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#### Abstract

In this paper, we established the general solution and generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of $\alpha$-cubic functional equation $2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]=\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]-2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z)$, where $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$ and $\beta$-cubic functional equation $\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)$, where $\beta \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Banach Space using direct and fixed point methods. MSC: $\quad 39 \mathrm{~B} 52,32 \mathrm{~B} 72,32 \mathrm{~B} 82$.
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## 1. Introduction

The survey of stability problems for functional equations is connected to the eminent Ulam problem [32] (in 1940), with reference to the stability of group homomorphisms, which was first solved by D. H. Hyers [13], in 1941. This stability problem was also generalized by a number of authors [2, 12, 25, 28, 30]. We cite also other pertinent research works $[1,11,14,16,19,29]$. The solution and stability of the following cubic functional equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(x+2 y)+3 C(x)=3 C(x+y)+C(x-y)+6 C(y),  \tag{1}\\
& f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=2 f(x+y)+2 f(x-y)+12 f(x),  \tag{2}\\
& f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)=2[f(x+y)+2 f(x+z)+2 f(y+z)+2 f(x-z)+2 f(y-z)],  \tag{3}\\
& 3 f(x+3 y)-f(3 x+y)=12[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]+80 f(y)-48 f(x),  \tag{4}\\
& g(2 x-y)+g(x-2 y)=6 g(x-y)+3 g(x)-3 g(y),  \tag{5}\\
& f(2 x \pm y \pm z)+f( \pm y \pm z)+2 f( \pm y)+2 f( \pm z) \\
& =2 f(x \pm y \pm z)+f(x \pm y)+f(x \pm z)+f(-x \pm y)+f(-x \pm z)+6 f(x),  \tag{6}\\
& k f(x+k y)-f(k x+y)=\frac{k\left(k^{2}-1\right)}{2}[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]+\left(k^{4}-1\right) f(y)-2 k\left(k^{2}-1\right) f(x), k \geq 2  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{a+\sqrt{k} b}{2} f(a x+\sqrt{k} b y)+\frac{a-\sqrt{k} b}{2} f(a x-\sqrt{k} b y)+k\left(a^{2}-k b^{2}\right) b^{2} f(y) \\
& =k(a b)^{2} f(x+y)+\left(a^{2}-k b^{2}\right) a^{2} f(x), a \neq \pm 1,0 ; b \neq \pm 1,0 ; k>0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]were investigated by J.M. Rassias [26], K.W. Jun, H.M. Kim [15], Y.S. Jung, I.S. Chang [18], K. Ravi et. al., [31], M.Arunkumar [3, 4], M.J.Rassias et. al., [17], J.M.Rassias., et.al., [27]. Now, we will recall the fundamental results in fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.1 (Banach's contraction principle). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and consider a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ which is strictly contractive mapping, that is
( $\left.A_{1}\right) \cdot d(T x, T y) \leq L d(x, y)$, for some (Lipschitz constant) $L<1$. Then,
(1). The mapping $T$ has one and only fixed point $x^{*}=T\left(x^{*}\right)$;
(2). The fixed point for each given element $x^{*}$ is globally attractive, that is
( $A_{2}$ ). $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n} x=x^{*}$, for any starting point $x \in X$;
(3). One has the following estimation inequalities:
$\left(A_{3}\right) \cdot d\left(T^{n} x, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \quad d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right), \forall n \geq 0, \forall x \in X ;$
$\left(A_{4}\right) \cdot d\left(x, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \quad d\left(x, x^{*}\right), \forall \quad x \in X$.

Theorem 1.2 (The alternative of fixed point [20]). Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space ( $X, d$ ) and a strictly contractive mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ with Lipschitz constant $L$. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either
( $\left.B_{1}\right) \cdot d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)=\infty \quad \forall n \geq 0$, or
$\left(B_{2}\right)$. there exists a natural number $n_{0}$ such that:
(1). $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$;
(2). The sequence $\left(T^{n} x\right)$ is convergent to a fixed point $y^{*}$ of $T$
(3). $y^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $Y=\left\{y \in X: d\left(T^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
(4). $d\left(y^{*}, y\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, T y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

In this paper, we established the general solution and generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of $\alpha$-cubic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]=\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]-2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$ and $\beta$-cubic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Banach Space using direct and fixed point methods.

## 2. General Solution of (9) and (10)

In this section, we present the general solution of the $\alpha$-cubic and $\beta$-cubic functional equations. To prove the solution, let us take $W$ and $Z$ be real vector spaces.

Lemma 2.1. If a mapping $f: W \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the functional equation (9), then the following properties hold
(1). $f(0)=0$,
(2). $f(a w)=a^{3} f(w)$, for all $w \in W$.
(3). $f(-z)=-f(z)$, for all $z \in W$; that is, $f$ is an odd function.

Proof.
(1). Replacing $(w, z)$ by $(0,0)$ in (9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2[\alpha+1] f(0)=2 \alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) f(0)-2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(0) \\
& \left(-2 \alpha^{3}+2 \alpha^{4}\right) f(0)=0 \\
& \left(-\alpha^{3}+\alpha^{4}\right) f(0)=0 \\
& f(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$.
(2). Setting $z$ by 0 in (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2[\alpha f(w)+f(\alpha w)]=\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w)+f(w)] \\
& {[\alpha f(w)+f(\alpha w)]=\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) f(w)} \\
& {[\alpha f(w)+f(\alpha w)]=\left[\alpha^{3}+\alpha\right] f(w)} \\
& f(\alpha w)=\alpha^{3} f(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in W$.
(3). Letting $(w, z)$ by $(0, z)$ in (9), we arrive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2[\alpha f(-\alpha z)+f(z)]=\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(z)+f(-z)]-2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z) \\
& f(-z)\left[2 \alpha^{4}-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right]=f(z)\left[-2-2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right)+\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\right] \\
& f(-z)\left[2 \alpha^{4}-\alpha^{3}-\alpha\right]=f(z)\left[-2 \alpha^{4}+\alpha^{3}+\alpha\right] \\
& f(-z)=-f(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $z \in W$, since $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$. Thus $f$ is an odd function.

Lemma 2.2. If a mapping $f: W \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the functional equation (10), then the following properties hold
(1). $f(0)=0$,
(2). $f(-z)=-f(z)$, for all $z \in W$; that is, $f$ is an odd function.
(3). $f(\beta z)=\beta^{3} f(z)$, for all $w \in W$.

Proof.
(1). Replacing $(w, z)$ by $(0,0)$ in (10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta f(0)-f(0)-[\beta f(0)-f(0)]=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(0) \\
& 2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(0)=0 \\
& f(0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\beta \neq 0, \pm 1$.
(2). Setting $(w, z)$ by $(0, z)$ in (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)-[\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)]=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in W$. Replacing $z$ by $-z$ in (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)-[\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)]=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(-z) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in W$. Adding (11) and (12), we reach

$$
f(-z)=-f(z)
$$

for all $z \in W$. Thus $f$ is an odd function.
(3). Using (2) in (11), we arrive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta f(\beta z)-f(z)+\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z) \\
& 2(\beta f(\beta z)-f(z))=2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z) \\
& \beta f(\beta z)=\beta^{4} f(z) \\
& f(\beta z)=\beta^{3} f(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $z \in W$, since $\beta \neq 0, \pm 1$.

## 3. Stability of (9)

In this section, we present the generalized Ulam - Hyers - Rassias of the $\alpha$-cubic functional equation. Throughout this section, we assume $\mathcal{W}$ be a normed space and $\mathcal{Z}$ be a Banach space.

### 3.1. Banach Space: Direct Method

Theorem 3.1. Let $a= \pm 1$ and $\Delta_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b a} w, \alpha^{b a} z\right)}{\alpha^{3 a}} \text { converges in } \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b a} w, \alpha^{b a} z\right)}{\alpha^{3 a}}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a function fulfilling the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\alpha}(w, z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ which satisfies (9) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}} \sum_{b=\frac{1-a}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b a} w, 0\right)}{\alpha^{3 b a}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\alpha^{b a} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 b a}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. Case (i): Assume $a=1$.
Replacing $(w, z)$ by $(w, 0)$ in (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 f(\alpha w)-2 \alpha^{3} f(w)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Rewriting (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(\alpha w)}{\alpha^{3}}-f(w)\right\| \leq \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)}{2 \alpha^{3}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Now replacing $w$ by $\alpha w$ and dividing by $\alpha^{3}$ in (18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{2} w\right)}{\alpha^{6}}-\frac{f(\alpha w)}{\alpha^{3}}\right\| \leq \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}(\alpha w, 0)}{2 \alpha^{6}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Combining (18), (19) and using triangle inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{2} w\right)}{\alpha^{6}}-f(w)\right\| & \leq\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{2} w\right)}{\alpha^{6}}-\frac{f(\alpha w)}{\alpha^{3}}\right\|+\left\|\frac{f(\alpha w)}{\alpha^{3}}-f(w)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}}\left[\Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)+\frac{\Delta_{\alpha}(\alpha w, 0)}{\alpha^{3}}\right] \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Generalizing, for a positive integer $c$, we land

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{c} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 c}}-f(w)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}} \sum_{b=0}^{c-1} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b} w, 0\right)}{\alpha^{3 b}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. To prove the convergence of the sequence

$$
\left\{\frac{f\left(\alpha^{c} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 c}}\right\}
$$

replacing $w$ by $\alpha^{d} w$ and dividing by $\alpha^{3 d}$ in (21), for any $c, d>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{c+d} w\right)}{\alpha^{3(c+d)}}-\frac{f\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 d}}\right\| & =\frac{1}{\alpha^{3 d}}\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{c} \cdot \alpha^{d} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 c}}-f\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}} \sum_{b=0}^{c-1} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b+d} w, 0\right)}{\alpha^{3(b+d)}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}} \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b+d} w, 0\right)}{\alpha^{3(b+d)}} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } d \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Thus it follows that the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(\alpha^{c} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 c}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy in $\mathcal{Z}$. Define a mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w): \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)=\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\alpha^{c} w\right)}{\alpha^{3 c}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Letting $c$ tends to $\infty$ in (21) and using (22), we see that (15) holds for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. In order to show that $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ satisfies (9), replacing ( $w, z$ ) by ( $\alpha^{c} w, \alpha^{c} z$ ) and dividing by $\alpha^{3 c}$ in (14), we have
$\frac{1}{\alpha^{3 c}}\left\|2\left[\alpha f\left(\alpha^{c}(w-\alpha z)\right)+f\left(\alpha^{c}(\alpha w+z)\right)\right]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left[f\left(\alpha^{c}(w+z)\right)+f\left(\alpha^{c}(w-z)\right)\right]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f\left(\alpha^{c} z\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{3 c}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{c} w, \alpha^{c} z\right)$ for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Letting $c$ tends to $\infty$ in the above inequality and using (22), we arrive

$$
\left\|2\left[\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w-\alpha z)+\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\alpha w+z)\right]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left[\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w+z)+\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w-z)\right]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(z)\right\|=0
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ satisfies (9), for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$.
To prove that $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ is unique, we assume now that there is $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}$ as another cubic mapping satisfying (9) and the inequality (15). Then it is easily note that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{s} x\right)=\alpha^{3 s} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(x), \quad \mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{s} x\right)=\alpha^{3 s} \mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}(x)
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)-\mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}(w)\right\| & =\frac{1}{\alpha^{3 d}}\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)-\mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{3 d}}\left\{\left\|\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)-f\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)\right\|+\left\|f\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)-\mathcal{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{d} w\right)\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{3}} \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}\left(\alpha^{b+d} x, 0\right)}{\alpha^{3(b+d)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore, as $d \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we arrive the uniqueness of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. Hence the theorem holds for $a=1$.
Case (ii): Assume $a=-1$.
Now replacing $w$ by $\frac{x}{w}$ in (17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(w)-\alpha^{3} f\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{w}, 0\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of case $a=1$. Thus for $a=-1$ also the theorem holds. hence the proof is complete.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 concerning the stabilities of (9).
Corollary 3.2. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exist real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left\|2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p  \tag{24}\\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p}{2\left|\alpha^{3}-1\right|}, &  \tag{25}\\ \frac{p\|| |\|^{q}}{2\left|\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p\|w\|^{2 q}}{2\left|\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. If we substitute

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(w, z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in (17) of Theorem 3.1, we reach (25) as desired.

### 3.2. Banach Space: Fixed Point Method

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\Delta_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w, \ell_{i}^{n} z\right)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\ell_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & \text { if } i=0  \tag{27}\\
\frac{1}{\alpha} & \text { if } i=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

such that the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\alpha}(w, z) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Assume that there exists $L=L(i)$ such that the function

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}, 0\right)
$$

with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i} w, 0\right)=L \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the functional equation (9) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \| \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.
Proof. Consider the set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{f_{a} / f_{a}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}, f_{a}(0)=0\right\}
$$

and introduce the generalized metric $d: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(f, f_{a}\right)=\inf \left\{\omega \in(0, \infty):\left\|f(w)-f_{a}(w)\right\| \leq \omega \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W}\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that $(\mathcal{S}, d)$ is complete with respect to the defined metric. Let us define the linear mapping $J: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by

$$
J f_{a}(x)=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} f_{a}\left(\ell_{i} x\right),
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. For given $f, f_{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\omega \in[0,1)$ be an arbitrary constant with $d\left(f, f_{a}\right) \in \omega$ that is

$$
\left\|f(w)-f_{a}(w)\right\| \leq \omega \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f(w)-f_{a}(w)\right\| & =\left\|\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} f\left(\ell_{i} w\right)-\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} f_{a}\left(\ell_{i} w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\omega}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i} w, 0\right) \\
& =L \omega \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, that is,

$$
d\left(J f, J f_{a}\right) \leq L d\left(f, f_{a}\right), \quad \forall f, f_{a} \in \mathcal{S} .
$$

This implies $J$ is a strictly contractive mapping on $\mathcal{S}$ with Lipschitz constant $L$. It follows from (31),(17) and (29) for the case $i=0$, we reach

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 f(\alpha w)-2 \alpha^{3} f(w)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(\alpha w)}{\alpha^{3}}-f(w)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha^{3}} \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|J f(w)-f(w)\| \leq L \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(J f, f) \leq L^{1-0}, f \in \mathcal{S} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $w=\frac{x}{\alpha}$ in (32) and (29) for the case $i=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 f(w)-2 \alpha^{3}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}\right)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}, 0\right), w \in \mathcal{W} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(w)-J f(w)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}, 0\right), w \in \mathcal{W} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(w)-J f(w)\| \leq L^{1-1} \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, J f) \leq L^{1-1}, f \in \mathcal{S} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (35) and (39), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(J f, f) \leq L^{1-i}, f \in \mathcal{S} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1$. Hence property (FP1) holds. It follows from property (FP2) that there exists a fixed point $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ of $J$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}} f\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. In order to show that $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ satisfies (9), replacing $(w, z)$ by $\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w, \ell_{i}^{n} z\right)$ and dividing by $\ell_{i}^{3 n}$ in (28), we have $\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}}\left\|2\left[\alpha f\left(\ell_{i}^{n}(w-\alpha z)\right)+f\left(\ell_{i}^{n}(\alpha w+z)\right)\right]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left[f\left(\ell_{i}^{n}(w+z)\right)+f\left(\ell_{i}^{n}(w-z)\right)\right]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f\left(\ell_{i}^{n} z\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w, \ell_{i}^{n} z\right)$ for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, and so the mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ is cubic. i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ satisfies the functional equation (9). By property ( FP 3 ), $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ is the unique fixed point of $J$ in the set

$$
\Delta=\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}: d\left(f, \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\right)<\infty\right\},
$$

such that

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq \omega \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

Finally by property (FP4), we obtain

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq\|f(w)-J f(w)\| .
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}
$$

which yields

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0), w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

So, the proof is completed.

Using Theorem 3.3, we prove the following corollary concerning the stabilities of (9).

Corollary 3.4. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exist real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that
$\left\|2[\alpha f(w-\alpha z)+f(\alpha w+z)]-\alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)[f(w+z)+f(w-z)]+2\left(\alpha^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}p \\ p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\}, \\ p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}\end{array}\right.$
for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p}{2 \alpha\left|\alpha^{3}-1\right|},  \tag{43}\\ \frac{p\|w\|^{q}}{2 \alpha\left|\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p\|w\|^{2 q}}{2 \alpha\left|\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. Let

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(w, z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Now

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w, \ell_{i}^{n} z\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{p}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}}, \\
\frac{p}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}}\left\{\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} w\right\|^{q}+\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} z\right\|^{q}\right\}, \\
\frac{p}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}}\left\{\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} w\right\|^{q}\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} z\right\|^{q}+\left\{\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} w\right\|^{2 q}+\left\|\ell_{i}^{n} z\right\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
\rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
\rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Thus, (26) holds. But, we have

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}, 0\right)
$$

has the property

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i} w, 0\right)=L \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Hence,

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}, 0\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{p}{2 \alpha},  \tag{44}\\
\frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}}\|w\|^{q} \\
\frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{2 q}}\|w\|^{2 q}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. It follows from (44),

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\ell_{i} w, 0\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{i}^{-3} \frac{p}{2 \alpha} \\
\ell_{i}^{q-3} \frac{p}{2 \alpha}\|w\|^{q} \\
\ell_{i}^{2 q-3} \frac{p}{2 \alpha}\|w\|^{2 q}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, the inequality (30) holds for
(i). $L=\ell_{i}^{-3}$ if $i=0$ and $L=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{-3}}$ if $i=1$;
(ii). $L=\ell_{i}^{q-3}$ for $q<3$ if $i=0$ and $L=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{q-3}}$ for $q>3$ if $i=1$;
(iii). $L=\ell_{i}^{2 q-3}$ for $2 q>3$ if $i=0$ and $L=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{q-3}}$ for $2 q>3$ if $i=1$.

Now, from (30), we prove the following cases for condition (i).

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L & =\ell_{i}^{-3}, i=0 & L & =\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{-3}}, i=1 \\
L & =\alpha^{-3}, i=0 & L & =\frac{1}{\alpha^{-3}}, i=1 \\
L & =\alpha^{-3}, i=0 & L & =\alpha^{3}, i=1 \\
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| & \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) & \left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| & \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(\alpha^{-3}\right)^{1-0}}{1-a^{-3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha} & & =\left(\frac{\left(\alpha^{3}\right)^{1-1}}{1-a^{3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha} \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha^{-3}}{1-\alpha^{-3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha} & & =\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha} \\
& =\left(\frac{p}{2 \alpha\left(\alpha^{3}-1\right)}\right) & & =\left(\frac{p}{2 \alpha\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Also, from (30), we prove the following cases for condition (ii).

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L & =\ell_{i}^{q-3}, q<3, i=0 & L & =\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{q-3}}, q>3, i=1 \\
L & =\alpha^{q-3}, q<3, i=0 & L & =\frac{1}{\alpha^{q-3}}, q<3, i=1 \\
L & =\alpha^{q-3}, q<3, i=0 & L & =\alpha^{3-q}, q>3, i=1 \\
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| & \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) & \left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(w)\right\| & \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\alpha}(w, 0) \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(\alpha^{q-3}\right)^{1-0}}{1-\alpha^{q-3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}} & & =\left(\frac{\left(\alpha^{3-q}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\alpha^{3-q}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha^{q-3}}{1-\alpha^{q-3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}} & & =\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha^{3-q}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha^{q}}{\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{q}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}} & & =\left(\frac{\alpha^{q}}{\alpha^{q}-\alpha^{3}}\right) \cdot \frac{p}{2 \alpha \cdot \alpha^{q}}
\end{array}
$$

Finally, the proof of (30) for condition (iii) is similar to that of condition (ii). Hence the proof is complete.

## 4. Stability of (10)

In this section, we present the generalized Ulam - Hyers - Rassias of the $\beta$-cubic functional equation. Throughout this section, we assume $\mathcal{W}$ be a normed space and $\mathcal{Z}$ be a Banach space.

### 4.1. Banach Space: Direct Method

Theorem 4.1. Let $a= \pm 1$ and $\Delta_{\beta}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\beta}\left(\beta^{b a} w, \beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 a}} \text { converges in } \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_{\beta}\left(\beta^{b a} w, \beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 a}}=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a function fulfilling the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\beta}(w, z) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ which satisfies (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(w)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta^{3}} \sum_{b=\frac{1-a}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\beta}\left(0, \beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 b a}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(w)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 b a}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$.
Proof. Case (i): Assume $a=1$.
Replacing $(w, z)$ by $(0, z)$ in (46) and using oddness of $f$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 \beta f(\beta z)-2 \beta^{4} f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\beta}(0, z) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Rewriting (49), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(\beta z)}{\beta^{3}}-f(z)\right\| \leq \frac{\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)}{2 \beta^{3}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 concerning the stabilities of (10).

Corollary 4.2. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exist real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p,  \tag{51}\\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\}, \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(w)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p}{2\left|\beta^{3}-1\right|},  \tag{52}\\ \frac{p| | w| |^{q}}{2\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p| | w \mid \|^{2 q}}{2\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

### 4.2. Banach Space: Fixed Point Method

Theorem 4.3. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\Delta_{\beta}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3 n}} \Delta_{\beta}\left(\ell_{i}^{n} w, \ell_{i}^{n} z\right)=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\ell_{i}= \begin{cases}\beta & \text { if } \quad i=0  \tag{54}\\ \frac{1}{\beta} & \text { if } \quad i=1\end{cases}
$$

such that the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\beta}(w, z) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Assume that there exists $L=L(i)$ such that the function

$$
\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\beta}\left(0, \frac{z}{\beta}\right)
$$

with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\beta}\left(\ell_{i} w, 0\right)=L \Delta_{\beta}(w, 0) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the functional equation (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(z)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)\right\| \| \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\beta}(0, z) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{f_{b} / f_{b}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}, f_{b}(0)=0\right\}
$$

and introduce the generalized metric $d: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(f, f_{a}\right)=\inf \left\{\omega \in(0, \infty):\left\|f(z)-f_{b}(z)\right\| \leq \omega \Delta_{\beta}(0, z), z \in \mathcal{W}\right\} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that $(\mathcal{S}, d)$ is complete with respect to the defined metric. Let us define the linear mapping $J: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by

$$
J f_{b}(x)=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} f_{b}\left(\ell_{i} x\right),
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using Theorem 4.3, we prove the following corollary concerning the stabilities of (10).
Corollary 4.4. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exists real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p  \tag{59}\\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(z)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-1\right|}, &  \tag{60}\\ \frac{p\|z\|^{q}}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p\|z\|^{2 q}}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

### 4.3. Banach Space: Direct Method: Another Way

Theorem 4.5. Let $a= \pm 1$ and $\Delta_{\beta}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ and $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be functions satisfying (45) and (46) for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$.
Then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ which satisfies (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(z)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\beta^{3}} \sum_{b=\frac{1-a}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{\beta}^{G}\left(\beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 b a}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\beta}^{G}\left(\beta^{b a} z\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(w)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\beta}^{G}\left(\beta^{b a} z\right)=\frac{1}{2 \beta}\left(\Delta_{\beta}\left(0, \beta^{b a} z\right)+\frac{1}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}\left(0, \beta^{b a} z\right)+\Delta_{\beta}\left(0,-\beta^{b a} z\right)\right]\right. \\
\left.+\frac{\beta}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}\left(0, \beta^{b a} \cdot \beta z\right)+\Delta_{\beta}\left(0,-\beta^{b a} \cdot \beta z\right)\right]\right) \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\beta^{b a} z\right)}{\beta^{3 b a}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. Case (i): Assume $a=1$. Setting $(w, z)$ by $(0, z)$ in (46), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)-[\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq \Delta_{\beta}(0, z) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Replacing $z$ by $-z$ in (64), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)-[\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(-z)\right\| \Delta_{\beta}(0,-z) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. From (64) and (65), we arrive

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)+2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(-z)\right\| \leq & \left\|\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)-[\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \\
& +\left\|\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)-[\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(-z)\right\| \\
\leq & \Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-z) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Rewriting (66), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(z)+f(-z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-z)\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Replacing $z$ by $\beta z$ and multiplying both sides by $\beta$ on (67), we land

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\|f(\beta z)+f(-\beta z)\| \leq \frac{\beta}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, \beta z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-\beta z)\right] \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. With the help of (64), (67) and (68) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\| 2 \beta f(\beta z)-2 \beta^{4} f(z)\right] \|= & \left\|\beta f(\beta z)+\beta f(\beta z)+\beta f(-\beta z)-\beta f(-\beta z)-f(z)-f(-z)-f(z)+f(-z)-2 \beta^{4} f(z)+2 f(z)\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\beta f(\beta z)-f(z)-[\beta f(-\beta z)-f(-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \\
& \quad+\|-f(-z)-f(z)\|+\|\beta f(\beta z)+\beta f(-\beta z)\| \\
\leq & \Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\frac{1}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-z)\right]+\frac{\beta}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, \beta z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-\beta z)\right] \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. It follows from (69), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(\beta z)}{\beta^{3}}-f(z)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta^{4}}\left(\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\frac{1}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-z)\right]+\frac{\beta}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, \beta z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-\beta z)\right]\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \beta}\left(\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\frac{1}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-z)\right]+\frac{\beta}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)}\left[\Delta_{\beta}(0, \beta z)+\Delta_{\beta}(0,-\beta z)\right]\right) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Using (74) in (73), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(\beta z)}{\beta^{3}}-f(z)\right\| \leq \frac{\Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z)}{\beta^{3}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 concerning the stabilities of (10).
Corollary 4.6. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exist real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p  \tag{73}\\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(w)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(w)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p\left(\beta^{3}+1\right)}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)\left|\beta^{3}-1\right|},  \tag{74}\\ \frac{p\left(\beta^{3}+\beta^{q}\right)\|z\|^{q}}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p\left(\beta^{3}+\beta^{2 q}\right)\|z\|^{2 q}}{2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right)\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

### 4.4. Banach Space: Fixed Point Method: Another Way

Theorem 4.7. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\Delta_{\beta}: \mathcal{W}^{2} \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the condition (53) where $\ell_{i}$ is defined in (54) such that the functional inequality (55) holds for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$. Assume that there exists $L=L(i)$ such that the function

$$
\Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\beta}^{G}\left(\frac{z}{\beta}\right)
$$

with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} \Delta_{\beta}^{G}\left(\ell_{i} z\right)=L \Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the functional equation (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(z)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)\right\| \| \leq\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\right) \Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$.
Proof. Consider the set $\mathcal{S}=\left\{f_{c} / f_{c}: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}, f_{c}(0)=0\right\}$ and introduce the generalized metric $d: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(f, f_{c}\right)=\inf \left\{\omega \in(0, \infty):\left\|f(z)-f_{c}(z)\right\| \leq \omega \Delta_{\beta}^{G}(z), z \in \mathcal{W}\right\} . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that $(\mathcal{S}, d)$ is complete with respect to the defined metric. Let us define the linear mapping $J: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $J f_{c}(z)=\frac{1}{\ell_{i}^{3}} f_{c}\left(\ell_{i} z\right)$, for all $z \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using Theorem 4.7, we prove the following corollary concerning the stabilities of (10).
Corollary 4.8. Let $f: \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a mapping. If there exist real numbers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta f(w+\beta z)-f(\beta w+z)-[\beta f(w-\beta z)-f(\beta w-z)]-2\left(\beta^{4}-1\right) f(z)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p  \tag{78}\\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}+\|z\|^{q}\right\} \\
p\left\{\|w\|^{q}\|z\|^{q}+\left\{\|w\|^{2 q}+\|z\|^{2 q}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $w, z \in \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unique cubic function $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(z)-\mathcal{C}_{\beta}(z)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{p}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-1\right|}, &  \tag{79}\\ \frac{p\|z\|^{q}}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{q}\right|}, & q \neq 3 \\ \frac{p\|z\|^{2 q}}{2 \beta\left|\beta^{3}-\beta^{2 q}\right|}, & 2 q \neq 3\end{cases}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.
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