

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Some Results For Semi Derivations of Prime Near Rings

Research Article

Meram Munirathnam¹*

1 Department of Mathematics, RGUKT, R.K.Valley, Idupulapaya(vi), Vempalli (md), Kadapa(Dt), Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract: Let R be a prime near ring. By a semi-derivation associated with a function $g: R \to R$ we mean an additive mapping $f: R \to R$ such that f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + xf(y) = f(x)y + g(x)f(y) and f(g(x)) = g(f(x)) for all $x, y \in R$. In this paper we try to generalize some properties of prime rings with derivations to the prime near rings with semi-derivations. MSC: 16BXX.

Keywords: Semi derivation, Prime ring, Commuting mapping, Characteristic of a ring.(c) JS Publication.

1. Introduction

In [1], I.S. Chang, K.W. Jun and Y.S. Jung prove that if there exist a derivation D on a non-commutative 2-torsion free prime ring R such that the mapping $x \to [aD(x), x]$ is commuting on R, then a = 0 or D = 0. We proved that this conclusion for semi-derivations of prime near rings as follows in Theorem 2.1. In [2], K. Kaya, O.Golbasi, N. Aydin proved that if R is a prime ring of characteristic different from 2, D is a nonzero derivation of R, then (D(R), a) = 0, if and only if, ((R, a)) = 0. We also proved that this conclusion for semi- derivations of prime near rings as follows in Theorem 2.2.

1.1. Left Near Ring

A Left near ring is a set R with two operations + and \cdot such that (R, +) is a group and (R, \cdot) is a semi-group satisfying the left distributive law: x(y+z) = xy + xz for all x, y, z in R.

1.2. Derivation

An additive mapping D from R to R is called a derivation if D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) holds for all $x, y \in R$.

1.3. Semi-Derivation

Let R be an associative ring. An additive mapping $f : R \to R$ is called a semi-derivation associated with a function $g : R \to R$ if, for all $x, y \in R$:

(1).
$$f(xy) = f(x)g(y) + xf(y) = f(x)y + g(x)f(y);$$

(2). f(g(x)) = g(f(x)).

 $^{^{*}}$ E-mail: munirathnam1986@gmail.com

1.4. Prime

A ring R is prime if aRb = 0 implies that a = 0 or b = 0.

1.5. Center

Let R be a ring with center C(R). For any $x, y \in R$; [x, y], (x, y) will denote xyyx, xy + yx respectively.

1.6. Characteristic of a Ring

A ring R is to be n torsion free if $nx = 0, x \in R$ implies x = 0. A mapping $F : R \to R$ is said to be commuting on R if [F(x), x] = 0 holds for all $x \in R$, and is said to be centralizing on R if $[F(x), x] \in C(R)$ holds for all $x \in R$. We make extensive use of the basic commutator identities: (xy, z) = (x, z)y + x[y, z] = [x, z]y + x(y, z), [xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z].

2. Main Section

Theorem 2.1. Let R be a non-commutative 2-torsion free prime near ring and f is a semi-derivation of R with $g: R \to R$ is an onto endomorphism. If the mapping $x \to [af(x), x]$ is commuting on R, then a = 0 or f = 0.

Proof. we assume that a be a non zero element of R. Then by [[2], Theorem 1], the mapping $x \to af(x)$ is commuting on R. Thus we have

$$[af(x), x] = 0, (1)$$

for all $x \in R$. By linearizing (1), we have

$$[af(x), y] + [af(y), x] = 0$$
(2)

for all $x, y \in R$. From this relation it follows that

$$a[f(x), y] + [a, y]f(x) + a[f(y), x] + [a, x]f(y) = 0,$$
(3)

for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by yx in (2) and using (1), we get

$$= a[f(x), y]x + [a, y]f(x)x + a[f(y), x]x + [a, x]f(y)x + ag(y)[f(x), x]$$

$$= a[g(y), x]f(x) + [a, x]g(y)f(x)$$
(4)

for all $x, y \in R$. Right multiplication of (3) by x gives

$$a[f(x), y]x + [a, y]f(x)x + a[f(y), x]x + [a, x]f(y)x = 0$$
(5)

for all $x, y \in R$. Subtracting (5) from (4), we obtain

$$ag(y)[f(x), x] + a[g(y), x]f(x) + [a, x]g(y)f(x) = 0$$
(6)

for all $x, y \in R$. Taking ag(y) instead of g(y) in (6), we have

$$0 = a^{2}g(y)[f(x), x] + a^{2}[g(y), x]f(x) + a[a, x]g(y)f(x) + [a, x]ag(y)f(x)$$
(7)

for all $x, y \in R$. Left multiplication of (6) by a leads to

$$a^{2}g(y)[f(x), x] + a^{2}[g(y), x]f(x) + a[a, x]g(y)f(x) = 0$$
(8)

for all $x, y \in R$. Subtracting (8) from (7), we get

$$[a,x]ag(y)f(x) = 0 \tag{9}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Since R is prime, we obtain that for any $x \in R$ either f(x) = 0 or [a, x] = 0. It means that R is the union of its additive subgroups $P = x \in R : f(x) = 0$ and $Q = x \in R : [a, x]a = 0$. Since a group cannot be the union of two proper subgroups, we find that either P = R or Q = R. If P = R, then f = 0. If Q = R, then this implies that [a, x]a = 0, for all $x \in R$. Let us take xy instead of x in this relation. Then we get [a, x]ya = 0, for all $x \in R$. Since $a \in R$ is nonzero and R is prime, we obtain $a \in C(R)$. Thus by this and (1), the relation (6) reduces to a[g(y), x]f(x) = 0, for all $x, y \in R$. Since g is onto, we see that az[u, x]f(x) = 0, for all $x, u, z \in R$. Now by primeness of R, we obtain that [u, x]f(x) = 0, for all $x, u \in R$. Replacing u by uw, we get [u, x]wf(x) = 0, for all $x, u, w \in R$. Again using the fact that a group cannot be the union of two proper sub-groups, it follows that f = 0, since R is noncommutative. Hence we see that, in any case, f = 0. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a prime near ring of characteristic different from 2, f is a nonzero semi-derivation of R, with associated endomorphism g and $a \in R$. If $g \neq I$ (I is an identity map of R), then (f(R), a) = 0 if, and only, if f((R, a)) = 0.

Proof. Suppose (f(R), a) = 0. Firstly, we will prove that f(a) = 0. If a = 0 then f(a) = 0. So we assume that $a \in 0$. By our hypothesis, we have (f(x), a) = 0, for all $x \in R$. From this relation, we get

$$= (f(xa), a) = (f(x)g(a) + xf(a), a)$$
$$= f(x)[g(a), a] + (f(x), a)a + x(f(a), a)[x, a].$$

and so,

$$[x,a]f(a) = 0 \tag{10}$$

for all $x \in R$.cNow, replacing x by xy in (9), we get

$$[R, a]Rf(a) = (0)$$
(11)

The primeness of R implies that $a \in C(R)$ or f(a) = 0. Now suppose that $a \in C(R)$. Then we obtain that

$$0 = (f(a), a) = f(a)a + af(a) = 2af(a).$$

Since the characteristic of R is different from 2, af(a) = 0. Since we assumed that $0 \in a$ and R is prime ring, we get f(a) = 0. Hence we have

$$f((r,a)) = f(ra + ar) = (f(r), a) + (g(r), f(a)),$$

for all $x \in R$. This yields that f((R, a)) = 0. Conversely, for all $x \in R$,

$$= f((ax, a)) = f(a(x, a) + [a, a]x)$$
$$= f(a(x, a)) = f(a)(x, a) + g(a)f((x), a)$$

By hypothesis we have

$$f(a)(x,a) = 0 \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in R$. Replacing x by xy in (11), we get

$$0 = f(a)(xy, a) = f(a)x[y, a] + f(a)(x, a)y = f(a)x[y, a].$$

This implies that f(a)R[R, a] = 0. For the primeness of R, we have either f(a) = 0 or $a \in C(R)$. If f(a) = 0, then we have

$$0 = f((r), a) = (f(r), a) + (f(a), g(r)) = (f(r), a),$$

for all $r \in R$. This yields that (f(R), a) = 0. If $a \in C(R)$, then we have

$$0 = f((a, a)) = 2f(a)(a + g(a)).$$

Since the characteristic of R is different from 2, we obtain f(a)(a + g(a)) = 0. Since R is prime we have f(a) = 0 or a + g(a) = 0. But since g is different from $\mp I$ we find that f(a) = 0. Finally, (f(R), a) = 0 implies the required result. \Box

References

- [1] I.S.Chang, K.W.Jun and Y.S.Jung, On derivations in Banach algebras, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 39(4)(2002), 635-643.
- [2] K.Kaya, O.Golbasi and N.Aydin, Some results for generalized Lie ideals in prime rings with derivation II, Applied Mathematics, E-Notes, 1(2001), 24-30.
- [3] M.Bresar, On a generalization of the notation of centralizing mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 114(1992), 641-649.