

Certain Investigations on Digital Plane

S. Pious Missier¹ and K. M. Arifmohammed^{1,*}

1 P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, V.O.Chidambaram College (Affiliation of Manonmaiam Sundaranar University), Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: We introduce the concept of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets in a topological space and characterize it using $*g\alpha\alpha$ -kernel and τ^α -closure. Moreover, we investigate the properties of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets in digital plane. The family of all $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , forms an alternative topology of \mathbb{Z}^2 . We prove that this plane $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O)$ is $T_{1/2}$ and $T_{3/4}$. It is well known that the digital plane (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) is not $T_{1/2}$, even if (\mathbb{Z}, κ) is $T_{1/2}$.

MSC: 54A05, 54D01, 54F65, 54G05.

Keywords: Preopen sets, generalized closed sets, α -open sets, $*g\alpha\alpha$ -closed sets, $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets, $T_{1/2}$ -spaces, digital lines and digital planes.

© JS Publication.

Accepted on: 21.04.2018

1. Introduction

In 1970, N. Levine [8] introduced and investigated the concept of generalized closed sets in a topological space. He studied most fundamental properties and also introduced a separation axiom $T_{1/2}$. The digital line is typical example of a $T_{1/2}$ space [2]. After Levine's works, many authors defined and investigated various notions to Levine's g -closed sets and related topics [4]. In 1970, E. Khalimsky [6] introduced digital line. In 1990, K. Kopperman and R. Meyer [5] developed finite analog of the Jordan curve theorem motivated by a problem in computer graphics (cf. [5, 7]). In this paper, we introduce the concept of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets in a topological space and characterize it using $*g\alpha\alpha$ -kernel and τ^α -closure. Moreover, we investigate the properties of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets in digital plane. We prove that this plane $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O)$ is $T_{1/2}$ and $T_{3/4}$. It is well known that the digital plane (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) is not $T_{1/2}$, even if (\mathbb{Z}, κ) is $T_{1/2}$.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, (X, τ) or X denotes the topological spaces. For a subset A of X , the closure, the interior and the complement of A are denoted by $cl(A)$, $int(A)$ and A^c respectively. We recall some basic definitions that are used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called α -open [10] if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$. Moreover, A is said to be α -closed if $X \setminus A$ is α -open. The collection of all α -open subsets in (X, τ) is denoted by τ^α . The α -closure of a subset A is the smallest α -closed set containing A and this is denoted by $\tau^\alpha-cl(A)$ in this paper.

* E-mail: arifjmc9006@gmail.com (Research Scholar)

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called $*g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed [11] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an $*g\alpha$ -open set in (X, τ) . Moreover, A is said to be $*g\hat{\alpha}$ -open if $X \setminus A$ is $*g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed.

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). For a subset A of (X, τ) , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1). A is $*g\alpha$ -closed in (X, τ) .
- (2). $\tau^\alpha-cl(A) \subseteq go-Ker(A)$ holds.

Lemma 2.4 ([9]). Let a subset A of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

- (1). $go-Ker(A) = U(A_{\mathcal{F}2}) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$, where $U(A_{\mathcal{F}2}) = \bigcup\{U(x) | x \in A_{\mathcal{F}2}\}$.
- (2). For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}2}$, a subset $\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2}$ is preopen and hence it is α -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

Definition 2.5 ([2]). A space (X, τ) is $T_{3/4}$ if and only if every singleton $\{x\}$ of X is closed or regular open in (X, τ) .

3. $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed Sets and its Properties

In this section we introduce the concept of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets and study some of their properties and relations with other known classes of subsets.

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed set if $\tau^\alpha-cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is a $*g\alpha$ -open set in (X, τ) . The class of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed subsets of (X, τ) is denoted by $\#g\hat{\alpha}C(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.2. Finite union of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets is a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let A_i 's are $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets, where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \subseteq U$, U is a $*g\alpha$ -open set in (X, τ) . Since A_i 's are $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets, $\tau^\alpha-cl(A_i) \subseteq U, \forall A_i \subseteq U$. This implies that $\tau^\alpha-cl(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tau^\alpha-cl(A_i) \subseteq U$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed. □

Remark 3.3. Finite intersection of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets is a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Proof is obvious, since $X \setminus A$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open, whenever A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed. □

The following example shows that intersection of two $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets need not be $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$. Then, $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ are $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed but their intersection $\{a\}$ is not $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Theorem 3.5. If A be a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed set in (X, τ) , then $\tau^\alpha-cl(A) \setminus A$ does not contain any non empty $*g\alpha$ -closed set.

Proof. Suppose that A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed and let F be a non-empty $*g\alpha$ -closed set with $F \subseteq \tau^\alpha-cl(A) \setminus A$. Then $A \subseteq X \setminus F$ and so $\tau^\alpha-cl(A) \subseteq X \setminus \tau^\alpha-cl(A)$. Hence $F \subseteq X \setminus \tau^\alpha-cl(A)$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, τ) be a space, A and B subsets.

- (1). If A is $*g\alpha$ -open and $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed, then A is α -closed in (X, τ) .
- (2). If A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed set of (X, τ) such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau^\alpha-cl(A)$, then B is also $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) .
- (3). For each $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is $*g\alpha$ -closed or $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) .

(4). Every subset is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) if and only if every $*g\alpha$ -open set is α -closed.

Proof.

- (1). Since $A \subseteq A$ and A is both $*g\alpha$ -open and $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed, $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq A$. Therefore A is α -closed.
- (2). Let U be a $*g\alpha$ -open set such that $B \subseteq U$. Then we have that $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$ and $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(B) \subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore, B is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ closed in (X, τ) .
- (3). If $\{x\}$ is not $*g\alpha$ -closed, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not $*g\alpha$ -open. Therefore, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (X, τ) .
- (4). **Necessity:** Let U be a $*g\alpha$ -open set. Then we have that $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq U$ and hence U is α -closed. **Sufficiency:** Let A be a subset and U a $*g\alpha$ -open set such that $A \subseteq U$. Then $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(U) = U$ and hence A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed. \square

We have a characterization of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets. We prepare some notations and a lemma. For a subset E of a space (X, τ) , we define the following subsets of E :

$E_\tau = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \in \tau\}$, $E_{\mathcal{F}} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \in \tau^c\}$, $E^*_{g\alpha o} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is } *g\alpha\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\}$, $E^*_{g\alpha c} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is } *g\alpha\text{-closed in } (X, \tau)\}$, $E_{\#g\hat{\alpha} o} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is } \#g\hat{\alpha}\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\}$, $*G\alpha O(X, \tau) = \{U \mid U \text{ is } *g\alpha\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\}$ and $*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid U \in *G\alpha O(X, \tau) \text{ and } A \subseteq U\}$.

Theorem 3.7. Any subset A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed if and only if $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq *G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$ holds.

Proof. **Necessary:** We know that $A \subseteq *G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$. Since A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed, $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq *G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$. **Sufficiency:** Let $A \subseteq U$ and U is $*g\alpha$ -open. Given that $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq *G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$. If $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \not\subseteq U$, then $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \not\subseteq *G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore A is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed. \square

Lemma 3.8. For any space (X, τ) , $X = X^*_{g\alpha c} \cup X_{\#g\hat{\alpha} o}$ holds.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. By Theorem 3.6(3), $\{x\} \in X^*_{g\alpha c}$ or $\{x\} \in X_{\#g\hat{\alpha} o}$. \square

4. $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed Sets in the Digital Plane

In the digital plane, we investigate explicite forms of $*g\alpha o$ -Kernal and Kernal of a subset. The digital line or the so called Khalimsky line is the set of the integers \mathbb{Z} , equipped with the topology κ having $\{\{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ as a subbase. This is denoted by (\mathbb{Z}, κ) . Thus a subset U is open in (\mathbb{Z}, κ) if and only if whenever $x \in U$ is an even integer, then $x-1, x+1 \in U$. Let (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) be the topological product of two digital lines (\mathbb{Z}, κ) , where $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa^2 = \kappa \times \kappa$. This space is called the digital plane in the present paper (cf. [5], [7]). We note that for each point $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ there exists the smallest open set containing x , say $U(x)$. For the case of $x = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = \{2n+1\} \times \{2m+1\}$; for the case $x = (2n, 2m)$, $U(x) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$; for the case $x = (2n, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m+1\}$; for the case $x = (2n+1, 2m)$, $U(x) = \{2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a subset E of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , we define the following three subsets as follows: $E_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is closed in } (\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)\}$; $E_{\kappa^2} = \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is open in } (\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)\}$; $E_{mix} = E \setminus (E_{\mathcal{F}^2} \cup E_{\kappa^2})$.

Lemma 4.1. Let A and E be subsets of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

- (1). If E be non-empty $*g\alpha$ -closed set, then $E_{\mathcal{F}^2} \neq \emptyset$ [1].
- (2). If E is $*g\alpha$ -closed and $E \subseteq B_{mix} \cup B_{\kappa^2}$ holds for some subset B of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , then $E = \emptyset$ [1].
- (3). The set $U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2}) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$ is a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set containing A .

Proof. (3) First we claim that $A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set. Let F be a non-empty $*g\alpha$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$. Then by (2), $F = \emptyset$. Thus, we have that $F \subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-int}(A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2})$. Therefore $A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open. Since every open set is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open, $U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2})$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open. Since union of two $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open, $U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2}) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$ is a $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set containing A . \square

Theorem 4.2 ([1]). *Let E be a subset of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .*

(1). *If E is a non-empty $*g\alpha$ -closed set, then $E_{\mathcal{F}^2} \neq \emptyset$.*

(2). *If E is a $*g\alpha$ -closed set and $E \subseteq B_{mix} \cup B_{\kappa^2}$ holds for some subset B of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , then $E = \emptyset$.*

Theorem 4.3 ([1]). *Let E be a subset of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .*

(1). $*G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2}) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{\kappa^2}$, $U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2}) = \bigcup\{U(x)|x \in A_{\mathcal{F}^2}\}$.

(2). $G\alpha O\text{-ker}(A) = U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2})$, $U(A_{\mathcal{F}^2}) = \bigcup\{U(x)|x \in A_{\mathcal{F}^2}\}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let B be a non-empty subset of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . If $B_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \emptyset$, then B is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open.*

Proof. Let F be a $*g\alpha$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq B$. Since $B_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \emptyset$, we have $B = B_{mix} \cup B_{\kappa^2}$. Then by Theorem 4.2(2), we get $F = \emptyset \Rightarrow F \subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-int}(B)$. Therefore, B is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let B be a non-empty subset of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , the following are equivalent:*

(1). *The subset B is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) ,*

(2). *$(U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subseteq B$ holds for each point $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}^2}$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}^2}$. Since $\{x\}$ is closed, $\{x\}$ is $*g\alpha$ -closed set and $\{x\} \subseteq B$. By (1), $\{x\} \subset \tau^\alpha\text{-int}(B) = B \cap \text{int}(cl(\text{int}(B)))$ and so $x \in \text{int}(cl(\text{int}(B)))$. Namely, x is an interior point of the set $cl(\text{int}(B))$. Thus, we have that, for the smallest open set $U(x)$ containing x , $U(x) \subset cl(\text{int}(B))$. We can set $x = (2s, 2u)$ for some integers s and u , because $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$. Since $U((2s, 2u)) = \{2s-1, 2s, 2s+1\} \times \{2u-1, 2u, 2u+1\}$, it is shown that $(U(x))_{\kappa^2} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in U(x) | x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are odd}\} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$, where $p_1 = (2s-1, 2u-1)$, $p_2 = (2s-1, 2u+1)$, $p_3 = (2s+1, 2u+1)$, $p_4 = (2s+1, 2u+1)$. For each point $p_i (1 \leq i \leq 4)$, $p_i \in cl(\text{int}(B))$ and so $\{p_i\} \cap \text{int}(B) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $p_i \in B$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and hence $(U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subset B$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from the assumption that, for each point $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, $\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subset B$ and so $\bigcup\{\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} | x \in B_{\mathcal{F}^2}\} \subset B$. Put $V_B = \bigcup\{\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} | x \in B_{\mathcal{F}^2}\}$ and so $V_B \neq \emptyset$, $V_B \subset B$. By Lemma 2.4(2), V_B is preopen and it is α -open. We have that $B = V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B) = V_B \cup \{(B \setminus V_B)_{\mathcal{F}^2} \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}\} = V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}$, we note that, for a point $y \in (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}$, $U(y) \subset B$ or $U(y) \not\subset B$. we put $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1 = \{y \in (B \setminus V_B)_{mix} | U(y) \subseteq B\}$, $U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) = \bigcup\{U(y) | y \in (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1\}$, $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2 = \{y \in (B \setminus V_B)_{mix} | U(y) \not\subseteq B\}$. Then, $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix}$ is decomposed as $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix} = (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1 \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2$. Thus, we have that:

($*^1$) $B = V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1 \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2$. Here, V_B is α -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) ; the set $(B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2}$ is open and so α -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) ; $U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1)$ is open and so α -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Thus, we have that:

($*^2$) the subset $V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1)$ is α -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

Moreover, we conclude that:

($*^3$) $B = V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2$ holds.

Proof of ($*^3$): Since $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1 \subseteq U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1)$, it is shown that $B \subseteq V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2$ (c.f $*^1$). Conversely we have that $V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2 \subseteq B$, because $U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) \subseteq B$, $V_B \subseteq B$,

$(B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \subseteq B$ and $(B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2 \subseteq B$ hold. Thus, we have the required equality $(*)^3$. Let F be a nonempty $*g\alpha$ -closed set of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) such that $F \subseteq B$. We claim that:

$$(*)^4 \quad F \cap ((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2) = \emptyset \text{ holds.}$$

Proof of $(*)^4$: Suppose that there exists a point $y \in F \cap ((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2)$. Then we have that:

$$(**) \quad y \in B_{mix}, y \in F_{mix} \text{ and } U(y) \not\subseteq B.$$

By Theorem 2.4[12] for a $*g\alpha$ -closed set F and the point $y \in F_{mix}$, it is obtained that $cl(\{y\}) \setminus \{y\} \subseteq F$. Since $y \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, we may put $y = (2s, 2u + 1)$ (resp. $y = (2s + 1, 2u)$), $y^+ = (2s, 2u + 2)$ (resp. $y^+ = (2s + 2, 2u)$), $y^- = (2s, 2u)$ (resp. $y^- = (2s, 2u + 1)$), where $s, u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $cl(\{y\}) = \{y^+, y, y^-\}$. Thus, we have that $cl(\{y\}) \setminus \{y\} = \{y^+, y^-\} \subseteq F$. Since $F \subseteq B$, we have that $y^+ \subseteq B_{\mathcal{F}^2}$ and $y^- \subseteq B_{\mathcal{F}^2}$. For the point y^+ , it follows from the assumption (2) that $\{y^+\} \cup (U(y^+))_{\kappa^2} \subseteq B$ and so $U(y) \subseteq B$ which is a contradiction to (**). Thus, we have that $F \cap ((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2) = \emptyset$. By using $(*)^3$ and $(*)^4$, it is shown that, for the $*g\alpha$ -closed set F such that $F \subseteq B$, $F = B \cap F = [V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1) \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^2] \cap F \subseteq V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1)$. We put $E = V_B \cup (B \setminus V_B)_{\kappa^2} \cup U((B \setminus V_B)_{mix}^1)$ and so $F \subseteq E \subseteq B$ and E is α -open. Using $(*)^2$ and $(*)^3$, we have that $F \subseteq E \subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-int}(B)$ holds. Namely, B is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . \square

Theorem 4.6.

- (1). The union of any collection of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .
- (2). The intersection of any collection of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed set in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

Proof.

- (1). Let $\{B_i | i \in J\}$ be a collection of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) , where J is an index set and put $V = \bigcup \{B_i | i \in J\}$. First we assume that $V_{\mathcal{F}^2} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a point $x \in (B_j)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$ for some $j \in J$. By Theorem 4.5, it is obtained that $(U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subseteq B_j$ and hence $(U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subseteq V$. Again using Theorem 4.5, we conclude that V is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open. Finally we assume that $V_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \emptyset$. Then by Theorem 4.4, V is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open.
- (2). We recall that a subset E is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed if and only if the complement of E is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open. It follows from (1) and definition that the intersection of any collection of $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . \square

Proposition 4.7. Let x be a point of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . The following properties on the singleton $\{x\}$ hold.

- (1). If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, then $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open; it is not $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .
- (2). If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, then $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed; it is not $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .
- (3). If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, then $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -is both $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed and $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .

Proof.

- (1). It follows from the assumption that $\{x\}$ is open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) and so it is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Since $\{x\}$ is $*g\alpha$ -open, then there exists a $*g\alpha$ -open set $U = \{x\}$ such that $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \not\subseteq \{x\}$. By Definition 3.1 $\{x\}$ is not $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .
- (2). It follows from the assumption that $\{x\}$ is closed in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) and so it is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Since $\{x\}$ is $*g\alpha$ -closed, then there exists a $*g\alpha$ -closed set $B = \{x\}$ such that $\{x\} \not\subseteq \tau^\alpha\text{-int}(\{x\})$. Therefore $\{x\}$ is not $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) .
- (3). Let $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, i.e., $x = (2s + 1, 2u)$ such that $\tau^\alpha\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\} \not\subseteq \{x\} = U$, U is $*g\alpha$ -open set. Therefore, $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed. Let $x = (2s + 1, 2u)$ such that $F = \emptyset \subseteq (2s + 1, 2u)$, where F is $*g\alpha$ -closed set $\Rightarrow \emptyset \subseteq \text{int}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$. Hence $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Similarly we can prove this statement for $x = (2s, 2u + 1)$. \square

It is well known that the digital line (\mathbb{Z}, κ) is $T_{1/2}$ but the digital plane (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) is not $T_{1/2}$. By Theorem 4.6 and Remark 3.3, we have a new topology, say $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ of \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ be the family of all $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open sets in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Then, the following properties hold.*

- (1). *The family $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ is a topology of \mathbb{Z}^2 .*
- (2). *Let $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ be topological space obtained by changing the topology κ^2 of the digital plane (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) by $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$. Then $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ is a $T_{1/2}$ -topological space.*

Proof.

- (1). It is obvious from Theorem 4.6 and Remark 3.3 that the family $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ is topology of \mathbb{Z}^2 .
- (2). Let $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ be topological space with new topology $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$. Then, it is claimed that the topological space $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ is $T_{1/2}$. By Proposition 4.7, a singleton set $\{x\}$ is open or closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ and by Theorem 3.1(ii) [3]. Hence the space $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ is $T_{1/2}$. \square

Sometimes, we abbreviate the topology $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ by $\#g\hat{\alpha}O$. For a subset A of \mathbb{Z}^2 , we denote the closure of A , interior of A and the kernel of A with respect to $\#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ by $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-cl(A)$, $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-int(A)$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(A)$ respectively. The kernel is defined by $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(A) = \bigcap \{V | V \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2), A \subset V\}$.

Proposition 4.9. *For the topological space $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$, we have the properties on the singletons as follows. Let x be a point of \mathbb{Z}^2 and $s, u \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (1). (a) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.*
 (b) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} = \{2s, 2u\} \cup \{(2s+1, 2u+1), (2s+1, 2u-1), (2s-1, 2u-1), (2s-1, 2u+1)\}$ where $x = (2s, 2u)$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.*
 (c) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.*
- (2). (a) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{(2s+1, 2u+1), (2s, 2u+2), (2s, 2u), (2s+2, 2u+2), (2s+2, 2u)\}$ and hence $\{x\}$ is not closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$.*
 (b) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.*
 (c) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.*
- (3). (a) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-int(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.*
 (b) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-int(\{x\}) = \emptyset$.*
 (c) *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, then $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-int(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.*

Proof. (1)(a) For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, by Proposition 4.7(1), $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open in (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) . Then, we have that $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.

(1)(b) Let B be any $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open set of (\mathbb{Z}^2, κ^2) containing the point $x = (2s, 2u) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$. Then, by Theorem 4.5, $\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} \subset B$ holds and $\{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O$. Thus, we have that $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\} \cup (U(x))_{\kappa^2} = \{2s, 2u\} \cup \{(2s+1, 2u+1), (2s+1, 2u-1), (2s-1, 2u-1), (2s-1, 2u+1)\}$. By Lemma 2.4(2) and the fact that $(\kappa^2)^\alpha \subset \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$, the kernel $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.

(1)(c) Let $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$. The singleton set $\{x\}$ is $\#g\hat{\alpha}$ -open, because $(\{x\})_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \emptyset$. Thus, we have that $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $\#g\hat{\alpha}O-ker(\{x\}) \in \#g\hat{\alpha}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$.

(2)(a) Let $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)$. By (1), it is shown that, for a point $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $y \in \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})$ holds if and only if $x \in \#g\hat{a}O-ker(\{y\})$ holds. For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, we put $x = (2s + 1, 2u + 1)$, where $s, u \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a point $y \in \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})$ holds (i.e., $(y \in \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}))_{\kappa^2}$) if and only if $x \in \#g\hat{a}O-ker(\{y\})$ holds (cf. (1)(a)). Thus we have that $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})_{\kappa^2} = \{x\}$. For a point $y \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, $y \in \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})$ holds (i.e., $y \in (\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}))_{\mathcal{F}^2}$) if and only if $x \in \#g\hat{a}O-ker(\{y\})$ holds (i.e., $x \in \{y\} \cup U(y)_{\kappa^2}$ and $x \neq y$ holds) (cf. (1)(b)). Thus, we have that $(\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}))_{\mathcal{F}^2} = \{y \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2} | x \in \{y\} \cup U(y)_{\kappa^2}\} = W_x$, where $W_x = \{(2s, 2u), (2s, 2u + 2), (2s + 2, 2u), (2s + 2, 2u + 2)\}$ and $x = (2s + 1, 2u + 1)$. For a point $y \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, $y \in \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})$ holds (i.e., $y \in (\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}))_{mix}$) if and only if $x \in \#g\hat{a}O-ker(\{y\}) = \{y\}$ holds (cf. 1(c)). Since $y \neq x$, we have that $(\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}))_{mix} = \emptyset$. Therefore we obtain $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{x\} \cup W_x$.

(2)(b) For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, by Proposition 4.7(2), it is obtained that $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.

(2)(c) Let a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, by Proposition 4.7(2), it is obtained that $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$.

(3) For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$ (res. $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$, $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$), by Proposition 4.7(1) (res. (2), (3)), it is shown that $\#g\hat{a}O-int(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ (res. $\#g\hat{a}O-int(\{x\}) = \emptyset$, $\#g\hat{a}O-int(\{x\}) = \{x\}$) holds. □

Theorem 4.10. *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, i.e., $x = (2s, 2u + 1)$ or $(2s + 1, 2u)$, then $\{x\}$ is both regular open and regular closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$.*

Proof. For a point $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$, by Proposition 4.9(2(c) and 3(c)), $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\#g\hat{a}O-int(\{x\})) = \{x\}$. Therefore $\{x\}$ is regular closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$. Similarly we have, $\#g\hat{a}O-int(\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})) = \{x\}$. Therefore, $\{x\}$ is regular open in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$. □

Theorem 4.11. *If $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, i.e., $x = (2s + 1, 2u + 1)$, then $\{x\}$ is not regular closed, moreover $\{x\}$ is semi open and regular open in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$.*

Proof. Let $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$, by Proposition 4.9(2(a) and 3(a)), $\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\#g\hat{a}O-int(\{x\})) = \#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\}) \supseteq \{x\}$, where $x = (2s + 1, 2u + 1)$. Therefore $\{x\}$ is not regular closed and hence it is semi-open. By Proposition 4.9(2(a) and 3(a)), $\#g\hat{a}O-int(\#g\hat{a}O-cl(\{x\})) = \{x\}$. Therefore, $\{x\}$ is regular open in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$. □

Theorem 4.12. *The space $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$ is $T_{3/4}$ but not T_1 .*

Proof. By Theorem 4.11, a singleton $\{x\}$ is regular open in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$, where $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\kappa^2}$; by Proposition 4.9(2(b)), a singleton $\{x\}$ is closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$, where $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\mathcal{F}^2}$; by Theorem 4.10, a singleton $\{x\}$ is closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$, where $x \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)_{mix}$. Therefore, every singleton $\{x\}$ is regular open of closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$. Namely, it is a $T_{3/4}$. Moreover, it is not T_1 . Indeed, by Proposition 4.9(2(a)), a singleton $\{(2s + 1, 2u + 1)\}$ is not closed in $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \#g\hat{a}O(\mathbb{Z}^2, \kappa^2))$, where $s, u \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Acknowledgment

The first author is thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for sponsoring this work under grants of Major Research Project-MRP-MATH-MAJOR-2013-30929. F.No. 43-433/2014(SR) Dt. 11.09.2015.

References

- [1] R. Devi and M. Vigneshwaran, **GαO-Kernal in the Digital Plane*, Internatioal Journal of Computer Application, 3(6)(2010), 24-26.

- [2] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, *On δ -generalized closed sets and $T_{3/4}$ -spaces*, Mem. Fac. Kochi. Univ. Ser A. Math., 15(1997), 259-266.
- [3] W. Dunham, *$T_{1/2}$ -space*, Koyunpook Math. J., 17(1977), 161-169.
- [4] J. Cao, S. Ganster and I. Reilly, *On generalized closed sets*, Topology Appl., 123(2002), 37-46.
- [5] E. D. Khalimsky, R. Kopperman and P. R. Meyer, *Computer graphics and connected topologies in finite ordered sets*, Topology Appl., 36(1990), 1-17.
- [6] E. D. Khalimsky, *Application of connected ordered topological spaces in topology*, Conference of Math. Dept. of Povolsia, (1970).
- [7] T. Y. Kong, R. Kopperman and P. R. Meyer, *A topological approach to digital topology*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 98(1991), 901-907.
- [8] N. Levine. *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [9] K. Nôno, R. Devi, M. Devipriya, K. Muthukumaraswamy and H. Maki, *On $*g\alpha$ -closed sets and the digital plane*, Bulletin of Fukuoka University of Education, 53(III)(2004), 15-24.
- [10] O. Njastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacif. J. Math., 15(1965), 961-970.
- [11] S. Pious Missier and K. M. Arifmohammed, *On $*g\hat{\alpha}$ -closed sets in digital plane*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and statistics(communicated).
- [12] M. Vigneshwaran and R. Devi, *More on $*g\alpha$ -closed and $*g\alpha$ -open sets in the digital plane*, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 1(1)(2013), 39-48.