



Common Fixed Point Theorem in Intuitionistic Fuzzy 3-Metric Spaces

Research Article

V.Malliga Devi^{1*}, R.Mohan Raj¹, M.Jeyaraman² and M.Kayalvizhi²

1 Department of Mathematics, University V.O.C College of Engineering, Anna University, Tirunelveli Region, Tuticorin, India.

2 PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai, India.

Abstract: Urmila Mishra [12] proved a common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space by introducing reciprocal continuity. In this paper, we have extended and generalize [12] the above result for intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric spaces.

MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Metric Space, Common Fixed Point, Intuitionistic Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, Intuitionistic Fuzzy 3-Metric Space.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Atanassov [3] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [13]. In 2004, Park [8] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [1] Using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorm as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [4]. Further, Alaca et al. [1] proved intuitionistic fuzzy Banach and intuitionistic fuzzy Edelstein contraction theorems, with the different definition of Cauchy sequences and completeness than the ones given in [8]. The idea of fuzzy 2-metric space and fuzzy 3-metric space was used by Sushil Sharma [11] and obtained some fruitful results. Motivated by Sushil Sharma [11], we prove some common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric space and fuzzy 3-metric space by employing the notion of reciprocal continuity, of which we can widen the scope of many interesting fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space. Urmila Mishra [12] proved a common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space by introducing reciprocal continuity. In this paper, we have extended and generalize [12] the above result in intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A 5-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is called a generalized intuitionistic fuzzy 2- metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm, \diamond is a continuous t-conorm and \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are fuzzy sets on $X^3 \times [0, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions:

For each $x, y, z, u \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$.

* E-mail: sundersaimd@gmail.com

- (a). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) + \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) \leq 1$,
- (b). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, 0) = 0$,
- (c). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ for all x, y in X and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (d). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,
- (e). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, u, t_1) * \mathcal{M}(x, u, z, t_2) * \mathcal{M}(u, y, z, t_3)$
- (f). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,
- (g). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) < 0$,
- (h). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, 0) = 0$ iff $x = y = z$,
- (i). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{N}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,
- (j). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \leq \mathcal{N}(x, y, u, t_1) \diamond \mathcal{N}(x, u, z, t_2) \diamond \mathcal{N}(u, y, z, t_3)$
- (k). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous.

Definition 2.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be converge to x in X iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, a, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(x_n, x, a, t) = 0$, for all $a \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy sequence, iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_p, a, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(x_{n+p}, x_p, a, t) = 0$, for all $a \in X$ and $p > 0, t > 0$.

Definition 2.4. A intuitionistic fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete iff every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Definition 2.5. The 5-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is called a intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} is a fuzzy set in $X^4 \times [0, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z, w, u \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0$.

- (a). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, 0) + \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, 0) \leq 1$,
- (b). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, 0) = 0$,
- (c). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$.
- (d). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, t) = \mathcal{M}(p(x, y, z, w), t)$, where p is a Permutation function,
- (e). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, u, t_1) * \mathcal{M}(x, y, u, w, t_2) * \mathcal{M}(x, u, z, w, t_3) * \mathcal{M}(x, u, z, w, t_4)$,
- (f). $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, w, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous ,
- (g). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, 0) = 1$,
- (h). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, t) = 0$, for all $t > 0$
- (i). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, t) = \mathcal{N}(p(x, y, z, w), t)$, where p is a permutation function,
- (j). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) \leq \mathcal{N}(x, y, z, u, t_1) \mathcal{N}(x, y, u, w, t_2) \mathcal{N}(x, u, z, w, t_3) \mathcal{N}(x, u, z, w, t_4)$,

(k). $\mathcal{N}(x, y, z, w, \cdot): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous.

Definition 2.6. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to converge to x in X iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, a, b, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(x_n, x, a, b, t) = 0$, for all $a, b \in X, t > 0$.

Definition 2.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy sequence iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, b, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, b, t) = 0$, for all $a, b \in X, p > 0$ and $t > 0$.

3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy 3-Metric Spaces

Theorem 3.1. Let A, B, C, R, S and T be self maps on a complete intuitionistic fuzzy 3-metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, *, \diamond)$, where $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm satisfying

(1). $AX \subseteq TX, BX \subseteq SX, CX \subseteq RX$.

(2). (B, S) and (C, T) are weakly compatible and reciprocally continuous,

(3). For each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0, \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, Cz, u, t) \geq \phi(\mathcal{M}(Rx, Sy, Tz, u, t)), \mathcal{N}(Ax, By, Cz, u, t) \leq \psi(\mathcal{N}(Rx, Sy, Tz, u, t)),$

Where $\phi, \psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(1) = 1, \phi(0) = 0, \psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$ and $\phi(a) > a$ and $\psi(a) < a$ for each $0 < a < 1$. If (A, R) is semi compatible and reciprocally continuous, then A, B, C, R, S and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exists $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1, Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and $Cx_2 = Rx_3$. Thus we can form sequences $\{z_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3} = Cx_{2n+2} = Rx_{2n+3}$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, u, t) &= \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+2}, u, t) \\ &\geq \phi(\mathcal{M}(Rx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, u, t)) \\ &> \phi(\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, u, t)) \\ \mathcal{N}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, u, t) &= \mathcal{N}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+2}, u, t) \\ &\leq \psi(\mathcal{N}(Rx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, u, t)) \\ &< \psi(\mathcal{N}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, u, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+4}, y_{2n+5}, u, t) &> \phi(\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+4}, u, t)) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{N}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+4}, y_{2n+5}, u, t) &< \psi(\mathcal{N}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+4}, u, t)). \end{aligned}$$

More generally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) &> \phi(\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t)) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{N}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) &< \psi(\mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t)\}$ is an increasing sequence and $\{\mathcal{N}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t)\}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers in $[0, 1]$ and tends to limit $\ell \leq 1$. We claim that $\ell = 1$. If $\ell < 1$, then $\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) > \phi(\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t))$ and $\mathcal{N}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) < \psi(\mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t))$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1}, u, t) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, u, t)$ that is $\ell \geq \phi(1) > 1$ and $\ell \leq \psi(1) < 1$ a contradiction. Now for any positive integer p .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n-p}, u, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \cdots * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+p-3}, y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \cdots * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n-p}, u, t) &\leq \mathcal{N}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \cdots \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+p-3}, y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \cdots \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad \diamond \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n-p}, u, t) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \cdots * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+p-3}, y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \\ &\quad * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & * \cdots * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M} \left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M} \left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \text{ and} \\
 \mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n-p}, u, t) & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \dots \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+p-3}, y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \dots \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right) \\
 & \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N} \left(y_{n+p-2}, y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, z, \frac{t}{(3(p-1)+1)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

that is , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, u, t) \geq 1 * 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, u, t) \leq 0 \diamond 0 \diamond 0 \diamond \dots \diamond 0 = 0$. Which means $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete $y_n \rightarrow w$ in X. That is $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}, \{Sx_{2n+2}\}, \{Cx_{2n+2}\}, \{Rx_{2n+2}\}$ also converge to w in X. That is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_{2n} \rightarrow w$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} \rightarrow w$. Since (A, R) is semi-compatible, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} \rightarrow Rw$. Also (A, R) is reciprocal continuous also, therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} \rightarrow Aw$ combining this process, we get $Aw = Rw$. Now to prove that $Aw = w$, for if we consider that $Aw \neq w$. Then by the contractive condition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(Aw, Bx_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+2}, u, t) & \geq \phi(\mathcal{M}(Rw, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, u, t)) \text{ and} \\
 \mathcal{N}(Aw, Bx_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+2}, u, t) & \leq \psi(\mathcal{N}(Rw, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, u, t))
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, u, t) \geq \phi(\mathcal{M}(Rw, w, w, u, t)) > \mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, u, t)$ and $\mathcal{N}(Aw, w, w, u, t) \leq \psi(\mathcal{N}(Rw, w, w, u, t)) < \mathcal{N}(Aw, w, w, u, t)$ a contradiction. Therefore $Aw = w = Rw$. Since (B, S) and (C, T) are weakly compatible and reciprocally continuous, as above, we get $Bw = w = Sw$ and $Cw = w = Tw$. Therefore A, B, C, R, S and T has a common fixed point. □

References

[1] C.Alaca, D.Turkoglu and C.Yildiz, *Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 29(2006), 1073-1078.

[2] M.A.Al-Thagafi and N.Shahzad, *Generalized I-non expansive self maps and invariants Approximations*, Acta Math.Sin., 24(5)(2008), 867-876.

[3] K.Atanassov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy sets and system, 20(1986), 87-96.

[4] I.Kramosil and J.Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetica, 11(1975), 336-344.

[5] S.Muralisankar and G.Kalpana, *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Contemp. Math.Sci., 4(11)(2009), 505-518.

[6] V.Popa, *Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying on implicit relation*, Demonsratio Math., 32(1999), 157-163.

- [7] V.Popa, *A general coincidence theorem for compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Demonstratio Math., 33(2000), 159-164.
- [8] J.H.Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 22(2004), 1039-1046.
- [9] B.Schweizer and A.Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 10(1960), 313-334.
- [10] P.L.Sharma, B.K.Sharma and K.Iseki, *Contractive type mapping on 2-metric space*, Math. Japonica, 21(1976), 67-70.
- [11] Sushil Sharma, *On fuzzy metric space*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 26(2002), 133-145.
- [12] Urmila Mishra, Abhay Sharad Ranavade and Dhananjay Gopal, *Some fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 39(4)(2008), 309-316.
- [13] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and control., 89(1965), 338-353.