



Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-Closed Sets in Fuzzy Bitopological Spaces.

Research Article

P.Saravanaperumal^{1*} and S.Murugesan¹¹ Department of Mathematics, Sri Vidya College of Engineering and Technology, Virudhunagar, India.² Department of Mathematics, Sri.S.R.Naidu Memorial college, Sattur, India.

Abstract: In this paper, a new class of closed set called weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed set and new class of maps namely Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg continuous map, Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg open and Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg closed maps are introduced and their properties are studied.

Keywords: $(1,2)^*$ -fwg closed, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg continuous, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg open maps, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg closed maps.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Levine [2] introduced the concept of Generalized closed sets in topological spaces. Malghan [3] introduced the concept of generalized closed maps in topological spaces. Devi [1] introduced and studied sg-closed maps and gs-closed maps. Recently, Sheik John [6] defined ω -closed maps and studied some of their properties. Ravi and Ganesan [4] have introduced the concept of \tilde{g} -closed sets and studied their most fundamental properties in topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce a new class of generalized closed sets called weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed sets which contains the above mentioned class. Also, we investigate the relationships among the related generalized closed sets. In the last section, we introduce Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg continuous map, Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg open and Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg closed maps are introduced and their properties are studied.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([5]). A subset A of a bitopological space X is called

- (1). $(1,2)^*$ - π -open set if A is the finite union of regular $(1,2)^*$ -open sets. The complement of $(1,2)^*$ - π -open sets are called $(1,2)^*$ - π -closed set.
- (2). $(1,2)^*$ - πg -closed set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ - π -open in X .

The complement of $(1,2)^*$ - πg -closed set is called $(1,2)^*$ - πg -open set.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two bitopological spaces. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called

* E-mail: saransattur@gmail.com

- (1). completely $(1,2)^*$ -continuous [5] (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -R-map [2]) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is regular $(1,2)^*$ -open in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open (resp. regular $(1,2)^*$ -open) set V of Y .
- (2). perfectly $(1,2)^*$ -continuous [5] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set V of Y .

We introduce the following definitions,

Definition 2.3. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is called

- (1). $(1,2)^*$ -f π -open set if A is the finite union of regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open sets. The complement of $(1,2)^*$ -f π -open sets are called $(1,2)^*$ -f π -closed set.
- (2). $(1,2)^*$ -f π g-closed set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ -f π -open in X . The complement of $(1,2)^*$ -f π g-closed set is called $(1,2)^*$ -f π g-open set.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzy bitopological spaces. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called

- (1). completely $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy continuous (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -fR-map) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open (resp. regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open) set V of Y .
- (2). perfectly $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set V of Y .

Definition 2.5. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is called

- (1). weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy generalized closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed) set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X .
- (2). weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy π g-closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fw π g-closed) set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ -f π -open in X .
- (3). regular weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy generalized closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -frwg-closed) set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open in X .

Remark 2.6. Every $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is $(1,2)^*$ -fsg-open but not conversely.

Remark 2.7. For a subset of a fuzzy bitopological space, we have following implications: regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open \rightarrow $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy π -open \rightarrow $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

Definition 2.8. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is said to be nowhere dense if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)) = \phi$.

Definition 2.9. Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function. Then f is said to be contra- $(1,2)^*$ -fg-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed in X for every $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set of Y .

3. Weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-Closed Sets

We introduce the definition of weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed sets in fuzzy bitopological spaces and study the relationships of such sets.

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is called a weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed) set if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) \leq U$ whenever $A \leq U$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ - $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X .

Theorem 3.2. Every $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed but not conversely.

Example 3.3. Consider the fpts (X, τ_1, τ_2) where $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$; $\tau_2 = \{0, 1\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set $= \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$ and $\tau_{1,2}$ closed set $= \{0, 1, \mu' = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b}\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set containing $\lambda = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b}$ is 1. Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\lambda) = 1$. $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing λ are 1 and μ . Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\lambda) = \chi \not\leq \mu$. Therefore λ is not $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed. Also $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(\lambda)) = 0$. Therefore λ is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed.

Theorem 3.4. Every $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -fw π g-closed but not conversely.

Proof. Let A be any $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed set and U be any $(1,2)^*$ -f π -open set containing A. Then U is a $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A. We have $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(A)) \leq U$. Thus, A is $(1,2)^*$ -fw π g-closed \square

Example 3.5. Consider the fpts (X, τ_1, τ_2) where $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}, \lambda = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}, \eta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0, 1\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets are 0, 1, $\mu = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\lambda = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\eta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ and $\tau_{1,2}$ closed sets are 0, 1, $\mu' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\lambda' = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\eta' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing $v = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ are η and 1. Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(v)) = \mu' \not\leq \eta$ and hence v is not $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed but it is $(1,2)^*$ -fw π g-closed.

Theorem 3.6. Every $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -frwg-closed but not conversely.

Proof. Let A be any $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed set and U be any regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open set containing A. Then U is a $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A. We have $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(A)) \leq U$. Thus, A is $(1,2)^*$ -frwg-closed. \square

Example 3.7. Consider the fpts (X, τ_1, τ_2) where $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}, \lambda = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}, \eta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0, 1\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets are 0, 1, $\mu = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\lambda = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\eta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ and closed sets are 0, 1, $\mu' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\lambda' = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\eta' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing $v = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ are η and 1. Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(v)) = \mu' \not\leq \eta$ and hence v is not $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed but it is $(1,2)^*$ -frwg-closed.

Theorem 3.8. If a subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed and $(1,2)^*$ -fag-closed, then it is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed in X.

Proof. Let A be an $(1,2)^*$ -fag-closed set in X and U be any $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing A. Then $U \geq (1,2)^* - \alpha cl(A) = A \cup \tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(\tau_{1,2} - cl(A)))$. Since A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed, $U \geq \tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(A))$ and hence A is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed in X. \square

Theorem 3.9. If a subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed, then it is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Proof. Since A is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed, $A \geq \tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(A)) = \tau_{1,2} - cl(A)$ and hence A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X. \square

Corollary 3.10. If a subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed, then it is both regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open and regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy closed in X.

Theorem 3.11. Let X be a fuzzy bitopological space and $A \leq X$ be $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then, A is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed if and only if A is $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed.

Proof. Let A be $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed. By Proposition 3.2, it is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed.

Conversely, let A be $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed. Since A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open, by Theorem 3.9, A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Hence A is $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed. \square

Theorem 3.12. If a set A is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed then $\tau_{1,2} - cl(\tau_{1,2} - int(A)) - A$ contains no non-empty $(1,2)^*$ -fsg-closed set.

Proof. Let F be a $(1,2)$ *-fsg-closed set such that $F \leq \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) - A$. Since F^c is $(1,2)$ *-fsg-open and $A \leq F^c$, from the definition of $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closedness, it follows that $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) \leq F^c$. That is $F \leq (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)))^c$. This implies that $F \leq (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A))) \cap (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)))^c = \phi$. \square

Theorem 3.13. *If a subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is nowhere dense, then it is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed.*

Proof. Since $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A) \leq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$ and A is nowhere dense, $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A) = \phi$. Therefore $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)) = \phi$ and hence A is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed in X . \square

The converse of Theorem 3.13 need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 3.14. Consider the fpts (X, τ_1, τ_2) where $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$; $\tau_2 \{0, 1\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set $= \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$ and $\tau_{1,2}$ closed set $= \{0, 1, \mu' = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b}\}$. Let $\lambda = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}$ be any fuzzy subset of X . $\tau_{1,2} - \text{cl}(\tau_{1,2} - \text{int}(\lambda)) = 0$, hence λ is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed but it is not nowhere dense.

Remark 3.15. From the above discussions and known results. We obtain the following diagram, where $A \rightarrow B$ represents A implies B but not conversely.

Diagram

$$\tau_{1,2}\text{-closed} \rightarrow (1,2)\text{*fwg-closed} \rightarrow (1,2)\text{*fw}\pi\text{g-closed} \rightarrow (1,2)\text{*frwg-closed}.$$

None of the above implications is reversible as shown in the above examples.

Definition 3.16. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is called $(1,2)$ *-fwg-open set if A^c is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed in X .

Proposition 3.17. Every $(1,2)$ *-fg-open set is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-open but not conversely.

Example 3.18. Consider the fpts (X, τ_1, τ_2) where $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$; $\tau_2 \{0, 1\}$, $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set $= \{0, 1, \mu = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b}\}$ and $\tau_{1,2}$ closed set $= \{0, 1, \mu' = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b}\}$ -closed set containing $\lambda = \frac{0.4}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b}$ is 1. Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - \text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$. $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set containing λ are 1 and μ . Therefore $\tau_{1,2} - \text{cl}(\lambda) = \chi \not\leq \mu$. Therefore λ is not $(1,2)$ *-fg-closed and λ^c is not $(1,2)$ *-fg-open but it is $(1,2)$ *-fwg open.

Theorem 3.19. A subset A of a fuzzy bitopological space X is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-open if $G \leq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$ whenever $G \leq A$ and G is $(1,2)$ *-fsg-closed.

Proof. Let A be any $(1,2)$ *-fwg-open. Then A^c is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed. Let G be a $(1,2)$ *-fsg-closed set contained in A . Then G^c is a $(1,2)$ *-fsg-open set containing A^c . Since A^c is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed, we have $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A^c)) \leq G^c$. Therefore $G \leq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$.

Conversely, we suppose that $G \leq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$ whenever $G \leq A$ and G is $(1,2)$ *-fsg-closed. Then G^c is a $(1,2)$ *-fsg-open set containing A^c and $G^c \geq (\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)))^c$. It follows that $G^c \geq \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A^c))$. Hence A^c is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-closed and so A is $(1,2)$ *-fwg-open. \square

Definition 3.20. Let $A \leq X$. The $(1,2)$ *-kernel of A is defined as the intersection of all $\tau_{1,2}$ -open supersets of the set A and is denoted by $(1,2)\text{*ker}(A)$.

Lemma 3.21. The following properties hold for subsets U, V of a space X :

- (1). $x \in (1,2)\text{*ker}(U)$ if and only if $U \cap F \neq \phi$ for any $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set F containing x ,
- (2). $U \leq (1,2)\text{*ker}(U)$ and $U = (1,2)\text{*ker}(U)$ if U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open in X ,

(3). if $U \leq V$, then $(1,2)^*\text{-ker}(U) \leq (1,2)^*\text{-ker}(V)$.

Theorem 3.22. *The following are equivalent for a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.*

(1). f is contra $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -continuous,

(2). the inverse image of every $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open.

Proof. Let U be any $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y . Since $Y \setminus U$ is $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open, then by (i), it follows that $f^{-1}(Y \setminus U) = X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -closed. This shows that $f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open in X . Converse is similar. \square

Theorem 3.23. *Suppose that $(1,2)^*\text{-FGC}(X)$ is closed under arbitrary intersections. Then the following are equivalent for a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.*

(1). f is contra $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -continuous,

(2). the inverse image of every $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open in X ,

(3). for each $x \in X$ and each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set B in Y with $f(x) \in B$, there exists a $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open set A in X such that $x \in A$ and $f(A) \leq B$,

(4). $f((1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(A)) \leq (1,2)^*\text{-ker}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ,

(5). $(1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}((1,2)^*\text{-ker}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $x \in X$ and B be a $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set in Y with $f(x) \in B$. By (i), it follows that $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -closed and so $f^{-1}(B)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-g}$ -open. Take $A = f^{-1}(B)$. We obtain that $x \in A$ and $f(A) \leq B$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let B be $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set in Y with $x \in f^{-1}(B)$. Since $f(x) \in B$, by (iii) there exists a $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open set A in X containing x such that $f(A) \leq B$. It follows that $x \in A \leq f^{-1}(B)$. Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Follows from the previous Theorem.

(2) \Rightarrow (4). Let A be any subset of X . Let $y \notin (1,2)^*\text{-ker}(f(A))$. Then there exists a $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set F containing y such that $f(A) \cap F = \phi$. Hence, we have $A \cap f^{-1}(F) = \phi$ and $(1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(A) \cap f^{-1}(F) = \phi$. Hence, we obtain $f((1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(A)) \cap F = \phi$ and $y \notin f((1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(A))$. Thus, $f((1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(A)) \leq (1,2)^*\text{-ker}(f(A))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Let B be any subset of Y . By (iv), $f((1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(f^{-1}(B))) \leq (1,2)^*\text{-ker}(B)$ and $(1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}((1,2)^*\text{-ker}(B))$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Let B be any $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set of Y . By (v), $(1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}((1,2)^*\text{-ker}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$ and $(1,2)^*\text{-g-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$. We obtain that $f^{-1}(B)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -closed in X . \square

4. Weakly $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -Continuous Maps

Definition 4.1. A map $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called $((1,2)^*\text{-fg}, s)$ -continuous if the inverse image of each regular $(1,2)^*\text{-fuzzy}$ open set of Y is $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -closed in X .

Definition 4.2. A space X is called $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -connected if X is not the union of two disjoint nonempty $(1,2)^*\text{-fg}$ -open sets.

Definition 4.3. A subset of a fuzzy bitopological space X is said to be

(1). almost $(1,2)^*\text{-fuzzy}$ connected if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty regular $(1,2)^*\text{-fuzzy}$ open sets.

(2). (1,2)*-fuzzy connected if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets.

Definition 4.4. Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called weakly (1,2)*-fg-continuous (briefly (1,2)*-fwg-continuous) if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a (1,2)*-fwg-open set in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set U of Y .

Theorem 4.5. Every (1,2)*-fg-continuous map is (1,2)*-fwg-continuous.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.17 □

The converse of Theorem 4.5 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 4.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzy bitopological space where $X = \{a, b, c\}$. $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$, $\tau_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.3}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\mu = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. τ_{12} -open sets are $0, 1, \lambda = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.3}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\mu = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. Let (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be a fuzzy bitopological space where $Y = \{a, b, c\}$. $\sigma_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}$. σ_{12} -open sets are $\sigma_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. Therefore (1,2)*-fg open sets are $0, 1$, $\lambda, \frac{\alpha_1}{a} + \frac{\alpha_2}{b} + \frac{\alpha_3}{c}$ where $\alpha_1 < 1$ (or) $\alpha_2 < 1$. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be the identity map. Then f is an (1,2)*-fg-open map but it is not (1,2)*-fuzzy open map.

Theorem 4.7. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a (1,2)*-fwg-closed set in X for each $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set U of Y .

Proof. Let U be any $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y . According to the assumption $f^{-1}(U^c) = X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-open in X , so $f^{-1}(U)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-closed in X .

The converse can be proved in a similar manner. □

Theorem 4.8. Suppose that X and Y are fuzzy bitopological spaces and (1,2)*-FGC(X) is closed under arbitrary intersections. If a map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is contra (1,2)*-fg-continuous and Y is (1,2)*-fuzzy regular, then f is (1,2)*-fg-continuous.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point of X and V be an $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Since Y is (1,2)*-fuzzy regular, there exists an $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open set G in Y containing $f(x)$ such that $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(G) \leq V$. Since f is contra (1,2)*-fg-continuous, there exists $U \in (1,2)\text{-FGO}(X)$ containing x such that $f(U) \leq \sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(G)$. Then $f(U) \leq \sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(G) \leq V$. Hence, f is (1,2)*-fg-continuous. □

Theorem 4.9. Suppose that X and Y are fuzzy bitopological spaces and the family of (1,2)*-fg-closed sets in X is closed under arbitrary intersections. If a map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is contra (1,2)*-fg-continuous and Y is (1,2)*-fuzzy regular, then f is (1,2)*-fwg-continuous.

Proof. The proof is obvious from Theorem 4.5. □

Definition 4.10. A fuzzy bitopological space X is said to be locally (1,2)*-fg-indiscrete if every (1,2)*-fg-open set of X is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X .

Theorem 4.11. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a map. If f is (1,2)*-fg-continuous and X is locally (1,2)*-fg-indiscrete, then f is (1,2)*-fuzzy continuous.

Proof. Let V be an $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open in Y . Since f is (1,2)*-fg-continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is (1,2)*-fg-open in X . Since X is locally (1,2)*-fg-indiscrete, $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X . Hence f is (1,2)*-fuzzy continuous. □

Theorem 4.12. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a map. If f is contra (1,2)*-fg-continuous and X is locally (1,2)*-fg-indiscrete, then f is (1,2)*-fwg-continuous.

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be contra $(1,2)^*$ -fg-continuous and X is locally $(1,2)^*$ -fg-indiscrete. By Theorem 4.11, f is $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy continuous, then f is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous by Theorem 4.5. \square

Corollary 4.13. *Let Y be a $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy regular space and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a map. Suppose that the collection of $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed sets in X is closed under arbitrary intersections. Then if f is $(1,2)^*$ -fg, s -continuous, f is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous.*

Proof. Let f be $(1,2)^*$ -fg, s -continuous. Then f is $(1,2)^*$ -fg-continuous. Thus, f is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous by Theorem 4.5. \square

Proposition 4.14. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is perfectly $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy continuous and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous, then it is $(1,2)^*$ -fR-map.*

Proof. Let V be any regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open subset of Y . According to the assumption, $f^{-1}(V)$ is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X . Since $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed, it is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed. We have $f^{-1}(V)$ is both $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed. Hence, by Corollary 3.10, it is regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open in X , so f is $(1,2)^*$ -fR-map. \square

Definition 4.15. *A bitopological space X is called $(1,2)^*$ -fg-compact (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy compact) if every cover of X by $(1,2)^*$ -fg-open (resp. $\tau_{1,2}$ -open) sets has finite subcover.*

Definition 4.16. *A bitopological space X is weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-compact (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact) if every $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open cover of X has a finite subcover.*

Remark 4.17. *Every $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact space is $(1,2)^*$ -fg-compact.*

Theorem 4.18. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be surjective $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous map. If X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact, then Y is $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy compact.*

Proof. Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be an $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open cover of Y . Then $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open cover in X . Since X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact, it has a finite subcover, say $\{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \dots, f^{-1}(A_n)\}$. Since f is surjective $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is a finite subcover of Y and hence Y is $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy compact. \square

Definition 4.19. *A fuzzy bitopological space X is weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-connected (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-connected) if X cannot be written as the disjoint union of two non-empty $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open sets.*

Theorem 4.20. *If a fuzzy bitopological space X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-connected, then X is almost $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy connected (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -fg-connected).*

Proof. It follows from the fact that each regular $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy open set (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -fg-open set) is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open. \square

Theorem 4.21. *For a fuzzy bitopological space X , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1). X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-connected.
- (2). The empty set ϕ and X are only subsets which are both $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open and $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed.
- (3). Each $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous map from X into a discrete fuzzy bitopological space Y which has at least two points is a constant map.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $S \leq X$ be any proper subset, which is both (1,2)*-fwg-open and (1,2)*-fwg-closed. Its complement $X \setminus S$ is also (1,2)*-fwg-open and (1,2)*-fwg-closed. Then $X = S \cup (X \setminus S)$ is a disjoint union of two non-empty (1,2)*-fwg-open sets which is a contradiction with the fact that X is (1,2)*-fwg-connected. Hence, $S = \phi$ or X .

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $X = A \cup B$ where $A \cap B = \phi$, $A \neq \phi$, $B \neq \phi$ and A, B are (1,2)*-fwg-open. Since $A = X \setminus B$, A is (1,2)*-fwg-closed. According to the assumption $A = \phi$, which is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a (1,2)*-fwg-continuous map where Y is a discrete fuzzy bitopological space with at least two points. Then $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ is (1,2)*-fwg-closed and (1,2)*-fwg-open for each $y \in Y$ and $X = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(\{y\}) \mid y \in Y\}$. According to the assumption, $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$ or $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$. If $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$ for all $y \in Y$, f will not be a map. Also there is no exist more than one $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$. Hence, there exists only one $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ and $f^{-1}(\{y_1\}) = \phi$ where $y \neq y_1 \in Y$. This shows that f is a constant map.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $S \neq \phi$ be both (1,2)*-fwg-open and (1,2)*-fwg-closed in X . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a (1,2)*-fwg-continuous map defined by $f(S) = \{a\}$ and $f(X \setminus S) = \{b\}$ where $a \neq b$. Since f is constant map we get $S = X$. \square

Theorem 4.22. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a (1,2)*-fwg-continuous surjective map. If X is (1,2)*-fwg-connected, then Y is (1,2)*-fuzzy connected.*

Proof. We suppose that Y is not (1,2)*-fuzzy connected. Then $Y = A \cup B$ where $A \cap B = \phi$, $A \neq \phi$, $B \neq \phi$ and A, B are $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open sets in Y . Since f is (1,2)*-fwg-continuous surjective map, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint union of two non-empty (1,2)*-fwg-open subsets. This is contradiction with the fact that X is (1,2)*-fwg-connected. \square

5. Weakly (1,2)*-fg-Open and Weakly (1,2)*-fg-Closed Maps

Definition 5.1. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be fuzzy bitopological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called weakly (1,2)*-fg-open (briefly, (1,2)*-fwg-open) if $f(V)$ is a (1,2)*-fwg-open set in Y for each $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set V of X .*

Remark 5.2. *Every (1,2)*-fg-open map is (1,2)*-fwg-open but not conversely.*

Definition 5.3. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called weakly (1,2)*-fg-closed (briefly, (1,2)*-fwg-closed) if $f(V)$ is a (1,2)*-fwg-closed set in Y for each $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set V of X .*

It is clear that an (1,2)-fuzzy open map is (1,2)*-fwg-open and a (1,2)*-fuzzy closed map is (1,2)*-fwg-closed.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be fuzzy bitopological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-closed if and only if for each subset B of Y and for each $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set G containing $f^{-1}(B)$ there exists a (1,2)*-fwg-open set F of Y such that $B \leq F$ and $f^{-1}(F) \leq G$.*

Proof. Let B be any subset of Y and let G be an $\tau_{1,2}$ -open subset of X such that $f^{-1}(B) \leq G$. Then $F = Y \setminus f(X \setminus G)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-open set containing B and $f^{-1}(F) \leq G$.

Conversely, let U be any $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed subset of X . Then $f^{-1}(Y \setminus f(U)) \leq X \setminus U$ and $X \setminus U$ is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. According to the assumption, there exists a (1,2)*-fwg-open set F of Y such that $Y \setminus f(U) \leq F$ and $f^{-1}(F) \leq X \setminus U$. Then $U \leq X \setminus f^{-1}(F)$. From $Y \setminus F \leq f(U) \leq f(X \setminus f^{-1}(F)) \leq Y \setminus F$, it follows that $f(U) = Y \setminus F$, so $f(U)$ is (1,2)*-fwg-closed in Y . Therefore f is a (1,2)*-fwg-closed map. \square

Remark 5.5. *The composition of two (1,2)*-fwg-closed maps need not be a (1,2)*-fwg-closed as we can see from the following example.*

Example 5.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzy bitopological space where $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\mu = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, 1\}$. $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\mu = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$. Then $(1,2)^*$ -fg closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\mu = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$, $\frac{\alpha_1}{a} + \frac{\alpha_2}{b} + \frac{\alpha_3}{c}$, where $0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \leq 1$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Let (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be a fuzzy bitopological space where $Y = \{a, b, c\}$. $\sigma_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}$. τ_{12} -closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$. Then $(1,2)^*$ -fg closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\frac{\alpha_1}{a} + \frac{\alpha_2}{b} + \frac{\alpha_3}{c}$, where $0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \leq 1$. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be the identity map. Then f is an $(1,2)^*$ -fg-closed map.

Let (Z, η_1, η_2) be a fuzzy bitopological space where $Z = \{a, b, c\}$. $\eta_1 = 0, 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$ and $\eta_2 = \{0, 1\}$. η_{12} -closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$. Then $(1,2)^*$ -fg closed are $0, 1$, $\lambda' = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$, $\frac{\alpha_1}{a} + \frac{\alpha_2}{b} + \frac{\alpha_3}{c}$, where $0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \leq 1$, $\alpha_3 \neq 0$

Let $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be the identity map. Then both f and g are $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed maps but their composition $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is not an $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed map, since for the τ_{12} closed set $\frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$ in X , $(g \circ f)(\frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}) = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$ which is not $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed set in Z .

Theorem 5.7. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) , (Y, σ_1, σ_2) and (Z, η_1, η_2) be fuzzy bitopological spaces. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy closed map and $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed map, then $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed map.

Definition 5.8. A map $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called a weakly $(1,2)^*$ -fg-irresolute (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute) map if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open set in X for each $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-open set U of Y .

Theorem 5.9. The composition of two $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute maps is also $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute.

Theorem 5.10. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be maps such that $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed map. Then the following statements hold:

- (1). if f is $(1,2)^*$ -fuzzy continuous and injective, then g is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed.
- (2). if g is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute and surjective, then f is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed.

Proof.

(1). Let F be a $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set of Y . Since $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X , we can conclude that $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(F))$ is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed in Z . Hence $g(F)$ is $(1,2)^*$ - $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed in Z . Thus g is a $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-closed map.

(2). It can be proved in a similar manner as (1).

□

Theorem 5.11. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute map, then it is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-continuous.

Theorem 5.12. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is surjective $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute map and X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact, then Y is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-compact.

Theorem 5.13. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is surjective $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-irresolute map and X is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-connected, then Y is $(1,2)^*$ -fwg-connected.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions for improvement of the original manuscript.

References

-
- [1] R.Devi, *Studies on generalizations of closed maps and homeomorphisms in topological spaces*, Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, (1994).
 - [2] N.Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
 - [3] S.R.Malghan, *Generalized closed maps*, J. Karnataka Univ. Sci., 27(1982), 82-88.
 - [4] O.Ravi and S.Ganesan, *\tilde{g} -closed sets in topology*, International Journal of Computer Science and Emerging Technologies, 2(2011), 330-337.
 - [5] O.Ravi, M.L.Thivagar and A.Nagarajan, *$(1,2)^*$ - αg -closed sets and $(1,2)^*$ - $g\alpha$ -closed sets*, (submitted).
 - [6] M.Sheik John, *A study on generalizations of closed sets and continuous maps in topological and bitopological spaces*, Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, (2002).