

# Some Properties of $sg\alpha$ -continuous Functions

P. Gomathi Sundari<sup>1</sup>, N. Rajesh<sup>1,\*</sup> and S. Vinoth Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Swami Dayananda College of Arts and Science, Manjakkudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Abstract:** In [7] the authors, introduced the notion of  $sg\alpha$ -continuity and investigated its fundamental properties. In this paper, we investigate some more properties of this type of continuity.

**MSC:** 54D10.

**Keywords:** Topological spaces,  $sg\alpha$ -open set,  $sg\alpha$ -continuous function,  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real analysis concerns the variously modified forms of continuity, separation axioms etc. by utilizing generalized open sets (See [1-3]). One of the most well known notions and also an inspiration source is the notion of  $\alpha$ -open [5] sets introduced by Njastad in 1965. Quite recently, as generalization of closed sets called  $sg\alpha$ -closed sets were introduced and studied by the present authors in [6]. In [7] the authors, introduced the notion of  $sg\alpha$ -continuity and investigated its fundamental properties. In this paper, we investigate some more properties of this type of continuity.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply  $X$  and  $Y$ ) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\text{Cl}(A)$ ,  $\text{Int}(A)$  and  $A^c$  denote the closure of  $A$ , the interior of  $A$  and the complement of  $A$  in  $X$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called semi-open [4] (respectively  $\alpha$ -open [5]) if  $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$  (respectively  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$ ). The complement of  $\alpha$ -open set is called  $\alpha$ -closed.

The  $\alpha$ -closure of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , denoted by  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$  is defined to be the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed sets containing  $A$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called  $sg\alpha$ -closed [6] if  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U$  is semiopen in  $X$ . The complement of  $sg\alpha$ -closed set is called  $sg\alpha$ -open. The family of all  $sg\alpha$ -open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $sg\alpha O(X)$ .

\* E-mail: [nrajesh\\_topology@yahoo.co.in](mailto:nrajesh_topology@yahoo.co.in)

The family of all  $sg\alpha$ -open (respectively  $sg\alpha$ -closed) sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $sg\alpha(\tau)$  (respectively  $sg\alpha C(X)$ ). We set  $sg\alpha O(X, x) = \{U \mid U \in sg\alpha(\tau) \text{ and } x \in U\}$ . In [6] shown that the set  $sg\alpha(\tau)$  forms a topology, which is finer than  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *The intersection of all  $sg\alpha$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $sg\alpha$ -closure [6] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(A)$ . A set  $A$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(A) = A$  [6].*

### 3. Properties of $sg\alpha$ -continuous Functions

**Definition 3.1.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called :*

- (1).  *$sg\alpha$ -continuous [7] at a point  $x \in X$  if for each open subset  $V$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists a  $U \in sg\alpha(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ ;*
- (2).  *$sg\alpha$ -continuous [7] if it has this property at each point of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** ([7]). *The following statements are equivalent for a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ :*

- (1).  *$f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous;*
- (2).  *$f : (X, sg\alpha(\tau)) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is continuous;*
- (3). *for every open set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$ ;*
- (4). *for every closed set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ .*

**Lemma 3.3** ([6]). *Let  $A \subset B \subset X$ ,  $A$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $B$  and  $B$  an open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in sg\alpha(\tau)$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function and  $\Lambda = \{U_i : i \in I\}$  be a cover of  $X$  such that  $U_i \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  for each  $i \in I$ . If  $f|_{U_i}$  is continuous for each  $i \in I$ , then  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  is any open subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f|_{U_i}$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous for each  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $(f|_{U_i})^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $U_i$ . We have  $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (f^{-1}(V) \cap U_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (f|_{U_i})^{-1}(V)$ . Then by Lemma 3.3, we obtain  $f^{-1}(V) \in sg\alpha(\tau)$ , which means that  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function and  $x \in X$ . If there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$ , and the restriction of  $f$  to  $U$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at  $x$ , then  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is an open subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$  containing  $f(x)$ . Since  $f|_U$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at  $x$ , there exists a  $sg\alpha$ -open set  $V$  of  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(V) = (f|_U)(V) \subset F$ . Since  $U$  is open in  $X$  containing  $x$ , it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $V \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  containing  $x$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at  $x$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** *Let  $\{x_\alpha\}$  be a net in  $X$ . We say that  $x$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -limit of  $\{x_\alpha\}$  and we write  $x_\alpha \rightarrow_{sg\alpha} x$  if for every-neighbourhood  $A$  of  $x$  in  $X$  there exists a  $\beta$  such that for all  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $x_\alpha \in A$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *\* $sg\alpha$ -continuous is identical with the union of the  $sg\alpha$ -frontiers of the inverse images of  $sg\alpha$ -open sets containing  $f(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is not  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at a point  $x$  of  $X$ . Then there exists an open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  such that  $f(U)$  is not a subset of  $V$  for every  $U \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  containing  $x$ . Hence, we have  $U \cap (X \setminus f^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $sg\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . It follows that  $x \in sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(X \setminus f^{-1}(V))$ . We also have  $x \in f^{-1}(V) \subset sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ . This

means that  $x \in sg\alpha Fr(f^{-1}(V))$ . Now, let  $f$  be  $sg\alpha$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  and  $V$  an open subset of  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ . Then  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set of  $X$ . Thus,  $x \in sg\alpha Int(f^{-1}(V))$  and therefore  $x \notin sg\alpha Fr(f^{-1}(V))$  for every open set  $V$  containing  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.8.**

- (1). A filter base  $\Lambda$  is said to be  $sg\alpha$ -convergent to a point  $x$  in  $X$  if for any  $U \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $B \in \Lambda$  such that  $B \subset U$ .
- (2). A filter base  $\Lambda$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x$  in  $X$  if for any open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $B \in \Lambda$  such that  $B \subset U$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** If a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then for each point  $x \in X$  and each filter base  $\Lambda$  in  $X$   $sg\alpha$ -converging to  $x$ , the filter base  $f(\Lambda)$  is convergent to  $f(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\Lambda$  be any filter base in  $X$   $sg\alpha$ -converging to  $x$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then for any open set  $V$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is  $sg\alpha$ -converging to  $x$ , there exists a  $B \in \Lambda$  such that  $B \subset U$ . This means that  $f(B) \subset V$  and hence the filter base  $f(\Lambda)$  is convergent to  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

Recall that for a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the subset  $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} \subset X \times Y$  is called the graph of  $f$  and is denoted by  $G(f)$ .

**Definition 3.10.** A graph  $G(f)$  of a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be contra  $sg\alpha$ -closed if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exists  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  and a closed set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** A graph  $G(f)$  of a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is contra  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X \times Y$  if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  containing  $x$  and a closed set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous function and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then  $G(f)$  is contra  $sg\alpha$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since  $Y$  is  $T_1$ , there exists an open set  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in V$  and  $y \notin V$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, there exists  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Therefore,  $f(U) \cap (Y \setminus V) = \emptyset$  and  $Y \setminus V$  is a closed subset of  $Y$  containing  $y$ . This shows that  $G(f)$  is contra  $sg\alpha$ -closed.  $\square$

Let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be two families of topological spaces with the same index set  $\Lambda$ . The product space of  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is denoted by  $\Pi \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  (or simply  $\Pi X_\alpha$ ). Let  $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  be a function for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . The product function  $f : \Pi X_\alpha \rightarrow \Pi Y_\alpha$  is defined by  $f(\{x_\alpha\}) = \{f_\alpha(x_\alpha)\}$  for each  $\{x_\alpha\} \in \Pi X_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** If a function  $f : X \rightarrow \Pi Y_\alpha$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then  $P_\alpha \circ f : X \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , where  $P_\alpha$  is the projection of  $\Pi Y_\alpha$  onto  $Y_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V_\alpha$  be any open set of  $Y_\alpha$ . Then,  $P_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is open in  $\Pi Y_\alpha$  and hence  $(P_\alpha \circ f)^{-1}(V_\alpha) = f^{-1}(P_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha))$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Therefore,  $P_\alpha \circ f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** If a function  $f : \Pi X_\alpha \rightarrow \Pi Y_\alpha$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then  $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V_\alpha$  be any open set of  $Y_\alpha$ . Then  $P_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is open in  $\Pi Y_\alpha$  and  $f^{-1}(P_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)) = f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha) \times \Pi\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \setminus \{\alpha\}\}$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(P_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha))$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $\Pi X_\alpha$ . Since the projection  $P_\alpha$  of  $\Pi X_\alpha$  onto  $X_\alpha$  is open continuous,  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X_\alpha$  and hence  $f_\alpha$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

Now, we recall the following definitions.

**Definition 3.15.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (1).  $sg\alpha$ -compact [7] if every  $sg\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover;
- (2).  $sg\alpha$ -compact relative to  $X$  if every cover of  $A$  by  $sg\alpha$ -open sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 3.16.** If a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $A$  is  $sg\alpha$ -compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(A)$  is compact in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be any cover of  $f(A)$  by open sets of the subspace  $f(A)$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists a open set  $A_\alpha$  of  $Y$  such that  $H_\alpha = K_\alpha \cap f(A)$ . For each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in I$  such that  $f(x) \in A_{\alpha_x}$  and there exists  $U_x \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subset A_{\alpha_x}$ . Since the family  $\{U_x : x \in K\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $sg\alpha$ -open sets of  $K$ , there exists a finite subset  $A_0$  of  $A$  such that  $A \subset \{U_x : x \in A_0\}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(A) \subset \bigcup\{f(U_x) : x \in A_0\}$  which is a subset of  $\bigcup\{A_{\alpha_x} : x \in A_0\}$ . Thus,  $f(A) = \bigcup\{A_{\alpha_x} : x \in A_0\}$  and hence  $f(A)$  is compact.  $\square$

**Definition 3.17.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be:

- (1). countably  $sg\alpha$ -compact if every  $sg\alpha$ -open countably cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover;
- (2).  $sg\alpha$ -Lindelof if every  $sg\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  has a countable subcover;
- (3).  $sg\alpha$ -closed compact if every  $sg\alpha$ -closed cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover;
- (4). countably  $sg\alpha$ -closed compact if every countably cover of  $X$  by  $sg\alpha$ -closed sets has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous surjective function. Then the following statements hold:

- (1). If  $X$  is  $sg\alpha$ -Lindelof, then  $Y$  is Lindelof;
- (2). If  $X$  is countably  $sg\alpha$ -compact, then  $Y$  is countably compact.

*Proof.*

- (1). Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then  $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $sg\alpha$ -Lindelof, there exists a countable subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I_0\}$ . Thus,  $Y = \bigcup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I_0\}$  and hence  $Y$  is Lindelof.

- (2). Similar to (1).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous surjective function. Then the following statements hold:

- (1). If  $X$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed compact, then  $Y$  is compact;
- (2). If  $X$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed Lindelof, then  $Y$  is Lindelof;
- (3). If  $X$  is countably  $sg\alpha$ -closed compact, then  $Y$  is countably compact.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to Theorem 3.18.  $\square$

## 4. Separation Axioms

**Definition 4.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be:

- (1).  $sg\alpha-T_1$  [11] if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $sg\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively such that  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$ .
- (2).  $sg\alpha-T_2$  [11] if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $sg\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .

Recall, that a subset  $B_x$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  $sg\alpha$ -neighbourhood of a point  $x \in X$  [11] if there exists a  $sg\alpha$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset B_x$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** If an injective function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $Y$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then  $X$  is a  $sg\alpha-T_1$ -space.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is  $T_1$ . For any distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exist open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $f(x) \in V$ ,  $f(y) \notin V$ ,  $f(x) \notin W$  and  $f(y) \in W$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  and  $f^{-1}(W)$  are  $sg\alpha$ -open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ ,  $y \notin f^{-1}(V)$ ,  $x \notin f^{-1}(W)$  and  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$ . This shows that  $X$  is  $sg\alpha-T_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous injective function and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $T_2$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha-T_2$ -space.

*Proof.* For any pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  and  $f(y) \in V$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$  because  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $X$  is  $sg\alpha-T_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4** ([6]). The intersection of an open and  $sg\alpha$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a continuous function and  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous function and  $Y$  is a  $T_2$ -space, then the set  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed set in  $X$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in E^c$ , then it follows that  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Since  $Y$  is  $T_2$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in V$  and  $g(x) \in W$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and  $g$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open and  $g^{-1}(W)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$  with  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $x \in g^{-1}(W)$ . Put  $A = f^{-1}(V) \cap g^{-1}(W)$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $A$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Therefore,  $f(A) \cap g(A) = \emptyset$  and it follows that  $x \notin sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(E)$ . This shows that  $E$  is  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.6.** A graph  $G(f)$  of a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  and an open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** A graph  $G(f)$  of a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X \times Y$  if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  and an open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff, then  $G(f)$  is strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . Then  $f(x) \neq y$ . Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff, there exist open sets  $V$  and  $W$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous, there exists  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Therefore,  $f(U) \cap W = \emptyset$  and then by Lemma 4.7,  $G(f)$  is strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed in  $X \times Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an injective with the strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha-T_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are two distinct points of  $X$ . Then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Hence there exist a  $sg\alpha$ -open set  $U$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $f(y)$ , respectively, such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \notin U$ . This implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha-T_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a surjective function with the strongly  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $T_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be two distinct points of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there exists a point  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x) = y_2$ . Hence  $(x, y_1) \notin G(f)$ . Then by Lemma 4.7, there exist a  $sg\alpha$ -open set  $U$  and an open set  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y_1$ , respectively, such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $y_2 \notin V$ . This means that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $T_1$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.11.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  has a ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, y)$  such that  $(U \times sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .*

**Lemma 4.12.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  has a ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, y)$  such that  $f(U) \cap sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Definition 4.11.  $\square$

**Definition 4.13.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V) \in sg\alpha(\tau)$  for each  $V \in sg\alpha(\sigma)$ .*

**Theorem 4.14.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute function and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha-T_2$  space, then  $G(f)$  is ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Similar proof of Theorem 3.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective and has a ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph  $G(f)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is both  $sg\alpha-T_2$  and  $sg\alpha-T_1$  space.*

*Proof.* Let  $y_1, y_2$  ( $y_1 \neq y_2$ )  $\in Y$ . The surjectivity of  $f$  gives a  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) = y_1$ . Now  $(x_1, x_2) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . The ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closedness of  $G(f)$  provides  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x_1)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, y_2)$  such that  $f(U) \cap sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ . Whence one infers that  $y_1 \notin sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)$ . This means that there exists  $W \in sg\alpha O(Y, y_1)$  such that  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . So,  $Y$  is  $sg\alpha-T_2$  and hence  $sg\alpha-T_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.16.** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha-T_2$  if and only if the identity function  $i : X \rightarrow X$  has a ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph.*

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $sg\alpha-T_2$ . Since the identity function  $i : X \rightarrow X$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute, it follows from Theorem 4.14 that  $G(i)$  is ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed. Sufficiency: Let  $G(i)$  be ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed. Then the surjectivity of  $i$  and ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closedness of  $G(i)$  together imply, by Theorem 4.15, that  $X$  is  $sg\alpha-T_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.17.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an injection and  $G(f)$  is ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed, then  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $sg\alpha-T_1$  space.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is injective, for any pair of distinct point  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ . Then  $(x_1, f(x_2)) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . Since  $G(f)$  is ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x_1)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, f(x_2))$  such that  $f(U) \cap sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $x_2 \notin U$ . Similarly we can obtain a set  $W \in sg\alpha O(X, x_2)$  such that  $x_1 \notin W$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha-T_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.18.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a bijective function with ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph, then both  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are  $sg\alpha-T_1$  space.*

*Proof.* The proof is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.15 and 4.17.  $\square$

**Definition 4.19.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be weakly  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute [8] if for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, f(x))$ , there exists  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)$ .*

**Theorem 4.20.** *If a weakly  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an injection with ultra  $sg\alpha$ -closed graph  $G(f)$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha$ - $T_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is injective for any pair of distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in X$ ,  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ . Therefore,  $(x_1, f(x_2)) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . The  $sg\alpha$ -closedness of  $G(f)$  gives  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x_1)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(Y, f(x_2))$  such that  $f(U) \cap sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ , where one obtains  $U \cap f^{-1}(sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)) = \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)) \subset X \setminus U$ . Since  $f$  is weakly  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute, it is so at  $x_2$ . Then there exists  $W \in sg\alpha O(X, x_2)$  such that  $f(W) \subset sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)$ . It follows that  $W \subset f^{-1}(sg\alpha\text{-Cl}(V)) \subset X \setminus U$ . Whence one infer that  $W \cap U = \emptyset$ . Thus, for any pair of distinct points  $x_1, x_2$  there exist  $U \in sg\alpha O(X, x_1)$ ,  $V \in sg\alpha O(X, x_2)$  such that  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $sg\alpha$ - $T_2$ . □

## 5. $sg\alpha$ -Quotient Functions

We introduce the following definition

**Definition 5.1.** *A surjective function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function if  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  implies  $V$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

**Proposition 5.2.** *Every quotient function is  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.*

*Proof.* Follows from the definitions. □

The following example shows that  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function need not be a quotient function in general.

**Example 5.3.** *Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y\}$ . Define a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = a$  and  $f(c) = f(d) = c$ . Then  $f$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function but not a quotient function.*

**Theorem 5.4.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function if and only if  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, sg\alpha(\tau))$  is quotient function.*

**Definition 5.5.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $sg\alpha$ -open [9] if  $f(U) \in sg\alpha(\sigma)$  for each  $U \in \tau$ .*

**Proposition 5.6.** *If a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective,  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $sg\alpha$ -open function, then  $f$  is a quotient function.*

*Proof.* We only need to prove that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  implies  $V$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Let  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $f(f^{-1}(V))$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open, since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open. Hence  $V$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set of  $Y$ , as  $f$  is surjective,  $f(f^{-1}(V)) = V$ . Thus,  $f$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. □

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an open surjective  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute function and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. Then the composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be any open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set, since  $g$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . This shows that  $g \circ f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous. Also, assume that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  for  $V \subset Z$ , that is  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $f$  is open  $f(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . It follows that  $g^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , because  $f$  is surjective. Since  $g$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function,  $V$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $Z$ . Thus,  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. □

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $h : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function and  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is a continuous function where  $(Z, \eta)$  is a space that is constant on each set  $h^{-1}(\{y\})$ , for  $y \in Y$ , then  $g$  induces a  $sg\alpha$ -continuous function  $f : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  such that  $f \circ h = g$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is constant on  $h^{-1}(\{y\})$ , for each  $y \in Y$ , the set  $g(h^{-1}(\{y\}))$  is a point set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Let  $f(y)$  denote this point, then it is clear that  $f$  is well defined and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(h(x)) = g(x)$ . We claim that  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous. Let  $V$  be any open set of  $(Z, \eta)$ , then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is open, as  $g$  is continuous. But  $g^{-1}(V) = h^{-1}(f^{-1}(V))$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $h$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.9.** A surjective function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be a strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function if  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -continuous and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  implies  $V$  is open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** Every strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function is  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

For example, the function in the Example 5.3 is a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function but not strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

**Definition 5.11.** A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function if  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute and  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$  implies  $U$  is open in  $Y$ .

**Definition 5.12.** A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $sg\alpha^*$ -open [9] if the image of every  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  is an  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a surjective  $sg\alpha^*$ -open and  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute function and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. Then  $g \circ f$  is a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $Z$ . Then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$  because  $g$  is a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function. We claim that  $g \circ f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute. Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ , that is  $g \circ f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute. Suppose  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is an  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  for  $V \subset Z$ , that is,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha^*$ -open,  $f(f^{-1}(V))$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$ , and  $g^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$  because  $f$  is surjective. Since  $g$  is completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function,  $V$  is an open set in  $Z$ . Thus,  $g \circ f$  is completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.14.** Every completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function is strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

*Proof.* Suppose  $V$  is an open set in  $Y$  then it is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $sg\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Thus  $V$  is open in  $Y$  gives  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ . Suppose  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $sg\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function,  $V$  is an open set in  $Y$ . Hence  $f$  is strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.15.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a strongly  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be a  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function, then  $g \circ f$  is a completely  $sg\alpha$ -quotient function.

## References

- [1] M. Caldas, On weakly preopenness and decomposition of preopenness, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 33(5)(2009), 827-834.
- [2] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, On functions with  $\lambda$ -closed graph, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 33(2)(2009), 229-236.
- [3] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and N. Rajesh, On faintly  $\tilde{g}$ -continuous functions, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 35(2)(2011), 191-202.
- [4] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [5] O. Nijastad, On some classes nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), 961-970.
- [6] N. Rajesh and B. Krsteska, Semi Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets, Antarctica J. Math., 6(1)(2009), 1-12.
- [7] N. Rajesh, B. Krsteska and G. Shanmugam, Semi Generalized  $\alpha$ -continuous functions, (submitted).
- [8] N. Rajesh and G. Shanmugam, Weak forms of strongly continuous functions, (submitted).



- [9] P. Gomathi Sundari, N. Rajesh and S. Vinoth Kumar, *Generalization of homeomorphisms*, International Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 7(1)(2019), 195-202.
- [10] N. Rajesh and G. Shanmugam, *Characterizations of  $sg\alpha-R_0$ ,  $sg\alpha-R_1$  and weakly  $sg\alpha-R_0$  topological spaces*, (submitted).
- [11] N. Rajesh and G. Shanmugam, *Characterizations of  $sg\alpha-T_0$ ,  $sg\alpha-T_1$  and  $sg\alpha-T_2$  topological spaces*, (submitted).