

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

$$(1,2)^{\star}$$
-g^{\star}-Closed Sets

**Research Article** 

### O. Ravi<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Jeyaraman<sup>2</sup>, M. Sajan Joseph<sup>3</sup> and R. Muthuraj<sup>4</sup>

- 1 Department of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai Dt, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 2 PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Dorai Singam Govt.Arts College, Sivagangai, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 3 Department of Mathematics, Arul Anandar College, Karumathur, Madurai Dt, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 4 PG and Research Department of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's College, Pudukottai, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of sets namely  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces. This class lies between the class of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed sets and the class of  $(1, 2)^*$ -g-closed sets. The complement of an  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is called an  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -open set. Moreover we introduce two new spaces namely,  $(1, 2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -spaces and  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -grades sets.

**MSC:** 54E55.

Keywords:  $(1,2)^* - g^*$ -closed set,  $(1,2)^* - g^{\#}$ -closed set,  $(1,2)^* - \alpha g$ -closed set,  $(1,2)^* - T_{1/2}^*$ -space,  $(1,2)^* - T_g^*$ -space,  $(1,2)^* - g^* T_g^*$ -space,  $(1,2)^* -$ 

## 1. Introduction

In 1963 Levine [17] introduced the notion of semi-open sets. According to Cameron [7] this notion was Levine's most important contribution to the field of topology. The motivation behind the introduction of semi-open sets was a problem of Kelley which Levine has considered in [18], i.e., to show that  $cl(U) = cl(U \cap D)$  for all open sets U and dense sets D. He proved that U is semi-open if and only if  $cl(U) = cl(U \cap D)$  for all dense sets D and D is dense if and only if  $cl(U) = cl(U \cap D)$  for all semi-open sets U. Since the advent of the notion of semi-open sets, many mathematicians worked on such sets and also introduced some other notions, among others, preopen sets [19],  $\alpha$ -open sets [20] and  $\beta$ -open sets [1] (Andrijevic [2] called them semi-pre open sets). It has been shown in [10] recently that the notion of preopen sets and semi-open sets are important with respect to the digital plane.

Levine [16] also introduced the notion of g-closed sets and investigated its fundamental properties. This notion was shown to be productive and very useful. For example it is shown that g-closed sets can be used to characterize the extremally disconnected spaces and the submaximal spaces (see [8] and [9]). Moreover the study of g-closed sets led to some separation axioms between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  which proved to be useful in computer science and digital topology.

Bhattacharyya and Lahiri [6], Arya and Nour [5], Sheik John [32], Veera Kumar [33] and Rajamani and Viswanathan [21] introduced sg-closed sets, gs-closed sets,  $\omega$ -closed sets,  $g^{\#}$ -closed sets and  $\alpha g$ s-closed sets respectively. Levine [16] introduced the notion of  $T_{1/2}$ -spaces which properly lie between  $T_1$ -spaces and  $T_0$ -spaces. Many authors studied properties of  $T_{1/2}$ -spaces: Dunham [11], Arenas et al. [4] etc.

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: siingam@yahoo.com

In this paper, we introduce a new class of sets namely  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces. This class lies between the class of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed sets and the class of  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed sets. The complement of an  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed set is called an  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -open set. Moreover, we introduce two new spaces namely,  $(1,2)^*-T_g^*$ -spaces and  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, X, Y and Z denote bitopological spaces (X,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ), (Y,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ) and (Z,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ) respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open [15] if  $A = P \cup Q$ , for some  $P \in \tau_1$  and  $Q \in \tau_2$ . The complement of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. The family of all  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open (resp.  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed) sets of X is denoted by  $(1,2)^*$ -O(X) (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ -C(X)).

**Definition 2.2** ([15]). Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then

- 1. the  $\tau_{1,2}$ -interior of A, denoted by  $\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A), is defined by  $\cup \{ U : U \subseteq A \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}$ -open};
- 2. the  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closure of A, denoted by  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A), is defined by  $\cap \{ U : A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-closed} \}.$

**Remark 2.3** ([15]). Notice that  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open subsets of X need not necessarily form a topology.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set [15] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A)).
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ -preopen set [15] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)).
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -open set [15] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A))).
- 4.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\beta$ -open set [27] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl( $\tau_{1,2}$ -int( $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A))).
- 5.  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open set [25] if  $A = \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)).

The complements of the above mentioned open sets are called their respective closed sets.

The  $(1, 2)^*$ -preclosure [23] (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-closure [23],  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closure [23],  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ -closure [27]) of a subset A of X, denoted by  $(1, 2)^*$ -pcl(A) (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ -scl(A),  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ cl(A),  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ cl(A)) is defined to be the intersection of all  $(1, 2)^*$ preclosed (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-closed,  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ -closed) sets of X containing A. It is known that  $(1, 2)^*$ -pcl(A) (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ -scl(A),  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ cl(A),  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ cl(A)) is a  $(1, 2)^*$ -preclosed (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-closed,  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ closed) set. For any subset A of an arbitrarily chosen bitopological space, the  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-interior [23] (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -interior [23],  $(1, 2)^*$ -preinterior [23]) of A, denoted by  $(1, 2)^*$ -sint(A) (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ int(A),  $(1, 2)^*$ -pint(A)), is defined to be the union of all  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-open (resp.  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1, 2)^*$ -preopen) sets of X contained in A.

Definition 2.5. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called

- 1.  $a (1,2)^*$ -generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed) set [30] if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set.
- 2. a  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed) set [3] if  $(1,2)^*$ -scl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-open set.
- 3. a  $(1,2)^*$ -generalized semi-closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed) set [3] if  $(1,2)^*$ -scl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-open set.

- 4. an  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ g-closed) set [12] if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ cl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ g-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ g-open set.
- 5.  $a (1,2)^*$ -generalized semi-preclosed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed) set [12] if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\beta cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-open set.
- 6.  $a (1,2)^*$ - $g\alpha$ -closed set [29] if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ - $g\alpha$ -closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ - $g\alpha$ -open set.
- 7. a  $(1,2)^*$ -regular generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -r-g-closed) set [26] if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -r-g-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -r-g-open set.
- 8. a (1,2)\*-generalized preregular closed (briefly, (1,2)\*-gpr-closed) set [31] if (1,2)\*-pcl(A) ⊆ U whenever A ⊆ U and U is (1,2)\*-regular open in X. The complement of (1,2)\*-gpr-closed set is called (1,2)\*-gpr-open set.
- 9. a  $(1,2)^*$ -generalized preclosed (briefly,  $(1,2)^*$ -gp-closed) set [31] if  $(1,2)^*$ -pcl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ -gp-closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ -gp-open set.
- 10. an  $(1,2)^* \alpha^{**}$ -generalized closed (briefly,  $(1,2)^* \alpha^{**}g$ -closed) set [31] if  $(1,2)^* \alpha cl(A) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}-cl(U))$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^* \alpha^{**}g$ -closed set is called  $(1,2)^* \alpha^{**}g$ -open set.
- 11. an  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^\#$ -closed set [22] if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever  $A \subseteq$  U and U is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^\#$ -closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^\#$ -open set.
- 12. an  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed set [14] if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open set.

**Remark 2.6.** The collection of all  $(1,2)^*$ -gpr-closed (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -closed,  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed,  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed,  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed) sets is denoted by  $(1,2)^*$ -GPRC(X) (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{GC}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ -GC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ -GSC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ -GSPC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha GC(X)$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ -SGC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha C(X)$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ -GC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ -GSC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ -GSPC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha GC(X)$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ -SGC(X),  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha C(X)$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha C(X)$ 

The collection of all  $(1,2)^*$ -gpr-open (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\ddot{g}$ -open,  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open,  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-open,  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-open,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -ope

**Definition 2.7.** A bitopological space X is called:

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$ -space [28] if every  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set in it is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ -T<sub>b</sub>-space [24] if every  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed set in it is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -space [23] if every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed set in it is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

# **3.** $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed Sets

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open in X. The complement of  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is called  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -open. The family of all  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets in X is denoted by  $(1,2)^*$ - $G^*C(X)$ .
- 2.  $(1,2)^* g_{\alpha}^*$ -closed set if  $(1,2)^* \alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^* g$ -open in X. The family of all  $(1,2)^* g_{\alpha}^* closed$  sets in X is denoted by  $(1,2)^* G_{\alpha}^* C(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed.

*Proof.* If A is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set in X and G is any  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set containing A, then  $G \supseteq A = \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed.

The converse of Proposition 3.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a, b\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -g\*-closed set but not  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.4.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*_{\alpha}$ -closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $(1,2)^*-g$ -open set containing A, then  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*-\alpha$ cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*-g^*_{\alpha}$ -closed.

The converse of Proposition 3.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - g_{\alpha}^*$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.6.** The following examples show that  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets are independent of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed sets.

**Example 3.7.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.8.** The following examples show that  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets are independent of  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed sets.

**Example 3.9.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-closed but not  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.10.** The following examples show that  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed sets are independent of  $(1,2)^*$ -pre-closed sets.

**Example 3.11.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ -pre-closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -pre-closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.12.** The following examples show that  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets are independent of  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\beta$ -closed sets.

**Example 3.13.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed but not  $(1,2)^*-\beta$ -closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*-\beta$ -closed but not  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.14.** The following examples show that  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed sets are independent of  $(1,2)^*$ -g $\alpha$ -closed sets.

**Example 3.15.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^* - g\alpha$ -closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - g\alpha$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.16.** The following examples show that  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed sets are independent of  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed sets.

**Example 3.17.** In Example 3.5,  $\{b, c\}$  is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed but not  $(1,2)^*-sg$ -closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*-sg$ -closed but not  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed in X.

**Proposition 3.18.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A, since every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.18 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.19.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -g-closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ -g\*-closed set in X.

**Proposition 3.20.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A, since every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*$ -scl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.20 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.21.** In Example 3.19,  $\{a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed but not  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed set in X.

**Proposition 3.22.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -r-g-closed.

**Example 3.23.** In Example 3.5,  $\{a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -r-g-closed but not  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed set in X.

**Proposition 3.24.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A, since every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.24 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.25.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set in X.

**Proposition 3.26.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open set containing A, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -regular set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\beta$ cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.26 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.27.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{c\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -gsp-closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set in X.

**Proposition 3.28.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -gp-closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A, since every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*$ -pcl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.28 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.29.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ -gp-closed but not  $(1, 2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

**Proposition 3.30.** Every  $(1, 2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set is  $(1, 2)^*$ -gpr-closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open set containing A, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq (1,2)^*$ -pcl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -gpr-closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.30 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.31.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $\{a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -gpr-closed but not  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

**Proposition 3.32.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha^{**}g$ -closed.

*Proof.* If A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed subset of X and G is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A, since every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int( $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha^{**}g$ -closed in X.

The converse of Proposition 3.32 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.33.** In Example 3.31,  $\{a\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - \alpha^{**}g$ -closed but not  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed in X.

**Proposition 3.34.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^{\#}$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed but not conversely.

*Proof.* If A is (1,2)- $g^{\#}$ -closed subset of X and G is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set containing A, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -open, we have  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). Hence A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

**Example 3.35.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $\{a, b\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* - g^*$ -closed set but not  $(1, 2) - g^{\#}$ -closed.

**Remark 3.36.** From the above discussions and known results in [3, 12, 15, 22, 23, 25], we obtain the following diagrams, where  $A \rightarrow B$  (resp.  $A \not\leftrightarrow B$ ) represents A implies B but not conversely (resp. A and B are independent of each other).

### Diagram - I

Diagram - II

 $\begin{array}{c} (1,2)^{\star}\text{-}semi\text{-}closed \not\leftrightarrow (1,2)^{\star}\text{-}g^{\star}\text{-}closed \longrightarrow (1,2)^{\star}\text{-}g\text{-}closed \\ \downarrow \\ (1,2)^{\star}\text{-}gs\text{-}closed \end{array}$ 

## 4. Properties of $(1, 2)^*$ -g\*-closed Sets

**Definition 4.1.** The intersection of all  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open subsets of X containing A is called the  $(1,2)^*$ -g-kernel of A and denoted by  $(1,2)^*$ -g-ker(A).

**Lemma 4.2.** A subset A of a bitopological space X is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed if and only if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq (1,2)^*$ -g-ker(A).

*Proof.* Suppose that A is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed. Then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  U whenever A  $\subseteq$  U and U is  $(1,2)^*-g$ -open. Let  $x \in \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). If  $x \notin (1,2)^*-g$ -ker(A), then there is a  $(1,2)^*-g$ -open set U containing A such that  $x \notin U$ . Since U is a  $(1,2)^*-g$ -open set containing A, we have  $x \notin \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A) and this is a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  (1,2)\*-g-ker(A). If U is any (1,2)\*-g-open set containing A, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  (1,2)\*-g-ker(A)  $\subseteq$  U. Therefore, A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed.

**Proposition 4.3.** If a set A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed in X, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A) – A contains no nonempty  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set in X.

*Proof.* Suppose that A is  $(1,2)^* - g^*$ -closed. Let F be a  $(1,2)^* - g$ -closed subset of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A) – A. Then A  $\subseteq$  F<sup>c</sup>. But A is  $(1,2)^* - g^*$ -closed, therefore  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  F<sup>c</sup>. Consequently, F  $\subseteq (\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A))<sup>c</sup>. We already have F  $\subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). Thus F  $\subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\cap (\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A))<sup>c</sup> and hence F is empty.

**Proposition 4.4.** If A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed in X and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A), then B is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed in X.

*Proof.* Let U be  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set in X such that  $B \subseteq U$ . Since A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$ . Since  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(B)  $\subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A), we have  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(B)  $\subseteq U$ . Hence B is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed set.

**Proposition 4.5.** If A is both  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X, then A is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X.

*Proof.* Since A is 
$$(1,2)^*$$
-g-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  A and hence A is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X.

**Proposition 4.6.** For each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed or  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed in X. Then  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open and the only  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is the space X itself. Therefore  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\{x\}^c) \subseteq X$  and so  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let A be a  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set of a bitopological space X. Then,

- 1. If A is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open, then  $(1,2)^*$ -pint(A) and  $(1,2)^*$ -scl(A) are also  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed sets.
- 2. If A is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular closed, then  $(1,2)^*$ -pcl(A) is also  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed set.

*Proof.* (1) Since A is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular open in X,  $A = \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)). Then  $(1,2)^*$ -scl(A) =  $A \cup \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)) = A. Thus,  $(1,2)^*$ -scl(A) is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X. Since  $(1,2)^*$ -pint(A) =  $A \cap \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)) = A,  $(1,2)^*$ -pint(A) is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed.

(2) Since A is  $(1,2)^*$ -regular closed in X,  $A = \tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A)). Then  $(1,2)^*$ -pcl $(A) = A \cup \tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A)) = A. Thus,  $(1,2)^*$ -pcl(A) is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X.

The converses of the statements in the Theorem 4.7 are not true as we can see in the following examples.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $(1, 2)^*$ - $G^*C(X) = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Then the set  $A = \{c\}$  is not  $(1, 2)^*$ -regular open. However A is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed and  $(1, 2)^*$ -scl $(A) = \{c\}$  is a  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed and  $(1, 2)^*$ -pint $(A) = \phi$  is also  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $(1,2)^*$ - $G^*C(X) = \{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Then the set  $A = \{c\}$  is not  $(1,2)^*$ -regular closed. However A is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed and  $(1,2)^*$ -pcl $(A) = \{c\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed.

# 5. $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closure

**Definition 5.1.** For every set  $A \subseteq X$ , we define the  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closure of A to be the intersection of all  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed sets containing A. That is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -cl $(A) = \cap \{F : A \subseteq F \in (1,2)^*$ - $G^*C(X)\}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** For any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A \subseteq (1,2)^* - g^* - cl(A) \subseteq \tau_{1,2} - cl(A)$ .

**Remark 5.3.** Both containment relations in Lemma 5.2 may be proper as seen from the following example.

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Let  $A = \{a\}$ . Then  $(1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -cl $(A) = \{a, c\}$  and so  $A \subseteq (1, 2)^*$ - $g^*$ -cl $(A) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A).

Lemma 5.5. For any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $(1,2)^* \cdot g^* \cdot cl(A) \subseteq (1,2)^* \cdot \ddot{g} \cdot cl(A)$ , where  $(1,2)^* \cdot \ddot{g} \cdot cl(A)$  is given by  $(1,2)^* \cdot \ddot{g} \cdot cl(A) = \cap \{F : A \subseteq F \in (1,2)^* \cdot \ddot{G}C(X)\}$ .

**Remark 5.6.** Containment relation in the above Lemma 5.5 may be proper as shown from the following example.

**Example 5.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $(1, 2)^* - \ddot{G}C(X) = \{\phi, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$  and  $(1, 2)^* - G^*C(X) = \{\phi, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ . Let  $A = \{b, d\}$ . Then  $(1, 2)^* - \ddot{G}-cl(A) = \{b, c, d\}$  and  $(1, 2)^* - g^* - cl(A) = \{b, d\}$ . So,  $(1, 2)^* - g^* - cl(A) \subset (1, 2)^* - \ddot{G}-cl(A)$ .

The following two Propositions are easy consequences from definitions.

**Proposition 5.8.** For any  $A \subseteq X$ , the following hold:

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-cl(A) is the smallest  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed set containing A.
- 2. A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed if and only if  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-cl(A) = A.

**Proposition 5.9.** For any two subsets A and B of X, the following hold:

- 1. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $(1,2)^* g^* cl(A) \subseteq (1,2)^* g^* cl(B)$ .
- 2.  $(1,2)^* g^* cl(A \cap B) \subseteq (1,2)^* g^* cl(A) \cap (1,2)^* g^* cl(B)$ .

# 6. $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-open Sets

**Definition 6.1.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -open in X if  $A^c$  is  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed in X.

The collection of all  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -open sets in X is denoted by  $(1,2)^*-G^*O(X)$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** For any bitopological space X, the following assertions hold:

1. Every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-open but not conversely.

- 2. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\alpha$ </sub>-open but not conversely.
- 3. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open but not conversely.
- 4. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-open but not conversely.
- 5. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ g-open but not conversely.
- 6. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-open but not conversely.
- 7. Every  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-open but not conversely.

**Theorem 6.3.** A subset A of X is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open if and only if  $F \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(A) whenever F is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed and  $F \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(A) such that F is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . Let  $A^c \subseteq U$  where U is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open. Then  $U^c \subseteq A$  and  $U^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed. Therefore  $U^c \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(A) by hypothesis. Since  $U^c \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(A), we have  $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A))^c \subseteq U. i.e.,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl( $A^c$ )  $\subseteq U$ , since  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl( $A^c$ ) =  $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A))^c. Thus  $A^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed. i.e., A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-open.

Conversely, suppose that A is  $(1,2)^* - g^*$ -open such that  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $(1,2)^* - g$ -closed. Then  $F^c$  is  $(1,2)^* - g$ -open and  $A^c \subseteq F^c$ . Therefore,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(A^c) \subseteq F^c$  by definition of  $(1,2)^* - g^*$ -closedness and so  $F \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(A), since  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(A^c) = (\tau_{1,2}$ -int $(A))^c$ .

We introduce the following definition.

**Definition 6.4.** For any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $(1,2)^* \cdot g^* \cdot int(A)$  is defined as the union of all  $(1,2)^* \cdot g^* \cdot open$  sets contained in A. i.e.,  $(1,2)^* \cdot g^* \cdot int(A) = \bigcup \{G : G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } (1,2)^* \cdot g^* \cdot open \}.$ 

**Lemma 6.5.** For any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -int $(A) \subseteq (1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -int $(A) \subseteq A$ .

The following two Propositions are easy consequences from definitions.

**Proposition 6.6.** For any  $A \subseteq X$ , the following hold:

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-int(A) is the largest  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open set contained in A.
- 2. A is  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-open if and only if  $(1,2)^*$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-int(A) = A.

**Proposition 6.7.** For any subsets A and B of X, the following hold:

- 1.  $(1,2)^* g^* int(A \cap B) \subseteq (1,2)^* g^* int(A) \cap (1,2)^* g^* int(B)$ .
- 2.  $(1,2)^* g^* int(A \cup B) \supseteq (1,2)^* g^* int(A) \cup (1,2)^* g^* int(B)$ .
- 3. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $(1,2)^* g^* int(A) \subseteq (1,2)^* g^* int(B)$ .
- 4.  $(1,2)^* g^* int(X) = X$  and  $(1,2)^* g^* int(\phi) = \phi$ .

## 7. Applications

As applications of  $(1,2)^*-g^*$ -closed sets, we introduce the notions called  $(1,2)^*-T_g^*$ -spaces and  $(1,2)^*-g^*T_g^*$ -spaces and obtain their properties and characterizations.

**Definition 7.1.** A space X is called a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_a^*$ -space if every  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed set in it is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

**Example 7.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $(1, 2)^*$ - $G^*C(X) = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Thus X is a  $(1, 2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -space.

**Example 7.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then the sets in  $\{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in  $\{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$  are called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then  $(1,2)^*$ - $G^*C(X) = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Thus X is not a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_q^*$ -space.

**Proposition 7.4.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$ -space is  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -space but not conversely.

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 3.18.

The converse of Proposition 7.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 7.5.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.2. Then we have  $(1, 2)^*$ - $GC(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Thus X is not a  $(1, 2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$ -space.

**Proposition 7.6.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_b$ -space is  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -space but not conversely.

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 3.20.

The converse of Proposition 7.6 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 7.7.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.2. Then we have  $(1,2)^*$ -GSC(X) = { $\phi$ , {a}, {c}, {a, b}, {a, c}, {b, c}, X}. Thus X is not a  $(1,2)^*$ -T<sub>b</sub>-space.

**Remark 7.8.** We conclude from the next two examples that  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -spaces and  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -spaces are independent.

**Example 7.9.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.2. Then we have  $(1, 2)^* - \alpha C(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Thus X is a  $(1, 2)^* - T_q^*$ -space but not an  $(1, 2)^* - \alpha$ -space.

**Example 7.10.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.3. Then we have  $(1,2)^* - \alpha C(X) = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ . Thus X is an  $(1,2)^* - \alpha$ -space but not a  $(1,2)^* - T_q^*$ -space.

**Theorem 7.11.** For a bitopological space X, the following properties are equivalent:

- 1. X is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_q^*$ -space.
- 2. Every singleton subset of X is either  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed or  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume that for some  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{x\}$  is not a  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed in X. Then the only  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is X and so  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g\*-closed in X. By assumption  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X or equivalently  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let A be a (1,2)\*-g\*-closed subset of X and let  $x \in \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A). By assumption {x} is either (1,2)\*-g-closed or  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

Case (a): Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed. If  $x \notin A$ , then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A) – A contains a nonempty  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set  $\{x\}$ , which is a contradiction.

Case (b): Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Since  $x \in \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A),  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi$  and so  $x \in A$ . Thus in both case,  $x \in A$  and therefore  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq A$  or equivalently A is a  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set of X.

**Definition 7.12.** The space X is called a  $(1,2)^*$ - $_gT_g^*$ -space if every  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set in it is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed.

**Example 7.13.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.3. Then X is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $_g T_g^*$ -space and the space X in the Example 7.2 is not a  $(1,2)^*$ - $_g T_g^*$ -space.

**Proposition 7.14.** Every  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$ -space is  $(1,2)^*$ - $_g T_g^*$ -space but not conversely.

The converse of Proposition 7.14 need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 7.15.** Let X,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be as in the Example 7.3. Then X is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $qT_q^*$ -space but not a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$ -space.

**Remark 7.16.**  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -spaces and  $(1,2)^*$ - $_g T_g^*$ -spaces are independent.

**Example 7.17.** The space X in the Example 7.3 is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $_g T_g^*$ -space but not a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -space and the space X in the Example 7.2 is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$ -space but not a  $(1,2)^*$ - $g T_g^*$ -space.

**Theorem 7.18.** A space X is  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_{1/2}$  if and only if it is both  $(1,2)^*$ - $T_g^*$  and  $(1,2)^*$ - $gT_g^*$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Follows from Propositions 7.4 and 7.14.

Sufficiency. Assume that X is both  $(1,2)^*$ -T<sup>\*</sup><sub>g</sub> and  $(1,2)^*$ - $_gT^*_g$ . Let A be a  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set of X. Then A is  $(1,2)^*$ - $g^*$ -closed, since X is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $_gT^*_g$ . Again since X is a  $(1,2)^*$ -T<sup>\*</sup><sub>g</sub>, A is a  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set in X and so X is a  $(1,2)^*$ -T<sup>\*</sup><sub>1/2</sub>.

#### References

- M.E.Abd El-Monsef, S.N.El-Deeb and R.A.Mahmoud, β-open sets and β-continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12(1983), 77-90.
- [2] D.Andrijevic, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, 38(1986), 24-32.
- [3] J.Antony Rex Rodrigo, O.Ravi, A.Pandi and C.M.Santhana, On (1, 2)\*-s-normal spaces and pre-(1, 2)\*-gs-closed functions, International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics, 4(1)(2011), 29-42.
- [4] F.G.Arenas, J.Dontchev and M.Ganster, On λ-sets and dual of generalized continuity, Questions Answers Gen. Topology, 15 (1997), 3-13.
- [5] S.P.Arya and T.M.Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 21(8)(1990), 717-719.
- [6] P.Bhattacharyya and B.K.Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math., 29(3)(1987), 375-382.
- [7] D.E.Cameron, Topology atlas, http://gozips. uakron. deu/.
- [8] J.Cao, M.Ganster and I.Reilly, On sg-closed sets and gα-closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 20(1999), 1-5.
- [9] J.Cao, M.Ganster and I.Reilly, Submaximality, extremal disconnectedness and generalized closed sets, Houston J. Math., 24(1998), 681-688.
- [10] R.Devi, K.Bhuvaneswari and H.Maki, Weak forms of  $g\rho$ -closed sets, where  $\rho \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{**}\}$  and digital plane, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 25(2004), 37-54.
- [11] W.Dunham, T<sub>1/2</sub>-spaces, Kyungpook Math. J., 17(1977), 161-169.
- [12] Z.Duszynski, M.Jeyaraman, M.S.Joseph, O.Ravi and M.L.Thivagar, A new generalization of closed sets in bitopology, South Asian Journal of Mathematics, 4(5)(2014), 215-224.
- [13] J.C.Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13(1963), 71-89.
- [14] K.Kumaresan, Another generalization of closed sets in bitopological spaces, Ph. D Thesis, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, August (2012).
- [15] M.Lellis Thivagar, O.Ravi and M.E.Abd El-Monsef, Remarks on bitopological (1,2)\*-quotient mappings, J. Egypt Math. Soc., 16(1)(2008), 17-25.

- [16] N.Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [17] N.Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [18] N.Levine, Some remarks on the closure operator in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(5)(1963), 553.
- [19] A.S.Mashhour, M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak pre continuous mappings, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [20] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), 961-970.
- [21] M.Rajamani and K.Viswanathan, On ags-closed sets in topological spaces, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXM(3)(2004), 21-25.
- [22] C.Rajan, Further study of new bitopological generalized continuous functions, Ph. D Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, (2014).
- [23] O.Ravi, M.L.Thivagar and E.Hatir, Decomposition of (1,2)\*-continuity and (1,2)\*-α-continuity, Miskolc Mathematical Notes., 10(2)(2009), 163-171.
- [24] O.Ravi and M.L.Thivagar, Remarks on  $\lambda$ -irresolute functions via  $(1,2)^*$ -sets, Advances in App. Math. Analysis, 5(1) (2010), 1-15.
- [25] O.Ravi, E.Ekici and M.Lellis Thivagar, On (1,2)\*-sets and decompositions of bitopological (1,2)\*-continuous mappings, Kochi J. Math., 3(2008), 181-189.
- [26] O.Ravi, K.Kayathri, M.L.Thivagar and M.Joseph Israel, Mildly (1,2)\*-normal spaces and some bitopological functions, Mathematica Bohemica, 135(1)(2010), 1-15.
- [27] O.Ravi, A.Pandi and R.Latha, Contra-pre-(1,2)\*-semi-continuous functions, Bessel Journal of Mathematics (To appear).
- [28] O.Ravi, S.Pious Missier and T.Salai Parkunan, On bitopological (1,2)\*-generalized homeomorphisms, Int J. Contemp. Math. Sciences., 5(11)(2010), 543-557.
- [29] O.Ravi, M.L.Thivagar and E.Ekici, Decomposition of (1,2)\*-continuity and complete (1,2)\*-continuity in bitopological spaces, Analele Universitatii Din Oradea. Fasc. Matematica Tom XV (2008), 29-37.
- [30] O.Ravi, M.L.Thivagar and Jinjinli, Remarks on extensions of (1,2)\*-g-closed maps, Archimedes J. Math., 1(2)(2011), 177-187.
- [31] O.Ravi, A.Pandi, S.Pious Missier and T.Salai Parkunan, Remarks on bitopological (1,2)\*-rω-Homeomorphisms, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 2(4)(2011), 465-475.
- [32] M.Sheik John, A study on generalizations of closed sets and continuous maps in topological and bitopological spaces, Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, September, (2002).
- [33] M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar,  $g^{\#}$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. (Math.)., 24(2003), 1-13.
- [34] M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar, Between closed sets and g-closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 21(2000), 1-19.