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#### Abstract

Third-order nonlinear difference equation of the form $\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right)+p_{n} \Delta x_{n+1}+q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)=0, n \geq n_{0}$ are considered. Here, $\left\{c_{n}\right\},\left\{d_{n}\right\},\left\{p_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ are sequence of positive real number for $n_{0} \in N, f$ is a continuous function such that $f(u) / u \geq k>0$ for $u \neq 0$. By means of a Riccati transformation technique we obtain some new oscillation criteria. Examples are given to illustrate the importance of the results.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right)+p_{n} \Delta x_{n+1}+q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)=0, \quad n \geq n_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0} \in N$ is fixed integer, $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$, and $\sigma$ is a nonnegative integer. The real sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty},\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty},\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty},\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$, and the function $f$ satisfy the following conditions:
(h1) $\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{n=n 0}^{\infty}$ is positive, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{1}(n, s)=\infty$, where $R_{1}(n, s)=\sum_{k=s}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{k}}$ for $n>s \geq n_{0}$;
$(h 2)\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ is positive, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{2}(n, s)=\infty$, where $R_{2}(n, s)=\sum_{k=s}^{n} \frac{1}{c_{k}}$ for $n>s \geq n_{0}$;
(h3) $p_{n} \geq 0, q_{n} \geq 0$ and $q_{n} \neq 0$ for infinitely many values of $n \in N\left(n_{0}\right)$;
(h4) $f \in C(R, R), f(u) / u \geq K$ for some $k>0$ and for all $u \neq 0$.
By a solution of Equation (1) we mean a nontrivial real sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ that is defined for $n \geq n_{0}-\sigma$ and satisfies Equation (1) for all $n \geq n_{0}$. clearly if $x_{n}=A_{n}$ for $n=n_{0}-\sigma, n_{0}-\sigma+1, \ldots, n_{0}-1$ are given, then Equation (1) has a unique solution satisfying the above initial conditions. A solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, and nonoscillatory otherwise. Equation (1) is called nonoscillatory if all its solutions are nonoscillatory.

The oscillation problem for difference equations has been investigated in recent years; for first-order, second-order, and higher-order equations, respectively; see $[15,20,8,9,11,19,21]$. For general theory of oscillation of difference equations, we refer to $[1-3,14]$, and over 500 refer encescited therein. Compared to the second-order difference equations, the study of

[^0]third-order difference equations has received considerably less attention in the literature even though such equations arises in economics, mathematical biology, and other areas of Mathematics (5). Some recent results on third-order difference equations can be found [10,24-31]. However, it seems that there is much less known regarding the oscillation of Equation (1).

There are many papers dealing with the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solution of difference and differential equations, see for instance Jiang [12], Jiang and Li [13], Li [17], Li and Yeh [16], Li [17], Luo [18], Philos [22], saker [26, 27]. The oscillatory behaviour of solution of difference equations and that of their discrete analogs may be quite different. For instance, the differential equation

$$
y^{m}+8 y=0
$$

admits a nonoscillatory solution $y_{1}(t)=e^{-2 t}$ and a pair of oscillatory solutions $y_{2}(t)=e^{t} \cos \sqrt{3 t}$ and $y_{3}(t)=e^{t} \sin \sqrt{3 t}$, but the difference equation

$$
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+8 x_{n}=0
$$

Which is a discrete analog of the above difference equation, has there oscillatory solutions $x_{n}^{1}=(-1)^{n}, x_{n}^{2}=$ $(\sqrt{7})^{n} \cos [n(\arctan \sqrt{3 / 2})]$, and $x_{n}^{3}=(\sqrt{7})^{n} \sin [n(\arctan \sqrt{3 / 2})]$. We note that Equation (1) may be considered as a discrete analog of the delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c(t)\left(d(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For some work regarding the oscillation of Equation (2), we refer to Saker [27] ( $p(t) \equiv 0$ ) and Tiryaki and Aktas [32] and the references cited therein. A number of dynamical behaviours of solutions of difference equations are possible; here we will only be concerned with conditions which are sufficient for every solution of Equation (1) to be either oscillatory or convergent to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recently, Saker [26] has established some new conditions which are sufficient for all solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)^{\gamma}\right)+q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)=0, n \geq n_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\gamma \geq 1$ is quotient of odd positive integers, to be either oscillatory or tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Our aim in this paper is to present some new oscillation criteria for Equation (1) by making use of a Riccati type transformation and arguments developed for differential equations in [32]. It should be noted that the results obtained in this paper extend and improve the related ones in [26]. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present some lemmas which are useful in establishing our main results. In Section 3 we will state and prove the main results and give examples to illustrate them.

## 2. Preparatory Lemmas

We begin with the following useful lemma.

## Lemma 2.1. Suppose

(h5) $\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta z_{n}\right)+\frac{p_{n}}{d_{n+1}} z_{n+1}=0$ is nonoscillatory.
If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) for $n \geq n_{0}$, then there exists a $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that either $x_{n}\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$ or $x_{n}\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)<0$ for all $n \geq n_{1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) for $n \geq n_{0}$. Without loss of generality, we may take $x_{n}>0$ and $x_{n-\sigma}>0, n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$. We see that $y_{n}=-d_{n} \Delta x_{n}$ is a solution of the order non homogeneous difference
equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta y_{n}\right)+\frac{p_{n}}{d_{n+1}} y_{n+1}=q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right), \quad n \geq n_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $x_{n}$ is a oscillatory solution of Equation (1) we have

$$
\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(-d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right)+\frac{p_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\left(-d_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1}\right)=q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right)+p_{n} \Delta x_{n+1}+q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)=0
$$

We claim that solution of (4) are nonoscillatory. We may assume that $z_{n}>0$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. Note that $\left\{-z_{n}\right\}$ is also a solution. Let $y_{n}$ be a oscillatory solution of (4). There exist $n_{3}>n_{2}>n_{1}$ such that $y n_{3} \geq 0, y n_{3+1} \leq 0, y n_{2} \leq 0$ and $y n_{2+1} \geq 0$. Summing

$$
\Delta\left(c_{n}\left(y_{n+1} z_{n}-y_{n} z_{n+1}\right)\right)=z_{n+1} q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)
$$

From $n_{2}$ to $n_{3}-1$, we have

$$
c_{n 3}\left(y_{n 3+1} z_{n 3}-z_{n 3+1} y_{n 3}\right)-c_{n 2}\left(y_{n 2+1} z_{n 2}-z_{n 2+1} y_{n 2}\right)=\sum_{k=n 2}^{n 3-1} z_{k+1} q_{k} f\left(x_{k-\sigma}\right),
$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete.
Definition 2.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a solution of Equation (1). we say that the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has property $v_{2}$ if there exists $n_{*} \geq n_{0}$ such that

$$
x_{n} \Delta x_{n}>0, x_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0, x_{n} \Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

For every $n \geq n_{*}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let the assumption (h2) hold and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) such that $x_{n}\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \geq 0$ for every $n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has property $V_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a eventually positive solution of Equation (1) Then there exists an $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that $x_{n-\sigma}>0$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. Since $x_{n}\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$ for every $n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$, we have $\Delta x_{n}>0$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. From Equation (1) we have $\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. Then $\Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)$ is monotone and eventually of one sign. We claim that there is a $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$ such that for $n \geq n_{2}, \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$. Suppose to the contrary that $\Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \leq 0$ for $n \geq n_{2}$. Since $c_{n}>0$ and $c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$ is nonincreasing there exists a negative constant $C$ and an $n_{3} \geq n_{2}$ such that $c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \leq C$ for $n \geq n_{3}$. Dividing both sides by $c_{n}$ and summing from $n_{3}$ to $n-1$, we obtain

$$
d_{n} \Delta x_{n} \leq d_{n 3} \Delta x_{n 3}+C \sum_{k=n 3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{c_{k}}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $d_{n} \Delta x_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ by a contradiction with the fact that $\Delta x_{n}>0$. Then $\Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a solution of Equation (1) and $\left\{g_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of integers which satisfies
(h6) $g_{n}^{*} \leq n-\sigma-1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}^{*}=\infty$.
If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a property $V_{2}$, then there exists an $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that $d_{n-\sigma} \Delta x_{n-\sigma} \geq R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right) c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq n_{1}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a solution of Equation (1) which has property $v_{2}$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $x_{n}>0$ and $x_{n-\sigma}>0, n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}^{*}=\infty$ and $\Delta x_{n}>0, \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)>0$, and $\Delta\left(c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0$ for every $n \geq n_{2} \geq n_{1}$,

$$
d_{n-\sigma} \Delta x_{n-\sigma}=d_{g_{n}^{*}} \Delta x_{g_{n}^{*}}+\sum_{k=g_{n}^{*}}^{n-\sigma-1} \frac{c_{k} \Delta\left(d_{k} \Delta x_{k}\right)}{c_{k}} \geq R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right) c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)
$$

and then we have

$$
d_{n-\sigma} \Delta x_{n-\sigma} \geq R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right) c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) .
$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mu_{n}$ be a positive sequence defined for $n \geq n_{0}$ and set

$$
\phi_{n}=d_{n+2} \Delta\left(c_{n+1} \Delta \mu_{n}\right)+\mu_{n} p_{n} .
$$

Furthermore assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(h7) $\Delta \mu_{n} \geq 0, \phi_{n} \geq 0, \Delta\left(d_{n+2} \Delta\left(c_{n+1} \Delta \mu_{n}\right)\right) \geq 0\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Delta\left(\mu_{n} p_{n}\right) \leq 0\right)$ for $n \geq n_{0}$;
(h8) $\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left(K \mu_{n} q_{n}-\Delta \phi_{n}\right)=\infty$, where $K \mu_{n} q_{n}-\Delta \phi_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq n_{0}$.
If ( $h 1$ ) holds and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) which satisfies $x_{n}\left(c_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \leq 0$ for $n$ sufficiently large, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{n}>0$ and $x_{n-\sigma}>0$ for $n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ for some $n_{1}$ sufficiently large. The proof when $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is eventually negative is similar, as the substitution $y_{n}=-x_{n}$ transforms Equation (1) into an equation of the same form. Since $x_{n}\left(c_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \leq 0$ for $n$ sufficiently large, $\Delta x_{n}$ becomes nonpositive for all $n \geq n_{2}$ for some $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\lambda \geq 0$. Assume that $\lambda \neq 0$. There exists an $n_{3} \geq n_{2}$ such that $x_{n} \geq \lambda$ for $n \geq n_{3}$. Summing Equation (1) from $n_{3}$ to $n-1$, we obtain from that

$$
\mu_{n} c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right) \leq C_{1}-\lambda \sum_{k=n 3}^{n-1}\left(K \mu_{k} q_{k}-\Delta \phi_{k}\right),
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant. Employing we see from (2) that $\mu_{n} c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)$ must take on negative values for $n$ sufficiently large. By using (h1) we see that $x_{n}$ must be eventually negative, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda=0$. This complete the proof.

## 3. Oscillation Criteria

In this section we gave the main of our paper.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $(h 1)-(h 8)$ hold, and that there exists a positive sequence $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ such that s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n}\left[K \rho_{k} q_{k}-\frac{d_{k-\sigma}\left(\Delta \rho_{k} d_{k+1}-\rho_{k} p_{k} R_{2}\left(k-\sigma-1, g_{k}^{*}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho_{k} R_{2}\left(k-\sigma-1, g_{k}^{*}\right) d_{k+1}^{2}}\right]=\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{n}>0$ and $x_{n-\sigma}>0$ eventually. From Lemma 2.1 it following that $\Delta x_{n}>0$ or $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geq n_{1} \geq n_{0}$. If $\Delta x_{n}>0$ for $n \geq N \geq n_{1}$
then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has property $V_{2}$ by Lemma 2.2. We define $w_{n}=\rho_{n} \frac{c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)}{x_{n-\sigma}}, n \geq N$. Then, $w_{n}>0$ and in view of Equation (1) by employing Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta w_{n} & =-\frac{f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)}{x_{n-\sigma}} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{\rho_{n}}{x_{n-\sigma}} p_{n} \Delta x_{n+1}+\frac{c_{n+1} \Delta\left(d_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1}\right) x_{n-\sigma} \Delta \rho_{n}}{x_{n-\sigma} x_{n-\sigma+1}}-\frac{c_{n+1} \Delta\left(d_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1}\right) \rho_{n} \Delta x_{n-\sigma}}{x_{n-\sigma} x_{n-\sigma+1}} \\
& \leq-K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\left(w_{n+1}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{\left(\rho_{n+1}\right)^{2} d_{n-\sigma}}\right)-w_{n+1}\left(\frac{\Delta \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n+1}}-\frac{p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}\right)\right) \\
& =-K \rho_{n} q_{n}-A_{n} w_{n+1}^{2}+w_{n+1} B_{n} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
A_{n}=\frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}\left(n-q-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{\rho_{n+1}^{2} d_{n-\sigma}}, B_{n}=\frac{\Delta \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n+1}}-\frac{p_{n} q_{n} R_{2}\left(n-q-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}
$$

Completing the square in (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w_{n}<-\left[K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{B_{n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (7) from $N$ to $n$, we obtain

$$
-w_{N}<w_{n+1}-w_{N}<-\sum_{k=N}^{n}\left[K \rho_{k} q_{k}-\frac{B_{k}^{2}}{4 A_{k}}\right]
$$

which yields

$$
\sum_{k=N}^{n}\left[K \rho_{k} q_{k}-\frac{B_{k}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]<w_{N}
$$

For all large $n$ and this is contrary to (5). If $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geq N$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.2. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+\frac{1}{5 n^{2}} \Delta x_{n+1}+\left(1-\frac{1}{5 n^{2}}\right) x_{n-3}=0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Delta^{2} z_{n}+\frac{1}{5 n^{2}} z_{n+1}=0$ is nonoscillatory by [1]. Taking $\mu_{n}=\rho_{n}=1$ and $g_{n}^{*}=n-4$, we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n}\left[K \rho_{k} q_{k}-\frac{d_{k-\sigma}\left(\Delta \rho_{k} d_{k+1}-\rho_{k} p_{k} R_{2}\left(k-\sigma-1, g_{k}^{*}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho_{k} R_{2}\left(k-\sigma-1, g_{k}^{*}\right) d_{k+1}^{2}}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{5 k^{2}}-\frac{1}{100 k^{4}}\right)=\infty
$$

Thus, condition (5) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.1 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (8) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We note that $\left\{\cos \frac{n \pi}{3}\right\}$ is an oscillatory solution of Equation (8).

Example 3.3. consider the their order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \Delta x_{n+1}+\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) x_{n}=0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Delta^{3} z_{n}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} z_{n+1}=0$ is nonoscillatory [1]. Taking $\mu_{n}=\rho_{n}=1$ and $g_{n}^{*}=n-1$, condition (5) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.1 are also satisfied. Hence, every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (9) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, the sequence $\left\{2^{-n}\right\}$ is such a solution of Equation (9).

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $(h 1)-(h 8)$ hold. Let $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ be a positive sequence and $\left\{H_{m, n}\right\}$, $m \geq n \geq n_{0}$, be a double sequence such that
(1). $H_{m, m}=0$ for $m \geq n_{0}$;
(2). $H_{m, n}>0$ for $m>n \geq n_{0}$;
(3). $\Delta_{2} H_{m, n}=H_{m, n+1}-H_{m, n} \leq 0$ and $-\Delta_{2} H_{m, n}=h_{m, n} \sqrt{H_{m, n}}$ for $m \geq n \geq n_{0}$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]=\infty \quad \text { for every } \quad m_{0} \geq n_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{m, n}=h_{m, n}-\left(\frac{\Delta \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n+1}}-\frac{p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}\right) \sqrt{H_{m, n}}, A_{n}=\frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}\left(n-\sigma-1, g_{n}^{*}\right)}{\rho_{n+1}^{2} d_{n-\sigma}}
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) which may assume to be eventually positive. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at the inequality 3.2 Then we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n} & \leq \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} H_{m, n}\left(-\Delta w_{n}+w_{n+1} B_{n}-A_{n} w_{n+1}^{2}\right) \\
& =H_{m, N} w_{N}+\sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left\{w_{n+1} \Delta_{2} H_{m, n}\left(B_{n} w_{n+1}-A_{n} w_{n+1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =H_{m, N} w_{N}-\sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left\{w_{n+1}^{2} A_{n} H_{m, n}+w_{n+1}\left(h_{m, n} \sqrt{H_{m, n}}-H_{m, n} B_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq H_{m, N} w_{N}+\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{\left(h_{m, n}-B_{n} \sqrt{H_{m, n}}\right)^{2}}{4 A_{n}}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Thus we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{H_{m, N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right] \leq w_{N}
$$

Where clearly contradicts (10). If $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geq N$, then by Lemma 2.4 , we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$. The proof is complete.
As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that all the assumption of Theorem 3.2 holds, except that the condition (10) is replaced by
(1). $\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1} H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}=\infty$ for every $m_{0} \geq n_{0}$,
(2). $\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{A_{n}}<0$ for every $m_{0} \geq n_{0}$.

Then, every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Example 3.6. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+\frac{9}{2^{n+1}} \Delta x_{n+1}+\frac{27}{32}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) x_{n-2}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mu_{n}=\rho_{n}=1, g_{n}^{*}=n-3$ and $H_{m, n}=m-n$ condition (10) is satisfied. The other condition of Theorem 3.2 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (12) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\left\{(-1 / 2)^{n}\right\}$ is such a solution of Equation (12).

Example 3.7. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+\frac{27}{32} x_{n-2}=0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mu_{n}=\rho_{n}=1, g_{n}^{*}=n-3$ and $H_{m, n}=m-n$, we have

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{H_{m, n_{0}}} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1}\left[\frac{27}{32}(m-n)-\frac{1}{4(m-n)}\right]=\infty .
$$

Thus, condition (10) is satisfied. The other condition of theorem are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation is either oscillatory or satisfies $x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\left\{2^{-n}\right\}$ is a solution of Equation (13).

Remark 3.8. One may choose $\left\{H_{m, n}\right\}$ in appropriate manners, to derive several special oscillation criteria for Equation
(1) Some choices are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{m, n}=(m-n)^{\lambda}, \lambda \geq 1, m \geq n \geq n_{0} \\
& H_{m, n}=\left(\log \frac{m+1}{n+1}\right)^{\lambda}, \lambda \geq 1, m \geq n \geq n_{0} \\
& H_{m, n}=(m-n)^{(\lambda)}, \lambda>2, m \geq n \geq n_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $(m-n)^{(\lambda)}=(m-n)(m-n+1) \ldots(m-n+\lambda-1)$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\left\{H_{m, n}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{m, n}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 3.2 and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\inf _{n \geq n_{0}}\left[\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{m, n}}{H_{m, n_{0}}}\right] \leq \infty  \tag{14}\\
& \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, n_{0}}} \sum_{n=n}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{A_{n}}<\infty \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

If there is a sequence $\left\{\Psi_{N}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right] \geq \psi_{N} \quad \text { for every } \quad N \geq n_{0} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} A_{n}\left[\psi_{n+1}^{+}\right]^{2}=\infty, \text { where } \psi_{n+1}^{+}=\max \left\{\psi_{n+1}, 0\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have (11), Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n} \leq H_{m, N} w_{N}+\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}-\sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[w_{n+1} \sqrt{A_{n} H_{m, n}}+\frac{Q_{m, n}}{2 \sqrt{A_{n}}}\right]^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder of the proof of this case is similar to ones given in $[16,18]$ and hence is omitted.

Example 3.10. Consider the third order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}} \Delta x_{n}\right)+\frac{2 n+1}{[n(n+1)]^{2}}\left(x_{n}+x_{n}^{3}\right)=0, \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take $\mu_{n}=n^{2}, \rho_{n}=1, g_{n}^{*}=n-1, H_{m, n}=m-n$ and $\psi_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}$. In view of $Q_{m, n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-n}}$ and $A_{n}=n^{3}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, n_{0}}} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{A_{n}} & =\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-n) n^{3}}=0<\infty \\
\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} A_{n}\left[\psi_{n+1}^{+}\right]^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{3}}{(n+1)^{4}}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, n}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m-N} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[(m-n)\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{4(m-n) n^{3}}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{N^{2}}=\psi_{N}$.
Since the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold, every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (19) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\left\{H_{m, n}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{m, n}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 3.2 and let (14) hold. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, n_{0}}} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{m-1} K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}<\infty \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a sequence $\left\{\psi_{N}\right\}$ satisfying (17) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1}\left[K H_{m, n} \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{Q_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right] \geq \psi_{N} \quad \text { for every } \quad N \geq n_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 and hence is omitted.

Theorem 3.12. Assume that (h1)-(h8) hold. Suppose there exists a positive sequence $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ and a sequence $\left\{F_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ such that $1+\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}+\frac{p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}-\frac{\Delta \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{m}\left[\prod_{k-n_{0}}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{F_{m, k}}{\rho_{k+1}}-B_{k}\right)\right]\left(K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}\right)=\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{n}>0$ and $x_{n-\sigma}>0$ for some $N \geq n_{0}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w_{n} \leq-K \rho_{n} q_{n}+w_{n+1} B_{n}-w_{n+1}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}}{\rho_{n+1}^{2} d_{n-\sigma}}\right), \quad n \geq N \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (23) and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\Delta w_{n} \leq-K \rho_{n} q_{n}+w_{n+1} B_{n}-w_{n+1}^{2} \frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}}{\rho_{n+1}^{2} d_{n-\sigma}}-\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4 A_{N}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}, \quad n \geq N
$$

or

$$
w_{n+1}-w_{n} \leq-K \rho_{n} q_{n}+w_{n+1}\left(B_{n}-\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)+\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}, \quad n \geq N
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{n=N}^{m}\left[\prod_{k=N}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{F_{m, k}}{\rho_{k+1}}-B_{k}\right)\right]\left(K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}\right) \leq w_{N}
$$

Hence

$$
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{m}\left[\prod_{k=N}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{F_{m, k}}{\rho_{k+1}}-B_{k}\right)\right]\left(K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}\right) \leq w_{N}
$$

Which contradict (22). If $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geq N$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.13. Consider the third order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{5}} \Delta x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{4 n^{3}} x_{n}\left(\beta+e^{x_{n}}\right)=0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta>1$ and $f(u)=u\left(\beta+e^{u}\right)$ with $K=\beta$. Taking $\mu_{n}=n^{2}, \rho_{n}=n, g_{n}^{*}=n-1$, and $F_{m, n}=n^{2}$, we have

$$
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{F_{m, k}}{\rho_{k+1}}-B_{k}\right)\right]\left(K \rho_{n} q_{n}-\frac{1}{4 A_{n}}\left(\frac{F_{m, n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{\beta-1}{4} \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!}{n^{2}}=\infty
$$

Thus, condition (22) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.6 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (24) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the proof of following theorem we use a generalized Riccati transformation technique.
Theorem 3.14. Assume that (h1)-(h8) holds. Let $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}$ be a positive sequence. Furthermore, we assume that there exists a double sequence $-\left\{H_{m, n}: m \geq n \geq n_{0}\right\}$ such that (i)-(iii). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1}\left[H_{m, n} \psi-\frac{h_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]=\infty \text { for every } m_{0} \geq n_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{n}=\rho_{n}\left(K q_{n}-\frac{p_{n}^{2} d_{n-\sigma} R_{2}}{4 d_{n+1}^{2}}-\Delta\left(c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1}\right)\right), \quad \alpha_{n}=-\frac{\left(\Delta \rho_{n} d_{n+1}-p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}\right) d_{n-\sigma}}{2 d_{n+1} \rho_{n} R_{2} c_{n-\sigma+1}} .
$$

Then every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Proof. We proceed as in Theorem 3.1, take $x_{n-\sigma}>0$ for all $n \geq N$ for some $N$ sufficiently large. Define

$$
w_{n}=\rho_{n}\left[\frac{c_{n} \Delta\left(d_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)}{x_{n-\sigma}}+c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1}\right], \quad n \geq N
$$

Then follows the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta w_{n} & \leq-K \rho_{n} q_{n}+\frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}}\left(\Delta \rho_{n}-\frac{p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}}{d_{n+1}}\right)-\left(\frac{\rho_{n} R_{2}}{d_{n-\sigma}}\right)\left[\frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}}-c_{n-q+1} \alpha_{n}\right]^{2}+\rho_{n} \Delta\left(c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1}\right)+\frac{p_{n} \rho_{n} R_{2}}{d_{n+1}}\left(c_{n-\sigma-1} \alpha_{n}\right) \\
& =-\psi_{n}-A_{n} w_{n+1}^{2}, \quad n \geq N
\end{aligned}
$$

The remainder of proof is similar to that of the Theorem 3.2 and hence is omitted. If $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geq N$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.15. Consider the third order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{3} x_{n}+2 c^{1-1 / 2^{n}}(\sqrt{c}-1)^{3} x_{n}\left(4-\cos x_{n}\right)=0, \quad c>1, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mu_{n}=\rho_{n}=c^{1 / 2^{n}}, g_{n}^{*}=n-1$ and $H_{m, n}=m-n$, we have

$$
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1}\left[H_{m, n} \psi_{n}-\frac{h_{m, n}^{2}}{4 A_{n}}\right]=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m-m_{0}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1}\left[6 c(\sqrt{c}-1)^{3}(m-n)-\frac{1}{4(m-n)}\right]=\infty
$$

Thus, condition (25) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.6 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (26) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
Corollary 3.16. Assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 hold, except that the condition (25) is replaced
(1). $\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1} H_{m, n} \rho_{n}\left(K q_{n}-\frac{p_{n}^{2} \rho_{n} d_{n}-\sigma R_{2}}{4 d_{n+1}^{2}}-\Delta\left(c_{n}-\sigma \alpha_{n-1}\right)\right)=\infty$ for every $m_{0} \geq n_{0}$,
(2). $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m, m_{0}}} \sum_{n=m_{0}}^{m-1} \frac{h_{m, n}^{2}}{A_{n}}<\infty$ for every $m_{0} \geq n_{0}$.

Then, every solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.
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