 R_{1} R_{3}}+\frac{\left(s^{2}+s t+t^{2}\right) Q_{1} B_{1}}{32 R_{2} R_{3}}\right\} c_{1}^{3} \right\rvert\, \\
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|= & A_{1} c_{1}^{3}+A_{2} c_{1} c_{2}-A_{3} c_{3} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are given in (32), (33) and (34). Putting the values of $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ from equations (8) and (9) in (35), we assume that $c_{1}=c \in[0,2]$. With elementary calculations, we get

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\left\{\frac{A_{3}}{4}-\frac{A_{2}}{2}-A_{1}\right\} c^{3}-\left\{\frac{A_{3}-A_{2}}{2}\right\} c x\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{4} c x^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)-\frac{2\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z}{4}
$$

Now applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq\left|\left\{\frac{A_{3}}{4}-\frac{A_{2}}{2}-A_{1}\right\} c^{3}\right|+\left\{\frac{A_{3}-A_{2}}{2}\right\} c \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{4} c \rho^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{2\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}{4}=F_{1}(c, \rho) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the upper bound occurs at the interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating (36) with respect to $\rho$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial \rho}=\left\{\frac{A_{3}-A_{2}}{2}\right\} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{2} c \rho\left(4-c^{2}\right)-\left(4-c^{2}\right) \rho .
$$

For $0<\rho<1$ and fixed $c \in[0,2]$, it can be easily seen that $\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial \rho}>0$. This shows that $F_{1}(c, \rho)$ is an increasing function of $\rho$. Therefore, $\max F(c, \rho)=F_{1}(c, 1)=G(c)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(c, 1) & =G(c)=\left\{\frac{A_{3}}{4}-\frac{A_{2}}{2}-A_{1}\right\} c^{3}+\left\{\frac{A_{3}-A_{2}}{2}\right\} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{A_{3}}{4} c\left(4-c^{2}\right) \\
G^{\prime}(c) & =\left\{\frac{A_{3}}{4}-\frac{A_{2}}{2}-A_{1}\right\} 3 c^{2}+\left\{\frac{3 A_{3}-2 A_{2}}{4}\right\}\left(4-3 c^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By elementary calculus, $G(c)$ is maximum at $c=\sqrt{\frac{4\left(3 A_{3}-2 A_{2}\right)}{3\left(4 A_{1}+2 A_{3}\right)}}$ and is given by

$$
G(c) \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\left(3 A_{3}-2 A_{2}\right)}{3\left(4 A_{1}+2 A_{3}\right)}}\left[\frac{6 A_{3}-4 A_{2}}{3}\right]
$$

Thus for all admissible $c \in[0,2]$, the maximum of the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|$ are given by the inequalities of the theorem. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.11 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.10 reduces to $\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{4}{27}$.
Theorem 3.12. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{3 R_{2}},
$$

where $R_{2}$ is given by (17).
Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$, then using equations (27) and (28), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{B_{1}}{6 R_{2}} c_{2}-\left[\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{16 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{12 R_{2}}\right] \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \\
& =\frac{B_{1}}{6 R_{2}}\left|c_{2}-\left\{\frac{3 B_{1} R_{2}}{8 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{2 R_{2} B_{1}}\right\} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $R_{1}, R_{2}$ is given by (17) and setting $\sigma=\frac{3 B_{1} R_{2}}{8 R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{Q_{1}}{2 R_{2} B_{1}}$, by using Lemma 2.4, we have $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{3 R_{2}}$.

Theorem 3.13. Let the function $f$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{C}_{s}(\phi, s, t)$. Then

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{27 R_{2}^{2}}+\sqrt{\frac{4\left(3 A_{3}-2 A_{2}\right)}{3\left(4 A_{1}+2 A_{3}\right)}}\left[\frac{6 A_{3}-4 A_{2}}{12}\right]+\frac{B_{1}}{15 R_{2}}
$$

Where $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ is given by (32), (33) and (34).
Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, Theorem 3.8, Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.12 in (37), the above results can be easily obtained.
Remark 3.14 ([14]). When $B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=2, s=1$ and $t=-1$, Theorem 3.13 reduces to $\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{19}{135}$.
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