



g^* -closed Sets with Respect to an Ideal

Research Article

K.M.Dharmalingam¹, D.Bharathi² and O.Ravi^{3*}

1 Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, Theni Kammavar Sangam College of Technology, Theni, Tamil Nadu, India.

3 Department of Mathematics, P.M.Thevar College, Usilampatti, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: An ideal on a set X is a non empty collection of subsets of X with heredity property which is also closed under finite unions. The concept of generalized closed (g -closed) sets was introduced by Levine [10]. Quite Recently, Jafari and Rajesh [7] have introduced and studied the notion of generalized closed (g -closed) sets with respect to an ideal. Many generalizations of g -closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern researchers. One among them is g^* -closed sets which were introduced by Veerakumar [17]. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the concept of g^* -closed sets with respect to an ideal.

MSC: 54C10.

Keywords: Topological space, open set, g^* closed set, g -closed set, \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed set, ideal.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of closed set is fundamental in the study of topological spaces. In 1970, Levine [10] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in a topological space by comparing the closure of a subset with its open supersets. He defined a subset A of a topological space X to be generalized closed (briefly, g -closed) if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open. This notion has been studied extensively in recent years by many topologists. After advent of g -closed sets, many generalizations of g -closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern topologists. One among them is g^* -closed sets which were introduced by Veerakumar [17]. Indeed ideals are very important tools in General Topology. It was the works of Newcomb [11], Rancin [12], Samuels [14] and Hamlett and Jankovic (see [3-6, 8]) which motivated the research in applying topological ideals to generalize the most basic properties in General Topology. A nonempty collection \mathcal{I} of subsets on a topological space (X, τ) is called a topological ideal [9] if it satisfies the following two conditions:

1. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in \mathcal{I}$ (heredity)
2. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ (finite additivity)

If A is a subset of a topological space (X, τ) , $\text{cl}(A)$ and $\text{int}(A)$ denote the closure of A and the interior of A , respectively. Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$. Then $\text{cl}_B(A)$ (resp. $\text{int}_B(A)$) denotes closure of A (resp. interior of A) with respect to B . In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of g^* -closed sets with respect to an ideal, which is the extension of the concept of g^* -closed sets. The following Definitions and Remarks are useful in the sequel.

* E-mail: siingam@yahoo.com

Definition 1.1. A subset A of a topological space X is regular open [15] if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$.

Definition 1.2. The finite union of regular open sets is called π -open [18]. The complement of π -open set is π -closed [18].

Definition 1.3. A subset A of a topological space X is called π -generalized closed (briefly, πg -closed) [2] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is π -open.

Definition 1.4. A subset A of a topological space X is called generalized closed (briefly, g -closed) [10] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open. The complement of g -closed set is g -open.

Definition 1.5. A subset A of a topological space X is called g^* -closed [17] or strongly g -closed [16] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g -open.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . A subset A of X is said to be generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly \mathcal{I}_g -closed) [7] if and only if $\text{cl}(A) - B \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq B$ and B is open.

Remark 1.7 ([17]). For a subset of a topological space, the following properties hold:

1. Every closed set is g^* -closed but not conversely.
2. Every g^* -closed set is g -closed but not conversely.

Remark 1.8 ([7]). Every g -closed set is \mathcal{I}_g -closed but not conversely.

Definition 1.9 ([13]). Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . A subset A of X is said to be π -generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed) if and only if $\text{cl}(A) - B \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq B$ and B is π -open.

Remark 1.10 ([13]). For several subsets defined above, we have the following implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{\pi g}\text{-closed set} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \text{closed set} & \longrightarrow & g\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \pi g\text{-closed set}
 \end{array}$$

The reverse implications are not true.

Remark 1.11 ([10]). The intersection of a g -closed set and a closed set is g -closed.

Definition 1.12 ([1]). A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called gc -irresolute if the inverse image of g -closed set of Y is g -closed in X .

2. g^* -Closed Sets with Respect to an Ideal

Definition 2.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . A subset A of X is said to be g^* -closed with respect to an ideal (briefly \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed) if and only if $\text{cl}(A) - B \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq B$ and B is g -open.

Remark 2.2. Every g^* -closed set is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed, but the converse need not be true, as this may be seen from the following Example.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $\{b\}$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed but not g^* -closed.

The following theorem gives a characterization of \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed sets.

Theorem 2.4. A set A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) if and only if $F \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - A$ and F is g -closed in X implies $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Assume that A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. Let $F \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - A$. Suppose F is g -closed. Then $A \subseteq X - F$. By our assumption, $\text{cl}(A) - (X - F) \in \mathcal{I}$. But $F \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - (X - F)$ and hence $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Conversely, assume that $F \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - A$ and F is g -closed in X implies that $F \in \mathcal{I}$. Suppose $A \subseteq U$ and U is g -open. Then $\text{cl}(A) - U = \text{cl}(A) \cap (X - U)$ is a g -closed set in X , that is contained in $\text{cl}(A) - A$. By assumption, $\text{cl}(A) - U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. □

Theorem 2.5. If A and B are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed sets of (X, τ) , then their union $A \cup B$ is also \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed.

Proof. Suppose A and B are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed sets in (X, τ) . If $A \cup B \subseteq U$ and U is g -open, then $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq U$. By assumption, $\text{cl}(A) - U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\text{cl}(B) - U \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $\text{cl}(A \cup B) - U = (\text{cl}(A) - U) \cup (\text{cl}(B) - U) \in \mathcal{I}$. That is $A \cup B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. □

Remark 2.6. The intersection of two \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed sets need not be an \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed as shown by the following Example.

Example 2.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{d\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $B = \{a, b, d\}$ are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed but their intersection $A \cap B = \{a, b\}$ is not \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed.

Remark 2.8. Every \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed set is \mathcal{I}_g -closed but not conversely.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $\{b\}$ is \mathcal{I}_g -closed but not \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed.

Remark 2.10. For several subsets defined above, we have the following implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{I}_{g^*}\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{\pi g}\text{-closed set} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \text{closed set} & \longrightarrow & g^*\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \pi g\text{-closed set}
 \end{array}$$

The reverse implications are not true.

Theorem 2.11. If A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ in (X, τ) , then B is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) .

Proof. Suppose A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ in (X, τ) . Suppose $B \subseteq U$ and U is g -open. Then $A \subseteq U$. Since A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed, we have $\text{cl}(A) - U \in \mathcal{I}$. Now $B \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$. This implies that $\text{cl}(B) - U \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - U \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence B is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) . □

Theorem 2.12. Let $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and suppose that A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) . Then A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed relative to the subspace Y of X , with respect to the ideal $\mathcal{I}_Y = \{F \subseteq Y : F \in \mathcal{I}\}$.

Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq U \cap Y$ and U is g -open in (X, τ) , then $A \subseteq U$. Since A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) , we have $\text{cl}(A) - U \in \mathcal{I}$. Now $(\text{cl}(A) \cap Y) - (U \cap Y) = (\text{cl}(A) - U) \cap Y \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $A \subseteq U \cap Y$ and U is g -open. Hence A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed relative to the subspace Y . □

Theorem 2.13. Let A be an \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed set and F be a closed set in (X, τ) , then $A \cap F$ is an \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let $A \cap F \subseteq U$ and U is g -open. Then $A \subseteq U \cup (X - F)$. Since A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed, we have $\text{cl}(A) - (U \cup (X - F)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Now, $\text{cl}(A \cap F) \subseteq \text{cl}(A) \cap F = (\text{cl}(A) \cap F) - (X - F)$. Therefore, $\text{cl}(A \cap F) - U \subseteq (\text{cl}(A) \cap F) - (U \cup (X - F)) \subseteq \text{cl}(A) - (U \cup (X - F)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence $A \cap F$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in (X, τ) . □

Definition 2.14. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . A subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be g^* -open with respect to an ideal (briefly \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open) if and only if $X-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed.

Theorem 2.15. A set A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open in (X, τ) if and only if $F-U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$, whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is g -closed.

Proof. Suppose A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open. Suppose $F \subseteq A$ and F is g -closed. We have $X-A \subseteq X-F$. By assumption, $\text{cl}(X-A) \subseteq (X-F) \cup U$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies $X-((X-F) \cup U) \subseteq X-\text{cl}(X-A)$ and hence $F-U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$.

Conversely, assume that $F \subseteq A$ and F is g -closed. Then $F-U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Consider an g -open set G such that $X-A \subseteq G$. Then $X-G \subseteq A$. By assumption, $(X-G)-U \subseteq \text{int}(A) = X-\text{cl}(X-A)$. This gives that $X-(G \cup U) \subseteq X-\text{cl}(X-A)$. Then, $\text{cl}(X-A) \subseteq G \cup U$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$.

This shows that $\text{cl}(X-A)-G \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence $X-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. □

Recall that the sets A and B are said to be separated if $\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap \text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.16. If A and B are separated \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open sets in (X, τ) , then $A \cup B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open.

Proof. Suppose A and B are separated \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open sets in (X, τ) and F be a g -closed subset of $A \cup B$. Then $F \cap \text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$ and $F \cap \text{cl}(B) \subseteq B$. By assumption, $(F \cap \text{cl}(A))-U_1 \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ and $(F \cap \text{cl}(B))-U_2 \subseteq \text{int}(B)$, for some $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. It means that $((F \cap \text{cl}(A))-\text{int}(A)) \in \mathcal{I}$ and $((F \cap \text{cl}(B))-\text{int}(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $((F \cap \text{cl}(A))-\text{int}(A)) \cup ((F \cap \text{cl}(B))-\text{int}(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$.

Hence $(F \cap (\text{cl}(A) \cup \text{cl}(B))-(\text{int}(A) \cup \text{int}(B))) \in \mathcal{I}$. But $F = F \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq F \cap \text{cl}(A \cup B)$, and we have $F-\text{int}(A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap \text{cl}(A \cup B))-\text{int}(A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap \text{cl}(A \cup B))-(\text{int}(A) \cup \text{int}(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, $F-U \subseteq \text{int}(A \cup B)$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This proves that $A \cup B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open. □

Corollary 2.17. Let A and B be \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed sets and suppose $X-A$ and $X-B$ are separated in (X, τ) . Then $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed.

Corollary 2.18. If A and B are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open sets in (X, τ) , then $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open.

Proof. If A and B are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open, then $X-A$ and $X-B$ are \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. By Theorem 2.5, $X-(A \cap B)$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed, which implies $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open. □

Theorem 2.19. If $\text{int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open in (X, τ) , then B is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open in X .

Proof. Suppose $\text{int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open. Then $X-A \subseteq X-B \subseteq \text{cl}(X-A)$ and $X-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. By Theorem 2.11, $X-B$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed and hence B is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open. □

Theorem 2.20. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then a set A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in X if and only if $\text{cl}(A)-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open in X .

Proof. **Necessity:** Suppose $F \subseteq \text{cl}(A)-A$ and F be g -closed. Then by Theorem 2.4, $F \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that $F-U = \emptyset$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Clearly, $F-U \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)-A)$. By Theorem 2.15, $\text{cl}(A)-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -open.

Sufficiency: Suppose $A \subseteq G$ and G is g -open in (X, τ) . Then $\text{cl}(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq \text{cl}(A) \cap (X-A) = \text{cl}(A)-A$. By hypothesis, $(\text{cl}(A) \cap (X-G))-U \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)-A) = \emptyset$, for some $U \in \mathcal{I}$. This implies that $\text{cl}(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq U \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $\text{cl}(A)-G \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. □

Theorem 2.21. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be g -irresolute and closed. If $A \subseteq X$ is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed in X , then $f(A)$ is $f(\mathcal{I})_{g^*}$ -closed in (Y, σ) , where $f(\mathcal{I}) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{I}\}$.*

Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq X$ and A is \mathcal{I}_{g^*} -closed. Suppose $f(A) \subseteq G$ and G is g -open. Then $A \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$. By definition, $\text{cl}(A) - f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $f(\text{cl}(A)) - G \in f(\mathcal{I})$. Since f is closed, $\text{cl}(f(A)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) = f(\text{cl}(A))$. Then $\text{cl}(f(A)) - G \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A)) - G \in f(\mathcal{I})$ and hence $f(A)$ is $f(\mathcal{I})_{g^*}$ -closed. \square

References

- [1] K.Balachandran, P.Sundaram and H.Maki, *On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A (Math.) 12(1991), 5-13.
- [2] J.Dontchev and T.Noiri, *Quasi-normal spaces and πg -closed sets*, Acta Math. Hungar., 89(3)(2000), 211-219.
- [3] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, *Compactness with respect to an ideal*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., (7), 4-B(1990), 849-861.
- [4] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, *Ideals in topological spaces and the set operator*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1990), 863-874.
- [5] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, *Ideals in General Topology and Applications (Midletown, CT, 1988)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Dekker, New York, (1990), 115-125.
- [6] T.R.Hamlett and D.Jankovic, *Compatible extensions of ideals*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1992), 453-465.
- [7] S.Jafari and N.Rajesh, *Generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal*, European J. Pure Appl. Math., 4(2)(2011), 147-151.
- [8] D.Jankovic and T.R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, Amer. Math. Month., 97(1990), 295-310.
- [9] K.Kuratowski, *Topologies I*, Warszawa, (1933).
- [10] N.Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [11] R.L.Newcomb, *Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. Cal. at Santa Barbara, (1967).
- [12] D.V.Rancin, *Compatness modulo an ideal*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 13(1972), 193-197.
- [13] O.Ravi, M.Suresh and A.Pandi, *π -Generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal*, Submitted.
- [14] P.Samuels, *A topology from a given topology and ideal*, J. London Math. Soc., (2)(10)(1975), 409-416.
- [15] M.H.Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1937), 375-481.
- [16] P.Sundaram and A.Pushpalatha, *Strongly generalized closed sets in topological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 3(4)(2001), 563-575.
- [17] M.K.R.S.Veerakumar, *Between closed sets and g -closed sets*, Mem. Fac. . Sci. Kochi. Univ. Ser. A. Math., 21(2000), 1-19.
- [18] V.Zaitsev, *On certain classes of topological spaces and their bicompatifications*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 178(1968), 778-779.