



Generalization of Semicompact Spaces via Bioperations

Research Article

R.Nirmala¹ and N.Rajesh^{2*}¹ 4/10, North street, Oviyampalayam, Namakkal, Tamilnadu, India.² Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces and study some of their properties using the concept of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconvergence, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semifilterbase and $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulation points.

MSC: 54D05, 54C08, 54B05.

Keywords: $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real analysis concerns the various modified forms of continuity, separation axioms etc. by utilizing generalized open sets. Kasahara [1] defined the concept of an operation on topological spaces. Ogata and Maki [3] introduced the notion of $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}$ which is the collection of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) . In this paper, we introduce $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces and study some of their properties using the concept of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconvergence, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semifilterbase and $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulation points.

2. Preliminaries

The closure and the interior of a subset A of (X, τ) are denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let (X, τ) be a topological space. An operation γ on the topology τ is function from τ on to power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of X such that $V \subset V^\gamma$ for each $V \in \tau$, where V^γ denotes the value of γ at V . It is denoted by $\gamma : \tau \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). A topological space (X, τ) equipped with two operations, say, γ and γ' defined on τ is called a bioperation-topological space, it is denoted by $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$.

Definition 2.3. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set [3] if for each $x \in A$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that $U^\gamma \cup U^{\gamma'} \subset A$. The complement of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set is called $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -closed. $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}$ denotes set of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open sets in (X, τ) .

* E-mail: nrajesh_topology@yahoo.co.in

Definition 2.4 ([3]). For a subset A of (X, τ) , $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Cl}(A)$ denotes the intersection of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -closed sets containing A , that is, $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Cl}(A) = \bigcap \{F : A \subset F, X \setminus F \in \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\}$.

Definition 2.5. Let A be any subset of X . The $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Int}(A)$ is defined as $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Int}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is a } \gamma \vee \gamma'\text{-open set and } U \subset A\}$.

Definition 2.6. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen [2] if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Cl}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\text{-Int}(A))$.

3. Bioperation-semicompact spaces

In this section, we introduce $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces and study some of their properties using the concept of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconvergence, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semifilterbase and $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulation points.

Definition 3.1. A collection $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets in a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is called a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of a subset A in X if $A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} A_i$.

Definition 3.2. A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is said to be $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if for each $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X has a finite subcover.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and γ, γ' identity operations defined on τ . It is clear that $\gamma \vee \gamma'\text{-SO}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, R\} \cup \{[a, \infty) : a \in R\}$. Clearly, the bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is not a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space.

However, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Every finite bioperation-topological space is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact.

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen covering of X . Then each element in X belongs to one of the members of \mathcal{C} , say, $x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2, \dots, x_n \in G_n$, where $G_i \in \mathcal{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the collection $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ is a finite subcover of X . Hence $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space. \square

Theorem 3.5. If G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n are $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact subsets of a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$, then $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_n$ is also $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, that is, finite union of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact sets is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact.

Proof. Let U and V be any two $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact subsets of X . Let \mathcal{C} be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of $U \cup V$. Then \mathcal{C} will also be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of both U and V . So by hypothesis, there exists a finite subcollection of \mathcal{C} of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets, say, $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ and $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ covering U and V , respectively. Clearly, the collection $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ is a finite collection of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets covering $U \cup V$. By induction, every finite union of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact sets is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

Theorem 3.6. Every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed subset of a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact.

Proof. If G is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed set in the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ and \mathcal{C} is any $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of G , then the collection $\mathcal{C}^* = (X \setminus G) \cup \mathcal{C}$ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X . Since X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, the collection \mathcal{C}^* has a finite subcover. If this finite subcover contains the set $X \setminus G$, discard it, otherwise leave the finite subcover alone, the resulting collection is a finite subcover of \mathcal{C} . \square

Theorem 3.7. Every infinite subset of a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ has at least one $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster point in X .

Proof. Suppose X is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space and let A be an infinite subset of X . Assume that A has no $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed points in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U_x such that $U_x \cap A = \{x\}$ or \emptyset . Now the collection $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen covering of X . Since X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, there exist points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in X such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} = X$. But $(U_{x_1} \cap A) \cup (U_{x_2} \cap A) \cup \dots \cup (U_{x_n} \cap A) = \{x_1\} \cup \{x_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{x_n\}$ or \emptyset . It follows that $(U_{x_1} \cup U_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}) \cap A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ or \emptyset . Hence $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ or \emptyset , contradicts that A is infinite. \square

Definition 3.8. A collection η of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to satisfy the finite intersection condition if for every finite subcollection $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$ of η , the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_n$ is nonempty.

We will give several characterizations of the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces. The first characterization makes use of the finite intersection condition.

Theorem 3.9. The following statements are equivalent for any bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$:

- (1). $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact.
- (2). Given any family \mathcal{F} of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets, if no finite subfamily of \mathcal{F} covers X , then \mathcal{F} does not cover X .
- (3). Given any family \mathcal{F} of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets, if \mathcal{F} satisfies the finite intersection condition, then $\bigcap \{A : A \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$.
- (4). Given any family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X , if \mathcal{F} satisfies the finite intersection condition, then $\bigcap \{\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s Cl(A) : $A \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies the finite intersection condition, then $\bigcap \{\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s Cl(A) : $A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a family of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets, which obviously satisfies the finite intersection condition.

(4) \Rightarrow (3): Follows from the fact that $A = \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s Cl(A) for every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed subset A of X . \square

Theorem 3.10. A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if for every collection of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets in X , satisfying the finite intersection condition, the intersection of all the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets in the collection is nonempty.

Proof. Suppose ζ is a collection of subsets of X . Let $\xi = \{X \setminus G : G \in \zeta\}$ be the collection of their complements. Then we have the following equivalent statements:

- (1). ζ is a collection of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets if, and only if ξ is a collection of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets in X .
- (2). The collection ζ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X if, and only if the intersection of all the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed elements in ξ is empty.
- (3). The finite subcollection $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of ζ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X if, and only if the intersection of the corresponding $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed elements of ξ is nonempty.

Now X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if given any collection ζ of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets, if ζ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X , then there is a finite subcollection of ζ covers X if, and only if given any collection ζ of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets, if there is no finite subcollection of ζ covers X , then ζ is not a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X if, and only if given any collection ξ of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets, if every finite intersection of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets in ξ is nonempty, then the intersection of all the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets in ξ is nonempty. \square

We will give the characterization by nets of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces.

Definition 3.11. Let $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ be a bioperation-topological space. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster point of a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ if $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is frequently in every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set containing x . We denote by $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s- $cp\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ the set of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster points of a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$.

Theorem 3.12. The set of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster points of an arbitrary net in X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed.

Proof. Let $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be a net in X . Set $A = \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s- $cp\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$. Let $x \in X \setminus A$. Then there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U_x containing x and $\alpha_x \in \Delta$ such that $x_\beta \notin U_x$ whenever $\beta \in \Delta$, $\beta \geq \alpha_x$. It turns out that $U_x \subset X \setminus A$, hence $x \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s $\text{Int}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s $\text{Cl}(A)$. This shows that $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s $\text{Cl}(A) \subset A$; hence A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed in $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$. \square

Theorem 3.13. A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if each net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in X has at least one $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster point.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space. Assume that there exist some net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in X such that $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s- $cp\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is empty. Then for every $x \in X$, there exist $U(x) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x) and $\alpha(x) \in \Delta$ such that $x_\beta \notin U(x)$ whenever $\beta \geq \alpha(x)$, $\beta \in \Delta$. Then the family $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$ is a cover of X by $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets and has a finite subcover, say, $\{U_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $U_k = U(x_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\{x_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subset X$. Let us take $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha \geq \alpha(x_k)$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For every $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $\beta \geq \alpha$ we have, $x_\beta \notin U_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, hence $x_\beta \notin X$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, if X is not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, there exists $\{U_i : i \in \Delta\}$ a cover of X by $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets, which has no finite subcover. Let $F(\Delta)$ be the family of all finite subsets of Δ . Clearly, $(F(\Delta), \subset)$ is a directed set. For each $J \in F(\Delta)$, we may choose $x_J \in X \setminus \bigcup \{U_i : i \in J\}$. Let us consider the net $\{x_J\}_{J \in F(\Delta)}$. By hypothesis, the set $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s- $cp\{x_J\}_{J \in F(\Delta)}$ is nonempty. Let $x \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s- $cp\{x_J\}_{J \in F(\Delta)}$ and let $i_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x \in U_{i_0}$. By the definition of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicluster point for each $J \in F(\Delta)$ there exists $J^* \in F(\Delta)$ such that $J \subset J^*$ and $x_{J^*} \in U_{i_0}$. For $J = \{i_0\}$, there exists $J^* \in F(\Delta)$ such that $i_0 \in J^*$ and $x_{J^*} \in U_{i_0}$. But $x_{J^*} \in X \setminus \bigcup \{U_i : i \in J^*\} \subset X \setminus U_{i_0}$. The contradiction we obtained shows that $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

In the following, we will give a characterization of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces by means of filterbases.

Let us recall that a nonempty family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X is said to be a filterbase on X if $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ and each intersection of two members of \mathcal{F} contains a third member of \mathcal{F} . Notice that each chain in the family of all filterbases on X (ordered by inclusion) has an upper bound, for example, the union of all members of the chain. Then, by Zorn's Lemma, the family of all filterbases on X has at least one maximal element. Similarly, the family of all filterbases on X containing a given filterbase \mathcal{F} has at least one maximal element.

Definition 3.14. A filterbase \mathcal{F} on a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is said to be

- (1). $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverge to a point $x \in X$ if for each $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U containing x , there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $B \subset U$.
- (2). $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulate at $x \in X$ if $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U containing x and every $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 3.15. A filterbase \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at x if, and only if $x \in \bigcap \{\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -s $\text{Cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Clearly, if a filterbase \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to $x \in X$, then \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at x .

Lemma 3.16. If a maximal filterbase \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at $x \in X$, then \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to x .

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a maximal filterbase which $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at $x \in X$. If \mathcal{F} does not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverge to x , then there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U containing x such that $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $(X \setminus U) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for every $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \cup \{U \cap B : B \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a filterbase which strictly contains \mathcal{F} , which is a contradiction. \square

One of the most important characterizations of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact spaces is the following result:

Theorem 3.17. *For a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1). $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact.
- (2). Every maximal filterbase $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to some point of X .
- (3). Every filterbase $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at some point of X .
- (4). For every family $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed subsets of $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$, such that $\cap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\cap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let \mathcal{F}_0 be a maximal filterbase on X . Suppose that \mathcal{F}_0 does not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverge to any point of X . Then, by Lemma 3.16, \mathcal{F}_0 does not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulate at any point of X . For each $x \in X$, there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U_x containing x and $B_x \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $U_x \cap B_x = \emptyset$. The family $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ is a cover of X by $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen sets. By (1), there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of X such that $X = \cup\{U_{x_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since \mathcal{F}_0 is a filterbase, there exists $B_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $B_0 \subset \cap\{B_{x_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = X \setminus \cup\{U_{x_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$, hence $B_0 = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let \mathcal{F} be a filterbase on X . There exists a maximal filterbase \mathcal{F}_0 such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$. By (2), \mathcal{F}_0 $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to some point $x_0 \in X$. Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$. For every $U \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x_0), there exists $B_U \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $B_U \subset U$, hence $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$, since it contains the member $B_U \cap B$ of \mathcal{F}_0 . This shows that \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates at x_0 .

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed subsets of $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ such that $\cap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. If possible suppose that every finite subfamily has a nonempty intersection, then $\beta = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} : n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{\alpha_i} \in \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}\}$ form a filterbase on X . Then by (3) β is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates to some points $x \in X$. This implies that for every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set U containing x , $F_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every $F_\alpha \in \beta$ and every $\alpha \in \Delta$. Since $x \notin \cap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x \notin F_{\alpha_0}$. Therefore $x \in X \setminus F_{\alpha_0}$, which is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set in X . But $F_{\alpha_0} \cap X \setminus F_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$, then we get a contradiction of the fact that β is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates to x .

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X . Then $\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed subsets of X such that $\cap\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. Then by (4) there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\cap\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$. This implies that $X = \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$; hence $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

Definition 3.18. A point x in a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is said to be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point of a subset A of X if $|S \cap A| = |A|$ for each $S \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x).

Definition 3.19. In a bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$, a point x is said to be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point of a filterbase \mathcal{F} on X if it lies in the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosure of all sets of \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 3.20. A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if each infinite subset of X has a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact space and A an infinite subset of X . Let K be the set of all points x in X which are not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation points of A . Now it is obvious that for each point x in K , we are able to find $U(x) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x) such that $|A \cap U(x)| \neq |A|$. If K is the whole space X , then $\mathcal{C} = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X . By the hypothesis X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, so there exists a finite subcover $\mathcal{G} = \{U(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$A \subset \cup\{U(x_i) \cap A : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $|A| = \max\{|U(x_i) \cap A| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which does not agree with what we assumed. This implies that A has a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point. Now assume that X is not $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact and that every infinite subset A of X has a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point in X . It follows that, there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover \mathcal{S} with no finite subcover. Set $\alpha = \min\{|\Psi| : \Psi \subset \mathcal{S}, \text{ where } \Psi \text{ is a } \gamma \vee \gamma' \text{-semiopen cover of } X\}$. Fix $\Psi \subset \mathcal{S}$ for which $|\Psi| = \alpha$ and $\cup\{U : U \in \Psi\} = X$. Then, by hypothesis $\alpha \geq |\mathbb{N}|$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers. By well-ordering of Ψ by some minimal well-ordering " \sim ", suppose that U is any member of Ψ . By minimal well-ordering " \sim " we have $|\{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}| < |\{V : V \in \Psi\}|$. Since Ψ can not have any subcover with cardinality less than α , then for each $U \in \Psi$ we have $X \neq \cup\{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}$. For each $U \in \Psi$, choose a point $x(U) \in X \setminus \cup\{V \cup \{x(V)\} : V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}$. We are always able to do this if not one can choose a cover of smaller cardinality from Ψ . If $H = \{x(U) : U \in \Psi\}$, then to finish the proof we will show that H has no $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point in X . Suppose that $z \in X$. Since Ψ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X , z is a point of some set, say, W in Ψ . By the fact that $U \sim W$, we have $x(U) \in W$. It follows that $T = \{U : U \in \Psi \text{ and } x(U) \in W\} \subset \{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim W\}$. But $|T| < \alpha$. Therefore, $|H \cap W| < \alpha$. But $|H| = \alpha \geq |\mathbb{N}|$, since for two distinct points U and W in Ψ , we have $x(U) \neq x(W)$. This means that H has no $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point in X , which contradicts our assumptions. Therefore, X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

Theorem 3.21. *A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if every net in X with a well-ordered directed set as its domain $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates to some point of X .*

Proof. Suppose that X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact and $A = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ a net with a well-ordered directed set Λ as domain. Assume that A has no $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there exists $V(x) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x) and an $\alpha(x) \in \Lambda$ such that $V(x) \cap \{x_\alpha : \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\} = \emptyset$. This implies that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\}$ is a subset of $X \setminus V(x)$. Then the collection $\mathcal{C} = \{V(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen cover of X . Since X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, \mathcal{C} has a finite subfamily $\{V(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(x_i)$. Suppose that the corresponding elements of Λ be $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since Λ is well-ordered and $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is finite, the largest element of $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$ exists. Suppose it is $\{\alpha(x_l)\}$. Then for $\beta \geq \{\alpha(x_l)\}$, we have $\{x_\delta : \delta \geq \beta\} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X \setminus V(x_i)\} = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(x_i) = \emptyset$, which is impossible. This shows that A has at least one $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point in X . Conversely, suppose that S is an infinite subset of X . According to Zorn's Lemma, the infinite set S can be well-ordered. This means that we can assume S to be a net with a domain which is a well-ordered index set. It follows that S has a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point z . Therefore, z is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicomplete accumulation point of S . This shows that X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

Theorem 3.22. *A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if each filterbase in X has at least one $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point.*

Proof. Suppose that X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ a filterbase in it. Since all finite intersections of F_α 's are nonempty, it follows that all finite intersections of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -sCl(F_α)'s are also nonempty. By finite intersection property, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -sCl(F_α) $\neq \emptyset$. This implies that \mathcal{F} has at least one $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point. Now suppose that \mathcal{F} is any family of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiclosed sets. Let each finite intersection be nonempty, the set F_α with their finite intersection establish the filterbase \mathcal{F} . Therefore, \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiaccumulates to some point z in X . It follows that $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$. Now we have by Theorem 3.9 (3), X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

Theorem 3.23. *A bioperation-topological space $(X, \tau, \gamma, \gamma')$ is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact if, and only if each filterbase on X , with at most $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point, is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconvergent.*

Proof. Suppose that X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact, $x \in X$ and \mathcal{F} is a filterbase on X . The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent of \mathcal{F} is a subset of $\{x\}$. Then the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent of \mathcal{F} is equal to $\{x\}$ by Theorem 3.22. Assume that there exists $V \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ -SO(X, x) such

that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F \cap (X \setminus V) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Psi = \{F \setminus V : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a filterbase on X . It follows that the $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent of Ψ is nonempty. However, $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \gamma \vee \gamma' -s \text{Cl}(F \setminus V) \subset (\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \gamma \vee \gamma' -s \text{Cl}(F)) \cap (X \setminus V) = \{x\} \cap (X \setminus V) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence for each $V \in \gamma \vee \gamma' -SO(X, x)$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset V$. This shows that \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to x . Conversely, assume that \mathcal{F} is a filterbase on X with no $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point. By hypothesis, \mathcal{F} $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiconverges to some point z in X . Suppose F_α is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{F} . Then for each $V \in \gamma \vee \gamma' -SO(X, z)$, there exists a $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_\beta \subset V$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filterbase, there exists a δ such that $F_\delta \subset F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\alpha \cap V$, where $F_\delta \neq \emptyset$. This means that $F_\alpha \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every $V \in \gamma \vee \gamma' -SO(X, z)$ and correspondingly for each α , $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha} \gamma \vee \gamma' -s \text{Cl}(F_\alpha)$. It follows that $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha} \gamma \vee \gamma' -s \text{Cl}(F_\alpha)$. Therefore, z is a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiadherent point of \mathcal{F} , a contradiction. This shows that X is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicompact. \square

References

-
- [1] S.Kasahara, *Operation-compact spaces*, Math. Japonica, 24(1979), 97-105.
 - [2] R.Nirmala and N.Rajesh, Generalization of semiopen sets via bioperations, (submitted).
 - [3] H.Ogata and H.Maki, Bioperation on topological spaces, Math. Japonica, 38(5)(1993), 981-985.