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## 1. Introduction

A subset H of the space X is a regular $G_{\delta}$-set if there is a sequence $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ of open sets containing H such that $H=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}^{-}$. A space X has a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal if $\{(x, x): x \in X\}$ is a regular, $G_{\delta}$-set in $X \times X$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. $X$ has a $G_{\delta}$-diagonal if and only if there is a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is open covers of $X$ such that if $x \in X$, then $x=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} s t\left(x, G_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 2.2. $X$ has a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal if and only if there is a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of open covers of $X$ such that if $x$ and $y$ are distinct points of $X$, then there are an integer $n$ and open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively such that no member of $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ intersects both $U$ and $V$.

From Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 , we see that any paracompact $T_{2}$-space with a $G_{\delta}$-diagonal has a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal and a corollary to Theorem 2.2 that any space with a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal is Hausdorff. A development $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ for the space X is said to satisfy the 3 -link property if it is true that if p and q are distinct points, then there is an integer n such that no member of $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ intersects both at $\operatorname{st}\left(p, G_{n}\right)$ and $s t\left(q, G_{n}\right)$ (Heath [3]). According to Borges [1], a space X is a $w \Delta$-space if there is a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of open covers of X such that if x is a point and, for each $\mathrm{n}, x_{n}$ is a point of $\operatorname{st}\left(x, G_{n}\right)$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a cluster point, further we extend the following results.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be a topological space. Then the following conditions are satisfied.

[^0](i) $X$ admits a development satisfying the 3-link property.
(ii) $X$ is a $w \Delta$-space with a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal.
(iii) There is a semi-metric $d$ on $X$ such that
(A) If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are sequences both converging to $x$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$
(B) If $x$ and $y$ are distinct points of $X$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are sequences converging to $x$ and $y$ respectively.

Proof. According to Health [3] and Moore [4], a space X is an M-space if there is normal sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of open covers of X such that is x is a point and, for each $\mathrm{n}, x_{n}$ is a point of $s t\left(x, G_{n}\right)$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a cluster point.

Theorem 2.4. If $X$ is a topological space, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $X$ is a metrizable.
(b) $X$ is a $T_{1}-M$-space such that $X^{2}$ is a perfectly normal.
(c) $X$ is an $M$-space with a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal.
(d) $X$ is a $T_{1}-M$-space such that $X^{3}$ is hereditarily normal.
(e) $X$ is a $T_{1}-M$-space such that $X^{3}$ is hereditarily countable paracompact.
(f) $X$ is an $M$-space that admits a one-to-one continuous function onto a metricspace.

Borges [1] shows that X is paracompact, locally connected and locally peripherally compact, then X is metrizable if and only if X has $G_{\delta}$-diagonal.

Theorem 2.5. If $X$ is locally connected and locally peripherally compact, then $X$ is metrizable if and only if $X$ has a regular $G_{\delta}$-diagonal.

Proof. Let $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of open covers of X such that each member of $U_{n}$ is connected and such that if p and q are distinct points, then there are open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $p$ and $q$ respectively and an integer $n$ such that no member of $U_{n}$ intersects both $\operatorname{st}\left(p, G_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{st}\left(q, G_{n}\right)$. We will first show that $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is a development for X. To this end, let $x \in X$ and let U be an open set containing x . There is an open set V with compact boundary such that $x \in V \subset U$. Suppose that, for each n , there is member ,say $g_{n}$, of $U_{n}$ that contains x and intersects X-V. Then, since each $g_{n}$ is connected, there is a point $x_{n}$ of the boundary of V that is in $g_{n}$. Since the boundary of V is compact, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has cluster point, say $x_{0}$. It follows that $x_{0} \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} c l\left(s t\left(x, U_{n}\right)\right)$ which is a contradiction.

By Theorem 2.3, there is a development $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ for X that satisfies the 3 -link property. Since X is locally connected. Let x denote, we may assume that, for each n , the members of $G_{n}$ are connected. Let x denoted a point of X and let U be an open set containing x , We will show that there is an integer n such that if $g \in G_{n}$ and $g \bigcap$ st $\left(x, G_{n}\right) \neq \Phi$, then $g \subset U$. It will follow that X is metrizable by Moore's [4]. To this end, let V be an open subset of U containing x with compact, suppose that, for each n, there are members $U_{n}$ and $V_{n}$ of $G_{n}$ such that $x \in U_{n}$ and $U_{n} \bigcap V_{n} \neq \phi$, and $\left(U_{n} \bigcap V_{n}\right) \bigcap(X-V) \neq \phi$. Since, for each n, $U_{n} \bigcup V_{n}$ is connected, there is point $x_{n}$ of $U_{n} \bigcup V_{n}$ in the boundary of V. Since the boundary of V is compact, there is a cluster point $x_{0}$, of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. But it follows that, for each n , there is a member of $G_{n}$ that intersects both of $s t\left(x, G_{n}\right)$ and $s t\left(x_{0}, G_{n}\right)$ which is contradiction.

## References

[1] C.J.R.Berges, On metrizability of topological spaces, Canad. J Math., 20(1978), 795-803.
[2] J.G.Ceder, Some generalization of metric spaces, Pacific J Math., 11(1961), 105-125.
[3] R.W.Health, Metrizablity, compactness and paracompacetness in Moore spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., 10(1978), 105-125.
[4] R.L.Moore, Products of normal spaces with metric spaces, Math. Ann., 154(1964), 365-382.
[5] P.Zenor, On spaces with regular spaces, Pacific J Math., (1986), 122-132.


[^0]:    * E-mail: pralahadpralhad@yahoo.com

