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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show the existence and continuous dependence of the solutions of the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-PeregrineBurger's(BBMPB) equation in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}$, for $s>\frac{3}{2}$. We employ a Galerkin approximation argument to show the existence of solutions of BBMPB equation.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the initial value problem for the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Peregrine-Burgers(BBMPB) equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t}-u_{x x t}-\alpha u_{x x}+\gamma u_{x}+\theta u u_{x}+\beta u_{x x x} & =0 \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive constant, $\theta$ and $\beta$ are nonzero real numbers. The BBMPB equation can be (and is more conveniently) written in the following non-local form

$$
u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}=\partial_{x}\left(1-\partial x^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(-\theta u u_{x x}+\alpha u_{x}-\gamma u-\beta u_{x x}+\theta u_{x}^{2}\right)
$$

The non-local form can be obtained from BBMPB equation as follows.

$$
u_{t}-u_{x x t}-\alpha u_{x x}+\gamma u_{x}+\theta u u_{x}+\beta u_{x x x}=0
$$

adding and subtracting the terms $3 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}$ and $\theta u u_{x x x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}-u_{x x t}-\theta u u_{x x x}+\theta u u_{x x x}-3 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}+3 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}-\alpha u_{x x}+\gamma u_{x}+\beta u_{x x x}=0 \\
& u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}-u_{x x t}-\theta u u_{x x x}-3 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}=-\theta u u_{x x x}-3 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}+\alpha u_{x x}-\gamma u_{x}-\beta u_{x x x}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)\left(u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}\right)=-\theta u u_{x x x}-\theta u_{x} u_{x x}-2 \theta u_{x} u_{x x}+\alpha u_{x x}-\gamma u_{x}-\beta u_{x x x} \\
& \left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)\left(u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}\right)=\partial_{x}\left[-\theta u u_{x x}+\alpha u_{x}-\gamma u-\beta u_{x x}+\theta u_{x}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

multiply bothsides by $\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ we get

$$
\left(u_{t}+\theta u u_{x}\right)=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} \partial_{x}\left[-\theta u u_{x x}+\alpha u_{x}-\gamma u-\beta u_{x x}+\theta u_{x}^{2}\right]
$$

written this way, the BBMPB equation is a special case in the family of nonlinear wave equations of the form

$$
u_{t}+a u u_{x}=L(u) .
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A Schwarz function $j(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 \leq \hat{j}(\xi) \leq 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\hat{j}(\xi)=1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\hat{j}(\xi)=0$
 convolution $j_{\epsilon} f=j_{\epsilon} \star f$.

Definition 2.2. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $\Lambda^{s}=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{s / 2}$ is defined by

$$
\Lambda^{\hat{s}} u(k)=\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \hat{u}(k)
$$

where $\hat{u}$ is the fourier transform

$$
\hat{u}(k)=\int_{T} e^{-i k x} u(x) d x
$$

The inverse relation is given by

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}(k) e^{i k x}
$$

Then, for $u \in H^{s}(T)$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{H^{s}(T)}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}|\hat{u}(k)|^{2}=\left\|\Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} .
$$

where $\Lambda^{-2}=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.3. For $r<s$ we have

$$
\left\|I-J_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L\left(H^{s} ; H^{r}\right)}=o\left(\epsilon^{s-r}\right)
$$

Also, for any test function $f$, we have for all $s>0, J_{\epsilon} f \longrightarrow f \in H^{s}$. We similarly have the growth estimate when $r>s$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $r \geq s$, then for any test function $f$

$$
\left\|J_{\epsilon} f\right\|_{H^{r}} \leq \epsilon^{s-r}\|f\|_{H^{s}}
$$

Let $\Lambda=\left(1-\partial_{x}{ }^{2}\right)$ so that for any test function $f$, we have $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)=\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s} \hat{f}(k)$. Then we have the following basic estimates.

Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ be any test function, and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\left\|\Lambda^{\sigma} f\right\|_{L^{2}}=\|f\|_{H^{\sigma}},\left\|\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} f\right\|_{H^{\sigma}}=\|f\|_{H^{\sigma-2}},\left\|\partial_{x} f\right\|_{H^{\sigma}} \leq$ $\|f\|_{H^{\sigma+1}}$. We define the commutator $\left[\Lambda^{s}, f\right]=\Lambda^{s} f-f \Lambda^{s}$, in which a test function $f$ is regarded as a multiplication operator. We will use the following negative Sobolev space estimate.

Proposition 2.6. If $s>\frac{3}{2}, r+1 \geq 0$ and $r \leq s-1$, then

$$
\left\|\left[\Lambda^{r} \partial_{x}, f\right] g\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c_{s, r}\|f\|_{H^{s}}\|g\|_{H^{r}}
$$

Also, we will using the Kato-Ponce commutator estimate.
Proposition 2.7. If $s \geq 0$ then

$$
\left\|\left[\Lambda^{s}, f\right] g\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c_{s}\left(\left\|\partial_{x} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\Lambda^{s-1} g\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\Lambda^{s} f\right\|_{L^{2}}\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)
$$

Finally, replacing $\Lambda$ with the $J_{\epsilon}$ operator, we have the commutator estimate.
Proposition 2.8. Let $J_{\epsilon}$ be the mollifier defined above, and $f, g$ be two test functions, then

$$
\left\|\left[J_{\epsilon}, f\right] g\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{i p}}\|g\|_{H^{-1}}
$$

Lemma 2.9 (Algebra Property). Let $s>\frac{1}{2}$ and $f, g \in H^{s}$, we have

$$
\|f g\|_{H^{s}} \leq c_{s}\|f\|_{H^{s}}\|g\|_{H^{s}}
$$

Lemma 2.10 (Sobolev Interpolation Lemma). Let $s_{0}<s<s_{1}$ be real numbers, then

$$
\|f\|_{H^{s}} \leq\|f\|_{H^{s_{0}}}^{\frac{s_{1}-s}{s_{0}-s_{0}}}\|f\|_{H^{s_{1}}}^{\frac{s-s_{0}}{s_{1}}}
$$

Lemma 2.11. Let $s>0$ and $J_{\epsilon}$ be defined as in $J_{\epsilon} f(x)=j_{\epsilon} f(x)$. Then for any $f \in H^{s}$, we have $J_{\epsilon} f \rightarrow f$ in $H^{s}$.
Lemma 2.12. Let $w$ be such that $\left\|\partial_{x} w\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$. Then there is a constant $c>0$ such that for any $f \in L^{2}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left[J_{\epsilon}, w\right] \partial_{x} f\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\partial_{x} w\right\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

Proposition 2.13. Given $\sigma=\frac{n}{p}+1$ and $1<s<\sigma$, there exists $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $\|f\|_{H^{s,}, \frac{p}{\theta}} \leq c\|f\|_{H^{\sigma, p}}$ and $\|u\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}} \leq c\|u\|_{H^{s-1, p}}$.

Lemma 2.14. If $s>k+\frac{n}{2}$, where $k$ is a nonnegative integer then $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where the inclusion is continuous. In fact,

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{s}\|u\|_{H^{s}}
$$

where $C_{s}$ is independent of $u$.
Lemma 2.15. Let $\sigma \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then

$$
\|f g\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \leq\|f\|_{H^{\sigma-1}}\|g\|_{H^{\sigma}}
$$

Lemma 2.16. Given $q \geq 0$, let $u=u(x) \in H^{q}$ be any function such that $\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\infty$. Then the there is a constant $c_{q}$ depending only on $q$ such that the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^{q} u \Lambda\left(u u_{x}\right) d x\right| & \leq c_{q}\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{H^{q}} \\
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^{q} u \Lambda\left(u^{2}\right) d x\right| & \leq c_{q}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|u\|_{H^{q}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, one may estimate the following integral using integration by parts

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \Lambda^{q} u \Lambda^{q} u_{x} d x\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{x}\left(\Lambda^{q} u\right)^{2} d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|u\|_{H^{q}}^{2} .
$$

## 3. Local Well-posedness

To prove well-posedness, we employ a Galerkin approximation argument. The strategy will be to mollify the nonlinear terms in the BBMPB equation to construct a family of ODEs. Then, we will extract a sequence of solutions to the ODEs, which converges to the solution of the BBMPB equation in an appropriate space. We apply the mollifier $J_{\varepsilon}$ to the BBMPB equation to construct family of ODEs in $H^{s}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} u_{\epsilon}+\theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) & =\partial_{x}\left(1-\partial x^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \\
u_{\epsilon}(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that

$$
\lambda^{-2}=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

The non local form can be written as

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\epsilon}+\theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)=\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Our strategy is now to demonstrate that the Cauchy problem satisfies the hypotheses of the Fundamental ODE theorem. We will therefore obtain a unique solution $u_{\epsilon}(., t) \in H^{s},|t|<T_{\epsilon}$, for some $T_{\epsilon}>0$.

## Energy estimate and lifespan of solution $u_{\epsilon}$

For each $\epsilon$, there is a solution $u_{\epsilon}$ to the mollified BBMPB equation. The lifespan of each of these solutions has a lower bound $T_{\epsilon}$. In this subsection, we shall demonstrate that there is actually a lower bound $T>0$ that does not depend upon $\epsilon$. To show the existence of $T$, we shall derive an energy estimate for the $u_{\epsilon}$. Applying the operator $\lambda^{s}$ to both sides of i.v.p, multiplying by $\lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon}$, and integrating over the torus yields the $H^{s}$-energy of $u_{\epsilon}$.

$$
\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{t} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x+\int \lambda^{s} \theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x=\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x
$$

Consider the first term of the left hand side

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{t} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\int \lambda^{s} \theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & =\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x}\left(1-\partial x^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

using the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-2} & =\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} & =-\int \lambda^{s} \theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x+\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x \\
& -\gamma \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x-\beta \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x \theta \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

To bound the energy, we will need the following Kato-Ponce commutator estimate. We now rewrite the first term by first commuting the exterior $J_{\epsilon}$ and then commuting the operator $\lambda^{s}$ with $\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right)$ arriving at

$$
\theta \int \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x=\theta \int \lambda^{s}\left[J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right] \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x
$$

adding and subtracting the term on the right hand side $\theta \int\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta \int \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & =\theta \int \lambda^{s}\left[J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right] \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x-\theta \int\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x \\
& +\theta \int\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x \\
\theta \int \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & =\theta \int\left[\lambda^{s}, J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right] \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x+\theta \int\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $v=J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}$, we can bound the first term of right hand side by first using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then applying the lemma (Kato-Ponce) and using the Sobolev theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta \int\left[\lambda^{s}, v\right] \partial_{x} v \lambda^{s} v d x & \leq\left\|\left[\lambda^{s}, v\right] \partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left(c_{s}\left(\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\lambda^{s-1} \partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left(c_{s}\left(\|v\|_{H^{s}}\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\right)\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left(c_{s}\left(\|v\|_{H^{s}}\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}\right)\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left(c_{s}\left(\|v\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}+\|v\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}\right)\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}} \\
& =2 c_{s}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next consider the second term of eqn, integrating by parts and using the Sobolev theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\theta \int v \partial_{x} \lambda^{s} v \lambda^{s} v d x\right| & =\frac{1}{2}\left|\int\left(\lambda^{s} v\right)^{2} \partial_{x} v d x\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
& \leq\|v\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
& =\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta \int \lambda^{s} J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right) \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & \leq\left(2 c_{s}+1\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{3} \\
& \leq\left(2 c_{s}+1\right)\left\|J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3} \\
& \leq\left(2 c_{s}+1\right)\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the second term of the right hand side is bounded by first applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then using the estimate and the algebra property of $H^{s}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \alpha J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & \leq\left\|\lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq \alpha\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& =\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
\gamma \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & \leq\left\|\lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
\beta \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & \leq\left\|\lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s+1}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s+2}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& =\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
\theta \int \lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2} \lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon} d x & \leq\left\|\lambda^{s} \partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}^{2}\right\|_{H^{s}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{2}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} & \leq\left(2 c_{s}+3\right)\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+3\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} & \leq\left(2 c_{s}+3\right)\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+3\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3} \\
& =\left(2 c_{s}+6\right)\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving this inequality, gives

$$
\left\|u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}}{1-\left(2 c_{s}+6\right) t\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}}\right)^{2}
$$

which yields the minimum lifespan, T and energy estimate

$$
T<\frac{1}{2\left(2 c_{s}+6\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq 2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}
$$

for $|t|<T$.

## Refinement 1

Claim : To show that there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{\epsilon j}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ which converges in $L^{\infty}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$.
The family $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$, since the family $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ is bounded (by the previous energy estimate) in $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$. Since $L^{\infty}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$ is the dual of $L^{1}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$, we may apply Alaoglu's theorem. By Alaoglu's theorem there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{\epsilon j}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ which converges to an element $u \in L^{1}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right)$ in the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology. Moreover, the limit point $u$, satisfies the same size estimation bound and minimum lifespan estimate as the $u_{\epsilon}$ solutions.

## Refinement 2

Claim : To show that there is a further subsequence of our sequence $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ which converges to $u$ in $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$.
To prove this we will employ Ascoli's theorem. First to prove equicontinuity, let $t_{1}$ and $t_{2} \in[-T, T]$. By the mean value theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \sup _{t \in[-T, T]}\left\|\partial_{t} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the mollified equation

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\epsilon}+\theta J_{\epsilon}\left(J_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} J_{\epsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right)=\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}^{2}\right]
$$

Applying norm on both sides and using the triangle inequality and lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{t} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}} & =\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2}\left[-\theta\left(u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma u_{\epsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}+\theta \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}^{2}\right]\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \left.\leq\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \theta u_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}+\left\|\partial_{x} \lambda^{-2} \alpha \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}+\left\|-\gamma u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}-\left\|\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}+\| \theta \partial_{x} u_{\epsilon}^{2}\right] \|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \leq a\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+b\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in inequality (1), we get

$$
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq\left(a\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+b\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+c\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|
$$

which implies $\left\{u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\}$ is equicontinuous. Next, we observe that for each $t \in[0, T]$ the set $U(t)=\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in(0,1]}$ is bounded in $H^{s}$. Since $T$ is a compact manifold, the inclusion mapping $i: H^{s} \longrightarrow H^{s-1}$ is a compact operator, and therefore we may deduce that $U(t)$ is a precompact set in $H^{s-1}$. As the two hypotheses of Ascoli's theorem have been satisfied, we have a subsequence $\left\{u_{\epsilon_{v}}\right\}$ that converges in $\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$. By uniqueness of limits, this subsequence must converge to $u$.

## Refinement 3

Claim : To refine the subsequence we show that the limit $u$ is in the space $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-\sigma}\right)$ for all $\sigma \in(0,1]$.
As in the previous case, we will prove that the family $u_{\epsilon}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Ascoli's theorem, and to do so we will show that the sequence $u_{\epsilon}$ is equicontinuous in $H^{s-\sigma}$ and uniformly bounded. In fact, we will show that the following modulus of continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}} \leq\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the above inequality, we begin by estimating

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}} \leq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{C^{\sigma}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-\sigma}\right)}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of the Holder norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{C^{\sigma}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-\sigma}\right)}=\sup _{t \in[-T, T]}\left\|u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}}+\sup _{t_{1} \neq t_{2}} \frac{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term of the right hand side of (4) is bounded by $2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}$ using the Sobolev embedding theorem followed by estimate.

$$
\sup _{t \in[-T, T]}\left\|u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}} \leq \sup _{t \in[-T, T]}\left\|u_{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq 2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}
$$

For the second term is more difficult, and we will open the norm to analyze it. We have

$$
\frac{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma}}=\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{-\sigma}\left(\sum_{k}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s-\sigma}\left|\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{1}\right)-\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

First, as $\sigma \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\sigma}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma}} \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \leq 1+\frac{1}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Using this inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}}{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\sigma}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2 \sigma}} \leq\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}+\frac{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2}\left(1+k^{2}\right)} \\
& \frac{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma}}=\left(\sum_{k}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}\left|\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{1}\right)-\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+\sum_{k} \frac{\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{s}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{2}\left(1+k^{2}\right)}\left|\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{1}\right)-\widehat{u_{\epsilon}}\left(k, t_{2}\right)\right|\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \frac{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\epsilon}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-\sigma}}}{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\sigma}} \leq 2 \sup _{t \in[-T, T]}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{C^{1}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the solution size estimate and the estimate found in the previous refinement, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{C^{\sigma}\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-\sigma}\right)} \leq(4+c)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}+a\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{3}+b\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

Substituting into inequality (3) we establish a uniform modulus of continuity, and we conclude that the family $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ is equicontinuous in the variable $t$. The precompactness condition is established in exactly the same fashion as the previous case as the inclusion mapping of $H^{s}$ into $H^{s-\sigma}$ is a compact operator. As the two hypotheses of Ascoli have been satisfied, we may extract a subsequence that converges to $u$ in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s-\sigma}\right)$. Similarly, we can refine the sequence $\left\{u_{\epsilon}\right\}$ several times, by finding a sub-sequence of solutions which converges to a solution to BBMPB equation. Hence the proof of existence of a solution to the BBMPB equation.

## Continuity of the data-to-solution map

Here we show that the dependence of the solution of the BBMPB equation on initial data is continuous.

Theorem 3.1 (Continuous dependence). The data-to-solution map $u_{0} \longmapsto u(t)$ for the cauchy problem of the BBMPB equation is continuous from $H^{s} \longrightarrow C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)$.

Proof. Fix $u_{0} \in H^{s}$ and let $\left\{u_{0, n}\right\} \subset H^{s}$ be a sequence with $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} u_{0, n}=u_{0}$. If $u$ is the solution to the BBMPB equation with initial data $u_{0}$ and if $u_{n}$ is the solution to the BBMPB equation with initial data $u_{0, n}$, we will demonstrate that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u$ in $C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)$. Equivalently, let $\eta>0$. We need to show that there exists an $N>0$ such that

$$
n>N \Rightarrow\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\eta
$$

As we will be using energy estimates in the $H^{s}$ norm, to get around the difficulty of estimating the terms, we will use the $J_{\epsilon}$ convolution operator to smoooth out the initial data. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$. We take $u^{\varepsilon}$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem for BBMPB equation with initial data $J_{\varepsilon} u_{0}=j_{\varepsilon} * u_{0}$ and $u_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ be the solution with initial data $J_{\varepsilon} u_{0, n}$. Applying the triangle inequality, we arrive at

$$
\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)} \leq\left\|u-u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}+\left\|u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}+\left\|u_{n}^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)} .
$$

We will prove that each of these terms can be bounded by $\frac{\eta}{3}$ for suitable choices of $\varepsilon$ and N . We note that the $\varepsilon$ we have introduced will be independent of $N$ and will only depend on $\eta$; whereas, the choice of $N$ will depend on both $\eta$ and $\varepsilon$.
Estimating $\left\|u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}$ : Setting $v=u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} u^{\varepsilon}=\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\alpha \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}-\gamma u^{\varepsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \partial_{t} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}=\left(-\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\alpha \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}-\gamma u_{n}^{\varepsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)= & \left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \alpha \partial_{x}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& -\gamma\left(u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\theta\left(\left(\partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-\left(\partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)=\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \theta\left(\partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) & =\left(-\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \theta\left(\partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \\
\partial_{t}(v) & =\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta u_{n}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \alpha \partial_{x}(v) \\
& -\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \gamma(v)-\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \theta\left(\left(\partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-\left(\partial_{x} u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\partial_{t}(v) & =\left(F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left\{\alpha \partial_{x}(v)-\gamma(v)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We calculate the $H^{s}$ energy of $v$.

$$
\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{t} v \lambda^{s} v d x=\int \lambda^{s}\left(F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \lambda^{s} v d x+\int \lambda^{s} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left\{\alpha \partial_{x}(v)-\gamma(v)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\} \lambda^{s} v d x
$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{s}}^{2} & \leq\left\|\lambda^{s}\left(F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\lambda^{s} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left\{\alpha \partial_{x}(v)-\gamma(v)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left\{\alpha \partial_{x}(v)-\gamma(v)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\}\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the first term of inequality

$$
\left\|F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}} \leq\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

Consider the second term of inequality and using the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left\{\alpha \partial_{x}(v)-\gamma(v)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\}\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}} & \leq\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \alpha \partial_{x}(v)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\gamma\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} v\right\|_{H^{s}}+\beta\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \partial_{x}^{2}(v)\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq \alpha\|v\|_{H^{s}}+\gamma\|v\|_{H^{s-1}}+\|v\|_{H^{s+1}} \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $M$ is positive constant. Combining the above estimates, we obtain the differential inequality

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq\left(1+\frac{M}{\varepsilon}\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{c_{s}}{\varepsilon} & =\left(1+\frac{M}{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} & \leq \frac{c_{s}}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c_{s}$, Solving (5) gives for all $t \in I$

$$
\begin{align*}
\|v(t)\|_{H^{s}} & \leq e^{\frac{c_{s} T}{\varepsilon}}\|v(0)\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq e^{\frac{c_{s} T}{T}}\left\|u_{0}-u_{0, n}\right\|_{H^{s}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that (6) does not place any constraints on $\varepsilon$; however, handing the first and third terms of (6) will require $\varepsilon$ to be small. After $\varepsilon$ is chosen, we take $N$ sufficiently large so that

$$
\left\|u_{0}-u_{0, n}\right\|_{H^{s}}<\left(\frac{\eta}{3}\right) e^{\frac{c_{s} T}{\varepsilon}}
$$

there by yielding

$$
\left\|u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\frac{\eta}{3} .
$$

Estimation of $\left\|u-u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}$ and $\left\|u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}$ : Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} u & =-\left(\theta u \partial_{x} u\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[-\theta u \partial_{x}^{2} u+\alpha \partial_{x} u-\gamma u-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}\right] \\
\partial_{t} u^{\varepsilon} & =\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left(-\theta u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+\alpha \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}-\gamma u^{\varepsilon}-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} u^{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As the differences $u^{\varepsilon}-u$ and $u_{n}^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}$ satisfy the same inequalities, we will use the unified notation $v=u^{\varepsilon}-u$ and $v=u_{n}^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}$ and omit all $n$ subscripts in formulae until we reach the point where different analysis for each case is needed. In constructing this Cauchy problem for $v$, we note that as we are taking energy estimates in $H^{s}$, we will want to avoid having any $u$ coefficients for the Burgers-type part of the equation as this may give rise to an expression of the form $\|u\|_{H^{s+1}}$ which is undefined. There is no such problem for the nonlocal part of the equation so we will have $F\left(u^{\varepsilon}-F(u)\right)$ as this can be estimated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}\left(u-u_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x}\left(u-u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(u-u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\beta \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u-u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]-\left[F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \\
\partial_{t} v & =\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right]-\left[F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \\
v(x, 0) & =J_{\varepsilon} u_{0}-u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us obtain the $H^{s}$ energy of $v$,

$$
\int \lambda^{s} \partial_{t} v \lambda^{s} v d x=\int \lambda^{s} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right] \lambda^{s} v d x-\int \lambda^{s}\left(F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \lambda^{s} v d x
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq\left\|\lambda^{s} \lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right]\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\lambda^{s}\left(F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\lambda^{s} v\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right]\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\left(F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}}
$$

Consider the second term of the inequality

$$
\left\|F(u)-F\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}} \leq\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

Consider the first term of the inequality and using the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right]\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}} & \leq\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \alpha \partial_{x} v\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \gamma v\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x} \beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq \alpha\|v\|_{H^{s}}+\gamma\|v\|_{H^{s-1}}+\beta\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $M$ a positive constant

$$
\left\|\lambda^{-2} \partial_{x}\left[\alpha \partial_{x} v-\gamma v-\beta \partial_{x}^{2} v\right]\right\|_{H^{s}}\|v\|_{H^{s}} \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain the following differential inequality

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq\left(1+\frac{M}{\varepsilon}\right)\|v\|_{H^{s}}^{2}
$$

As in the previous estimation we will get

$$
\left\|u-u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\frac{\eta}{3}
$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$
\left\|u^{\varepsilon}-u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\frac{\eta}{3}
$$

By combining all the inequalities, we get

$$
\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\frac{\eta}{3}+\frac{\eta}{3}+\frac{\eta}{3}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{C\left(I ; H^{s}\right)}<\eta
$$

Hence the data-to-solution map is continuous.
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