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#### Abstract

Let $P$ be a subset of a Banach space $E$ and $P$ is normal and regular cone on $E$, we prove the existence of the common fixed point for multivalued maps in cone metric spaces. Our results generalize some well-known recent results in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

Huang and Zhang [1] generalized the notion of metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by ordered Banach space, defined a cone metric space, and obtained some fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in a normal cone metric space. Subsequently, several other authors [2] [8, [9, [10, [11] studied the existence of common fixed point of mappings satisfying a contractive type condition in cone metric spaces. Seong Hoon Cho and Mi Sun Kim [6] have proved certain fixed point theorems by using multivalued mapping in the setting of contractive constant in metric spaces. In this paper we obtain common fixed points for a pair of multivalued mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type conditions in cone metric spaces. Recall the following definitions which are related to cone metric spaces from [1].

Definition 1.1. Let $E$ be a real Banach space and a subset $P$ of $E$ is said to be a cone if it satisfies that following conditions,
(i) $P$ is closed, non-empty, and $P \neq\{0\}$,
(ii) $a x+b y \in P, a, b \geqslant 0$ and $x, y \in P$,
(iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Rightarrow x=0 \Leftrightarrow P \cap(-P)=\{0\}$.

The partial ordering $\leqslant$ with respect to the cone $P$ by $x \leqslant y$ if and only if $y-x \in P$. If $y-x \in$ interior of $P$, then it is denoted by $x \ll y$. The cone $P$ is said to be normal if a number $K>0$ such that,

[^0]for all $x, y \in E, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant y$ implies $\|x\| \leqslant K\|y\|$. The cone $P$ is called regular of every increasing sequence which is bounded above is convergent and every decreasing sequence which is bounded below is convergent. The least positive number $K$ is called the normal constant of $P$. There are non normal cones also. In the following, we always suppose $E$ is a Banach space, $P$ is a cone in $E$ with Int $P \neq \phi$ and $\leqslant$ is partial ordering with respect to $P$.

Definition 1.2. Let $X$ be a non-empty set of $E$. Suppose that the map $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies;
(i) $0 \leqslant d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$;
(ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(iii) $d(x, y) \leqslant d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $d$ is called a cone metric on $X$, and $(X, d)$ is called a cone metric space.
Example 1.3. Let $E=R^{2}, P=\{(x, y) \in E: x, y \geq 0\} \subset R^{2}, X=R$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$
d(x, y)=(|x-y|, \alpha|x-y|)
$$

where $\propto \geq 0$ is a constant. Then $(X, d)$ is a cone metric space [1].

Definition 1.4. Let, $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space, $x \in X$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $X$. Then
(i) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ whenever for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is a natural number $N$ such that for all $d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \ll c$ for all $n \geq N$.
(ii) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence whenever for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is a natural number $N$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \ll c$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 1.5. Let $(X, d)$ is said to be a complete cone metric space, if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $X$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. We denote by $C B(X)$ the family of non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$ and let $C(X)$ denote the set of all non-empty compact subsets of $X$. Let $H(.,$.$) be the Hausdorff$ distance on $C B(X)$. That is, for $A, B \in C B(X), H(A, B)=\max \left\{\operatorname{Sup}_{a \in A} d(a, B), S u p_{b \in B} d(A, b)\right\}$, where $d(a, B)=\inf \{d(a, b): b \in B\}$ is the distance from the point $a$ to the subset $B$.

Theorem 1.6 ([7). A multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ is called a contraction mapping if there exists $k \in(0,1)$ such that $H(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$ and $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of $T$ if $x \in T(X)$.

## 2 Fixed Point

In this section, we shall give some results which generalize [7, 10, 11].

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space and let mappings $T_{1}, T_{2}: X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfying the following conditions;
(i) For each $x \in X, T_{1}(x), T_{2}(x) \in C B(X)$,
(ii) $H\left(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(y)\right) \leq \propto d(x, y)+\beta\left[d\left(x, T_{2}(y)\right)+d\left(y, T_{1}(x)\right)\right]$
where $\propto, \beta$ are non negative real numbers and $\propto+2 \beta<1$. Then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in$ $T_{1}(x) \cap T_{2}(x)$.

Proof. Let $x_{o} \in X, T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$. Choose $x_{1} \in T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, for this $x_{1}$ by the same reason mentioned above $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$. Since $x_{1} \in$ $T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ are closed bounded subset of $X, \exists x_{2} \in T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ such that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq$ $H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q$, where $q=\max \left\{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}, \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{0}, T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+d\left(x_{1}, T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right]+q \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)+d\left(x_{1,}, x_{1}\right)\right]+q \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+d\left(x_{1,}, x_{2}\right)\right]+q \\
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq \frac{\propto+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q \\
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq q d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q
\end{aligned}
$$

For this $x_{2}, T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ is a non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$. Since $x_{2} \in T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ are closed bounded subset of $X, \exists x_{3} \in T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & \leq H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q^{2} \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{2}, T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+d\left(x_{1}, T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right]+q^{2} \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right)+d\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)\right]+q^{2} \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right]+q^{2} \\
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & \leq \frac{\propto+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+q^{2} \\
& \leq q\left\{q d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q\right\}+q^{2} \\
& \leq q^{2} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+2 q^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing this process, we get a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in T_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)$ or $x_{n+1} \in T_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)$ $\leq q^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+n q^{n}$.
Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a natural number $N_{1}$ such that $q^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+n q^{n} \ll c$ for all $n \geq N_{1}$ this implies $d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \ll c$.
Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$ is a complete cone metric space, there exists $p \in X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow p$. Choose a natural number $N_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, p\right) & \ll \frac{c(1-\beta)}{2 m(1+\beta)} \text { and } \\
d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right) & \ll \frac{c(1-\beta)}{2 m(\propto+\beta)} \text { for all } n \geq N_{2} . \\
d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) & \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, T_{1}(p)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+H\left(T_{2}\left(x_{n-1}\right), T_{1}(p)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\propto d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, T_{1}(p)\right)+d\left(p, T_{2}\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\propto d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, T_{1}(p)\right)+d\left(p, x_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\propto d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+d\left(p, T_{1}(p)\right)+d\left(p, x_{n}\right)\right] \\
d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) & \leq \frac{\propto+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{n}, p\right) \text { for all } n \geq N_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \ll \frac{c}{m}$ for all $m \geq 1$, we get $\frac{c}{m}-d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$, and as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $P$ is closed $-d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$ but $d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$. Therefore $d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right)=0$ and so $p \in T_{1}(p)$. Similarly it can be established that $p \in T_{2}(p)$. Hence $p \in T_{1}(p) \cap T_{2}(p)$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space and let mappings $R, S: X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfying the following conditions;
(i) For each $x \in X, R(x), S(x) \in C B(X)$,
(ii) $H(R(x), S(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+b d(x, S(y))+c d(y, R(x))$
where $a, b, c$ are non-negative real numbers and $a+b+c<1$. Then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in R(x) \cap S(x)$.

Proof. The symmetric property of $d$ and the above inequality imply that

$$
H(R(x), S(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+\frac{b+c}{2}[d(x, S(y))+d(y, R(x))]
$$

By substituting $R=T_{1}, S=T_{2}, a=\alpha, \frac{b+c}{2}=\beta$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the required result.
Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space and let mappings $T_{1}, T_{2}: X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfying the following conditions;
(i) For each $x \in X, T_{1}(x), T_{2}(x) \in C B(X)$,
(ii) $H\left(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(y)\right) \leq \propto d(x, y)+\beta\left[d\left(x, T_{1}(x)\right)+d\left(y, T_{2}(y)\right)\right]$
where $\propto, \beta$ are non negative real numbers and $\propto+2 \beta<1$. Then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in$ $T_{1}(x) \cap T_{2}(x)$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in X, T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$. Choose $x_{1} \in T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, for this $x_{1}$ by the same reason mentioned above $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$.
Since $x_{1} \in T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ are closed bounded subset of $X, \exists x_{2} \in T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q
$$

where $q=\max \left\{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}, \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{0}, T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+d\left(x_{1}, T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right]+q \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]+q \\
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq \frac{\propto+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q \\
d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \leq q d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q
\end{aligned}
$$

For this $x_{2}, T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ is a non-empty closed bounded subset of $X$. Since $x_{2} \in T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ are closed bounded subset of $X, \exists x_{3} \in T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & \leq H\left(T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+q^{2} \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{2}, T_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+d\left(x_{1}, T_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right]+q^{2} \\
& \leqslant \propto d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]+q^{2} \\
d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & \leq \frac{\propto+\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+q^{2} \\
& \leq q\left\{q d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+q\right\}+q^{2}=q^{2} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+2 q^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing this process, we get a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in T_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)$ or $x_{n+1} \in T_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq q^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+n q^{n}$. Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a natural number $N_{1}$ such that $q^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+$ $n q^{n} \ll c$ for all $n \geq N_{1}$ this implies $d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \ll c$. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$ is a complete cone metric space, there exists $p \in X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow p$. Choose a natural number $N_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, p\right) & \ll \frac{c(1-\beta)}{2 m} \text { and } \\
d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right) & \ll \frac{c(1-\beta)}{2 m \propto} \text { for all } n \geq N_{2} . \\
d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) & \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, T_{1}(p)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+H\left(T_{2}\left(x_{n-1}\right), T_{1}(p)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\propto d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, T_{2}\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)+d\left(p, T_{1}(p)\right)\right] \\
& \leq d\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\propto d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\beta\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(p, T_{1}(p)\right)\right] \\
d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) & \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\frac{\propto}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{n-1}, p\right)+\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \text { for all } n \geq N_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \ll \frac{c}{m}$ for all $m \geq 1$, we get $\frac{c}{m}-d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$ and as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $P$ is closed $-d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$, but $d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right) \in P$. Therefore $d\left(T_{1}(p), p\right)=0$ and so $p \in T_{1}(p)$.
Similarly it can be established that $p \in T_{2}(p)$. Hence $p \in T_{1}(p) \cap T_{2}(p)$.
Corollary 2.4. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space and let mappings $R, S: X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfying the following conditions;
(i) For each $x \in X, R(x), S(x) \in C B(X)$,
(ii) $H(R(x), S(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+b d(x, R(x))+c d(y, S(y))$
where $a, b, c$ are non-negative real numbers and $a+b+c<1$. Then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in R(x) \cap S(x)$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.4 .
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