

Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Dislocated Quasi Fuzzy B -Metric Spaces

K. P. R. Rao^{1*} and A. Sombabu²

1 Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, A.P., India.

2 Department of Mathematics, NRI Institute of technology, Agiripalli, A.P., India.

Abstract: In this paper we obtain some unique common coupled fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric spaces. Also we give some examples which support our main results.

MSC: 54H25, 47H10.

Keywords: Fuzzy metric space, Dislocated Quasi fuzzy b - metric space, Coupled fixed point, w -compatible maps.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [17] in 1965. Since then, to use this concept in topology and analysis, many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and application. George and Veeramani [7], Kramosil and Michalek [10] have introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metric which have very important applications in quantum particle physics, particularly in connections with both string and E-infinity theory which were given and studied by El Naschie [3–6].

Definition 1.1. Let Φ be the set all $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying

(ϕ_1) : ϕ is continuous,

(ϕ_2) : ϕ is monotonically non-decreasing and

(ϕ_3) : $\phi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

From (ϕ_1) and (ϕ_3) or (ϕ_2) and (ϕ_3) it clear that $\phi(1) = 1$.

Definition 1.2 ([8]). A binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1). $*$ is associative and commutative,

(2). $*$ is continuous,

(3). $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,

* E-mail: kprrao2004@yahoo.com

(4). $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Two typical examples of a continuous t -norm are $a * b = a.b$ and $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Definition 1.3 ([8]). A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

- (1). $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- (2). $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (3). $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- (4). $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- (5). $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

The function M is called a fuzzy metric.

Definition 1.4 ([16]). A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a b -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$ and a given real number $b \geq 1$,

- (1). $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- (2). $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (3). $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- (4). $M(x, y, \frac{t}{b}) * M(y, z, \frac{s}{b}) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- (5). $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

The function M is called a b -fuzzy metric.

Example 1.5. Let $M(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{d(x, y)}{t}}$ or $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$, where d is a b -metric on X and $a * c = a.c$ for all $a, c \in [0, 1]$. Then it is easy to show that $(X, M, *)$ is a b -fuzzy metric space.

Definition 1.6 ([14]). A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a dislocated quasi fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1). $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) = 1, \forall t > 0 \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (2). $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$.

Combining these definitions, we introduce following definitions.

Definition 1.7. A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (M1): $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) = 1, \forall t > 0 \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (M2): $M(x, y, \frac{t}{b}) * M(y, z, \frac{s}{b}) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- (M3): $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Definition 1.8. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a dislocated quasi fuzzy b- metric space.

- (1). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converge to $x \in X$ iff $M(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$ and $M(x, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1, \forall t > 0$
- (2). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence iff $M(x_n, x_m, t) \rightarrow 1$ and $M(x_m, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1, \forall t > 0$.

One can easily prove the following

Proposition 1.9. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a dislocated quasi fuzzy b- metric space and $\{x_n\}$ converge to x then we have

$$M(x, y, \frac{t}{b}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y, t) \leq M(x, y, bt) \quad \text{and}$$

$$M(x, y, \frac{t}{b}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y, t) \leq M(x, y, bt).$$

Definition 1.10 ([2]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$.

Definition 1.11 ([11]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of a mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$.

Definition 1.12 ([11]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a common coupled fixed point of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = g(x) = x$ and $F(y, x) = g(y) = y$.

Definition 1.13 ([1]). The mappings $S : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are called w-compatible if $f(S(x, y)) = S(fx, fy)$ and $f(S(y, x)) = S(fy, fx)$ whenever $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that $f(x) = S(x, y)$ and $f(y) = S(y, x)$.

2. Main Section

Now we give our main results.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete dislocated quasi fuzzy b-metric space, $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.1.1) $M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Sx, Tu, t), M(Sy, Tv, t)\})$ for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

(2.1.2) $M(G(x, y), F(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Tx, Su, t), M(Ty, Sv, t)\})$ for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

(2.1.3) $F(X \times X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $G(X \times X) \subseteq S(X)$,

(2.1.4) $FS = SF$ and $GT = TG$,

(2.1.5) F, G, S and T are continuous.

Then F, G, S and T have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Proof. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$. From (2.1.3), we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X such that $z_{2n} = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = Tx_{2n+1}, w_{2n} = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = Ty_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1} = G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) = Sx_{2n+2}$ and $w_{2n+1} = G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) = Sy_{2n+2}$.

Case (i): Suppose $\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{n-1}, z_n, t), M(z_n, z_{n-1}, t), \\ M(w_{n-1}, w_n, t), M(w_n, z_{n-1}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} = 1$ for some positive integer n and for some $t > 0$. Without

loss of generality assume that $n = 2m$. Then $\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{2m-1}, z_{2m}, t), M(z_{2m}, z_{2m-1}, t), \\ M(w_{2m-1}, w_{2m}, t), M(w_{2m}, z_{2m-1}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} = 1$. Then from (M1), we have $z_{2m-1} = z_{2m}$ and $w_{2m-1} = w_{2m}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M(z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}, t) &= M(F(x_{2m}, y_{2m}), G(x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(z_{2m-1}, z_{2m}, t), M(w_{2m-1}, w_{2m}, t)\}), \\ M(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m}, t) &= M(G(x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}), F(x_{2m}, y_{2m}), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(z_{2m}, z_{2m-1}, t), M(w_{2m}, w_{2m-1}, t)\}), \\ M(w_{2m}, w_{2m+1}, t) &= M(F(y_{2m}, x_{2m}), G(y_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(w_{2m-1}, w_{2m}, t), M(z_{2m-1}, z_{2m}, t)\}), \\ M(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m}, t) &= M(G(y_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}), F(y_{2m}, x_{2m}), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(w_{2m}, w_{2m-1}, t), M(z_{2m}, z_{2m-1}, t)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}, t), M(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m}, t), \\ M(w_{2m}, w_{2m+1}, t), M(w_{2m+1}, z_{2m}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{2m}, z_{2m-1}, t), M(z_{2m-1}, z_{2m}, t), \\ M(w_{2m}, w_{2m-1}, t), M(w_{2m-1}, z_{2m}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \right) \\ &= \phi(1) = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Hence by (M1), we have $z_{2m} = z_{2m+1}$ and $w_{2m} = w_{2m+1}$. Continuing in this way, we can show that $z_{2m-1} = z_{2m} = z_{2m+1} = \dots$ and $w_{2m-1} = w_{2m} = w_{2m+1} = \dots$. Hence $\{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X .

Case (ii): Suppose $\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_n, z_{n-1}, t), M(z_{n-1}, z_n, t), \\ M(w_n, z_{n-1}, t), M(w_{n-1}, w_n, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \neq 1$ for all n and for all $t > 0$. Let $a_n(t) =$

$\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_n, z_{n+1}, t), M(z_{n+1}, z_n, t), \\ M(w_n, w_{n+1}, t), M(w_{n+1}, w_n, t) \end{matrix} \right\}$ for every $t > 0$. As in (1), we have $a_{2n}(t) \geq \phi(a_{2n-1}(t))$ and $a_{2n+1}(t) \geq \phi(a_{2n}(t))$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(t) &\geq \phi(a_{n-1}(t)) \\ &> a_{n-1}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Thus $\{a_n(t)\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence in $[0, 1]$ for every $t > 0$. Hence $\{a_n(t)\}$ converges to some $a(t) \leq 1$ for every $t > 0$. If $a(t) < 1$, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2), we get $a(t) \geq \phi(a(t)) > a(t)$. Which is a contradiction. Hence $a(t) = 1$ for every $t > 0$. Thus for all $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(z_n, z_{n+1}, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(z_{n+1}, z_n, t) = 1. \tag{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(w_n, w_{n+1}, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(w_{n+1}, w_n, t) = 1. \tag{4}$$

Suppose $\{z_{2n}\}$ or $\{w_{2n}\}$ are not Cauchy sequences in X . Then there exists an $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that for each integer k , there exist integers $m(k)$ and $n(k)$ with $m(k) > n(k) \geq k$ such that

$$\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)}, t), M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)}, t), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)}, t), M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2n(k)}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \leq 1 - \epsilon. \tag{5}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We may assume that

$$\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, t), M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2n(k)}, t), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)-2}, t), M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2n(k)}, t) \end{matrix} \right\} > 1 - \epsilon. \tag{6}$$

by choosing $m(k)$ be the smallest number exceeding $n(k)$ for which (5) holds. Let

$$d_k(t) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)}, t), M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)}, t), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)}, t), M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2n(k)}, t) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \epsilon &\geq d_k(t) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * \\ M(z_{2m(k)-1}, z_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \\ M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-1}, z_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * \\ M(w_{2m(k)-1}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \\ M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-1}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - \epsilon) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-1}, z_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), \\ (1 - \epsilon) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(z_{2m(k)-1}, z_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), \\ (1 - \epsilon) * M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-1}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}), \\ (1 - \epsilon) * M(w_{2m(k)-2}, w_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b}) * M(w_{2m(k)-1}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{4b}) \end{array} \right\}, \text{ from (6)} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get $1 - \epsilon \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k(t) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ by (3),(4). Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k(t) = 1 - \epsilon \tag{7}$$

for all $t > 0$. On other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(t) &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(z_{2n(k)+1}, z_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(z_{2m(k)+1}, z_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(w_{2n(k)+1}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(w_{2m(k)+1}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(G(x_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2n(k)+1}), F(x_{2m(k)}, y_{2m(k)}), \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(G(x_{2m(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)+1}), F(x_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)}), \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(G(y_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2n(k)+1}), F(y_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}), \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * M(G(y_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+1}), F(y_{2n(k)}, x_{2n(k)}), \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \right), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \right), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2m(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \right), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2n(k)}, \frac{t}{2b}), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right) \tag{8}$$

$M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}) \geq M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2m(k)-2}, \frac{t}{4b^2}) * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2})$ and $M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{2b}) \geq M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2n(k)-2}, \frac{t}{4b^2}) * M(z_{2n(k)-2}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2})$, from (6). Write similarly for other values in (8)

$$d_k(t) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ 1 - \epsilon * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2}), 1 - \epsilon \right\} \right), \\ M(z_{2m(k)}, z_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ 1 - \epsilon * M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2}), 1 - \epsilon \right\} \right), \\ M(w_{2n(k)}, w_{2n(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ 1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon * M(z_{2m(k)-2}, z_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2}) \right\} \right), \\ M(w_{2m(k)}, w_{2m(k)+1}, \frac{t}{2b}) * \phi \left(\min \left\{ 1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon * M(z_{2n(k)}, z_{2n(k)-1}, \frac{t}{4b^2}) \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, using (7), (3), (4), (ϕ_1) and (ϕ_3) , we get $1 - \epsilon \geq \phi(1 - \epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are Cauchy sequences. Hence $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(z_{2n}, z_{2m}, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(w_{2n}, w_{2m}, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} M(z_{2n+1}, z_{2m+1}, t) &\geq M(z_{2n+1}, z_{2m}, \frac{2t}{3b}) * M(z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}, \frac{t}{3b}) \\ &\geq M(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}, \frac{t}{3b^2}) * M(z_{2n}, z_{2m}, \frac{t}{3b^2}) * M(z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}, \frac{t}{3b}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(z_{2n+1}, z_{2m+1}, t) \geq 1 * 1 * 1 = 1$. Thus $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(z_{2n+1}, z_{2m+1}, t) = 1$. Similarly $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(w_{2n+1}, w_{2m+1}, t) = 1$. Thus $\{z_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\}$ are Cauchy. Hence $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy. Since X is complete there exist $z, w \in X$ such that $\{z_n\}$ converges to z and $\{w_n\}$ converges to w . Since S and F are continuous and $SF = FS$, we have

$$Sz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sz_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Sx_{2n}, Sy_{2n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(z_{2n-1}, w_{2n-1}) = F(z, w). \tag{9}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$Sw = F(w, z). \tag{10}$$

Since G and T are continuous and $GT = TG$, we have

$$Tz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tz_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(Tx_{2n+1}, Ty_{2n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(z_{2n}, w_{2n}) = G(z, w). \tag{11}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$Tw = G(w, z). \tag{12}$$

Now

$$M(Sz, Tz, t) = M(F(z, w), G(z, w), t)$$

$$\geq \phi(\min\{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Sw, Tw, t)\})$$

Similarly we can show that

$$M(Tz, Sz, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Tz, Sz, t), M(Tw, Sw, t)\}),$$

$$M(Sw, Tw, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Sw, Tw, t), M(Sz, Tz, t)\})$$

$$M(Tw, Sw, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Tw, Sw, t), M(Tz, Sz, t)\}).$$

Thus we have

$$\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Tz, Sz, t), \\ M(Sw, Tw, t), M(Tw, Sw, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Tz, Sz, t) \\ M(Sw, Tw, t), M(Tw, Sw, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and (M1) that $Sz = Tz$ and $Sw = Tw$. Let $\alpha = Sz = Tz$ and $\beta = Sw = Tw$. Then $S\alpha = S(Sz) = S(F(z, w)) = F(Sz, Sw) = F(\alpha, \beta)$. Similarly $S\beta = F(\beta, \alpha)$, $T\alpha = G(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T\beta = G(\beta, \alpha)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M(S\alpha, \alpha, t) &= M(F(\alpha, \beta), G(\alpha, \beta), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha, \alpha, t), M(S\beta, \beta, t)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$M(\alpha, S\alpha, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha, S\alpha, t), M(\beta, S\beta, t)\}),$$

$$M(S\beta, \beta, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(S\beta, \beta, t), M(S\alpha, \alpha, t)\})$$

$$M(\beta, S\beta, t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(\beta, S\beta, t), M(\alpha, S\alpha, t)\}).$$

Hence

$$\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(S\alpha, \alpha, t), M(\alpha, S\alpha, t), \\ M(S\beta, \beta, t), M(\beta, S\beta, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(S\alpha, \alpha, t), M(\alpha, S\alpha, t), \\ M(S\beta, \beta, t), M(\beta, S\beta, t) \end{matrix} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and (M1) that $S\alpha = \alpha$ and $S\beta = \beta$. Similarly we can show that $T\alpha = \alpha$ and $T\beta = \beta$. Thus $F(\alpha, \beta) = S\alpha = \alpha = T\alpha = G(\alpha, \beta)$ and $F(\beta, \alpha) = S\beta = \beta = T\beta = G(\beta, \alpha)$. Thus (α, β) is common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . Using (2.1.1), (2.1.2) we can show that (α, β) is unique common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . \square

We give an example to illustrate Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $a * c = ac$ for all $a, c \in [0, 1]$ and M be a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ defined by $M(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{[|x-y|^2+|x|]}{t}}$. Let $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{64}$, $G(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{96}$, $Sx = \frac{x}{2}$ and $Tx = \frac{x}{3}$. Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{16}}$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Now $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) = 1 \Rightarrow e^{-\frac{[|x-y|^2+|x|]}{t}} = 1$ and $e^{-\frac{[|y-x|^2+|y|]}{t}} = 1 \Rightarrow x - y = 0, x = 0, y - x = 0, y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t+s) &= e^{-\frac{[|x-y|^2+|x|]}{t+s}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{-2[|x-z|^2+|x|]+[|z-y|^2+|z|]}{t+s}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{-2[|x-z|^2+|x|]}{t+s}} \cdot e^{-\frac{-2[|z-y|^2+|z|]}{t+s}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{-2[|x-z|^2+|x|]}{t}} \cdot e^{-\frac{-2[|z-y|^2+|z|]}{s}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{[|x-z|^2+|x|]}{t/2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{[|z-y|^2+|z|]}{s/2}} \\ &= M(x, z, \frac{t}{2}) * M(z, y, \frac{s}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus M is a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric with $b = 2$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x+y}{64} - \frac{u+v}{96} \right|^2 + \frac{x+y}{64} &= \left| \frac{(3x-2u)+(3y-2v)}{6 \times 32} \right|^2 + \frac{x+y}{64} \\ &= \left| \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)}{32} \right|^2 + \frac{x+y}{64} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{32 \times 32} \left[\left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 \right] + \frac{x+y}{64} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32} \left[\frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32} \left[\left\{ \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2} \right\} + \left\{ \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2} \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{32} \left[\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2}, \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) &= e^{-\frac{\left| \frac{x+y}{64} - \frac{u+v}{96} \right|^2 + \frac{x+y}{64}}{t}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{16} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2}, \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2} \right\}}{t}} \\ &= \left[e^{-\frac{\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2}, \left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2} \right\}}{t}} \right]^{\frac{1}{16}} \\ &= \left[\min \left\{ e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{x}{2}}{t}}, e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{2}}{t}} \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{16}} \\ &= [\min \{M(Sx, Tu, t), M(Sy, Tv, t)\}]^{\frac{1}{16}} \\ &= \phi(\min \{M(Sx, Tu, t), M(Sy, Tv, t)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1.1) is satisfied. Similarly we can easily verify (2.1.2), (2.1.3), (2.1.4) and (2.1.5). Clearly $(0, 0)$ is the unique common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . Now replacing the completeness of X , continuities of F, G, S and T and commutativity of pairs (F, S) and (G, T) by w -compatible pairs (F, S) and (G, T) and completeness of $S(X)$ or $T(X)$, we prove a unique common coupled fixed point. Infact we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space, $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.3.1) $M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Sx, Tu, 2b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, 2b^2t)\})$ for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

(2.3.2) $M(G(x, y), F(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Tx, Su, 2b^2t), M(Ty, Sv, 2b^2t)\})$ for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

(2.3.3) $F(X \times X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $G(X \times X) \subseteq S(X)$,

(2.3.4) one of $S(X)$ and $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X ,

(2.3.5) (F, S) and (G, T) are w -compatible.

Then F, G, S and T have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Proof. As in proof of Theorem 2.1, the sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy, where $z_{2n} = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = Tx_{2n+1}$, $w_{2n} = F(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = Ty_{2n+1}$, $z_{2n+1} = G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) = Sx_{2n+2}$ and $w_{2n+1} = G(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) = Sy_{2n+2}$. Without loss of generality assume that $S(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . Since $z_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \in S(X)$ and $w_{2n+1} = Sy_{2n+2} \in S(X)$, there exist z, w, u and v in X such that $z_{2n+1} \rightarrow z = Su$, $w_{2n+1} \rightarrow w = Sv$. By Proposition (1.9), (2.3.1), (ϕ_1) and (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(F(u, v), z, bt) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F(u, v), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), t) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min \{M(Su, z_{2n}, 2b^2t), M(Sv, w_{2n}, 2b^2t)\}) \\ &\geq \phi(\min \{M(z, z_{2n}, 2b^2t), M(w, w_{2n}, 2b^2t)\}) \\ &= \phi(1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(z, F(u, v), bt) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), F(u, v), t) \\
 &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(z_{2n}, Su, 2b^2t), M(w_{2n}, Sv, 2b^2t)\}) \\
 &\geq \phi(\min\{M(z_{2n}, z, 2b^2t), M(w_{2n}, w, 2b^2t)\}) \\
 &= \phi(1) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M(F(u, v), z, bt) = M(z, F(u, v), bt) = 1$ for all $t > 0, b \geq 1$. From (M1), we have $F(u, v) = z$ so that $Su = z = F(u, v)$. Similarly we can show that $Sv = w = F(v, u)$. Since the pair (F, S) is w -compatible, we have $Sz = S(Su) = S(F(u, v)) = F(Su, Sv) = F(z, w)$ and $Sw = S(Sv) = S(F(v, u)) = F(Sv, Su) = F(w, z)$. By Proposition 1.9, (2.3.1), (ϕ_1) and (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(Sz, z, bt) &= M(F(z, w), z, bt) \\
 &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F(z, w), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), t) \\
 &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(Sz, z_{2n}, 2b^2t), M(Sw, w_{2n}, 2b^2t)\}) \\
 &\geq \phi(\min\{M(Sz, z, bt), M(Sw, w, bt)\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(z, Sz, bt) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(z, Sz, bt), M(w, Sw, bt)\}), \\
 M(Sw, w, bt) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(Sw, w, bt), M(Sz, z, bt)\}) \\
 M(w, Sw, bt) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(w, Sw, bt), M(z, Sz, bt)\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sz, z, bt), M(z, Sz, bt), \\ M(Sw, w, bt), M(w, Sw, bt) \end{array} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sz, z, bt), M(z, Sz, bt), \\ M(Sw, w, bt), M(w, Sw, bt) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and (M1) that $z = Sz$ and $w = Sw$. Thus

$$z = Sz = F(z, w) \tag{13}$$

and

$$w = Sw = F(w, z) \tag{14}$$

Since $F(X \times X) = T(X)$, there exist α, β in X such that $T\alpha = F(z, w) = Sz = z$ and $T\beta = F(w, z) = Sw = w$. Now using (2.3.1) and (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t) &= M(F(z, w), G(\alpha, \beta), t) \\
 &\geq \phi(\min\{M(Sz, T\alpha, 2b^2t), M(Sw, T\beta, 2b^2t)\}) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), tb) * M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, tb), \\ M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), tb) * M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, tb) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t) * M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t), \\ M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) * M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) \end{array} \right\} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) * M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t), \\ M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) * M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) \end{array} \right\} \right),$$

$$M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) * M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) \\ M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t) * M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

$$M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) * M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) \\ M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t) * M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) \end{array} \right\} \right).$$

Hence

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t), M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) \\ M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t), M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) \end{array} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(T\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta), t) * M(G(\alpha, \beta), T\alpha, t) \\ M(T\beta, G(\beta, \alpha), t) * M(G(\beta, \alpha), T\beta, t) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and $(M1)$ that $T\alpha = G(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T\beta = G(\beta, \alpha)$. Since the pair (G, T) is w -compatible, we have

$$Tz = T(T\alpha) = T(G(\alpha, \beta)) = G(T\alpha, T\beta) = G(z, w) \tag{15}$$

$$Tw = T(T\beta) = T(G(\beta, \alpha)) = G(T\beta, T\alpha) = G(w, z). \tag{16}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, G(z, w), t) &= M(F(z, w), G(z, w), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min \{M(Sz, Tz, 2b^2t), M(Sw, Tw, 2b^2t)\}) \\ &= \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z, G(z, w), 2b^2t) \\ M(w, G(w, z), 2b^2t) \end{array} \right\} \right), \text{ from (13), (14), (15) and (16)} \\ &= \phi \left(\min \left\{ M(z, G(z, w), t), M(w, G(w, z), t) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} M(G(z, w), z, t) &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ M(G(z, w), z, t), M(G(w, z), w, t) \right\} \right), \\ M(w, G(w, z), t) &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ M(w, G(w, z), t), M(z, G(z, w), t) \right\} \right) \\ M(G(w, z), w, t) &\geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ M(G(w, z), w, t), M(G(z, w), z, t) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z, G(z, w), t), M(G(z, w), z, t) \\ M(w, G(w, z), t), M(G(w, z), w, t) \end{array} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(z, G(z, w), t), M(w, G(w, z), t) \\ M(G(w, z), w, t), M(G(z, w), z, t) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and $(M1)$ that $z = G(z, w)$ and $w = G(w, z)$. Thus

$$z = G(z, w) = Tz \tag{17}$$

and

$$w = G(w, z) = Tw. \tag{18}$$

From (13), (14), (17) and (18), it follows that (z, w) is a common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . Uniqueness of common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T follows easily from (2.3.1) and (2.3.2). \square

Now we give an example to support Theorem 2.3.

Example 2.4. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $a * c = ac$ for all $a, c \in [0, 1]$ and M be a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ defined by $M(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{[|x-y|^2+|x|]}{t}}$. Let $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{128}$, $G(x, y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{256}$, $Sx = \frac{x^2}{2}$ and $Tx = \frac{x^2}{4}$ and Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. As in Example 2.2, M is a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space with $b = 2$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} - \frac{u^2+v^2}{256} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} &= \left| \frac{(2x^2-u^2)+(2y^2-v^2)}{256} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} \\ &= \left| \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)}{64} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{64 \times 64} \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 \right] + \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} \\ &= \frac{1}{64} \left[\frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} \left[\left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2} \right\} + \left\{ \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{64} \left[\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) &= e^{-\frac{\left| \frac{x^2+y^2}{128} - \frac{u^2+v^2}{256} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{128}}{t}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{32} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\}}{t}} \\ &= \left[e^{-\frac{\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\}}{8t}} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= \left[\min \left\{ e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}}{8t}}, e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2}}{8t}} \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= [\min \{ M(Sx, Tu, 2b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, 2b^2t) \}]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= \phi(\min \{ M(Sx, Tu, 2b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, 2b^2t) \}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Thus (2.3.1) is satisfied. Similarly we can easily verify (2.3.2), (2.3.3), (2.3.4) and (2.3.5). Clearly (0, 0) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . Wadhwa introduced the following definitions.

Definition 2.5 ([9]). Let f and g be two self -maps of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$. We say that f and g satisfy E.A. Like property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = z$ for some $z \in f(X)$ or $z \in g(X)$, i.e., $z \in f(X) \cup g(X)$.

Definition 2.6 ([9]). Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$, then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) said to satisfy common E.A. Like property if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B y_n = z$ where $z \in S(X) \cup T(X)$ or $z \in A(X) \cup B(X)$.

Now we extend this definition to dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric spaces as follows.

Definition 2.7. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space with $b \geq 1$ and $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings. The pairs (F, S) and (G, T) are said to satisfy common E.A. like property if there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T z_n = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T w_n = \alpha^1$ for some $\alpha, \alpha^1 \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $F(X \times X) \cap G(X \times X)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space, $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.8.1) \quad M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Sx, Tu, b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, b^2t)\}) \text{ for all } x, y, u, v \in X \text{ and } \phi \in \Phi$$

$$(2.8.2) \quad M(G(x, y), F(u, v), t) \geq \phi(\min\{M(Tx, Su, b^2t), M(Ty, Sv, b^2t)\}) \text{ for all } x, y, u, v \in X \text{ and } \phi \in \Phi$$

(2.8.3) the pairs (F, S) and (G, T) satisfy common E.A. like property,

(2.8.4) the pairs (F, S) and (G, T) are w -compatible.

Then F, G, S and T have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Proof. Since (F, S) and (G, T) satisfy common E.A. like property, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tz_n = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tw_n = \alpha^1$ for some $\alpha, \alpha^1 \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $F(X \times X) \cap G(X \times X)$. Without loss of generality assume that $\alpha, \alpha^1 \in S(X) \cap T(X)$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \alpha \in S(X)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \alpha^1 \in S(X)$, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $\alpha = Su$ and $\alpha^1 = Sv$. From Proposition 1.9, (2.8.1) and (ϕ_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(F(u, v), \alpha, bt) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F(u, v), G(z_n, w_n), t) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(\alpha, Tz_n, b^2t), M(\alpha^1, Tw_n, b^2t)\}) \\ &= \phi(1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M(F(u, v), \alpha, bt) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $b \geq 1$. Similarly from (2.8.2), we can show that $M(\alpha, F(u, v), bt) = 1$. Hence from (M1), $F(u, v) = \alpha$. Similarly we can prove that $F(v, u) = \alpha^1$. Thus $Su = \alpha = F(u, v)$ and $Sv = \alpha^1 = F(v, u)$. Since the pair (F, S) is w -compatible, we have $S\alpha = S(F(u, v)) = F(Su, Sv) = F(\alpha, \alpha^1)$ and $S\alpha^1 = S(F(v, u)) = F(Sv, Su) = F(\alpha^1, \alpha)$. From Proposition 1.9, (2.8.1) and (ϕ_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(S\alpha, \alpha, bt) &= M(F(\alpha, \alpha^1), \alpha, bt) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F(\alpha, \alpha^1), G(z_n, w_n), t) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha, Tz_n, b^2t), M(S\alpha^1, Tw_n, b^2t)\}) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha, \alpha, b^2t), M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, b^2t)\}), \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha, \alpha, bt), M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, bt)\}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, S\alpha, bt) &= M(\alpha, F(\alpha, \alpha^1), bt) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(G(z_n, w_n), F(\alpha, \alpha^1), t) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(Tz_n, S\alpha, b^2t), M(Tw_n, S\alpha^1, b^2t)\}) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha, S\alpha, b^2t), M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, b^2t)\}), \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha, S\alpha, bt), M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, bt)\}), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that $M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, bt) \geq \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, bt), M(S\alpha, \alpha, bt)\})$ and $M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, bt) \geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, bt), M(\alpha, S\alpha, bt)\})$. From (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(S\alpha, \alpha, bt), M(\alpha, S\alpha, bt), \\ M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, bt), M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, bt) \end{array} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(S\alpha, \alpha, bt), M(\alpha, S\alpha, bt), \\ M(S\alpha^1, \alpha^1, bt), M(\alpha^1, S\alpha^1, bt) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and $(M1)$ that $S\alpha = \alpha$ and $S\alpha^1 = \alpha^1$. Thus

$$S\alpha = \alpha = F(\alpha, \alpha^1) \text{ and } S\alpha^1 = \alpha^1 = F(\alpha^1, \alpha) \tag{19}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tz_n = \alpha \in T(X)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tw_n = \alpha^1 \in T(X)$, there exist β, β^1 such that $\alpha = T\beta$ and $\alpha^1 = T\beta^1$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, G(\beta, \beta^1), bt) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(F(x_n, y_n), G(\beta, \beta^1), t) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\min\{M(Sx_n, \alpha, b^2t), M(Sy_n, \alpha^1, b^2t)\}) \\ &= \phi(1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M(\alpha, G(\beta, \beta^1), bt) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Similarly from (2.8.2) we can show that $M(G(\beta, \beta^1), \alpha, bt) = 1$. Hence from $(M1)$, $G(\beta, \beta^1) = \alpha$. Similarly we can prove that $G(\beta^1, \beta) = \alpha^1$. Thus $\alpha = T\beta = G(\beta, \beta^1)$ and $\alpha^1 = T\beta^1 = G(\beta^1, \beta)$. Since the pair (G, T) is w -compatible, we have $T\alpha = T(G(\beta, \beta^1)) = G(T\beta, T\beta^1) = G(\alpha, \alpha^1)$ and $T\alpha^1 = T(G(\beta^1, \beta)) = G(T\beta^1, T\beta) = G(\alpha^1, \alpha)$. Now from Proposition 1.9, (2.8.1) and (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, T\alpha, t) &= M(F(\alpha, \alpha^1), G(\alpha, \alpha^1), t) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(S\alpha, T\alpha, b^2t), M(S\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, b^2t)\}) \\ &\geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha, T\alpha, t), M(\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, t)\}), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} M(T\alpha, \alpha, t) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(T\alpha, \alpha, t), M(T\alpha^1, \alpha^1, t)\}), \\ M(\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, t) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, t), M(\alpha, T\alpha, t)\}) \\ M(T\alpha^1, \alpha^1, t) &\geq \phi(\min\{M(T\alpha^1, \alpha^1, t), M(T\alpha, \alpha, t)\}). \end{aligned}$$

From (ϕ_2) , we have

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(\alpha, T\alpha, t), M(T\alpha, \alpha, t), \\ M(\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, t), M(T\alpha^1, \alpha^1, t) \end{array} \right\} \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(\alpha, T\alpha, t), M(T\alpha, \alpha, t), \\ M(\alpha^1, T\alpha^1, t), M(T\alpha^1, \alpha^1, t) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from (ϕ_3) and $(M1)$ that $T\alpha = \alpha$ and $T\alpha^1 = \alpha^1$. Thus

$$\alpha = T\alpha = G(\alpha, \alpha^1) \text{ and } \alpha^1 = T\alpha^1 = G(\alpha^1, \alpha) \tag{20}$$

From (19) and (20), we have $F(\alpha, \alpha^1) = S\alpha = \alpha = T\alpha = G(\alpha, \alpha^1)$ and $F(\alpha^1, \alpha) = S\alpha^1 = \alpha^1 = T\alpha^1 = G(\alpha^1, \alpha)$. Thus (α, α^1) is a common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T . □

Now we give an example to support Theorem 2.8.

Example 2.9. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $a * c = ac$ for all $a, c \in [0, 1]$ and M be a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ defined by $M(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2 + |x|}{t}}$. Let $F, G : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{32}$, $G(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{48}$, $Sx = \frac{x^2}{2}$ and $Tx = \frac{x^2}{3}$ and Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. As in Example 2.2, M is a dislocated quasi fuzzy b -metric space with $b = 2$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x^2 + y^2}{32} - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{48} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{32} &= \frac{1}{256} \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right) + \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{32} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{128} \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{32} \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \left[\left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2} \right\} + \left\{ \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \left[\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(F(x, y), G(u, v), t) &= e^{-\frac{\left\{ \left| \frac{x^2+y^2}{32} - \frac{u^2+v^2}{48} \right|^2 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{32} \right\}}{t}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{8} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\}}{t}} \\
 &= \left[e^{-\frac{\max \left\{ \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \right\}}{4t}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \left[\min \left\{ e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{u^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{2}}{4t}}, e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{v^2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{2}}{4t}} \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \left[\min \{ M(Sx, Tu, b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, b^2t) \} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \phi(\min \{ M(Sx, Tu, b^2t), M(Sy, Tv, b^2t) \}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Thus (2.8.1) is satisfied. Similarly we can easily verify that (2.8.2). One can easily show that the pairs (F, S) and (G, T) satisfy common E.A. like property with $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $y_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$, $z_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $w_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Clearly the pairs (F, S) and (G, T) are w -compatible and $(0, 0)$ is the unique common coupled fixed point of F, G, S and T .

References

- [1] M.Abbas, M.Ali khan and S.Radenovic, *Common coupled fixed point theorems on cone metric spaces for w -compatible mappings*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 217(1)(2010), 195-202.
- [2] T.G.Bhaskar and V.Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Analysis, 65(2006), 1379-1393.
- [3] M.S.El Naschine, *On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and two-slit experiment*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 9(3)(1998), 517-529.
- [4] M.S.El Naschine, *A review of E -infinity theory and the mass spectrum of high energy particle physics*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 19(1)(2004), 209-236.
- [5] M.S.El Naschine, *On a fuzzy Kahler-like Manifold which is consistent with slit experiment*, Int. J. of Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 6(2005), 95-98.
- [6] M.S.El Naschine, *The idealized quantum two slit gedanken experiment revisited -Criticism and reinterpretation*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 27(1)(2006), 9-13.
- [7] V.George and A.Sapena, *On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
- [8] O.Hadzic and E.Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2001).
- [9] Kamal Wadhwa, Hariom Dubey and Reena Jain, *Impact of E.A. Like Property on common fixed point Theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, Journal of Advanced studies in topology, 3(1)(2012), 52-59.
- [10] I.Kramosil and J.Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and stastical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, 11(1975), 326-334.
- [11] V.Lakshmikantham and B.LJ.Ciric, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in patially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [12] D.Michet, *A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy sets and systems, 144(2004), 431-439.
- [13] S.Moradi, M.Omid, *A fixed point theorem for integral type inequality depending on another function*, Int. j. Math. Analysis, 4(30)(2010), 1491-1499.

- [14] G.Rano and T.Bag, *A fixed point theorem in dislocated fuzzy quasi metric sapces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Scientific Computing, 3(1)(2013).
- [15] B.Schweizer, H.Sherwood and R.M.Tardiff, *Contractions on PM-space examples and counter examples*, Stochastica, 1(1998), 5-17.
- [16] S.Sedghi and N.Shobe, *Common fixed point theorem in b-fuzzy metric space*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, 17(3) (2012), 349-359.
- [17] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.