



# On Goldberg $q^{th}$ Order and Goldberg $q^{th}$ Type of an Entire Function Represented by Multiple Dirichlet Series

Research Article

Udai Veer Singh<sup>1</sup> and Anupma Rastogi<sup>1,2\*</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper we consider entire function represented by multiple Dirichlet series in several complex variables. Also consider product of the class of entire function, we then characterized the  $q^{th}$  order and  $q^{th}$  type of an entire function represented and express in terms of its coefficient and exponent.

**Keywords:** Entire function, Multiple Dirichlet series, Gol'dberg order, Gol'dberg type.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we denote complex and real  $n$ -space by  $C^n$  and  $R^n$  respectively. We denote this  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  ( $\text{Re } s_1, \text{Re } s_2, \dots, \text{Re } s_n$ ) with their corresponding unsuffixed symbols  $s, \text{Re}(s)$  etc. respectively. We define  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ .

$$xy = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n)$$

$$\|x\| = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

$$x + r = (x_1 + r, x_2 + r, \dots, x_n + r), r \in R \text{ and } x, y \in C.$$

For some  $k \in I$ , integers  $f^k$  denote the  $\frac{\partial^{|k|} f}{\partial s_1^{k_1} \dots \partial s_n^{k_n}}$ . We denote the  $n$ -tuple  $(\lambda_{1m_1}, \lambda_{2m_2}, \dots, \lambda_{nm_n})$  by  $\lambda_{n,m}$  and consider the multiple Dirichlet series

$$f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_m \in C$   $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j \in C$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$  and  $\lambda_{n,m}$  satisfies the conditions.

$$0 < \lambda_{p1} < \lambda_{p2} < \lambda_{p3} < \dots < \lambda_{pn} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Also

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m_j}{\lambda_j m_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

\* E-mail: [anupmarastogi13121993@gmail.com](mailto:anupmarastogi13121993@gmail.com)

then the domain of absolute convergence of the series (1) coincides with its domain of convergence for two entire functions  $f$  &  $g$ , their product is defined by Alam [2]

$$f \star g = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m b_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\},$$

where

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$g(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}, \tag{5}$$

for  $k \in I$ , where  $I$  is the set of positive integers, and also defined by Hadamard [2]

$$f^k(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,m}^k a_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}, \tag{6}$$

$$f^k(s) \star g^k(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m b_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}. \tag{7}$$

**Definition 1.1.** Poly half plane  $D$  as  $D = \{s : s \in C^n, Re(s) = \sigma \leq l\}$ . Then the region  $D + r$  depending on the parameter  $r \in R$  is defined as  $D + r = \{s + r : s \in D\}$ . For any  $f \in F$ , where  $F$  stands for the family of multiple Dirichlet series. We define the maximum modulus

$$M_{f,D}(\sigma) = \sup\{f(s) : s \in D + r\}.$$

Also the maximum term

$$\mu_f = \mu_f(\sigma)$$

at  $\sigma \in R^n$ , is defined by

$$\mu_{f,D}(\sigma) = \sup_{m \in I^n} \{|a_m| \exp\{\sigma \lambda_{n,m}\}\}. \tag{8}$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f$  be an entire function and  $D$  be the fundamental domain. Also let  $U_f$  be the set of all points  $\alpha \in R$  such that for every  $\alpha \in U_f$  then there exist real number i.e.  $\sigma_o \in R$  s.t.

$$\log m_{f,D}(\sigma) \leq \sigma^\alpha \text{ for } \sigma \geq \sigma_o.$$

The infimum of the set  $U_f$  is called the Gol'dberg order  $\rho(D)$  of  $f$  with respect to the region  $D$ . We say that  $f(s)$  is of infinite or finite Gol'dberg order according as  $U_f$  is empty or nonempty. Next for the Gol'dberg order  $\rho(D) > 0$ , let  $K_f(\rho)$  be the set of all  $k \in R$  such that  $\log M_{f,D}(\sigma) \leq k(\sigma)^\rho$  for  $\sigma \geq \sigma_o$ . The infimum of the set  $K_f(\rho)$  is called Gol'dberg type  $T(D)$  of  $f$  corresponding to  $\rho(D)$ . As before we say that  $f(s)$  is infinite or finite Gol'dberg type according as  $K_f(\rho)$  is empty or nonempty. Form the definition it follows easily that

$$\rho(D) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma}$$

and

$$T(D) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho(D)}}.$$

These were defined by Gol'dberg [1]. We define  $q^{th}$  Gol'dberg order and  $q^{th}$  Gol'dberg type  $T^q(D)$  of entire function  $f$  in corresponding domain  $D$ .

$$\rho^q(D) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} \tag{9}$$

and

$$T^q(D) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log[q-1] M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho^q(D)}}. \tag{10}$$

## 2. Main Results

**Lemma 2.1.** *The function  $f^k(s) \star g^k(s)$  as defined (7) is an entire function.*

Result is already proved by Alam [2].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be an entire function defined in domain  $D$  and  $k \in R$  then*

(i)  $\rho^q(D+k) = \rho^q(D)$

(ii)  $\rho^q(D) > 0$ , then  $T^q(D+k) = T^q(D)$ .

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $k \in R$ , then from definition (9)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f,D}(\sigma+k)}{\log \sigma} \cdot \frac{\log \sigma}{\log(\sigma+k)} \\ &= \rho^q(D+k). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By definition (10)

$$\begin{aligned} T^q(D) &= \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[q-1] M_{f,D}(\sigma+k)}{(\sigma+k)^{\rho^q(D+k)}} \\ &= \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[q-1] M_{f,D}(\sigma+k)}{(\sigma)^{\rho^q(D)}} \frac{(\sigma)^{\rho^q(D)}}{(\sigma+k)^{\rho^q(D+k)}} \\ &= T^q(D+k). \end{aligned}$$

□

Here we may write  $\rho^q$  instead of  $\rho^q(D)$ . It is also know by Sarkar [3] that

$$\rho = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{||\lambda_{n,m}|| \log ||\lambda_{n,m}||}{-\log |a_m|}$$

and

$$\rho_k = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{||\lambda_{n,m}|| \log ||\lambda_{n,m}||}{-\log |a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}$$

$q^{th}$  order and type defined by Bajpai, Kapoor, Juneja [4] as

$$\rho = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{||\lambda_n|| \log^{[q-1]} ||\lambda_n||}{\log |a_n|}$$

and

$$T = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{p}{n}} \log^{[q-2]} \frac{n}{e\rho}. \tag{11}$$

We can prove in similar way for the  $q^{th}$  Gol'dberg order

$$\rho^q = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{||\lambda_{n,m}|| \log^{[q-1]} ||\lambda_{n,m}||}{-\log |a_m|}$$

and

$$\rho_k^q = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{||\lambda_{n,m}|| \log^{[q-1]} ||\lambda_{n,m}||}{-\log |a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}. \tag{12}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be entire function, where

$$f^k(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,m}^k a_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}$$

and

$$g^k(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,m}^k b_m \exp\{s\lambda_{n,m}\}$$

having  $q^{\text{th}}$  Gol'dberg order  $\rho_{k_f}^q$  ( $0 < \rho_{k_f}^q < \infty$ ) and  $\rho_{k_g}^q$  ( $0 < \rho_{k_g}^q < \infty$ ) respectively. Then  $f^k(s) \star g^k(s)$  is an entire function with  $q^{\text{th}}$  Gol'dberg order  $\rho_k^q$  such that  $\rho_k^q \leq (\rho_{k_f}^q \rho_{k_g}^q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  provided that

$$\log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m b_m|} \sim \left\{ \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m|} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} b_m|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $f^k(s) \star g^k(s)$  is an entire function by lemma (2.1). Now

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log |a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}{\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log |b_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}{\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|} \quad \text{for } \epsilon > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \frac{\log \frac{1}{|a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}}{\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|}$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \frac{\log \frac{1}{|b_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}}{\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|}$$

$$\frac{\log \frac{1}{|a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|} \log \frac{1}{|b_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|}}{(\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|)^2} \geq \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)$$

$$\frac{\left\{ \log \frac{1}{|a_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|} \log \frac{1}{|b_m \lambda_{n,m}^k|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|)} \geq \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now if

$$\log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m b_m|} \sim \left\{ \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m|} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} b_m|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then

$$\frac{\log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m b_m|}}{(\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|)} \geq \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n,m}^{2k} a_m b_m|}}{(\|\lambda_{n,m}\| \log^{[q-1]} \|\lambda_{n,m}\|)} \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q} \frac{1}{\rho_{k_g}^q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho_k^q} &\geq \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_{k_f}^q \rho_{k_g}^q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\Rightarrow \rho_k^q \leq (\rho_{k_f}^q \rho_{k_g}^q)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f^k$  and  $g^k$  be an entire function with  $q^{th}$  Gol'dberg order  $\rho_{k_f}^q$  ( $0 < \rho_{k_f}^q < \infty$ ) and  $\rho_{k_g}^q$  ( $0 < \rho_{k_g}^q < \infty$ ) respectively and also  $q^{th}$  Gol'dberg type  $T_{k_f}^q$  and  $T_{k_g}^q$ . Then

$$\rho_k^q \leq \rho_{k_f}^q + \rho_{k_g}^q \text{ and also } T_k^q \leq T_{k_f}^q(D)T_{k_g}^q(D).$$

*Proof.* We have from (9)

$$\rho_{k_f}^q(D) = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f^k, D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma}$$

and

$$\rho_{k_g}^q(D) = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{g^k, D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma},$$

we know that

$$(\rho_{k_f}^q \rho_{k_g}^q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\rho_{k_f}^q + \rho_{k_g}^q}{2} \leq \rho_{k_f}^q + \rho_{k_g}^q$$

from theorem (2.3)

$$\rho_k^q \leq \rho_{k_f}^q + \rho_{k_g}^q.$$

Again from (10)

$$\frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_{k_f}^q(D)}} < T_{k_f}^q(D) + \epsilon$$

$$\frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{g^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_{k_g}^q(D)}} < T_{k_g}^q(D) + \epsilon$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_{k_f}^q(D)}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{g^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_{k_g}^q(D)}} \right\} < (T_{k_f}^q(D) + \epsilon)(T_{k_g}^q(D) + \epsilon)$$

$$\{\log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k, D}(\sigma)\} \{\log^{[q-1]} M_{g^k, D}(\sigma)\} \sim \log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k * g^k, D}(\sigma)$$

then

$$\frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k * g^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_{k_f}^q(D) + \rho_{k_g}^q(D)}} < (T_{k_f}^q(D) + \epsilon)(T_{k_g}^q(D) + \epsilon).$$

Thus if

$$\rho_k^q = \rho_{k_f}^q + \rho_{k_g}^q$$

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q-1]} M_{f^k * g^k, D}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{\rho_k^q}} \leq T_{k_f}^q(D)T_{k_g}^q(D)$$

$$T_k^q \leq T_{k_f}^q(D)T_{k_g}^q(D).$$

□

**Theorem 2.5.** If  $\rho^q(D) = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f, D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma}$  then

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f, D}(l + \sigma)}{\log \sigma} \leq \rho$$

and

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f, D}(l + \sigma + \epsilon)}{\log \sigma} \geq \frac{\rho}{k},$$

where  $k$  is positive constant.

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an entire function defined in domain  $D$  and  $k \in R$  then

$$\mu_f(l + \sigma) \leq M_{f,D}(\sigma) \leq k\mu_f(l + \sigma + \epsilon)$$

where  $k$  is positive constant. This result is proved by Sarkar [3]. By above result

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f,D}(l + \sigma)}{\log \sigma} &\leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} \\ \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f,D}(l + \sigma)}{\log \sigma} &\leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f,D}(l + \sigma + \epsilon)}{\log \sigma} &\geq \frac{1}{k} \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} M_{f,D}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} \\ \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]} \mu_{f,D}(l + \sigma + \epsilon)}{\log \sigma} &\geq \frac{\rho}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referees for his helpful suggestion and guidance for preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] A.A.Gold'berg, *Elementary remarks on the formula defining order and type of several complex variable*, Russian Dokl .Akad .Nauk.Army SSR, (1959), 145-151.
- [2] Alam Feruj, *Gol'dberg order and Gol'dberg type of entire functions represented by multiple Dirichlet series*, Gan-itj.Bangladesh Math.Soc, 29(2009), 63-70.
- [3] P.K.Sarkar, *Gol'dberg order and Gol'dberg type of entire functions of several complex variables represented by multiple Dirichlet series*, Indian J.of pure and App.Math, 13(1982), 1221-1229.
- [4] S.K.Bajpai, G.P.Kapoor and O.P.Juneja, *On the entire function of fast growth*, Transaction of the America Mathematics Society, (1975).