

A Criterion for the Secondary Unitary Congruence of Conjugate Secondary Normal Matrices

R. Raja^{1,*}

1 P.G. Assistant in Mathematics, Government Girls Hr. Sec. School, Papanasam, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, conjugate secondary normal (con-s-normal) matrices play the same role in the theory of secondary unitary (s-unitary) congruences as conventional s-normal matrices do in the theory of s-unitary similarities. The aim of this section is to propose a simple criterion for s-unitary congruence for the class of con-s-normal matrices.

MSC: 15A21, 15A09, 15457.

Keywords: s-normal matrix, con-s-normal matrix, s-unitary, s-eigenvalues.

© JS Publication.

Accepted on: 07.04.2018

1. Introduction

Let $C_{n \times n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices of order n . For $A \in C_{n \times n}$, let A^T , \bar{A} , A^* , A^S , $A^\theta (= \bar{A}^S)$ and A^{-1} denote the transpose, conjugate, conjugate transpose, secondary transpose, conjugate secondary transpose and inverse of matrix A respectively. The conjugate secondary transpose of A satisfies the following properties such as $(A^\theta)^\theta = A$, $(A + B)^\theta = A^\theta + B^\theta$, $(AB)^\theta = B^\theta A^\theta$ etc.

Definition 1.1. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be normal if $AA^* = A^*A$.

Definition 1.2. A Matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be conjugate normal (con-normal) if $AA^* = \overline{A^*A}$.

Definition 1.3. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be secondary normal (s-normal) if $AA^\theta = A^\theta A$.

Definition 1.4. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be unitary if $AA^* = A^*A = I$.

Definition 1.5. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be s-unitary if $AA^\theta = A^\theta A = I$.

Definition 1.6 ([2]). A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be a conjugate secondary normal matrix (con-s-normal) if

$$AA^\theta = \overline{A^\theta A} \text{ where } A^\theta = \bar{A}^S. \quad (1)$$

Result 1.7 (Specht's Criterion). Matrices A and B are unitarily similar if and only if

$$\text{tr}W(A, A^*) = \text{tr}W(B, B^*) \quad (2)$$

for every word $W(s, t)$ in the non commuting variables s and t .

* E-mail: rraja30305@gmail.com

Result 1.8. *Specht's criterion is inefficient because it amounts to the verification of an infinite set of conditions (2). Percy [3] found an efficient form for this criterion, showing that, for n -by- n matrices A and B , the verification of (2) can be limited to words of a length not exceeding 2^{n^2} . However, even this limited verification requires a huge computational effort if n is not very small.*

2. s -unitary Congruence of Matrices

Definition 2.1. *Matrices $A, B \in M_n(C)$ are s -unitarily similar if the similarity between A and B can be realized by means of a s -unitary transformation matrix U :*

$$B = U^\theta A U \quad (3)$$

To verify that A and B are s -unitarily similar, one can use Specht's classical criterion.

Result 2.2. *Matrices A and B are s -unitarily similar if and only if*

$$\text{tr}W(A, A^\theta) = \text{tr}W(B, B^\theta) \quad (4)$$

for every word $W(s, t)$ in the non commuting variables s and t . The knowledge that A and B belong to a special matrix class sometimes makes it possible to substantially reduce the computational effort required for checking the s -unitary similarity between A and B . In this relation, the class of s -normal matrices is the most striking example. Secondary Normal matrices A and B are s -unitarily similar if and only if they have the same s -eigen values. This latter property can be checked by verifying only n conditions for traces, namely,

$$\text{tr}(A^i) = \text{tr}(B^i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.3. *Matrices $A, B \in M_n(C)$ are congruent if $B = S^S A S$ for a nonsingular matrix S . If the congruence between A and B can be realized by means of a s -unitary transformation matrix U , i.e., if*

$$B = U^S A U, \quad (6)$$

then A and B are said to be s -unitarily congruent.

Remark 2.4. *Unlike in the case of s -unitary similarity, no criterion (even an inefficient one) is currently known for s -unitary congruence. Consider, for instance, the following result from [1].*

Theorem 2.5. *Matrices $A, B \in M_n(C)$ are s -unitarily congruent if and only if there exists a s -unitary matrix V such that $BB^\theta = V^\theta (AA^\theta) V$, $B\bar{B} = V^\theta (A\bar{A}) V$, $B^S \bar{B} = V^\theta (A^S \bar{A}) V$.*

The s -unitary similarity can be verified for each of the pairs (AA^θ, BB^θ) , $(A\bar{A}, B\bar{B})$, and $(A^S \bar{A}, B^S \bar{B})$ by using the Specht-Percy criterion. However, there is no method as yet for checking whether all of these three s -unitary similarities can be realized by means of the same s -unitary matrix U . The fact that no criterion is available for the s -unitary congruence between generic matrices A and B does not imply that such criteria cannot exist for special matrix classes. The following result is an analogue of the theorem in [4, 5] on the secondary spectral decomposition of a s -normal matrix.

3. s-unitary Congruence of Con-s-normal Matrices

Theorem 3.1. Any con-s-normal matrix A is s-unitarily congruent to a block secondary diagonal matrix with the secondary diagonal blocks of orders 1 and 2. The blocks of order 1 are real nonnegative scalars, while each block of order 2 can be given the form of the following 2-by-2 s-hermitian matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_j \\ \bar{\mu}_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Im } \mu_j \neq 0 \quad (7)$$

With each matrix $A \in M_n(C)$, we associate the matrix

$$A_L = \bar{A}A \quad (8)$$

Lemma 3.2. If A and B is s-unitarily congruent, then A_L and B_L are s-unitarily similar. Indeed, (6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} B_L &= \bar{B}B = U^\theta \bar{A}\bar{U}U^S A U \\ &= U^\theta (\bar{A}A) U = U^\theta A_L U. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. If A is a con-s-normal matrix, then A_L is s-normal in the conventional sense.

Proof. It follows from Definition 1.6 that $AA^\theta = A^S \bar{A}$ and $\bar{A}A^S = A^\theta A$. Using these equalities, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} A_L A_L^\theta &= \bar{A}A A^\theta A^S = \bar{A} (AA^\theta) A^S \\ &= \bar{A} (A^S \bar{A}) A^S = (\bar{A}A^S)^2 = (A^\theta A)^2, \\ A_L^\theta A_L &= A^\theta A^S \bar{A}A = A^\theta (A^S \bar{A}) A \\ &= A^\theta (AA^\theta) A = (A^\theta A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A_L A_L^\theta = A_L^\theta A_L$. □

Now, we can formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. Con-s-normal matrices $A, B \in M_n(C)$ are s-unitarily congruent if and only if the corresponding s-normal matrices A_L and B_L are s-unitarily similar.

Proof. Matrices A and B are s-unitarily congruent if and only if their canonical forms described in Theorem 3.1 are s-unitarily congruent. Let

$$F_A = \lambda_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_k \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_1 \\ \bar{\mu}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_l \\ \bar{\mu}_l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k + 2l = n$$

be the canonical form of A . It is easy to see that $(F_A)_L = \bar{F}_A F_A$ is the diagonal matrix

$$(F_A)_L = \text{diag} (\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \dots, \lambda_k^2, \bar{\mu}_1^2, \mu_1^2, \bar{\mu}_2^2, \mu_2^2, \dots, \bar{\mu}_l^2, \mu_l^2).$$

Thus, the scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_l$, which define the canonical form of A , are the square roots of the s-eigen values of $(F_A)_L$ or, equivalently, the square roots of the s-eigen values of A_L . A similar conclusion is valid for B . We infer that $(F_A)_L$ and $(F_B)_L$ are s-unitarily congruent (and even coincide, provided that the square roots are consistently chosen) if and only if A_L and B_L have the same s-eigen values. Since A_L and B_L are s-normal matrices, their s-eigen values are identical if and only if A_L and B_L are s-unitarily similar. □

From Theorem 3.4, we immediately obtain the desired criterion.

Result 3.5 (Criterion for s-unitary congruence). *Con-s-normal matrices $A, B \in M_n(C)$ are s-unitarily congruent if and only if $\text{tr}[(\bar{A}A)^i] = \text{tr}[(\bar{B}B)^i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

References

- [1] Y. Hong and R. A. Horn, *Title?*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 25(1989), 105-119.
- [2] S. Krishnamoorthy and R. Raja, *On Con-s-normal matrices*, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls., 5 (II)(2011), 131-139.
- [3] C. Pearcy, *Title?*, Pacific J. Math., 12(1962), 1405-1416.
- [4] M. Vujicic, F. Herbut and G. Vujicic, *Canonical forms for matrices under unitary congruence transformations I: con-normal matrices*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 23(1972), 225-238.
- [5] E. P. Wigner, *Normal form of anti unitary operators*, J. Math. Phys., 1(1960), 409-413.