

Homogenization of a Nonlinear Fibre-Reinforced Structure with Contact Conditions on the Interface Matrix-Fibres

Research Article

H.Samadi^{1*} and M.El Jarroudi²

1 National School of Applied Science, LABTIC, UAE, Tangier, Morocco.

2 Faculty of Science and Technics, Department of Mathematics, UAE, Tangier, Morocco.

Abstract: We study the homogenization of a nonlinear problem posed in a fibre-reinforced composite with matrix-fibres interfacial condition depending on a parameter $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon)$, ε being the size of the basic cell. Using Γ -convergence methods, three homogenized problems are determined according to the limit of the ratio $\gamma = \frac{\lambda(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$. The main result is that the effective constitutive relations reveal non-local terms associated with the microscopic interactions between the matrix and the fibers.

Keywords: Composite material, periodic fibres, interfacial conditions, Γ -convergence, homogenized models.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The nonlinear differential equation:

$$(-div(|\nabla u|) = f, p > 1), \quad (1)$$

together with appropriate boundary conditions, describes a variety of physical phenomena. This equation appears in some nonlinear diffusion problems [3] as well as in the nonlinear filtration theory of gases and liquids in cracked porous media (see for instance [6]). This equation also occurs in plasticity problems involving a power-hardening stress-strain law given by

$$e_{ij}(u) = \lambda |\sigma(u)|^{q-2} \sigma_{ij}(u); \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

linking the stress tensor σ to the strain tensor $e(u) = (e_{ij}(u))_{i,j=1,2,3}$, with

$$e_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

through the Northon-Hoff law, where $q > 1$ is the power-hardening parameter and λ is a non-dimensional positive constant.

For a two-dimensional deformation plasticity under longitudinal shear, if $u(x, y)$ represents the component of displacement in the z direction, then the anti-plane stress component σ_{13} and σ_{23} are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{13}(u) &= |\nabla u|^{p-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \\ \sigma_{23}(u) &= |\nabla u|^{p-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

* E-mail: ha.samadi@gmail.com

and equation (1) represents the equilibrium of a material under external loads of density f . The main purpose of this work is to study of the homogenization of a composite material lying in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which is built with a plastic matrix in contact with plastic circular fibres. We consider a scalar version of a plasticity model obeying a Northon-Hoff's law. Let ω a bounded open of IR^2 with lipschitzian continuous boundary $\partial\omega$.

$$\Omega = \omega \times]0, L[, \omega_0 = \omega \times \{0\}, \omega_L = \omega \times \{L\}, \Gamma = \omega_0 \times \omega_L.$$

The unit cell $Y =]-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}[^2$, $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $D(0, R)$ the disc of radius R centred at the origin, $T = D(0, R) \times]0, L[, S = \partial D(0, R) \times]0, L[, Y^\# = Y \setminus \bar{D}$ et $\Sigma = \partial S \times]0, L[$.

We define the following sets:

$Y_\varepsilon^k = (k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon) +]-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}[\times]-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}[$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $D_\varepsilon^k = D(k\varepsilon, \varepsilon R)$, the disc of radius εR centred at $k\varepsilon = (k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon)$.

$$T_\varepsilon^k = D_\varepsilon^k \times]0, L[, Y_\varepsilon^{\#k} = Y_\varepsilon^k \setminus \bar{D}_\varepsilon^k, \sum_\varepsilon^k = \partial D_\varepsilon^k \times]0, L[.$$

Let us define: $D_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in I_\varepsilon} D_\varepsilon^k$ where $I_\varepsilon = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2; \bar{D}_\varepsilon^k \subset \omega\}$.

$$Y_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in I_\varepsilon} Y_\varepsilon^k,$$

$$T_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in I_\varepsilon} T_\varepsilon^k,$$

$$Y_\varepsilon^\# = \bigcup_{k \in I_\varepsilon} Y_\varepsilon^{\#k},$$

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \Sigma_\varepsilon^k$$

The fibres do not touch the lateral side of Ω , since $\bar{T}_\varepsilon \cap (\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma) = \emptyset$. Let $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \bar{T}_\varepsilon$ and $f \in C_0(\Omega)$. We consider the following problem :

$$(P_\varepsilon^\lambda) \begin{cases} -(\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon)) = f/\Omega_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon & (1) \\ -(\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla v_\varepsilon)) = f/T_\varepsilon & \text{in } T_\varepsilon & (2) \\ (u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) = (g, g) & \text{on } \partial T_\varepsilon \cap \Gamma & (3) \\ |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} = \lambda |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} (u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon) & \text{on } \Sigma_\varepsilon & (4) \\ |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial n} = \lambda |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} (v_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon) & \text{on } \Sigma_\varepsilon & (5) \end{cases}$$

where $p \in]1, +\infty[$, g is a lipschitzian continuous function and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter who will tend towards 0 or $+\infty$.

Contact conditions (4) and (5) between the matrix and fibres on the interface Σ_ε mean that the stresses depend on the gap of the displacements on Σ_ε . Contact model, in which the difference between displacements across a linear elastic interface is linearly related to components of tractions, has been formulated in [16]. A analogous model has been used in [2] to describe a contact on interfacial zones between fibres and matrix material. A similar model has been obtained from thermodynamic considerations in [14] and [15], where the proportional coefficient, between the adhesive forces and the gap between the materials, is of the form $k\beta^2$, $k > 0$ being the interface stiffness and β the bonding field which measures the active microscopic bounds with maximal value 1 corresponding to the perfect active bounds.

Our interest in this paper is with the study of the homogenization of the problem (P_ε^λ) when the periodic ε tends to 0 using Γ -convergence methods (see for example [4, 10], according to the limit of the report $\gamma = \frac{\lambda(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ or $+\infty$).

The homogenization of materials reinforced with fibres have been recently considered by several authors among which [7, 8, 11, 12, 22]. For $p = 2$, the case of a network of tubes for two related mediums was studied by H.Samadi and M.Mabrouk (see [21]) and also in references [19, 20] using the energy method of Tartar [23] with $\lambda = \varepsilon^r$, $r > 0$. The same problem has been also addressed by Auriault and Ene in references [5], where they exhibit, using the method of matched asymptotic expansion, five models corresponding to $\lambda = \varepsilon^p$, with $p = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Let us define:

$$\begin{aligned} W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) &= \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon); u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \cap \Gamma\}, \\ W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon) &= \{u \in W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon); u = g \text{ on } \partial T_\varepsilon \cap \Gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. We say that a sequence $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon), (u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, τ -converges to (u, v) if

$$(i) \quad u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega)\text{-weak}$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_\Omega \varphi v_\varepsilon d\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow \int_\Omega \varphi v dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$$

The main result obtained in this work is described as follows.

Let $\gamma = \lim \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \in [0, +\infty]$. Then

(1) if $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$, then the solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ of (P_ε^λ) τ -converge to $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$, where (u, v) is the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\partial j_p^{\operatorname{hom}}(\nabla u)) + 2\pi R\gamma|u-v|^{p-2}(u-v) = |Y^\#|f & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left(\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right) + \frac{2\gamma}{R}|u-v|^{p-2}(v-u) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = v = g & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \partial j_p^{\operatorname{hom}}(\nabla u) \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega \times]0, L[\end{cases}$$

(2) if $\gamma = 0$, there is no relationship between u and v ,

(3) if $\gamma = +\infty$ we obtain that $u = v$ on Ω ,

2. Estimates and Compactness Results

We define the functional F_ε^g through:

$$F_\varepsilon^g(u, v) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^p du + \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v|^p dv + \lambda \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} |u-v|^p dv & \text{if } (u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon) \\ +\infty & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

The problem (P_ε^λ) is equivalent to the following minimization problem:

$$(m_\varepsilon^\lambda) \min \left\{ F_\varepsilon^g(u, v) - \int_\Omega \chi(\Omega_\varepsilon) f u dx - \int_\Omega f v \chi_{T_\varepsilon} dx; (u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon) \right\},$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E .

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a unique solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$ of problem (m_ε^λ) , such that*

$$(1) \sup_\varepsilon \|u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)} < +\infty$$

$$(2) \sup_\varepsilon \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} < +\infty$$

$$(3) \sup_\varepsilon \lambda \int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p d\sigma_\varepsilon < +\infty$$

Remark 2.2. *Let $\nu_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \left(\sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon \delta_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^k} \right)$, where $\delta_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^k}$ is the Dirac measure of Σ_ε^k , then (3) becomes:*

$$(4) 2\pi R \sup_\varepsilon \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon < +\infty.$$

Proof. Let \tilde{g} be a lipschitzian continuous extension of g to the whole Ω (Lemma of MacShane [13]). Then, $\forall (u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, $(u - \tilde{g}, v - \tilde{g}) \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_\Gamma^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, where $W_\Gamma^{1,p} = \{u \in W^{1,p} / u = 0 \text{ in } \Gamma\}$.

Using Poincaré's inequality there exists a positive constant $c_{p,L}$ depending only of p and L such that

$$c_{p,L} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u - \tilde{g}|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla(u - \tilde{g})|^p dx.$$

Let c be some positive constant with $c < c_{p,L}$, then by [4] Lemma 2.7, there exists $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 \geq 0$ depending only of c , $c_{p,L}$ and $\|\tilde{g}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$, such that:

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^p dx - c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^p dx \geq c_1 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^p - c_2.$$

We similarly obtain

$$\int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v|^p dx - c \int_{T_\varepsilon} |v|^p dx \geq c_1 \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)}^p - c_2$$

One deduces that there exists $C > 0$ independent on ε such that (with $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$):

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon^g(u, v) - \int_\Omega \chi(\Omega_\varepsilon) f u dx - \int_\Omega \chi(T_\varepsilon) f v dx \\ \geq C (\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)}) (\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)} - \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}) - 2c_2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the coerciveness of $F_\varepsilon^g(u, v) - L_\varepsilon(u, v)$, where $L_\varepsilon(u, v) = \int_\Omega (\chi(\Omega_\varepsilon)u - \chi(T_\varepsilon)v) f dx$.

As $F_\varepsilon^g - L_\varepsilon$ is strictly convex and $\neq +\infty$ there exists a unique solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$ to problem (m_ε^λ) . On the other hand,

$$F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) - L_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \leq F_\varepsilon^g(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) - L_\varepsilon(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{g}|^p dx + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < +\infty,$$

from which we deduce, using the continuity of L_ε , that $\sup_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) < +\infty$, $\sup_\varepsilon \|u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)} < +\infty$ and $\sup_\varepsilon \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} < +\infty$.

Now, as Ω_ε is convex, using [21] Theorem 2.1, we have that there exists a extension operator IP_E from $W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ into $W_g^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that $IP_E u_E = u_E$ in Ω_ε and $\|IP_E u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C$.

In what follows, we omit the symbol IP_E . Let us define the measure μ_ε by $\mu_\varepsilon = \frac{|\Omega|}{|T_\varepsilon|} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \delta_{T_\varepsilon^k}$, where $\delta_{T_\varepsilon^k}$ is the Dirac measure supported by T_ε^k . One can easily see that $\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1_\Omega dx$ weakly in sense of measure, and as $\sup_\varepsilon \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} < +\infty$ we get, using Holder's inequality:

$$\int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon| d\mu_\varepsilon = \int_{T_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon| dx \leq C \int_{T_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq C \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

where C is a generic positive constant. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$ such that: $\sup_\varepsilon \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^p dx < +\infty$ and $\int_\Omega \phi v_\varepsilon d\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow \int_\Omega \phi v dx \forall \phi \in C_0(\Omega)$. Then $v, \partial_3 v \in L^p(\Omega)$, and $v = g$ on Γ .*

Proof. We use here Lemma A₃ of [1]. Let us notice that by Hlder's inequality we have :

$$\int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \right| d\mu_\varepsilon \leq (\mu_\varepsilon(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \right|^p d\mu_\varepsilon \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\mu_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \frac{|\Omega|}{|T_\varepsilon|} |T_\varepsilon| = |\Omega|$, we have $\int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \right| d\mu_\varepsilon \leq |\Omega| \left\| \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < +\infty$.

The sequence $(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \mu_\varepsilon)$ is thus uniformly bounded in variations, hence *-weakly relatively compact. Thus, possibly passing to a subsequence, we can assume that, up to some subsequence, $\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow w \llcorner_\Omega dx$ weakly in the sense of measure. Let $\varphi \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Then with $x' = (x_1, x_2)$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \varphi \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} d\mu_\varepsilon = - \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3} d\mu_\varepsilon + \frac{|w|}{R\pi^2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \{ \varphi(x', L) v_\varepsilon(x', L) - \varphi(x', 0) v_\varepsilon(x', 0) \} dx'. \quad (*)$$

Let us take now $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in (*). Then

$$\int_\Omega \varphi w dx = \int_\Omega v \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3} dx.$$

Thus $w = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}$ in the sense of distribution. By Fenchel's inequality we have, for every $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_\Omega \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \varphi d\mu_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\varphi|^q d\mu_\varepsilon \right\} \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3} \right|^p d\mu_\varepsilon < +\infty,$$

From which we deduce that $\sup \{ \int_\Omega w \varphi dx; \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq 1 \} < +\infty$. Thus, according to Riesz representation Theorem, $w \in L^p(\Omega)$. Repeating the same argument, we prove that

$$v_i \in L^p(\Omega), i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let φ such that $\varphi(x) = \theta(x')\psi(x_3)$, where $\psi \in C^1([0, L]); \psi(0) = 1, \psi(L) = 0$, and $\theta \in C_0^\infty(G_0)$ with $G_0 = \{x' \in \omega; (x', 0) \in \omega_0\}$. As $v_\varepsilon(x', 0) = g(x', 0)$ in G_0 , one has, passing to the limit in (*)

$$\int_\Omega \varphi \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} dx + \int_\Omega v \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|w|}{R\pi^2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} -(\theta(x')v_\varepsilon(x', 0)) dx' = - \int_\omega -(\theta(x')g(x', 0)) dx'.$$

On the other hand thanks of the first assertion of Lemma 2.3, we have, using Green's formula, $\int_\Omega \varphi \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} dx = - \int_\Omega v \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3} dx - \int_\omega (\theta(x')v(x', 0)) dx'$, from which we deduce that $\int_\omega (\theta(x')v(x', 0)) dx' = \int_\omega (\theta(x')g(x', 0)) dx' \forall \theta \in C_0^\infty(G_0)$.

This implies that $v = g$ p.p ω_0 . Similarly, with $\psi(L) = 1, \psi(0) = 0$ and $\theta \in C_0^\infty(G_L)$ with

$$G_L = \{x' \in \omega; (x', L) \in \omega_L\}$$

we get $v = g$ p.p ω_L . □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$. Then $\sup_\varepsilon \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon < +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(Y^\# \times]0, L[)$ such that $u(\cdot, x_3)$ is Y -periodic. Let $v \in W^{1,p}(T); v(\cdot, x_3) Y$ -periodic. By the trace Theorem

$$\int_{\Sigma} |u|^p ds \leq C \left\{ \int_0^L \int_Y |u|^p dy + \int_0^L \int_Y |\nabla u|^p dy \right\}$$

Introducing the change of variables $x' = \varepsilon y'$, $x_3 = y_3$ et $s_3 = \varepsilon s$, we get

$$\int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon^k} |u|^p ds_\varepsilon \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^L \int_{Y_\varepsilon^{\#k}} |u|^p dx + \varepsilon^{p-1} \int_0^L \int_{Y_\varepsilon^{\#k}} \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right|^p + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right|^p \right\} dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^L \int_{Y_\varepsilon^{\#k}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx \right\}.$$

Then summing over $k \in I_\varepsilon$, we obtainthat: $\int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} |u|^p ds_\varepsilon \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$ and, in the same way, $\int_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} |v|^p ds_\varepsilon \leq \frac{C'}{\varepsilon} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)}^p$. Multiplying these inequalities by $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi R}$ we get, $\int_{\Omega} |v_\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon \leq C' \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)}^p$, and $\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\nu_\varepsilon \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$. Thus, using a convexity argument, we obtainthat: $\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon < +\infty$. \square

3. Convergence

We suppose here that $\gamma = \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \in (0, +\infty)$. We define the functional F^g on $W_g^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ by

$$F^g(u, v) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} j_p^{hom}(\nabla u(x)) dx + \frac{|T|}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx + 2\pi R \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^p dx & \text{if } (u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L)) \\ +\infty & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

where $j_p^{hom}(Z)$ is defined for $Z \in IR^3$ by:

$$j_p^{hom}(Z) = \min \left\{ \int_{Y^\#} |Z + \nabla w|^p dy, w \in W^{1,p}(Y^\#), w \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic} \right\},$$

for $p = 2$,

$$j_2^{hom}(Z) = |z_3|^2 + \min \left\{ \int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w|^2 dy, w \in W^{1,p}(Y^\#), w \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic} \right\},$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2)$. In this case we have

$$\int_{\Omega} j_2^{hom}(\nabla u) dx = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} j_{0,2}^{hom}(\nabla_{x'} u) dx,$$

with $\nabla_{x'} u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, 0 \right)$. For $z \in IR^2$ we have

$$j_{0,2}^{hom}(z) = \min \left\{ \int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w|^2 dy, w \in H^1(Y^\#), w \text{ } Y\text{-periodic} \right\}.$$

Our main result in this section reads as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *If $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$ then*

(i) *For every $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p} \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$, there exists $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \tau$ -converges to (u, v) and $F^g(u, v) \geq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$.*

(ii) *For every $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \tau$ -converges to (u, v) , we have $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p} \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$, and $F^g(u, v) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. **1. The limit sup inequality :** Let $z \in IR^3$. We define the following functional

$$F_Z : \begin{cases} W^{1,p}(Y^\#) & \rightarrow \bar{IR}_+ \\ w & \rightarrow \int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w|^p dy \end{cases}$$

and consider the following minimisation problem: $(P_z) \min\{F_z(w), w \in W^{1,p}(Y^\#), w \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic}\}$. It can easily checked that (P_z) have a unique solution $w_z \in W^{1,p}(Y^\#)$, which can be extended to $W^{1,p}(Y)$ keeping the same notation. We then define the function w_z^ε through: $w_z^\varepsilon(x) = w_z(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon})$. □

We have the following intermediate result.

Lemma 3.2. $\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ –weak.

Proof. Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon(x')|^p dx = L \int_{\omega} |\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon(x')|^p dx' \leq LC \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{p+2} \int_{Y^\#} |w_z(y)|^p dy LC \leq LC|\omega|\varepsilon^p.$$

Thus, $w_z^\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ –strong. On the other hand

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varepsilon \nabla w_z^\varepsilon|^p dx \leq C' L \varepsilon^p \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{p+2} \int_{Y^\#} |\nabla w_z(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon})|^p dx' \leq LC^n |\omega| \int_{Y^\#} |\nabla w_z(y)|^p dy.$$

This implies that the sequence $(\nabla(\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon))$ is bounded in $L^p(\omega, IR^2)$. Combining with the above $L^p(\Omega)$ –strong convergence to 0 of the sequence $(\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon)$, we get $\varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ –weak. Let us define $u(x) = z.x + c$, where c is a constant and $z \in IR^3$. We define the test-function u_ε^0 by:

$$u_\varepsilon^0(x) = u(x) + \varepsilon w_z^\varepsilon(x') \tag{*}$$

□

Then, using Lemma 3.2, $u_\varepsilon^0 \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ –weak and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^0(x)|^p dx &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} L \int_{Y^\#k} |z + \varepsilon \nabla w_z^\varepsilon(x')|^p dx' \\ &= L \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 \int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w_z(y)|^p dy \\ &= |\Omega| \int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w_z(y)|^p dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} j_p^{hom}(\nabla u(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $j_p^{hom}(z) = \min\{\int_{Y^\#} |z + \nabla w|^p dy, w \in W^{1,p}(Y^\#), w \text{ } Y\text{-periodic}\}$. Let us now consider $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then, according to [13], there exists a sequence of piecewise affine functions (u_n) , such that $u_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ –strong. The sequence (u_n) is define on a partition (Ω_n) of Ω by $u_n(x) = z_n.x + c_n$, where $z_n \in IR^3$ and $c_n \in IR, \forall n$. We then build the associated test-functions through

$$u_\varepsilon^{0,n}(x) = u_n(x) + \varepsilon w_{z_n}^\varepsilon(x').$$

Then $u_\varepsilon^{0,n} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u^n$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -weak and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}(x)|^p dx &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |z_n + \varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}^\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \\ &= \sum_n \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon^n} \int_{Y_\varepsilon^{\#k}} |z_n + \varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}^\varepsilon(x')|^p dx' \\ &= \sum_n |\Omega_n| \int_{Y^\#} |z_n + \nabla w_{z_n}(y)|^p dy \\ &= \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} j_p^{\text{hom}}(z_n) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of j_p^{hom} we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) dx$. Then, using the diagonalization argument of [3], there exists a sequence $n(\varepsilon) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} +\infty$, such that, with $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^{0,n(\varepsilon)}$, $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -weak, and $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) dx$.

Let v be a Lipschitzian continuous function on Ω , such that $v = g$ on Γ . We define $v_\varepsilon^\# = \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} v(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3) l_{Y_\varepsilon^k}(x')$. Then $v_\varepsilon^\#$ is a piecewise affine function with respect to x' . Let $E_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega; d(x, \Gamma) < \varepsilon\}$ and φ_ε a smooth function such that: $\varphi_\varepsilon = 1$ on Γ , $\varphi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \bar{E}_\varepsilon$ and $|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$. We then define the following test-function in the fibres $v_\varepsilon^0 = (1 - \varphi_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon^\# + \varphi_\varepsilon v$. One can see that $v_\varepsilon^0 \in W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$ and, after some computations,

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi v_\varepsilon^0 d\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi v dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0(\Omega).$$

Besides $\int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^0|^p dx = \int_{T_\varepsilon \setminus \bar{E}_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\#|^p dx + \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\# + \varphi_\varepsilon \nabla(v_\varepsilon^\# - v) + (v_\varepsilon^\# - v) \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx$. We have the following estimate for the second right term:

$$\int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\# + \varphi_\varepsilon \nabla(v_\varepsilon^\# - v) + (v_\varepsilon^\# - v) \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq C \left\{ \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\#|^p dx + \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla(v_\varepsilon^\# - v)|^p dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |(v_\varepsilon^\# - v)|^p dx \right\}$$

As $|v_\varepsilon^\# - v| \leq C\varepsilon$ in $T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon$, we get $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |(v_\varepsilon^\# - v)|^p dx = 0$. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon \setminus \bar{E}_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\#|^p dx &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \int_{T_\varepsilon \setminus \bar{E}_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3) \right|^p dx_3 \\ &= \frac{|T|}{L} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 \int_\varepsilon^{L-\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3) \right|^p dx_3 \\ &= \frac{|T|}{L} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(x) \right|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^\#|^p dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} |\nabla(v_\varepsilon^\# - v)|^p dx = 0.$$

We thus obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^0|^p dx = \frac{|T|}{L} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx.$$

Now, taking a sequence of the Lipschitzian continuous functions (v_n) such that $v_n = g$ on Γ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^p(\omega, W^{1,p}(0, L))$ -strong, we build, as before a sequence of functions $(v_\varepsilon^{\#n})$:

$$v_\varepsilon^{\#n} = \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} v_n(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3) l_{Y_\varepsilon^k}$$

and define the sequence of test-functions $(v_\varepsilon^{0,n})$; $v_\varepsilon^{0,n} = (1 - \varphi_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon^\# + \varphi_\varepsilon v_n$. Then, for every $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi v_\varepsilon^{0,n} d\mu_\varepsilon &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi v_n dx, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx \text{ and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the diagonalization argument of [3], there exists a sequence (v_ε) , such that $\int_{\Omega} \varphi v_\varepsilon d\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi v dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$, and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^p dx.$$

Let $(u_\varepsilon^{0,n})$ and $(v_\varepsilon^{0,n})$ be the sequences previously built. Let us compute the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^{0,n} - v_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

We first have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}^\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon &= \sum_n L_n \sum_{k \in I_n^\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{p+1} \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon^k} |w_{z_n}(\frac{s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon})|^p \frac{ds_\varepsilon}{2\pi R} \\ &\leq C \sum_n |\omega_n| L_n \|w_{z_n}\|_{W^{1,p}(Y^\#)} \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

where L_n is the length of the set $\{(0, x_3) \in \Omega_n\}$. Observing that $\sum_n L_n \|w_{z_n}\|_{W^{1,p}(Y^\#)} \leq C|\Omega|$, we get $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}^\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon = 0$. Since $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} dx \mathbb{L}_\Omega$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p d\nu_\varepsilon \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx,$$

Thus $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^p dx$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |v_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p d\nu_\varepsilon &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon \int_{\partial T_\varepsilon^k} |v_n(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3)|^p dx_3 \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^L \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2 |v_n(k_1\varepsilon, k_2\varepsilon, x_3)|^p dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the uniform convexity property of $L^p(\Omega)$ ($p > 1$), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^{0,n} - v_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} |u_n - v_n|^p dx$$

and, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n - v_n|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^p dx,$$

we get $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \int_{\Omega} |u_n - v_n|^p d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^p dx$, where (u_ε) and (v_ε) are the sequences obtained previously by diagonalisation. We thus proved that, for every $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p} \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$, there exists $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \tau$ -converges to (u, v) and

$$F^g(u, v) \geq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon).$$

2. The limit inf inequality:

Let $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$ such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)\tau$ -converge to (u, v) . We may suppose that $\sup F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) < +\infty$, otherwise the result is trivial.

Let $(u_\varepsilon^{0,n})$; $u_\varepsilon^{0,n} = u_n + \varepsilon w_{z_n}^\varepsilon$, be the sequence previously built in (*), with here $u_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} u$ $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -strong and $z_n = \nabla u_n$ in Ω_n . Let $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_n)$; $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$. Let us introduce the following sub differential inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx &\geq \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p \varphi_n dx \\ &\geq \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^p \varphi_n dx + p \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n} (\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \varphi_n dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n} (\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \varphi_n dx &= - \int_{\Omega_n} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n})(u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \varphi_n dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n} \nabla \varphi_n (u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for every $\psi_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_n} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \psi_n dx &= - \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon^n} \int_{Y_\varepsilon^{k \neq k}} \operatorname{div}(|z_n + \varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon})|^{p-2} (z_n + \varepsilon \nabla w_{z_n}(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon}))) \psi_n dx' \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_n(x_3)} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon^n} \varepsilon^2 \psi_n(k_1 \varepsilon, k_2 \varepsilon, x_3) \int_Y \operatorname{div}(|z_n + \nabla w_{z_n}(y)|^{p-2} (z_n + \nabla w_{z_n}(y))) dx' + O_n(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_n(x_3) = \Omega_n \cap (0,0) \times]0, L[$. As $\operatorname{div}(|z_n + \nabla w_{z_n}(y)|^{p-2} (z_n + \nabla w_{z_n}(y))) = 0$, we obtain that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_n} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \psi_n dx = 0$, hence, recalling that $(u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \varphi_n \rightarrow (u - u^n) \varphi_n$ dans $L^p(\Omega_n)$ -strong, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n})(u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) \varphi_n dx = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon^{0,n} \nabla \varphi_n (u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^{0,n}) dx = \sum_n \int_{\Omega_n} |\nabla u^n|^{p-2} \nabla u^n \nabla \varphi_n (u - u^n) dx.$$

Then letting n tend to $+\infty$ in the above sub differential inequality we get

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega} j_p^{\operatorname{hom}}(\nabla u) dx.$$

Observe that $\int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^p dx \geq \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3}|^p dx$. Then, using the proof of Lemma 2.3, we obtain that, for every $\varphi \in L^q(\Omega)$;

$$q = \frac{p}{p-1},$$

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_3}|^p d\mu_\varepsilon \geq p \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \varphi dx - \frac{p}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^q dx, \text{ and } v \in L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L)).$$

This implies that, with $\varphi = |\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}$,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_\varepsilon} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^p dx \geq \frac{|T|}{L} \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}|^p dx.$$

Now according to Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\sup_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p d\nu_\varepsilon < +\infty,$$

From which we deduce, using Hölder's inequality, that the sequence $((u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded in variation and thus, weakly converges, up to some subsequence, to some $\chi'_\Omega dx$ in the sense of measure. Then, using Fenchel's inequality, we have, for every $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p dv_\varepsilon \geq p \int_{\Omega} \chi \varphi dx - \frac{p}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^q dx,$$

from which we deduce, using the proof of lemma1, that $\chi = u - v \in L^p(\Omega)$. Then taking $\varphi = |u - v|^{p-2}(u - v)$, we get

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon|^p dv_\varepsilon \geq \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^p dx.$$

We thus have proved that for every $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \times W_g^{1,p}(T_\varepsilon)$, such that $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \tau$ -converges to (u, v) , we have $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p} \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$, and $F^g(u, v) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon^g(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$. One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Omega_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow |Y^\#| \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) - \text{weak}, \\ \chi(T_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow |\Omega| R^2 \pi \text{ in } L^1(\Omega) - \text{strong}, \end{aligned}$$

And, as $f \in C_0(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \chi(T_\varepsilon) f v_\varepsilon dx &= \frac{|T_\varepsilon|}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f v_\varepsilon d\mu_\varepsilon, \\ &\rightarrow R^2 \pi \int_{\Omega} f v dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the properties of Γ -convergence [4] we obtain the following

Corollary 3.3.

(1) If $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$ then: the solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ of $(m_\varepsilon^\lambda) \tau$ -converges to $(u, v) \in W_g^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\omega, W_g^{1,p}(0, L))$ where (u, v) is the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\partial j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u)) + 2\pi R \gamma |u - v|^{p-2}(u - v) = |Y^\#| f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} (|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}) + \frac{2\gamma}{R} |u - v|^{p-2}(v - u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = g & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \partial j_p^{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega \times]0, L[. \end{cases}$$

(2) if $\gamma = 0$, there is no relation between u and v ,

(3) if $\gamma = +\infty$, then $u = v$ in Ω .

Representation of Deny-Beurling (case of $p = 2$ and $g = 0$) : In this case for p.p $x' \in \omega, v(x', \cdot)$ is the solution of the differential equation in $]0, L[$:

$$(P_w) \begin{cases} -w'' + \frac{2\gamma}{R} w = \frac{2\gamma}{R} u(x', \cdot) + f(x', \cdot) \\ w(0) = w(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The solution of (P_w) is given by:

$$w(s) = \int_0^L G(s, t) u(x', t) dt + \frac{R}{2\gamma} \int_0^L G(s, t) f(x', t) dt$$

for $t \in]0, L[$, the kernel Poisson $G(\cdot, t)$ is the solution of the equation:

$$(P_y) \begin{cases} -y'' + c^2\phi = c^2\delta_t \\ y(0) = y(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}$$

$$G(s, t) = \frac{csh(c(L - s \vee t))sh(c(s \wedge t))}{sh(cL)}$$

by an integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx &= \int_w \left(\int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx_3 \right) dx' \\ &= \int_w \left(\left[v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right]_0^L - \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_3^2} v dx_3 \right) dx' \\ &= \frac{2\gamma}{R} \int_{\Omega} [-v(x', s)^2 + u(x', s)v(x', s)] ds dx' + \int_{\Omega} f v dx \\ &= \frac{2\gamma}{R} \int_{\Omega} (uv - v^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} f v dx \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\pi R^2 \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx = 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} (uv - v^2) dx + \pi^2 R \int_{\Omega} f v dx$$

deferring in the total energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, v) &= F(u, v) - |Y^\#| \int_{\Omega} f u dx - \pi^2 R \int_{\Omega} f v dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} j_2^{hom}(\nabla u(x)) dx - |Y^\#| \int_{\Omega} f u dx + 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} u v dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} j_2^{hom}(\nabla u(x)) dx - |Y^\#| \int_{\Omega} f u dx + 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \\ &\quad - 2\gamma\pi R \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} u(x', s)u(x', t)G(s, t) ds dt \right) dx' - \pi^2 R \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} u(x, s)G(s, t)f(x', t) ds dt \right) dx' \end{aligned}$$

however

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - 2\gamma\pi R \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} u(x', s)u(x', t)G(s, t) ds dt \right) dx' &= \gamma\pi R \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} (u(x', s) - u(x', t))^2 G(s, t) ds dt \right) dx' \\ &\quad + 2\gamma\pi R \int_w \left(\int_0^L (u(x', s))^2 (1 - \int_0^L G(s, t) ds) ds \right) \\ &= 2\gamma\pi R \int_{\Omega} u^2 p(x_3) dx \\ &\quad + \gamma\pi R \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} (u(x', s) - u(x', t))^2 G(s, t) ds dt \right) dx' \end{aligned}$$

where $p(s) = \frac{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}\left(s - \frac{L}{2}\right)\right)}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)\right)}$. Let

$$\begin{cases} p_{\gamma, R}(s) = 2\pi\gamma R p(s) \\ k_{\gamma, R}(s, t) = \frac{\pi R \gamma \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}}{sh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}L\right)} sh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}(L - s \vee t)\right) sh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{R}}(s \wedge t)\right) \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, v) = & \int_{\Omega} j_2^{hom}(\nabla u(x))dx + \int_{\Omega} u^2 p_{\gamma,R}(x_3)dx \\ & + \int_w \left(\int_{(0,L)^2} (u(x',s) - u(x',t))^2 G(s,t) k_{\gamma,R}(s,t) dsdt \right) dx' \\ & - \int_w \left(\int_{(0,l)^2} u(x,s) f(x',t) k_{\gamma,R}(s,t) dsdt \right) dx' - |Y^{\#}| \int_{\Gamma} f u dx \end{aligned}$$

let $\mu(dx) = p_{\gamma,R}(x_3)dx$, $J(dxdy) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(dx'dy') \otimes k_{\gamma,R}(x_3, y_3) d_3 dy_3$, where $\Delta(dx'dy')$ is the measure in w^2 defined by:

$$\iint_{w^2} \phi(x', y') \Delta(dx'dy') = \int_w \phi(x', x') dx'$$

and $v = (v_{ij})$ $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ the measure defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ij}(dx) &= a_{ij}^{hom} dx \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2 \text{ où} \\ a_{ij}^{hom} &= \int_{Y^{\#}} \left\{ \delta_{ij} - \sum_{k=1,2} \delta_{ik} \frac{\partial \chi^j}{\partial y_k} \right\} dy \end{aligned}$$

with χ^j is the solution of the problem $\min \{ \int_{Y^{\#}} |\nabla w + e_j|^2 dx, w \in H^1(Y^{\#}), Y - \text{periodique} \}$, where $(e_j)_{j=1,2}$ the canonical base of IR^2 , $v_{3,3}(dx) = dx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, v) = & \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} v_{ij}(dx) + \int_{\Omega} (u(x))^2 \mu(dx) + \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} (u(x) - u(y))^2 J(dxdy) \\ & - \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} u(x) f(y) J(dxdy) - |Y^{\#}| \int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] E.Acerbi, V.Chiado Piat, G.DalMaso and D.Percivale, *An extension theorem from connexed sets and homogenization in general periodic domains*, Non linear Analysis TMA, 8(5)(1992), 418-496.
- [2] J.D.Achembach and H.Zhu, *Effect of interfacial zone on mechanical behavior and failure of fibred-reinforced composites*, J.Mech. Phw. Solids, 37(3)(1989), 381-393.
- [3] R.Aris, *The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction in Permeable Catalysts*, Volumes I and II, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1975).
- [4] H.Attouch, *Variational convergence for functions and operators*, Appl. Math. Series Pitman, London, (1984).
- [5] JL.Auriault and HI.Ene, *Macroscopic modelling of heat transfer in composites with interfacial thermal barrier*, International Journal of Heat and Mass transfer, 37(18)(1994), 2885-2892.
- [6] G.I.Barenblat, V.M.Entov and V.M.Ryzhik, *Motion of liquids and gases in natural layers*, Nauka, Moscow, (in Rus.), (1984).
- [7] M.Bellieud and G,Bouchitté, *Homogenization of elliptic problems in a fiber reinforced structures, Non local effectz*, Ann. Csi. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 4(26)(1998), 407-436.
- [8] A.Brillard and M.El Jarroudi, *Homogenization of a nonlinear elastic structures periodically reinforced along identical fibres of high rigidity*, Nonlinear Anal: RWA, 8(2007), 295-311.
- [9] G.Dal Maso, *An introduction to Γ - convergence*, Birkhäuser, (1993).
- [10] G.Dal Maso, *An Introduction to Γ -Convergence, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their applications*. Birkhäuser, Basel, (1993).

- [11] M.El Jarroudi, *Homogenization of a non linear elastic fibre-reinforced composite: A second gradient nonlinear elastic material*, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 403(2013), 487-505.
- [12] M.El Jarroudi and A.Brillard, *Homogenization and optimal design of an elastic material reinforced by means of quasi-periodic fibres of higher rigidity*, Math. Compt. Model., 47(2008), 27-46.
- [13] I.Ekland and R.Témam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, (1987).
- [14] M.Frémond, *Equilibre des structures qui adhrent a leur support*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 295(Série II)(1982), 913-916.
- [15] M.Frémond, *Adhérence des solides*, J. Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée, 6(1987), 383-407.
- [16] J.P.Jones and J.S.Whittier, *Waves at a flexibly bounded interface*, J. Appl. Mech., 34(1967), 905-909.
- [17] E.Ya.Khruslov, *Homogenized Models of composite Media*, Birkhäuser, (1991), 159-182.
- [18] U.Mosco, *Composite media and Dirichlet forms*, J.Funct.Anal., 123(1994), 368-421.
- [19] JN.Pernin, *Homogénéisation en milieux composites*, d'Etat es Sciences Physiques, 264(1995).
- [20] JN.Pernin, *Diffusion in composite solid; threshold phenomenon and homogeneization*, International Jpurnal of Engineering Scienve, 37(1999), 1597-1610.
- [21] H.Samadi and M.Mabrouk, *Homogenization of a composite medium with a thermal barrier*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 27(2004), 405-425.
- [22] A.Sili, *Homogenization of an elastic medium reinforced by anisotropic fibres*, Asymptot. Anal., 42(1-2)(2005), 133-177.
- [23] L.Tartar, *Cours Pecot*, college de France, (1977).