



# Generalized Hyers-Ulam Type Stability of the $2k$ -Variables Quadratic $\beta$ -Functional Inequalities And Function in $\gamma$ -Homogeneous Normed Space

Ly Van An<sup>1,\*</sup>

1 Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Ninh Trung, Ninh Son, Tay Ninh Province, Vietnam.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study to solve two quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities with  $2k$ -variables in  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic  $\beta$ -functional equations associated two the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities in  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach spaces. We will show that the solutions of the first and second inequalities are quadratic mappings.

**MSC:** 4610, 4710, 39B62, 39B72, 39B52.

**Keywords:** Hyers-Ulam stability  $\gamma$ -homogeneous space; quadratic  $\beta$ -functional equation;  $\beta$ -functional inequality.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a  $\gamma$ -homogeneous normed spaces on the same filed  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a mapping. We use the notation  $\|\cdot\|$  for the norms on both  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ . In this paper, we investigate first functional inequalities when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $\gamma$ -homogeneous real or complex Banach space and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta$  is fixed complex number with  $|\beta| < 1$ , and

when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $\gamma$ -homogeneous real or complex Banach space and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\beta$  is a fixed complex number with  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

\* E-mail: [lyvanan145@gmail.com](mailto:lyvanan145@gmail.com)

The notions of homogeneous real or complex Banach space will remind in the next section. The Hyers-Ulam stability was first investigated for functional equation of Ulam in [31, 32] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The Hyers [16] gave firsts affirmative partial answer to the equation of Ulam in Banach spaces. After that, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] additive mappings and by Rassias [27] for linear mappings considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [15] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y)$$

is called the Jensen equation. The functional equations

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the quadratic functional equations. The functional equations

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the Jensen type quadratic functional equations [17, 20, 21] for more information on functional equations. The Hyers-Ulam stability for functional inequalities have been investigated such as in [13]. Gilányi showed that is if satisfies the functional inequality

$$\left\| 2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(xy^{-1}) \right\| \leq \|f(xy)\| \tag{3}$$

Then  $f$  satisfies the Jordan-von Newman functional equation

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(xy) + f(xy^{-1})$$

See also [28]. Gilányi [13, 14] and Fechner [12] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality. Choonkil Park<sup>a</sup> [9] proved the quadratic  $\rho$ -functional inequalities. Recently, in [2, 3, 4, 9] the authors studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following quadratic functional inequalities.

$$\left\| f(x+y) + f(x+y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left( 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right) \right\|$$

and

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left( f(x+y) + f(x+y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right) \right\|$$

next

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| \beta \left( 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} - \frac{z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right) \right\| \tag{4}$$

and

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^2} - \frac{z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| \beta \left( f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z) \right) \right\| \quad (5)$$

in homogeneous real and complex Banach spaces.

In this paper, we solve and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability for two quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities (1)-(2), ie the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities with  $2k$ -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$ , we will prove that the mappings satisfying the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequatilies (1) or (2). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [2, 3, 4, 9] for quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequatilies with  $2k$ -variables. The paper is organized as followings: In section preliminaries we remind some basic notations in [29] such as  $F$ -norm is called  $\gamma$ -homogeneous ( $\gamma > 0$ ). Section 3 is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities ( $|\beta| < 1$ ) (1) and (2) when  $X$  is  $\gamma_1$ -homogeneous ( $\gamma_1 \leq 1$ ) real or complex normed space and  $Y$  is  $\gamma_2$ -homogeneous ( $\gamma_2 \leq 1$ ) complex Banach space. Section 4 is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities ( $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}$ ) (1) and (2) when  $X$  is  $\gamma_1$ -homogeneous ( $\gamma_1 \leq 1$ ) real or complex normed space and  $Y$  is  $\gamma_2$ -homogeneous ( $\gamma_2 \leq 1$ ) complex Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ( $F^*$ -spaces). Let  $X$  be a linear space. A nonnegative valued function  $\|\cdot\|$  is an  $F$ -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1).  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (2).  $\|\lambda x\| = \|\lambda\| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ ;
- (3).  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (4).  $\|\lambda_n x\| \rightarrow 0, \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (5).  $\|\lambda_n x\| \rightarrow 0, x_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called an  $F^*$ -space. An  $F$ -space is a complete  $F^*$ -space. An  $F$ -norm is called  $\beta$ -homogeneous ( $\beta > 0$ ) if  $\|tx\| = |t|^\beta \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ .

### 2.1. Solutions of the inequalities

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The functional equation  $f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y)$  called the Jensen equation. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. The stability of quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [30] for mappings  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ , where

$E_1$  is a normed space and  $E_2$  is a Banach space. Cholewe [8] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $E_1$  is replaced by an Abelian group. The functional equation

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called a Jensen type quadratic equation [17, 20, 21]. Throughout this paper, let  $k$  be a fixed integer with  $k \geq 2$ .

### 3. Quadratic $\gamma$ -functional Inequality

In This section, assume that  $\beta$  is a fixed complex number with  $|\beta| < 1$ . We investigate the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequality (1) in  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach space.

**Lemma 3.1.** *An even mapping  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  if and only if  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic mapping.

*Proof.* Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (6). Letting  $x_j = x_{k+j} = 0$ , for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (6), we get

$$|2k-1|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq |k\beta|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}}.$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ . Letting  $x_{k+j} = 0, x_j = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (6), we get  $\|f(kx) - kf(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 0$  and so  $f(kx) = kf(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus

$$f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{k}f(x) \quad \forall x \in X \quad (7)$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = |\beta|^{\gamma_2} \left\| \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) = 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \quad (8)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . The converse is obviously true.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *An even mapping  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic.

*Proof.* Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (9). Letting  $x_{j+1} = x_{k+j} = 0$  and  $x_1 = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (9), we get

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 0$$

Thus

$$f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{k}f(x) \quad (10)$$

for all  $x \in X$  It follows from (8) and (9) that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq |\beta|^{\gamma_2} \left\| \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \quad (11)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . The converse is obviously true.  $\square$

From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have corollaries.

**Corollary 3.3.** *An even mapping  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \\ &= \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  if and only if  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic mapping.

**Corollary 3.4.** *An even mapping  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} & kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \\ &= \beta \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$  if and only if  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic.

The equations (12) and (13) is called a quadratic type  $\beta$ -functional equation.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $r > \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \Big\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \quad (14)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}} \quad (15)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_j = x_{k+j} = 0$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ , in (14) we get

$$|2k - 1|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq |k\beta|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}}.$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ . Letting  $x_{k+j} = 0$  and  $x_j = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (14), we get

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq k\theta \|x\|^r \quad (16)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . So

$$\left\| f(x) - kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k}{k^{\gamma_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Hence

$$\left\| k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^m f\left(\frac{x}{k^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| k^j f\left(\frac{x}{k^j}\right) - k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_1 r}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{\gamma_2 j}}{k^{\gamma_1 r j}} \|x\|^r \quad (17)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . It follows from (17) that the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is complete, the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (17), we get (15) It follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| k^{n\gamma_2} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| k^{n\gamma_2} \left\| \beta \right\|^{\gamma_2} \left\| \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}}\right) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{n\gamma_2} \theta}{k^{nr\gamma_1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . By Lemma 3.1, the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic. Now, let  $T : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying (15). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &= k^{\gamma_2 n} \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq k^{\gamma_2 n} \left( \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\theta k^{\gamma_2 n + 1}}{(k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}) k^{\gamma_1 n r}} \theta \|x\|^r \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . So we can conclude that  $H(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $H$ . Thus the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is a unique mapping satisfying (15).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $r < \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_2} - k^{\gamma_1 r}} \quad (19)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$

*Proof.* Letting  $x_j = x_{k+j} = 0$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ , in (18) we get

$$|2k - 1|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq |k\beta|^{\gamma_2} \|f(0)\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ . Letting  $x_{k+j} = 0$ , and  $x_j = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (18), we get

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq k\theta \|x\|^r \quad (20)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{k} f(kx) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k}{k^{\gamma_2}} \theta \|x\|^r$$

So for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{k^l} f(k^l x) - \frac{1}{k^m} f(k^m x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{k^j} f(k^j x) - \frac{1}{k^{j+1}} f(k^{j+1} x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_2}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r j}}{k^{\gamma_2 j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . It follows from (21) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is complete, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (21), we get (19). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.5  $\square$

From Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 we have corollaries.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $r > \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}}, \quad (23)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $r < \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^{\gamma_2} - k^{\gamma_1 r}}, \quad (25)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .

## 4. Quadratic $\gamma$ -functional Inequality

In This section, assume that  $\beta$  is a fixed complex number with  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}$ . We investigate the quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequality (2) in  $\gamma$ -homogeneous complex Banach space.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $r > \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{\gamma_2}} \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r}}{k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}} \quad (27)$$

for all  $x \in X$



*Proof.* Letting  $x_{j+1} = x_{k+j} = 0$  and  $x_1 = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (26), we get

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2\gamma_2} \|x\|^r \quad (28)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^m f\left(\frac{x}{k^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| k^j f\left(\frac{x}{k^j}\right) - k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{2\gamma_2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{k^{\gamma_2 j}}{k^{\gamma_1 r j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . It follows from (29) that the sequence  $\left\{ k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is complete, the sequence  $\left\{ k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (29), we get (27) It follows from (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| kH\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kH\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |k|^{n\gamma_2} \left\| kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |k|^{n\gamma_2} |\beta|^{\gamma_2} \left\| \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k^{n+1}}\right) - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{n\gamma_2} \theta}{k^{nr\gamma_1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| kH\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + kH\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) + H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . By Lemma 3.2, the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic. Now, let  $T : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying (27). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| H(x) - T(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &= k^{\gamma_2 n} \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq k^{\gamma_2 n} \left( \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\theta}{2\gamma_2} \cdot \frac{1}{(k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}) k^{\gamma_1 r}} \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_2 n}}{k^{\gamma_1 n r}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . So we can conclude that  $H(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $H$ . Thus the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a unique mapping satisfying (27).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $r < \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 2^{\gamma_2} \theta \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r}}{k^{\gamma_2} - k^{\gamma_1 r}} \quad (31)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$

*Proof.* Letting  $x_{j+1} = x_{k+j} = 0$  and  $x_1 = x$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (30), we get

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{k} f(kx) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 2^{\gamma_2} \theta \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r}}{k^{\gamma_2}} \|x\|^r \quad (32)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{k^l} f(k^l x) - \frac{1}{k^m} f(k^m x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{k^j} f(k^j x) - \frac{1}{k^{j+1}} f(k^{j+1} x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq 2^{\gamma_2} \theta \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{\gamma_1 j}}{k^{\gamma_2 r j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . It follows from (33) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is complete, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x) \right\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (33), we get (31) It follows from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kH \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + kH \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|k|^{n\gamma_2}} \left\| kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-2} x_{k+j} + \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-1} x_j \right) + kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-2} x_{k+j} - \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-1} x_j \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(k^{n-1} x_{k+j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(k^n x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|k|^{n\gamma_2}} |\beta|^{\gamma_2} \left\| \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-1} x_{k+j} + \sum_{j=1}^k k^n x_j \right) + f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k k^{n-1} x_{k+j} - \sum_{j=1}^k k^n x_j \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(k^{n-1} x_{k+j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(k^n x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{n\gamma_1} \theta}{k^{nr\gamma_2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So

$$\left\| kH \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + kH \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$$

$$\leq \left\| \beta \left( H \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + H \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . By Lemma 3.2, the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is quadratic. Now, let  $T : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying (31). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &= \frac{1}{k^{\gamma_2 n}} \left\| H(k^n x) - T(k^n x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^{\gamma_2 n}} \left( \left\| H(k^n x) - f(k^n x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} + \left\| H(k^n x) - f(k^n x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{\gamma_2} \theta}{(k^{\gamma_2} - k^{\gamma_1 r}) k^{\gamma_1 r}} \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_1 n r}}{k^{\gamma_2 n}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . So we can conclude that  $H(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $H$ . Thus the mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a unique mapping satisfying (31).  $\square$

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 we have corollaries.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $r > \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{\gamma_2}} \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r}}{k^{\gamma_1 r} - k^{\gamma_2}} \quad (35)$$

for all  $x \in X$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $r < \frac{2\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + kf \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) + f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &+ \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in X$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 2^{\gamma_2} \theta \cdot \frac{k^{\gamma_1 r}}{k^{\gamma_2} - k^{\gamma_1 r}} \quad (37)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$

**Remark 4.5.** If  $\beta$  is a real number such that  $-1 < \beta < 1$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a  $\gamma_2$ -homogeneous real Banach space, then all the assertions in this sections remain valid.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, I have shown that the solutions of the first and second quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities are quadratic mappings. The Hyers-Ulam stability for these given from theorems. These are the main results of the paper, which are the generalization of the results [2, 3, 4, 9].

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2(1995), 64-66.
- [2] Ly Van An, *Hyers-Ulam stability of functional inequalities with three variable in Banach spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 13(11)(2019), 296-310.
- [3] Ly Van An, *Hyers-Ulam stability additive  $\beta$ -functional inequalities with three variable in Banach spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 14(5-8)(2020), 296-310.
- [4] Ly Van An, *Hyers-Ulam stability quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities with three variable in  $\gamma$ -homogeneous normed spaces*, International Journal of Mathematic Research, 12(1)(2020), 47-64.
- [5] J. Bae and W. Park, *Approximate bi-homomorphisms and bi-derivations in  $C^*$ -ternary algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc, 47(2010), 195-209.
- [6] A. Bahyrycz and M. Piszczek, *Hyers stability of the Jensen function equation*, Acta Math. Hungar., 142(2014), 353-365.
- [7] M. Balcerowski, *On the functional equations related to a problem of z Boros and Z. Dróczy*, Acta Math. Hungar., 138(2013), 329-340.
- [8] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equation*, Aequationes Math., 27(1984), 76-86
- [9] Chookil, Sang Og Kim, Jung Rye Lee and Dong Yun Shin, *Quadratic  $\rho$ -functional inequalities in  $\beta$ -homogeneous normed Space*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 6(2)(2015), 21-26.
- [10] Z. Daróczy and Gy. Mackasa, *A function equation involving comparable weighted quasi-arithmetic means*, Acta Math Hungar., 138(2013), 329-340.
- [11] I. -i. EL-Fassi, *Solution and approximation of radical quintic functional equation related to quintic mapping in quasi- $\beta$ -Banach spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat., 2018(2018), 1-13.
- [12] W. Fechner, *Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math., 71(2006), 149-161.
- [13] A. Gilányi, *Eine zur Parallelogrammaleichung ä Ungleichung*, Aeq. Math., 62(2001), 303-309.
- [14] A. Gilányi, *On a problem by K*, Nikodem Math. Inequal. Appl., 5(2002), 707-710.
- [15] P. Gävruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam -Rassias stability, Locally bounded linear topological spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 18(10)(1942), 588-594.
- [16] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 27(1941), 222-224.
- [17] S. Jung, *On the quadratic functional equation modulo a subgroup*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 36(2005), 441-450.
- [18] P. Kaskasem, C. Klin-eam and Y. J. Cho, *On the stability of the generalized Cauchy-Jensen set-valued functional equations*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 20(2018), 1-14.
- [19] C. Park, *Quadratic  $\beta$ -functional inequalities and equation*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 2014(2014).
- [20] C. Park, *Functional equation in Banach modules*, Indian J. Pure Anal. Appl Math., 33(2002), 1077-1086.
- [21] C. Park, *Multilinear mappings in Banach modules over a  $C^*$ -algebra*, Indian J. Pure Appl Math., 35(2004), 183-192.
- [22] C. Park, Y. Cho and M. Han, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan -von Neuman- type additive functional*

- equational*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2007(2007).
- [23] A. Najati and G. Z. Eskandani, *Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 342(2)(2008), 1318-1331.
- [24] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 337(1)(2008), 399-415.
- [25] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mappings in Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. So., 72(1978), 297-300.
- [26] J. Rätz, *On inequalities associated with the Jordan Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math., 66(2003), 191-200.
- [27] S. Rolewicz, *Metric linear space*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishersm, Warsaw, (1972).
- [28] S. M. Ulam, *Collection of the mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ New York, (1960).
- [29] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Wiley, (1964).
- [30] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias and W. X. Xu, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 28(3)(2012), 529-560.