

Some Characterization of Fuzzy Soft Γ -Semigroups

V. Chinnadurai¹ and K. Arulmozhi^{1,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of fuzzy soft prime ideals of Γ -semigroup and fuzzy soft Γ -left quasi regular and also to obtain some interesting properties of them.

Keywords: Soft set, fuzzy set, soft prime, fuzzy soft Γ -left quasi regular.

© JS Publication.

Accepted on: 01.04.2018

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [20]. He studied their properties on the parallel lines to set theory. In 1971, Rosenfeld [13] defined fuzzy subgroups and gave some of its properties. Kuroki [6] introduced fuzzy semigroups as a generalized of classical semigroups. Mordeson [9] obtained some characterization of fuzzy semigroups. Sen and saha [14, 15] have introduced Γ -semigroups and their properties. Soft set theory was proposed by Molotov [8] in 1999. Maji [7] worked on soft set theory and fuzzy soft set theory. Ali [1] introduced new operations on soft sets. Mukherjee [11] studied about the fuzzy ideals and fuzzy prime ideals. Sujit kumar sardar [17] worked on characterization of prime ideals of Γ -semigroups in terms of fuzzy subsets. Thawhat [18] introduced soft Γ -semigroups and discussed interesting many results. Chinnadurai [2] studied the fuzzy soft Γ -regular semigroup. In this paper we have discussed some properties of prime ideals of Γ -semigroups and fuzzy soft Γ -left quasi regular semigroups.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([14]). Let $S = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots\}$ be two non-empty sets. Then S is called a Γ -semigroup if it satisfies the conditions

- (1). $acb \in S$,
- (2). $(a\beta b)\gamma c = a\beta(b\gamma c) \forall a, b, c \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.2 ([9]). An ideal I of a Γ -semigroup S is called prime Γ -ideal if for any ideals A and B of S , $A\Gamma B \subseteq I$.

Definition 2.3 ([9]). An ideal I of a Γ -semigroup S is called semiprime Γ -ideal if for any ideals A , if $A\Gamma A \subseteq I$ implies $A \subseteq I$.

* E-mail: arulmozhiems@gmail.com

Definition 2.4 ([18]). Let S be a Γ -semigroup. A non empty subset A of S is said to be idempotent $A\Gamma A = A$.

Definition 2.5 ([10]). A soft semigroup (F, A) over S is called a soft regular semigroup if for each $\alpha \in A$, $F(\alpha)$ is regular.

Definition 2.6 ([16]). A semigroup S is called left quasi regular if every left ideal of S is idempotent. Also S is left quasi regular if and only if $a \in SaSa$, that is there exist element $x, y \in S$, such that $a = xaya$.

Definition 2.7 ([8]). Let U be the universel set and E be the set of parameters, $P(U)$ denote the power set of U and A be a non empty subset of E . A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U , where F is a mapping given by $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$.

Definition 2.8 ([1]). The extended union of two fuzzy soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) over a common universe U is fuzzy soft set denoted by $(F, A) \cup_{\epsilon} (G, B)$ defined as $(F, A) \cup_{\epsilon} (G, B) = (H, C)$ where $C = A \cup B$, $\forall c \in C$.

$$H(c) = \begin{cases} llF(c) & \text{if } c \in A - B \\ G(c) & \text{if } c \in B - A \\ F(c) \cup G(c) & \text{if } c \in A \cap B. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.9 ([1]). The extended intersection of two fuzzy soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) over a common universe U is fuzzy soft set denoted by $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, B)$ defined as $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, B) = (H, C)$ where $C = A \cup B$, $\forall c \in C$.

$$H(c) = \begin{cases} llF(c) & \text{if } c \in A - B \\ G(c) & \text{if } c \in B - A \\ F(c) \cap G(c) & \text{if } c \in A \cup B. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.10 ([18]). A soft set (F, A) over S is called a soft Γ -semigroup over S if $(F, A)\delta(F, A)\tilde{C}(F, A)$.

Definition 2.11 ([12]). The restricted product (H, C) of two fuzzy soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) over a semigroup S is defined as $(H, C) = (F, A)\delta(G, B)$ where $C = A \cap B$ by $H(c) = F(c)\delta G(c)$, $\forall c \in C$.

Definition 2.12 ([20]). Let X be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset μ of X is a function from X into the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$. The set of all fuzzy subset of X is called the fuzzy power set of X and is denoted by $FP(X)$.

Definition 2.13 ([9]). A semigroup S is called a fuzzy left(right)duo if every fuzzy left(right)ideal of S is a fuzzy ideal of S

Definition 2.14 ([9]). A fuzzy ideal f of S is called prime if for any two fuzzy ideals g and h , $g \circ h \subseteq f$ implies $g \subseteq f$ or $h \subseteq f$.

Definition 2.15 ([9]). A fuzzy subset f of a semigroup S is called a fuzzy semiprime if $f(a) \geq f(a^2) \forall a \in S$.

Definition 2.16 ([3]). Let X be non empty set and A be subset of X . Then the characteristic function $\chi_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} ll1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

3. Prime Fuzzy Soft Ideals of Γ -semigroups

In this section, the notion of prime fuzzy soft ideals of Γ -semigroup introduced. We have also obtained equivalent conditions simple soft Γ -semigroups.

Definition 3.1. Let (F, A) be fuzzy soft Γ -ideal of S is called prime fuzzy soft Γ -ideal if and only if it is prime fuzzy Γ -ideal of S , (i.e) for each $e \in A$ satisfied the condition $F(e)(p) = F(e)(p\gamma q) \forall p, q \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 3.2. Let (F, A) be fuzzy soft Γ -ideal of S is called semiprime fuzzy soft Γ -ideal if and only if it is semiprime fuzzy Γ -ideal of S , (i.e) for each $e \in A$ satisfied the condition $F(e)(p) = F(e)(p\gamma q) \forall p \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3.3. A soft set (F, A) over S is a prime soft Γ -ideal of S if and only if $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a prime fuzzy soft Γ - ideal of S .

Proof. Suppose that (F, A) is a prime soft Γ - ideal of S . Since $F(e)$ is a Γ -prime ideal of S . Let $a \in S$ such that $p\gamma q \in F(e)$, then $\chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q) = 1 \forall e \in A$. Since $F(e)$ is a Γ -prime ideal of $S, p\gamma q \in F(e) \Rightarrow p \in F(e)$ and $q \in F(e)$ and $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 1 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q)$ and $\chi_{F(e)}(q) = 1 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q)$. If $p\gamma q \notin F(e)$ then we have $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 0 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q)$ and $\chi_{F(e)}(q) = 0 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q)$. Hence $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a prime fuzzy soft Γ - ideal of S .

Conversely, assume that $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a prime fuzzy soft Γ - ideal of S . Let $p\gamma q \in F(e) \forall e \in A$. Then $\chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q) = 1$ and by hypothesis we have, $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q) = 1$ and $\chi_{F(e)}(q) = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma q) = 1$. It follows that $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 1, \chi_{F(e)}(q) = 1$ and so $p, q \in F(e)$. Hence $F(e)$ is prime soft Γ -ideal of S . Hence (F, A) is a prime soft Γ -ideal of S . \square

Theorem 3.4. If $(F, A), (G, B)$ and (H, C) be fuzzy soft sets over a Γ -semigroup S such that $(F, A) \subseteq (G, B)$. If $B \cap C$ is non-empty or $C \subseteq A$ then $(F\tilde{\circ}H, A \cup C) \subseteq (G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C)$ and $(H\tilde{\circ}F, C \cup A) \subseteq (H\tilde{\circ}G, C \cup B)$.

Proof. Note that $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C$. Suppose $B \cap C$ is non-empty, let $e \in A \cup C$. If $e \in A, e \notin C$, we have $e \in B \cup C$, but $e \notin C$, and hence $e \in B$. Therefore $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) = F(e) \leq G(e) = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. Hence $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) \leq (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. If $e \in C, e \notin A$ thus $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) = H(e)$ and $(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e) = H(e)$. Assume that $C \subseteq A$. If $e \in A \cap C$, then $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) = F(e)\tilde{\circ}H(e) \leq G(e)\tilde{\circ}H(e) = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. Therefore $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) \leq (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. If $e \in A, e \notin C$, we have $e \in B \cup C$, but $e \notin C$, hence $e \in B$. Therefore $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) = F(e) \leq G(e) = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. Hence $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e) \leq (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e)$. Therefore $(F\tilde{\circ}H), A \cap C \subseteq (G\tilde{\circ}H), B \cap C$. Similarly $(H\tilde{\circ}F, C \cup A) \subseteq (H\tilde{\circ}G, C \cup B)$. \square

Note that, converse of Theorem 3.4 is not true in general, which is shows in the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $S = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$, where α, β is defined on S with the following Cayley table:

α	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4	β	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_2	z_1	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_3	z_1	z_1	z_3	z_1	z_3	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_4	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_4	z_4	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_4

Consider $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, and $A = \{e_1, e_2\}, B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}, C = \{e_1, e_3\}, B \cap C \neq \phi$ and $C \not\subseteq A$, consider fuzzy soft sets over a soft Γ -semigroup S .

$(F, A) = \{F(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.8), (z_2, 0.5), (z_3, 0.7), (z_4, 0.5)\}, F(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.5), (z_4, 0.3)\}\}; (G, B) = \{G(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.5), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.2)\}, G(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.2)\}, G(e_3) = \{(z_1, 0.5), (z_2, 0.1), (z_3, 0.3), (z_4, 0.1)\}\}; (H, C) = \{H(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.9), (z_2, 0.6), (z_3, 0.8), (z_4, 0.6)\}, H(e_3) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.3),$

$(z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.3)\}$. Consider $(F, A)\tilde{\circ}(H, C) = (F\tilde{\circ}H, A \cup C)$.
 $(F\tilde{\circ}H, A \cup C) = \{(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e_1) = (z_1, 0.8), (z_2, 0.5), (z_3, 0.7), (z_4, 0.5) = (H\tilde{\circ}F)(e_1); \{(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e_2) = (z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.5), (z_4, 0.3) = (H\tilde{\circ}F)(e_2)\}; \{(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e_3) = (z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.3) = (H\tilde{\circ}F)(e_3)\}$ and consider
 $(G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C) = \{(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_1) = (z_1, 0.5), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.2) = (H\tilde{\circ}G)(e_1), \{(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_2) = (z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.2) = (H\tilde{\circ}G)(e_2)\}, \{(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_3) = (z_1, 0.5), (z_2, 0.1), (z_3, 0.3), (z_4, 0.1) = (H\tilde{\circ}G)(e_3)\}$. Since $(F\tilde{\circ}H)(e_i) \not\subseteq (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_i), (H\tilde{\circ}F)(e_i) \not\subseteq (H\tilde{\circ}G)(e_i) \forall i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $(F\tilde{\circ}H, A \cup C) \not\subseteq (G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C), (H\tilde{\circ}F, C \cup A) \not\subseteq (H\tilde{\circ}G, C \cup B)$.

Theorem 3.6. A soft set (F, A) over S is a semiprime soft Γ -ideal of S if and only if $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a semiprime fuzzy soft Γ -ideal of S .

Proof. Suppose that (F, A) is a semiprime soft Γ -ideal of S . Since $F(e)$ is a Γ -semiprime ideal of S . Let $a \in S$ such that $p\gamma p \in F(e)$, then $\chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma p) = 1 \forall e \in A$. Since $F(e)$ is a Γ -semiprime ideal of $S, p\gamma q \in F(e) \Rightarrow p \in F(e)$ and $q \in F(e)$ and $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 1 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma p)$. If $p\gamma p \notin F(e)$ then $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 0 = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma p)$. Hence $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a semiprime fuzzy soft Γ -ideal of S .

Conversely assume that $(\chi_{F(e)}, A)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -semiprime ideal of S . Let $p\gamma p \in F(e), \forall e \in A$. Then $\chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma p) = 1$ and by hypothesis we have, $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = \chi_{F(e)}(p\gamma p) = 1$, it follows that $\chi_{F(e)}(p) = 1$, which implies $p \in F(e)$. Hence $F(e)$ is semiprime soft Γ -ideal of S , therefore (F, A) is a semiprime Γ -ideal of S . \square

Theorem 3.7. Let $(F, A), (G, B)$ and (H, C) be fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideals over a Γ -semigroup S , suppose that (F, A) is a prime fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal with $(G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C) \subseteq (F, A)$, then the following conditions are satisfied.

(i). If $|(B \cap C)|$ is empty, then $(G, B) \subseteq (F, A)$ and $(H, C) \subseteq (F, A)$.

(ii). If $|(B \cap C)|$ is non-empty, then $(G, B) \subseteq (F, A)$ or $(H, C) \subseteq (F, A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $(G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C) \subseteq (F, A)$, that is $B \cup C \subseteq A$ and $(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e) \leq F(e)$ for all $e \in B \cup C$. Since $B \cup C \subseteq A$, implies that $B \subseteq A$ and $C \subseteq A$.

(i). Assume that $|(B \cap C)|$ is empty, $e \in B$, then $G(e) = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e) \leq F(e)$. That is $(G, B) \subseteq (F, A)$, and similarly $e' \in C$, then $H(e') = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e') \leq F(e')$. Therefore $(H, C) \subseteq (F, A)$.

(ii). Assume that $|(B \cap C)|$ is not empty, suppose $(G, B) \not\subseteq (F, A)$, then there exists $e' \in B$ such that $G(e') \not\leq F(e')$. If $e' \notin C$ then $G(e') = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e') \leq F(e')$. Therefore $q \in B \cap C$. Let $e'' \in C$.

Claim: $H(e'') \leq F(e'')$. If $e'' \in C$, and $e'' \notin B$, then $H(e'') = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e'') \leq F(e'')$. If $e'' \in C$, and $e'' \in B$, then $e'' = e'$. Hence $G(e'')\tilde{\circ}H(e'') = (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e'') \leq F(e'')$. Since (F, A) is a prime fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal over a Γ semigroup S . That is $G(e'') \leq F(e'')$ or $H(e'') \leq F(e'')$, but $G(e'') \not\leq F(e'')$, and hence $H(e'') \leq F(e'')$. Therefore $(H, C) \subseteq (F, A)$. \square

Example 3.8. From the Example 3.5, consider $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, and $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, $B = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $C = \{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$. Let $(F, A) = \{F(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.8), (z_2, 0.4), (z_3, 0.2), (z_4, 0.5)\}, F(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.9), (z_2, 0.5), (z_3, 0.1), (z_4, 0.7)\}, F(e_3) = \{(z_1, 1), (z_2, 0.6), (z_3, 0.5), (z_4, 0.8)\}, F(e_4) = \{(z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.5), (z_3, 0.4), (z_4, 0.6)\}$. Let $(G, B) = \{G(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.9), (z_2, 0.7), (z_3, 0.5), (z_4, 0.8)\}, G(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.8), (z_2, 0.4), (z_3, 0.1), (z_4, 0.7)\}$. Let $(H, C) = \{H(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.1), (z_4, 0.4)\}, H(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0), (z_4, 0.5)\}, H(e_4) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.4), (z_3, 0.2), (z_4, 0.5)\}$. Consider $(G, B)\tilde{\circ}(H, C) = (G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C)$.

$(G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C) = \{(G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.1), (z_4, 0.4)\}, (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0), (z_4, 0.5)\}, (G\tilde{\circ}H)(e_4) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.4), (z_3, 0.2), (z_4, 0.5)\}$. Thus $(G\tilde{\circ}H, B \cup C) \subseteq (F, A)$, since $|(B \cap C)|$ is non-empty, and $(G, B) \not\subseteq (F, A)$ hence $(H, C) \subseteq (F, A)$

4. Fuzzy Soft Γ -left Quasi Regular Semigroups

In this section S denotes fuzzy soft Γ -left quasi regular semigroup.

Definition 4.1. A soft Γ -semigroup S is called soft left quasi regular if every soft Γ -left ideal of S is idempotent.

Example 4.2. Let $S = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, where α, β, γ is defined on S with the following Cayley table:

α	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_2	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_3	z_1	z_3	z_3	z_3
z_4	z_1	z_3	z_3	z_3

β	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_2	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_3	z_1	z_3	z_3	z_3
z_4	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4

γ	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_2	z_1	z_3	z_3	z_3
z_3	z_1	z_3	z_3	z_3
z_4	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4

Consider $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, and $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ $F(e_1) = \{z_1, z_3\}, F(e_2) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}, F(e_3) = \{z_1, z_3, z_4\}, F(e_4) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$. Here (F, S) is soft Γ -left quasi regular semigroup.

Theorem 4.3. Let (F, A) be a soft Γ -left quasi regular then every fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal is idempotent.

Proof. Let (F, A) be a soft Γ -left quasi regular semigroup and $F(e)$ be fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal of S . Let $a \in S$ there exists $p, q \in S$ such that $a = p\alpha a\beta q\gamma a$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall e \in A$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (F(e)\delta F(e))(a) &= \sup_{a=x\Gamma y} \min\{F(e)(x), F(e)(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{F(e)(p\alpha a), F(e)(q\gamma a)\} \\ &\geq \min\{F(e)(a), F(e)(a)\} \\ &= F(e)(a) \end{aligned}$$

That is $(F, A)\delta(F, A) \supseteq (F, A)$. Since $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal of S , then $(F, A)\delta(F, A) \subseteq (F, A)$. Hence $(F, A)\delta(F, A) = (F, A)$, this implies that (F, A) is a soft idempotent. □

Theorem 4.4. If (F, A) is both soft Γ -intra regular and soft Γ -left quasi regular, then $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (H, B) \subseteq (F, A)\delta(G, A)\delta(H, B)$ for every fuzzy soft Γ -generalized bi-ideal, (H, B) every fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal, (F, A) and every fuzzy soft Γ -right ideal of (G, A) of S .

Proof. Assume that (F, A) is both soft Γ -intra regular and soft Γ -left quasi regular, let (H, B) be any fuzzy soft Γ -generalized bi-ideal, (F, A) and every fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal, (G, A) be fuzzy soft Γ -right ideal of S . Let $a \in S, (F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (H, B) = K_1, A \cup B$ and $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (H, B) = K_2, A \cup B$ for any $e \in A \cup B$. By using the cases

Case 1: If $e \in A - B$ then $K_1(e) = A \cap B \cap (H, B) = K_2(e), A \cap B \forall e \in A \cap B$, we have $K_1(e) = K_2(e)$

Case 2: If $e \in B - A$ then $K_1(e) = H(e) = K_1(e)$

Case 3: If $e \in A \cap B$ then $K_1(e) = F(e) \cap G(e) \cap H(e)$ and $K_2(e) = F(e)\delta G(e)\delta H(e)$.

To prove $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (H, B) \subseteq (F, A)\delta(G, A)\delta(H, B)$, since S is soft Γ -intra regular, then there exists $p, q \in S$ such that $a = p\delta a\lambda\eta q$ and since S is soft Γ -left quasi regular, then there exists $m, n \in S$ such that $a = m\alpha a\beta n\gamma a = m\alpha(p\delta a\lambda\eta q)\beta n\gamma a = ((m\alpha p)\delta a)(\lambda\eta(q\beta n)\gamma a)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (F(e)\delta G(e)\delta H(e))(x) &= \sup_{a=x\Gamma y} \min\{F(e)(x), G(e)\delta H(e)(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{F(e)((m\alpha p)\delta a), (G(e)\delta H(e))((\lambda\eta(q\beta n)\gamma a))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \min \{F(e)(a), \sup_{a=x\Gamma y} \min\{G(e)(x), H(e)(y)\}\} \\
 &\geq \min\{F(e)(a), G(e)(\lambda a \eta q \beta n), H(e)(a)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{F(e)(a), \min\{G(e), H(e)(a)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{F(e), G(e), H(e)\}(a) \\
 &= (F(e) \cap G(e) \cap H(e))(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(F, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (G, A) \cap_{\epsilon} (H, B) \subseteq (F, A) \delta (G, A) \delta (H, B)$. □

Definition 4.5. A soft Γ -regular semigroup (F, A) is called fuzzy soft duo if it is fuzzy soft Γ -left duo and fuzzy soft Γ -right duo.

Example 4.6. From the Example 4.2 (β and γ). Consider $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, $F(e_1) = F(e_3) = \{z_1, z_3\}$, $F(e_2) = F(e_4) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$. Hence (F, S) is soft regular duo Γ -semigroup.

Theorem 4.7. In a soft regular right duo(left duo, duo) Γ -semigroup S , the following conditions are equivalent

- (i). (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal(right) of S .
- (ii). (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal of S .

Proof. Let $F(e)$ be a fuzzy soft left Γ -ideal of S , and let $p, q, r \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $e \in A$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(e)(p\alpha q\beta r) &= F(e)((p\alpha q)\beta r) \\
 &\geq F(e)(r) \\
 &\geq \min\{F(e)(p), F(e)(r)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft bi-ideal of S .

Conversely, let $F(e)$ be a fuzzy soft bi-ideal of S , and $p, q \in S, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $e \in A$. Then $p\gamma q \in S$, since S is soft Γ -regular and right duo, then $p\gamma q \in S\Gamma(q\Gamma S\Gamma q) \subseteq q\Gamma S\Gamma q$, consequently then there exist $r \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $e \in A$ such that $p\gamma q = q\alpha r\beta q$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(e)(p\gamma q) &= F(e)(q\alpha r\beta q) \\
 &\geq \min\{F(e)(q), F(e)(q)\} \\
 &= F(e)(q)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -left ideal of S . Similarly we can prove that (ii). □

Example 4.8. From example 4.6, $F(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.4), (z_2, 0.1), (z_3, 0.2), (z_4, 0.1)\}$; $F(e_3) = \{(z_1, 0.5), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.3), (z_4, 0.2)\}$. Thus (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -ideal and bi-ideal of regular duo Γ -semigroup S .

Theorem 4.9. In a soft regular left duo Γ -semigroup S , the following conditions are equivalent

- (i). (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal of S .
- (ii). (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -(1, 2)-ideal of S .

Proof. Let $F(e)$ be a fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal of S , and let $p, s, q, r \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(e)(p\alpha s\beta(q\gamma r)) &= F(e)((p\alpha s\beta q)\gamma r) \\ &\geq \min\{F(e)(p\alpha s\beta q), F(e)(r)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{F(e)(p), F(e)(q)\}, F(e)(r)\} \\ &= \min\{F(e)(p), F(e)(q), F(e)(r)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -(1, 2)-ideal of S .

Conversely, assume that $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -(1, 2)-ideal of S , and S be a soft regular left duo Γ -semigroup S . Let $p, s, q \in S, \alpha, \eta \in \Gamma$ and $e \in A$. Then $p\gamma q \in S$ since S is soft Γ -regular and left duo, then $p\gamma q \in S\Gamma(p\alpha s) \in (p\Gamma S\Gamma p)\Gamma S \subseteq (p\Gamma S\Gamma p)$, this equivalent to $p\alpha s = p\beta s\gamma p, s \in S$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, e \in A$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(e)(p\alpha s\eta q) &= F(e)((p\beta s\gamma p)\eta q) \\ &= F(e)(p\beta s\gamma(p\eta q)) \\ &\geq \min\{F(e)(p), F(e)(p), F(e)(q)\} \\ &= \min\{F(e)(p), F(e)(q)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F(e)$ is a fuzzy soft Γ -bi-ideal of S . □

Example 4.10. Let $S = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, where α, β, γ is defined on S with the following Cayley table:

α	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4	β	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4	γ	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_2	z_1	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_3	z_1	z_1	z_3	z_1	z_3	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_3	z_1	z_1	z_1	z_1
z_4	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_4	z_4	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_4	z_4	z_1	z_1	z_2	z_1

Consider $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, $F(e_1) = \{z_1, z_2\}$, $F(e_2) = F(e_3) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$. Hence (F, S) is soft regular duo Γ - semigroup. Consider $F(e_1) = \{(z_1, 0.6), (z_2, 0.2), (z_3, 0.1), (z_4, 0.4)\}$; $F(e_2) = \{(z_1, 0.7), (z_2, 0.3), (z_3, 0.2), (z_4, 0.5)\}$. Thus (F, A) is a fuzzy soft Γ -(1, 2)-ideal and Γ -bi-ideal of regular duo Γ -semigroup S .

Acknowledgement

The research of the second author is partially supported by UGC-BSR grant : F.25-1/2014-15(BSR)/7 -254/2009(BSR) dated 20-01-2015 in India.

References

- [1] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Y. Liu and M. Shabir, *On some new operations in soft set theory*, Comput Math. Appl., 57(2009), 1547-1553.
- [2] V. Chinnadurai and K. Arulmozhi, *Fuzzy soft Γ - regular semigroups*, International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education, 2(3)(2016), 238-250.
- [3] V. Chinnadurai, *Fuzzy ideals in algebraic structures*, LAP LAMBERT Academic publishing, (2013).

- [4] Chinram and Jirojkul, *On bi- Γ -ideals in Γ -semigroups*, Songklanakarin Sci. Technol Journal., 29(2007), 231-234.
- [5] T. K. Dutta and N.C. Adhikari, *On Prime Radical of \tilde{A} -Semigroup*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 86(5)(1994), 437-444.
- [6] N. Kuroki, *On fuzzy semigroups*, Inform. Sci., 53(1991), 203236.
- [7] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and R. Roy, *Soft set theory*, Comput. Math. Appl., 45(2003), 555-562.
- [8] D. Molodtsov, *Soft set theory first results*, Comput. Math. Appl., 37(1999), 19-31.
- [9] J. N. Mordeson, D. S. Malik and N. Kuroki, *Fuzzy semigroups*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH, (2003).
- [10] Muhammad Ifran Ali, Muhammad Shabir and K. P. Shum, *On soft ideals over semigroups*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 34(2010), 595-610.
- [11] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, *On fuzzy ideals of a ring*, Proceedings, Seminar on Fuzzy Systems and Non-standard Logic, Calcutta, May, (1984).
- [12] Munazza Naz, *On fuzzy soft semigroup*, World Applied Science Journal, 22(special issue of applied Math)(2013), 62-83.
- [13] Rosenfeld, *Fuzzu groups*, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 35(1971), 512-517.
- [14] M. K. Sen, *On Γ -semigroups*, Proceedings of International conference on Algebra and its Application Decker publication, New yark, 301(1981).
- [15] M. K. Sen and N. K Saha, *On Γ - semigroup I*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 78(1986), 180-186.
- [16] A. Sezgin Sezer, N. C Atgman, A. O. Atagun, M. I. Ali and E. Turkmen, *Title?*, Filomat, 29(5)(2015), 917946.
- [17] Sujit Kumar Sardar, *On Fuzzy Ideals in Γ -Semigroups*, International Journal of Algebra, 3(16)(2009), 775-784.
- [18] Thawhat Changphas and Boonyen Thongkam, *On soft Γ -semigroups*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 4(2)(2012), 217-223.
- [19] M. Uckun, M. A. Ozturk and Y. B. Jun, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in Γ -semigroups*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 44(2)(2007), 359367.
- [20] L. A Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.