

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Oscillation of Third-order Nonlinear Delay Difference Equation

Yadaiah Arupula^{1,*} and V. Dharmaiah¹

1 Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

- Abstract: Third-order nonlinear difference equation of the form $\Delta(c_n\Delta(d_n\Delta x_n)) + p_n\Delta x_{n+1} + q_nf(x_{n-\sigma}) = 0, n \ge n_0$ are considered. Here, $\{c_n\}, \{d_n\}, \{p_n\}, \text{ and } \{a_n\}$ are sequence of positive real number for $n_0 \in N$, f is a continuous function such that $f(u)/u \ge k > 0$ for $u \ne 0$. By means of a Riccati transformation technique we obtain some new oscillation criteria. Examples are given to illustrate the importance of the results.
- Keywords:
 Difference equation, Delay, Third order, Oscillation. Nonoscillation, Riccati transformation.

 © JS Publication.
 Accepted on: 09.04.2018

1. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear delay difference equation

$$\Delta \left(c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right) \right) + p_n \Delta x_{n+1} + q_n f \left(x_{n-\sigma} \right) = 0, \quad n \ge n_0, \tag{1}$$

where $n_0 \in N$ is fixed integer, Δ denotes the forward difference operator $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, and σ is a nonnegative integer. The real sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}, \{d_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}, \{p_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}, \{q_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$, and the function f satisfy the following conditions:

(h1) $\{d_n\}_{n=n0}^{\infty}$ is positive, $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_1(n,s) = \infty$, where $R_1(n,s) = \sum_{k=s}^n \frac{1}{d_k}$ for $n > s \ge n_0$; (h2) $\{c_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ is positive, $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_2(n,s) = \infty$, where $R_2(n,s) = \sum_{k=s}^n \frac{1}{c_k}$ for $n > s \ge n_0$; (h3) $p_n \ge 0, q_n \ge 0$ and $q_n \ne 0$ for infinitely many values of $n \in N(n_0)$;

(h4) $f \in C(R, R)$, $f(u)/u \ge K$ for some k > 0 and for all $u \ne 0$.

By a solution of Equation (1) we mean a nontrivial real sequence $\{x_n\}$ that is defined for $n \ge n_0 - \sigma$ and satisfies Equation (1) for all $n \ge n_0$. clearly if $x_n = A_n$ for $n = n_0 - \sigma, n_0 - \sigma + 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$ are given, then Equation (1) has a unique solution satisfying the above initial conditions. A solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, and nonoscillatory otherwise. Equation (1) is called nonoscillatory if all its solutions are nonoscillatory.

The oscillation problem for difference equations has been investigated in recent years; for first-order, second-order, and higher-order equations, respectively; see [15,20,8,9,11,19,21]. For general theory of oscillation of difference equations, we refer to [1-3,14], and over 500 refer encescited therein. Compared to the second-order difference equations, the study of

^{*} E-mail: argiri.109@gmail.com

third-order difference equations has received considerably less attention in the literature even though such equations arises in economics, mathematical biology, and other areas of Mathematics (5). Some recent results on third-order difference equations can be found [10,24-31]. However, it seems that there is much less known regarding the oscillation of Equation (1).

There are many papers dealing with the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solution of difference and differential equations, see for instance Jiang [12], Jiang and Li [13], Li [17], Li and Yeh [16], Li [17], Luo [18], Philos [22], saker [26, 27]. The oscillatory behaviour of solution of difference equations and that of their discrete analogs may be quite different. For instance, the differential equation

$$y^m + 8y = 0$$

admits a nonoscillatory solution $y_1(t) = e^{-2t}$ and a pair of oscillatory solutions $y_2(t) = e^t \cos \sqrt{3t}$ and $y_3(t) = e^t \sin \sqrt{3t}$, but the difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + 8x_n = 0$$

Which is a discrete analog of the above difference equation, has there oscillatory solutions $x_n^1 = (-1)^n$, $x_n^2 = (\sqrt{7})^n \cos\left[n\left(\arctan\sqrt{3/2}\right)\right]$, and $x_n^3 = (\sqrt{7})^n \sin\left[n\left(\arctan\sqrt{3/2}\right)\right]$. We note that Equation (1) may be considered as a discrete analog of the delay differential equation

$$\left(c(t)\left(d(t)x'\right)'\right)' + p(t)x' + q(t)f(x(t-\sigma)) = 0$$
(2)

For some work regarding the oscillation of Equation (2), we refer to Saker [27] $(p(t) \equiv 0)$ and Tiryaki and Aktas [32] and the references cited therein. A number of dynamical behaviours of solutions of difference equations are possible; here we will only be concerned with conditions which are sufficient for every solution of Equation (1) to be either oscillatory or convergent to zero as $n \to \infty$. Recently, Saker [26] has established some new conditions which are sufficient for all solution of

$$\Delta \left(c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right)^{\gamma} \right) + q_n f \left(x_{n-\sigma} \right) = 0, n \ge n_0, \tag{3}$$

Where $\gamma \ge 1$ is quotient of odd positive integers, to be either oscillatory or tend to zero as $n \to \infty$. Our aim in this paper is to present some new oscillation criteria for Equation (1) by making use of a Riccati type transformation and arguments developed for differential equations in [32]. It should be noted that the results obtained in this paper extend and improve the related ones in [26]. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present some lemmas which are useful in establishing our main results. In Section 3 we will state and prove the main results and give examples to illustrate them.

2. Preparatory Lemmas

We begin with the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose

(h5) $\Delta(c_n\Delta z_n) + \frac{p_n}{d_{n+1}}z_{n+1} = 0$ is nonoscillatory.

If $\{x_n\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) for $n \ge n_0$, then there exists a $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that either $x_n (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$ or $x_n (d_n \Delta x_n) < 0$ for all $n \ge n_1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) for $n \ge n_0$. Without loss of generality, we may take $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$, $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. We see that $y_n = -d_n \Delta x_n$ is a solution of the order non homogeneous difference

equation

$$\Delta \left(c_n \Delta y_n \right) + \frac{p_n}{d_{n+1}} y_{n+1} = q_n f\left(x_{n-\sigma} \right), \quad n \ge n_1 \tag{4}$$

Indeed, since x_n is a oscillatory solution of Equation (1) we have

$$\Delta \left(c_n \Delta \left(-d_n \Delta x_n \right) \right) + \frac{p_n}{d_{n+1}} \left(-d_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1} \right) = q_n f \left(x_{n-\sigma} \right)$$

and hence

$$\Delta \left(c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right) \right) + p_n \Delta x_{n+1} + q_n f \left(x_{n-\sigma} \right) = 0$$

We claim that solution of (4) are nonoscillatory. We may assume that $z_n > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. Note that $\{-z_n\}$ is also a solution. Let y_n be a oscillatory solution of (4). There exist $n_3 > n_2 > n_1$ such that $y_{n_3} \ge 0$, $y_{n_{3+1}} \le 0$, $y_{n_2} \le 0$ and $y_{n_{2+1}} \ge 0$. Summing

$$\Delta \left(c_n \left(y_{n+1} z_n - y_n z_{n+1} \right) \right) = z_{n+1} q_n f \left(x_{n-\sigma} \right)$$

From n_2 to $n_3 - 1$, we have

$$c_{n3}\left(y_{n3+1}z_{n3}-z_{n3+1}y_{n3}\right)-c_{n2}\left(y_{n2+1}z_{n2}-z_{n2+1}y_{n2}\right)=\sum_{k=n2}^{n3-1}z_{k+1}q_kf\left(x_{k-\sigma}\right),$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Definition 2.2. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a solution of Equation (1). we say that the solution $\{x_n\}$ has property v_2 if there exists $n_* \ge n_0$ such that

$$x_n \Delta x_n > 0, x_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right) > 0, x_n \Delta \left(c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right) \right) \le 0$$

For every $n \geq n_*$.

Lemma 2.3. Let the assumption (h2) hold and $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) such that $x_n (d_n \Delta x_n) \ge 0$ for every $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ has property V_2 .

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a eventually positive solution of Equation (1) Then there exists an $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. Since $x_n (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$ for every $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$, we have $\Delta x_n > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. From Equation (1) we have $\Delta (c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n)) \le 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. Then $\Delta (d_n \Delta x_n)$ is monotone and eventually of one sign. We claim that there is a $n_2 \ge n_1$ such that for $n \ge n_2$, $\Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $\Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) \le 0$ for $n \ge n_2$. Since $c_n > 0$ and $c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$ is nonincreasing there exists a negative constant C and an $n_3 \ge n_2$ such that $c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) \le C$ for $n \ge n_3$. Dividing both sides by c_n and summing from n_3 to n-1, we obtain

$$d_n \Delta x_n \le d_{n3} \Delta x_{n3} + C \sum_{k=n3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{c_k}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we see that $d_n \Delta x_n \to -\infty$ by a contradiction with the fact that $\Delta x_n > 0$. Then $\Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a solution of Equation (1) and $\{g_n^*\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of integers which satisfies (h6) $g_n^* \leq n - \sigma - 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n^* = \infty$. If $\{x_n\}$ be a property V_2 , then there exists an $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $d_{n-\sigma}\Delta x_{n-\sigma} \geq R_2 (n - \sigma - 1, g_n^*) c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n)$ for $n \geq n_1$.

5.5

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a solution of Equation (1) which has property v_2 . Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$, $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n^* = \infty$ and $\Delta x_n > 0$, $\Delta (d_n \Delta x_n) > 0$, and $\Delta (c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n)) \le 0$ for every $n \ge n_2 \ge n_1$,

$$d_{n-\sigma}\Delta x_{n-\sigma} = d_{g_n^*}\Delta x_{g_n^*} + \sum_{k=g_n^*}^{n-\sigma-1} \frac{c_k\Delta\left(d_k\Delta x_k\right)}{c_k} \ge R_2\left(n-\sigma-1, g_n^*\right)c_n\Delta\left(d_n\Delta x_n\right)$$

and then we have

$$d_{n-\sigma}\Delta x_{n-\sigma} \ge R_2 \left(n-\sigma-1, g_n^*\right) c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n\right) + d_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n\right) + d_$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.5. Let μ_n be a positive sequence defined for $n \ge n_0$ and set

$$\phi_n = d_{n+2}\Delta \left(c_{n+1}\Delta\mu_n \right) + \mu_n p_n.$$

Furthermore assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

 $\begin{array}{l} (h7) \ \Delta\mu_n \geq 0, \phi_n \geq 0, \Delta \left(d_{n+2}\Delta \left(c_{n+1}\Delta\mu_n \right) \right) \geq 0 \left(or\Delta \left(\mu_n p_n \right) \leq 0 \right) \ for \ n \geq n_0; \\ (h8) \ \sum\limits_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \left(K\mu_n q_n - \Delta\phi_n \right) = \infty, \ where \ K\mu_n q_n - \Delta\phi_n \geq 0 \ for \ n \geq n_0. \\ If \ (h1) \ holds \ and \ \{x_n\} \ is \ a \ nonoscillatory \ solution \ of \ Equation \ (1) \ which \ satisfies \ x_n \ (c_n\Delta x_n) \leq 0 \ for \ n \ sufficiently \ large, \\ then \ \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0. \end{array}$

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$ for some n_1 sufficiently large. The proof when $\{x_n\}$ is eventually negative is similar, as the substitution $y_n = -x_n$ transforms Equation (1) into an equation of the same form. Since $x_n (c_n \Delta x_n) \le 0$ for n sufficiently large, Δx_n becomes nonpositive for all $n \ge n_2$ for some $n_2 \ge n_1$. Let $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lambda \ge 0$. Assume that $\lambda \ne 0$. There exists an $n_3 \ge n_2$ such that $x_n \ge \lambda$ for $n \ge n_3$. Summing Equation (1) from n_3 to n-1, we obtain from that

$$\mu_n c_n \Delta \left(d_n \Delta x_n \right) \le C_1 - \lambda \sum_{k=n3}^{n-1} \left(K \mu_k q_k - \Delta \phi_k \right),$$

where C_1 is a constant. Employing we see from (2) that $\mu_n c_n \Delta(d_n \Delta x_n)$ must take on negative values for *n* sufficiently large. By using (*h*1) we see that x_n must be eventually negative, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda = 0$. This complete the proof.

3. Oscillation Criteria

In this section we gave the main of our paper.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (h1) - (h8) hold, and that there exists a positive sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ such that s

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n_0}^{n} \left[K \rho_k q_k - \frac{d_{k-\sigma} \left(\Delta \rho_k d_{k+1} - \rho_k p_k R_2 \left(k - \sigma - 1, g_k^* \right) \right)^2}{4 \rho_k R_2 \left(k - \sigma - 1, g_k^* \right) d_{k+1}^2} \right] = \infty.$$
(5)

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ eventually. From Lemma 2.1 it following that $\Delta x_n > 0$ or $\Delta x_n < 0$ for $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. If $\Delta x_n > 0$ for $n \ge N \ge n_1$

then $\{x_n\}$ has property V_2 by Lemma 2.2. We define $w_n = \rho_n \frac{c_n \Delta(d_n \Delta x_n)}{x_{n-\sigma}}, n \ge N$. Then, $w_n > 0$ and in view of Equation (1) by employing Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\Delta w_{n} = -\frac{f(x_{n-\sigma})}{x_{n-\sigma}}\rho_{n}q_{n} - \frac{\rho_{n}}{x_{n-\sigma}}p_{n}\Delta x_{n+1} + \frac{c_{n+1}\Delta(d_{n+1}\Delta x_{n+1})x_{n-\sigma}\Delta\rho_{n}}{x_{n-\sigma}x_{n-\sigma+1}} - \frac{c_{n+1}\Delta(d_{n+1}\Delta x_{n+1})\rho_{n}\Delta x_{n-\sigma}}{x_{n-\sigma}x_{n-\sigma+1}}$$

$$\leq -K\rho_{n}q_{n} - \left(w_{n+1}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{n}R_{2}(n-\sigma-1,g_{n}^{*})}{(\rho_{n+1})^{2}d_{n-\sigma}}\right) - w_{n+1}\left(\frac{\Delta\rho_{n}}{\rho_{n+1}} - \frac{p_{n}\rho_{n}R_{2}(n-\sigma-1,g_{n}^{*})}{d_{n+1}\rho_{n+1}}\right)\right)$$

$$= -K\rho_{n}q_{n} - A_{n}w_{n+1}^{2} + w_{n+1}B_{n},$$
(6)

Where

$$A_n = \frac{\rho_n R_2 \left(n - q - 1, g_n^* \right)}{\rho_{n+1}^2 d_{n-\sigma}}, B_n = \frac{\Delta \rho_n}{\rho_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n q_n R_2 \left(n - q - 1, g_n^* \right)}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}$$

Completing the square in (3.2) we obtain

$$\Delta w_n < -\left[K\rho_n q_n - \frac{B_n^2}{4A_n}\right].\tag{7}$$

Summing (7) from N to n, we obtain

$$-w_N < w_{n+1} - w_N < -\sum_{k=N}^n \left[K\rho_k q_k - \frac{B_k^2}{4A_k} \right]$$

which yields

$$\sum_{k=N}^{n} \left[K\rho_k q_k - \frac{B_k^2}{4A_n} \right] < w_N$$

For all large n and this is contrary to (5). If $\Delta x_n < 0$ for $n \ge N$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.2. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + \frac{1}{5n^2} \Delta x_{n+1} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{5n^2}\right) x_{n-3} = 0, \quad n \ge 1$$
(8)

Note that $\Delta^2 z_n + \frac{1}{5n^2} z_{n+1} = 0$ is nonoscillatory by [1]. Taking $\mu_n = \rho_n = 1$ and $g_n^* = n - 4$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n_0}^n \left[K \rho_k q_k - \frac{d_{k-\sigma} \left(\Delta \rho_k d_{k+1} - \rho_k p_k R_2 \left(k - \sigma - 1, g_k^* \right) \right)^2}{4 \rho_k R_2 \left(k - \sigma - 1, g_k^* \right) d_{k+1}^2} \right] = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{1}{5k^2} - \frac{1}{100k^4} \right) = \infty.$$

Thus, condition (5) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.1 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (8) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We note that $\{\cos \frac{n\pi}{3}\}$ is an oscillatory solution of Equation (8).

Example 3.3. consider the their order difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \Delta x_{n+1} + \frac{1}{8} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \right) x_n = 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(9)

Note that $\Delta^3 z_n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} z_{n+1} = 0$ is nonoscillatory [1]. Taking $\mu_n = \rho_n = 1$ and $g_n^* = n - 1$, condition (5) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.1 are also satisfied. Hence, every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (9) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, the sequence $\{2^{-n}\}$ is such a solution of Equation (9).

Theorem 3.4. Assume that (h1) - (h8) hold. Let $\{\rho_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ be a positive sequence and $\{H_{m,n}\}, m \ge n \ge n_0$, be a double sequence such that

- (1). $H_{m,m} = 0$ for $m \ge n_0$;
- (2). $H_{m,n} > 0$ for $m > n \ge n_0$;

(3). $\Delta_2 H_{m,n} = H_{m,n+1} - H_{m,n} \leq 0 \text{ and } -\Delta_2 H_{m,n} = h_{m,n} \sqrt{H_{m,n}} \text{ for } m \geq n \geq n_0.$

If

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \left[K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] = \infty \quad for \quad every \quad m_0 \ge n_0, \tag{10}$$

where

$$Q_{m,n} = h_{m,n} - \left(\frac{\Delta\rho_n}{\rho_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n\rho_nR_2\left(n - \sigma - 1, g_n^*\right)}{d_{n+1}\rho_{n+1}}\right)\sqrt{H_{m,n}}, A_n = \frac{\rho_nR_2\left(n - \sigma - 1, g_n^*\right)}{\rho_{n+1}^2d_{n-\sigma}},$$

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) which may assume to be eventually positive. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at the inequality 3.2 Then we see that

$$\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n \leq \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} H_{m,n} \left(-\Delta w_n + w_{n+1} B_n - A_n w_{n+1}^2 \right)$$

$$= H_{m,N} w_N + \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left\{ w_{n+1} \Delta_2 H_{m,n} \left(B_n w_{n+1} - A_n w_{n+1}^2 \right) \right\}$$

$$= H_{m,N} w_N - \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left\{ w_{n+1}^2 A_n H_{m,n} + w_{n+1} \left(h_{m,n} \sqrt{H_{m,n}} - H_{m,n} B_n \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq H_{m,N} w_N + \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{(h_{m,n} - B_n \sqrt{H_{m,n}})^2}{4A_n}, \qquad (11)$$

where B_n is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H_{m,N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] \le w_N,$$

Where clearly contradicts (10). If $\Delta x_n < 0$ for $n \ge N$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$. The proof is complete. \Box As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that all the assumption of Theorem 3.2 holds, except that the condition (10) is replaced by

(1).
$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n = \infty \text{ for every } m_0 \ge n_0,$$

(2). $\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{A_n} < 0 \text{ for every } m_0 \ge n_0.$

Then, every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Example 3.6. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + \frac{9}{2^{n+1}} \Delta x_{n+1} + \frac{27}{32} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \right) x_{n-2} = 0 \tag{12}$$

Taking $\mu_n = \rho_n = 1, g_n^* = n - 3$ and $H_{m,n} = m - n$ condition (10) is satisfied. The other condition of Theorem 3.2 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (12) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The sequence $\{(-1/2)^n\}$ is such a solution of Equation (12).

Example 3.7. Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + \frac{27}{32} x_{n-2} = 0, \quad n \ge 1 \tag{13}$$

Taking $\mu_n = \rho_n = 1, g_n^* = n - 3$ and $H_{m,n} = m - n$, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{H_{m,n_0}} \sum_{n=n_0}^{m-1} \left[KH_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left[\frac{27}{32} \left(m-n \right) - \frac{1}{4 \left(m-n \right)} \right] = \infty.$$

Thus, condition (10) is satisfied. The other condition of theorem are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation is either oscillatory or satisfies $x \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The sequence $\{2^{-n}\}$ is a solution of Equation (13).

Remark 3.8. One may choose $\{H_{m,n}\}$ in appropriate manners, to derive several special oscillation criteria for Equation (1) Some choices are

$$H_{m,n} = (m-n)^{\lambda}, \lambda \ge 1, m \ge n \ge n_0,$$

$$H_{m,n} = \left(\log\frac{m+1}{n+1}\right)^{\lambda}, \lambda \ge 1, m \ge n \ge n_0,$$

$$H_{m,n} = (m-n)^{(\lambda)}, \lambda > 2, m \ge n \ge n_0,$$

Where $(m-n)^{(\lambda)} = (m-n)(m-n+1)\dots(m-n+\lambda-1).$

Theorem 3.9. Let $\{H_{m,n}\}$ and $\{h_{m,n}\}$ be as in Theorem 3.2 and let

$$0 < \inf_{n \ge n_0} \left[\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{H_{m,n}}{H_{m,n_0}} \right] \le \infty$$
(14)

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,n_0}} \sum_{n=n}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{A_n} < \infty$$
(15)

If there is a sequence $\{\Psi_N\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] \ge \psi_N \quad for \quad every \quad N \ge n_0 \tag{16}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} A_n \left[\psi_{n+1}^+ \right]^2 = \infty, \quad where \quad \psi_{n+1}^+ = \max \left\{ \psi_{n+1}, 0 \right\}$$
(17)

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have (11), Then, we have

$$\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} KH_{m,n}\rho_n q_n \le H_{m,N}w_N + \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} - \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[w_{n+1}\sqrt{A_nH_{m,n}} + \frac{Q_{m,n}}{2\sqrt{A_n}} \right]^2$$
(18)

The remainder of the proof of this case is similar to ones given in [16,18] and hence is omitted.

Example 3.10. Consider the third order difference equation

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\Delta x_{n}\right) + \frac{2n+1}{\left[n\left(n+1\right)\right]^{2}}\left(x_{n} + x_{n}^{3}\right) = 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(19)

We take $\mu_n = n^2$, $\rho_n = 1$, $g_n^* = n - 1$, $H_{m,n} = m - n$ and $\psi_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$. In view of $Q_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-n}}$ and $A_n = n^3$, we see that

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,n_0}} \sum_{n=n_0}^{m-1} \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{A_n} = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-n)n^3} = 0 < \infty,$$
$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} A_n \left[\psi_{n+1}^+\right]^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3}{(n+1)^4} = \infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,n}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m-N} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[(m-n) \left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{4(m-n)n^3} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{N^2} = \psi_{N.}$$

Since the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold, every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (19) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\{H_{m,n}\}$ and $\{h_{m,n}\}$ be as in Theorem 3.2 and let (14) hold. Suppose that

$$\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,n_0}} \sum_{n=n_0}^{m-1} K H_{m,n} \rho_n q_n < \infty, \tag{20}$$

and there is a sequence $\{\psi_N\}$ satisfying (17) and

$$\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,N}} \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \left[KH_{m,n} \rho_n q_n - \frac{Q_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] \ge \psi_N \quad for \quad every \quad N \ge n_0 \tag{21}$$

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 and hence is omitted. \Box

Theorem 3.12. Assume that (h1)-(h8) hold. Suppose there exists a positive sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ and a sequence $\{F_{m,n}\}_{m,n=n_0}^{\infty}$ such that $1 + \frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} + \frac{p_n \rho_n R_2}{d_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}} - \frac{\Delta \rho_n}{\rho_{n+1}} \ge 0$ and

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=n_0}^{m} \left[\prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{F_{m,k}}{\rho_{k+1}} - B_k \right) \right] \left(K\rho_n q_n - \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right) = \infty, \tag{22}$$

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for some $N \ge n_0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at

$$\Delta w_n \le -K\rho_n q_n + w_{n+1} B_n - w_{n+1}^2 \left(\frac{\rho_n R_2}{\rho_{n+1}^2 d_{n-\sigma}}\right), \quad n \ge N$$
(23)

From (23) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\Delta w_n \le -K\rho_n q_n + w_{n+1} B_n - w_{n+1}^2 \frac{\rho_n R_2}{\rho_{n+1}^2 d_{n-\sigma}} - \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4A_N} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^2, \quad n \ge N$$

or

$$w_{n+1} - w_n \le -K\rho_n q_n + w_{n+1} \left(B_n - \frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^2, \quad n \ge N$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=N}^{m} \left[\prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{F_{m,k}}{\rho_{k+1}} - B_k \right) \right] \left(K\rho_n q_n - \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right) \le w_N$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{m} \left[\prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{F_{m,k}}{\rho_{k+1}} - B_k \right) \right] \left(K\rho_n q_n - \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right) \le w_N$$

Which contradict (22). If $\Delta x_n < 0$ for $n \ge N$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.13. Consider the third order difference equation

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{5}}\Delta x_{n}\right) + \frac{1}{4n^{3}}x_{n}\left(\beta + e^{x_{n}}\right) = 0, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(24)

where $\beta > 1$ and $f(u) = u(\beta + e^u)$ with $K = \beta$. Taking $\mu_n = n^2$, $\rho_n = n$, $g_n^* = n - 1$, and $F_{m,n} = n^2$, we have

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{F_{m,k}}{\rho_{k+1}} - B_k \right) \right] \left(K\rho_n q_n - \frac{1}{4A_n} \left(\frac{F_{m,n}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{\beta - 1}{4} \limsup_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!}{n^2} = \infty$$

Thus, condition (22) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.6 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (24) is either oscillatory or satisfies $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

In the proof of following theorem we use a generalized Riccati transformation technique.

Theorem 3.14. Assume that (h1)-(h8) holds. Let $\{\rho_n\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ be a positive sequence. Furthermore, we assume that there exists a double sequence $-\{H_{m,n}: m \ge n \ge n_0\}$ such that (i)-(iii). If

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \left[H_{m,n} \psi - \frac{h_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] = \infty \quad for \quad every \quad m_0 \ge n_0 \tag{25}$$

where

$$\psi_n = \rho_n \left(Kq_n - \frac{p_n^2 d_{n-\sigma} R_2}{4d_{n+1}^2} - \Delta \left(c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1} \right) \right), \quad \alpha_n = -\frac{\left(\Delta \rho_n d_{n+1} - p_n \rho_n R_2 \right) d_{n-\sigma}}{2d_{n+1} \rho_n R_2 c_{n-\sigma+1}}.$$

Then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. We proceed as in Theorem 3.1, take $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for all $n \ge N$ for some N sufficiently large. Define

$$w_n = \rho_n \left[\frac{c_n \Delta (d_n \Delta x_n)}{x_{n-\sigma}} + c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1} \right], \quad n \ge N.$$

Then follows the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\Delta w_n \leq -K\rho_n q_n + \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}} \left(\Delta \rho_n - \frac{p_n \rho_n R_2}{d_{n+1}} \right) - \left(\frac{\rho_n R_2}{d_{n-\sigma}} \right) \left[\frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}} - c_{n-q+1} \alpha_n \right]^2 + \rho_n \Delta \left(c_{n-\sigma} \alpha_{n-1} \right) + \frac{p_n \rho_n R_2}{d_{n+1}} \left(c_{n-\sigma-1} \alpha_n \right) \\ = -\psi_n - A_n w_{n+1}^2, \quad n \geq N$$

The remainder of proof is similar to that of the Theorem 3.2 and hence is omitted. If $\Delta x_n < 0$ for $n \ge N$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3.15. Consider the third order difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x_n + 2c^{1-\frac{1}{2}n} \left(\sqrt{c} - 1\right)^3 x_n \left(4 - \cos x_n\right) = 0, \quad c > 1, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(26)

Taking $\mu_n = \rho_n = c^{1/2^n}$, $g_n^* = n - 1$ and $H_{m,n} = m - n$, we have

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \left[H_{m,n} \psi_n - \frac{h_{m,n}^2}{4A_n} \right] = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m - m_0} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \left[6c \left(\sqrt{c} - 1\right)^3 (m - n) - \frac{1}{4(m - n)} \right] = \infty.$$

Thus, condition (25) is satisfied. The other conditions of Theorem 3.6 are also satisfied. Hence every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (26) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$.

Corollary 3.16. Assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 hold, except that the condition (25) is replaced

(1).
$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} H_{m,n} \rho_n \left(Kq_n - \frac{p_n^2 \rho_n d_n - \sigma R_2}{4d_{n+1}^2} - \Delta \left(c_n - \sigma \alpha_{n-1} \right) \right) = \infty \text{ for every } m_0 \ge n_0,$$

(2). $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{H_{m,m_0}} \sum_{n=m_0}^{m-1} \frac{h_{m,n}^2}{A_n} < \infty \text{ for every } m_0 \ge n_0.$

Then, every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referee his/her valuable suggestions.

References

- R.P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, S.R. Grace and D. O'Regen, *Discrete Oscillation Theory*, Hindawi Publishing corporation, New York, (2005).
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inqualities, Theory, Methods and Applications, Second Edition, Revised and Expanded, New York, Marcel Dekker, (2000).
- [3] R.P. Agarwal and P.J.Y. Wong, *Advaned Topics in Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Drodrecht, (1997).
- [4] A. Andruch-Sobilo and M. Midga, On the oscillation of solutions of third order linear difference equations of neutral type, Math. Bohem., 130(2005), 19-33.
- [5] M. Artzroumi, Generelized stable population theory, J. Math. Biology., 21(1985), 363-381.
- [6] Z. Dosla and A. Kobza, On third-order difference evations, Comput. Math. Appl., 48(2004), 191-200.
- [7] Z. Dosla and A. Kobza, On third-order linear difference equations involving quasi-differences, Adv. Difference Equ., 113(2006).
- [8] S.R. Grace and B.S. Llli, Oscillation theores for forced neutral difference equations, J. Maths. Anal. Appl., 187(1994), 91-106.
- [9] S.R. Grace, Oscillation theorems for second order delay and neutral difference evations, Utilities Math., 45(1994), 197-211.
- [10] J. Graef and E. Thandapani, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third order dealy difference equations, Funk. Ekvac., 42(1999), 355-369.
- [11] J.W. Hooker and W.T. Patula, A second-order nalinear difference eation: Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 91(1983), 9-29.
- [12] J. Jiang, Oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 146(2003), 791-801.
- [13] J. Jiang and X. Li, Oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 135(2003), 531-540.
- [14] Kelley, W.G., Peterson, A.C.: Difference Equations; An Introduction with Applications. New York. Acdemic Press 1991.
- [15] G. Ladas, Explicit conditions for oscillations of difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 153(1990), 276-287.
- [16] H.-J. Li and C.-C. Yeh, Oscillation criteria for second-order neutral delay difference equations, Advances in difference equations, II. Compt. Math. Appl., 36(1998), 123-132.
- [17] H.-J. Li, Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations, J. Math. Anl. Appl., 194(1995), 217-234.
- [18] J. Luo, Oscillation criteria for second-order quasi-linear neutral difference equations, Comput. Mat. Appl., 43(2002), 1549-1557.
- [19] Ch.G. Philos, Iscillations in a class of difference equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 48(1992), 45-57.
- [20] Ch.G. Philos, On oscillations of some difference equations. Funk, Ekvac. 34, 157-172 (1991).
- [21] Ch.G. Philos and Y.G. Sficas, Positive solutions of some difference equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 108(1990), 107-115.
- [22] Ch.G. Philos, Oscillation theorems for linear differential equations of second oreder, Arch. Math., 53(1989), 482-492.

- [23] J. Popenda and E. Chmeidel, Nonoscillatory solutions of third order difference equations, Portugal. Math., 49(1992), 233-239.
- [24] S.H. Saker, Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of third-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations, Dynam. Systems Appl., 15(2006), 549-567.
- [25] S.H. Saker, Oscillation of third-order difference equations, Port. Math., 61(2004), 249-257.
- [26] S.H. Saker, Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of third-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations, J. Contemp. Math. to appear.
- [27] S.H. Saker, Oscillation criteria of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations, Math. Slovaca., 56(2006), 433-450.
- [28] B. Smith, Linear thire-order difference equations: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 22(1992), 1559-1564.
- [29] B. Smith and Jr.W.E. Taylor, Nonlinear third order difference equations: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour, Tamakang J. Math., 19(1988), 91-95.
- [30] B. Simth, Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for third order quasi adjoint difference equations, Port. Math., 45(1988), 229-243.
- [31] B. Smith and Jr.W.E. Taylor, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a third order difference equation, Port. Math., 44(1987), 113-11.
- [32] A. Tiryaki and M.F. Aktas, Oscillation criteria of a certain class of third order nonlinear delay differential equations with damping, J. Math. Ansal. Appl., 325(2007), 54-68.