



# Fuglede Putnam Theorem on Class $p - wA(s, t)$ Operator

D. Senthilkumar<sup>1,\*</sup> and A. Sakthivel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper we characterize Fuglede putnam theorem for class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator.

**MSC:** 47B20, 47A63.

**Keywords:** Class  $A$  operator, class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator, polar decomposition, Fuglede-Putnam Theorem.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Aluthge [1] found  $p$ -hyponormal  $T$  which is defined as  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p, 0 < p \leq 1$ . If  $p=1$ ,  $T$  is called hyponormal. This is a generalization of hyponormal operator. This class of operator have many interesting properties, for example, Putnam’s inequality, Fuglede-Putnam type theorem, Bishop’s property( $\beta$ ), Weyl’s theorem, polaroid. After this discovery, many authors are investigating new generalizations of hyponormal operator. We summarize several class of operators. Let  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Then the Aluthge transformation  $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  was introduced by Aluthge [1]. An operator  $T$  is called  $w$ -hyponormal if  $|\tilde{T}| \geq |T| \geq |\tilde{T}^*|$ . The class of  $w$ -hyponormal operators was introduced and studied by Aluthge and Wang [2, 3]. It is well known that the class of  $w$ -hyponormal operators contains  $p$ -hyponormal operators. An operator  $T$  is called Class  $A$  if  $|T^2| \geq |T|^2$ . Class  $A$  operators has been Introduced and studied by Furuta [8]. An operator  $T$  is called  $p - w$  hyponormal if  $|\tilde{T}|^p \geq |T|^p \geq |\tilde{T}^*|^p$ . If  $p = 1$ , then  $p - w$  hyponormal operator is  $w$ -hyponormal. An operator  $T$  is called class  $A(s, t)$  operator. If  $(|T^*|^t|T|^{2s}|T^*|^t)^{\frac{t}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2t}$ . An operator  $T$  is called class  $wA(s, t)$  operator. If  $(|T^*|^t|T|^{2s}|T^*|^t)^{\frac{t}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2t}$  and  $|T|^{2s} \leq (|T|^s|T^*|^{2t}|T|^s)^{\frac{s}{s+t}}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  and let  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $0 < p \leq 1$ .  $T$  is called  $p - wA(s, t)$  if

$$(1). (|T^*|^t|T|^{2s}|T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2tp}$$

$$(2). |T|^{2sp} \geq (|T|^s|T^*|^{2t}|T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}}$$

We remark that if  $p = 1$ ,  $T$  is  $wA(s, t)$  and Class  $1 - wA(1, 1)$  is called class  $A$ . Now we define class  $p - A$  and class  $p - A(s, t)$  as generalizations of class  $A$  and class  $A(s, t)$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (Fuglede-Putnam). Let  $S \in B(H)$  and  $T^* \in B(K)$  be normal operators and  $SX = XT$  for some operator  $X \in B(H, K)$ . Then  $S^*X = XT^*$ ,  $[ran X]$  reduces  $S$ ,  $ker(X)^\perp$  reduces  $T$ .

In this paper, we characterize fuglede-putnam theorem for class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator are proved.

\* E-mail: [senthilsenkumhari@gmail.com](mailto:senthilsenkumhari@gmail.com)

## 2. Fuglede Putnam Theorem on Class $p - wA(s, t)$ Operator

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $T$  be a class  $p$ - $w$  class  $A(s, t)$  operator for some  $s, t \in (0, 1]$  and  $M$  is an invariant subspace of  $T$ . Then the restriction  $T|_M$  also  $p$ - $w$  class  $A(s, t)$  operator.

*Proof.* Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H = M \oplus M^\perp$  and  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ . Let  $T_0 = TP = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$T_0 = TP \geq (P|T|^{2s}P)$$

By Hansens Inequality. Now,  $|T^*|^2 = TT^* \geq TPT^* = |T_0^*|^2$ . Hence  $T$  is  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator.

$$\begin{aligned} (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} &\geq |T^*|^{2tp} \\ (|T_0^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T_0^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} &\geq |T_0^*|^{2tp} \\ (|T_0^*|^t |T_0|^{2s} |T_0^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} &\geq |T_0^*|^{2tp} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|T_0^*| = |T_0|^*P = P|T_0^*|$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}} &\leq |T|^{2sp} \\ (|T|^s |T_0^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}} &\leq |T|^{2sp} \\ (|T_0|^s |T_0^*|^{2t} |T_0|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}} &\leq |T_0^*|^{2sp} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T|_M$  is a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator. □

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator. Let  $M$  be an invariant subspace of  $T$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & S \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H = M \oplus M^\perp$ . If  $T_1 = T|_M$  is quasinormal, then  $\text{ran}S \subset \ker T^*$ . Moreover,  $\ker T \subset \ker T^*T_1 = T|_M$  is normal, then  $M$  reduces  $T$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that,  $p = s = t = 1$ . Then  $T$  becomes class  $A$  operator. Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ . Then we have,  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & S \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H = M \oplus M^\perp$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & S \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix} &= PT^*TP = P|T|^2P \\ &\leq \begin{pmatrix} (T_1^*T_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_1$  is quasinormal. Let  $|T^2| = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^* & Z \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $X = T_1^*T_1$  by using above inequality. Since  $|T^2|^2 = (T^*)^2(T^2)$

$$|T^2|^2 = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^* & Z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^* & Z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{pmatrix} X^2 + YY^* & XY^* + YZ \\ Y^*X + ZY & Y^*Y + Z^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^{*2}T_1^2 & T_1^{*2}(T_1S + ST_2) \\ S^*T_1^* + T_2^*S^* & (S^*T_1^* + T_2^*S^*(T_1S + ST_2) + T_2^{*2}T_2^2) \end{pmatrix} \\
 X^2 + YY^* &= (T_1^*)^2T_1^2 = (T_1^*)T_1)^2 \\
 X^2 + YY^* &= X^2
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $Y = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T^2| &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\geq |T|^2 \\
 &= T^*T \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*T & T_1^*S \\ S^*T_1 & S^*S + T_2^*T \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $T^*S = 0$  This implies that,  $\text{ran}S \subset \ker T^*$ . Moreover, assume  $T_1$  is normal. Then  $S(M^\perp) \subset \ker T_1^* = \ker T_1 \subset \ker T^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= T^*Sx \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* & 0 \\ S^* & T_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Sx \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*Sx \\ S^*Sx \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{ran}T \subset \ker T^*T_1 = T|_M$  is normal, then  $M$  reduces  $T$ . Hence the proof. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator with  $s + t \leq 1$  and  $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$ . If  $L$  is the self adjoint and  $TL = LT^*$ . Then  $T^*L = LT^*$ .*

*Proof.* Given that  $T \in B(H)$  be a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator with  $s + t \leq 1$  and  $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$  Assume that  $L$  is the self adjoint and  $TL = LT^*$ . We may assume that  $s + t = 1$ , since  $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$  and  $TL = LT^*$ .  $\ker T$  reduces  $T$  and  $L$ . Hence  $T = T_1 \oplus 0$ ,  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  on  $H = [\text{ran}T^*] \oplus \ker T$ . Then  $T_1L_1 = L_1T_1^*$  and  $0 = \ker T_1 \subset \ker T_1^*$ . Let  $[\text{ran}L_1]$  is invariant under  $T_1$  and reduce  $L_1$ .  $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & S \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $L_1 = L_{11} \oplus 0$  on  $\text{ran}T^* = [\text{ran}L_1 \oplus \ker L_1]$  since  $T_{11}$  is injective class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator. By Lemma 2.1 and also given that  $L$  is self adjoint operator(hence it has dense range) (ie)  $L = L^*$  such that  $T_{11}L_{11} = L_{11}T_{11}^*$ . Let  $T_{11} = V_{11}|T_{11}|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T_{11}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{11}(s, t) &= |T_{11}|^s V_{11}|T_{11}|^t \\
 W &= |T_{11}|^s L_{11}|T_{11}|^t
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$T_{11}(s, t)W = |T_{11}|^s V_{11}|T_{11}|^t |T_{11}|^s L_{11}|T_{11}|^s$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |T_{11}|^s L_{11} |T_{11}|^* |T_{11}|^s V_{11}^* |T_{11}|^s \\
&= WT_{11}(s, t)^*
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_{11}$  is  $\min \{s, t\}$  hyponormal and  $\text{ran}W$ -is dense (because  $\ker W = 0$ ).  $T_{11}$  is normal by [4] and  $T_{11} = T_{11}(s, t)$  by [6]. Then  $\text{ran}T_1$  reduces  $T_1$  by Theorem 2.2,  $T_{11}^* L_{11} = L_{11} T_{11}$ . By Proposition 1.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= T_{11} \oplus T_{11} \oplus 0 \\
L &= L_{11} \oplus 0 \oplus L_{22} \\
T^* L &= T_{11}^* L_{11} \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \\
T^* L &= LT
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator with  $s + t \leq 1$  and  $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$ . If  $TX = XT^*$  for some operator  $X \in B(H)$ . Then  $T^*X = XT$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = L + iK$  be the cartesian decomposition of  $X$ . Then we have  $TL = LT^*$  and  $TJ = JT^*$  by assumption. By Theorem 2.3 It follows that  $T^*L = LT$  and  $T^*J = JT$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow T^*(L + iK) &= (L + iK)T \\
T^*X &= XT
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $S \in B(K), T^* \in B(H)$  be a class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator with  $s + t \leq 1$  and  $\ker S \subset \ker S^*, \ker T^* \subset \ker T$ . If  $SX = XT$  for some operator  $X \in B(K, H)$ , then  $S^*X = XT^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H \oplus K$ . Then  $A$  is the class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator,  $\ker A \subset \ker A^*$  which satisfies  $AB = BA^*$ . Hence we have  $A^*B = BA$  by Theorem 2.4, then  $S^*X = XT^*$ . □

## References

- [1] A.Aluthge, *On  $p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 \leq p \leq 1$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory, 13(1990), 307-315.
- [2] A.Aluthge and D.Wang,  *$w$ - hyponormal operator*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 36(2000), 1-10.
- [3] A.Aluthge and D.Wang,  *$w$ - hyponormal operator II*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 37(3)(2000), 324-331.
- [4] B.P Duggal, *Tensor Product of operator-strong stability and  $p$ - hyponormality*, Glasgow Math. J., 42(2000), 371-381.
- [5] T.Prasad and K.Tanahasi, *On class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operator*, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation, 6(2)(2014), 39-42.
- [6] K.Tanahasi, T.Prasad and A.Uchiyama *Quasinormality and subsclarity of class  $p - wA(s, t)$  operators*, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation, 9(1)(2017), 61-68.
- [7] S.M.Patel, K.Tanahasi, A.Uchiyama and M.Yanagida *Quasinormality and Fuglede-putnam for class  $A(s, t)$  operators*, Nihonkai Math. J., 17(42)(2006), 49-67.
- [8] T.Furuta, M.Ito and T.Yamazaki, *A subclass of paranormal operators including class of log-hyponormal and several related clases*, Nihonkai Math., 1(1985), 389-403.