International Journal of

Mathematics And its Applications

ISSN: 2347-1557

Int. J. Math. And Appl., **11(3)**(2023), 155–164 Available Online: http://ijmaa.in

Best Proximity for Meir Keeler Contraction in Rectangular Metric Space

S. Arul Ravi^{1,*}, P. Julia Mary¹

¹St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract

In this paper we prove the Best Proximity Point Theorem for a Meir Keeler Contraction in Rectangular M-Metric Space.

Keywords: Best Proximity Point; Meir Keeler Contraction; M-Metric Space; Rectangular M-Metric Space; p-property.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the topics in Fixed Point Theorem is the singularity of a Fixed Point of Non-Self Map. If a Contraction of Non-Self Mapping $T : A \rightarrow B$ does not always result in a Fixed Point Tx = x, it makes sense to look into the possibility of x such that d (x, Tx) is least. At this moment, the idea of the ideal closeness emerges. A point x is referred as the Best Proximity Point of

 $T: A \rightarrow B$ if d(x, Tx) = d(A, B) where $d(A, B) = \inf \{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$

When a Non-Self Mapping T lacks a Fixed Point, a Best Proximity Point denotes the Best Approximation to the exact solution Tx = x. If d(A, B) = 0. It is evident that a Fixed Point and the Best Proximity Point coincide. The Best Proximity Point Theorem is logical expansions of the Banach Contraction Principle because it shows that a Best Proximity Point reduces to a Fixed Point if the map is believed to be a Self-Mapping [1]. Fan [7] created a Best Approximation Theorem and presented the idea of a Best Proximity in 1969. The well-known Best Contraction Point [1] establishes "the existence and uniqueness of Fixed Point of Contraction Mapping in the context of Metric Space in the field of Metric Fixed Point Theory". The Banach Contraction Principle can be generalized in two different ways [1]. In the first, the active contraction can be changed, and in the second, the Metric Space is changed. Numerous authors, primarily those who studied Partial Metric Space, b-Metric

^{*}Corresponding author (ammaarulravi@gmail.com)

Space, Partial b-Metric Space, Branciari Metric Space, Partial Rectangular Metric Space, M-Metric Space, Rectangular M-Metric Space, Rectangular M_b -Metric Space, and others [11-32], generalized the Metric Space. There are numerous generalized contractions found in literature. However, we provide the few names like Meir Keeler Contraction [33], Kannan Contraction [34], Boyd Wong Contraction [35], etc. because of their applicability. Rectangular Metric Space was proposed by Branciari [6] in 2000, and he also came up with a Fixed-Point Theorem. Asadi et al. [8, 36] presented the M-Metric Space in 2014, extending the b-Metric Space, and established numerous Fixed-Point Theorem for the Meir Keeler Contraction and the Banach Contraction Principle. Meir Keeler plays a major role in the Contraction T, and Ozgur [9] extends both Rectangular M-Metric Space and several Fixed-Point Theorem. Here we extend the result for best proximity point theorem. Here We wish to recall some definitions to justify our result.

Definition 1.1 ([2]). Let C and D be non-empty subsets of metric space (X, d) denoted by C_0 and D_0

$$C_0 = \{x \in C : d(x, y) = d(C, D) \text{ for some } y \in D\}$$
$$D_0 = \{y \in D : d(x, y) = d(C, D) \text{ for some } x \in C\}$$

Definition 1.2 ([1]). Let M_s be a non-empty set. A Meir Keeler map $T : M_s \to M_s$ on an RMS (Y, M_s) s.t $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t $\exists c, d \in Y$ and $\epsilon \leq M_s(c, d) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow M_s(Tc, Td) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.3 ([3]). *If Y* be a non-empty set. A function $s : Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$ *is said to be a Rectangular Metric on Y if it satisfies the following (for all* $c, d \in Y$ *and for all distinct point* $e, f \in Y/\{c, d\}$)

- (*i*) s(c, d) = 0 if and only if c = d
- (*ii*) s(c,d) = s(d,c)
- (*iii*) $s(c,d) \le s(c,e) + s(e,f) + s(f,d)$

The pair (Y, s) is said to be RMS.

Definition 1.4 ([5]). *If Y* be a non-empty set. A function $q : Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$ *is said to be a Partial Rectangular Metric on Y*, *if for* $c, d \in Y$ *and for all distinct point* $e, f \in Y / \{c, d\}$ *it satisfies the following*

- (*i*) c = d if and only if q(c, c) = q(d, d)
- (ii) $q(c,c) \leq q(c,d)$
- (*iii*) q(c,d) = q(d,c)
- (*iv*) $q(c,d) \le q(c,e) + q(e,f) + q(f,d) q(e,e) q(f,f)$

The pair (Y,q) is said to be Partial Rectangular Metric Space.

Definition 1.5 ([20]). *If Y* be a non-empty set. A function $m : Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$ *is said to be MM if it satisfies the following*

- (i) $m(x,x) = m(y,y) = m(x,y) \iff x = y$
- (*ii*) $m_{xy} \leq m(x, y)$
- (iii) m(x,y) = m(y,x)
- (iv) $(m(x,y) m_{xy}) \le (m(x,z) m_{xz}) + (m(z,y) m_{yz})$

The pair (Y, m) is said to be m-MS.

Definition 1.6 ([11]). *If Y* be a non-empty set and $m_s : Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$ a map. If it satisfies the following axioms for all $x, y \in Y$

- (i) $m_s(x,y) = m_{s_{xy}} = M_{s_{xy}} \iff x = y$
- (*ii*) $m_{s_{xy}} \leq m_s(x, y)$
- (iii) $m_s(x,y) = m_s(y,x)$
- $\begin{array}{ll} (iv) \ \left(m_{s} \left(x, y\right) M_{s_{xy}}\right) & \leq & \left(m_{s} \left(x, y\right) M_{s_{xy}}\right) \ + \ \left(m_{s} \left(u, v\right) m_{s_{uv}}\right) \ + \ \left(m_{s} \left(v, y\right) m_{s_{vy}}\right) & for \quad all \\ & u, v \in Y / \{x, y\} \end{array}$

The pair (Y, m_s) is said to be M-Metric Space.

Definition 1.7 ([26]). Let (Y, m_s) be a Rectangular M-Metric Space. Then

(*i*) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y converges to a point x if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(m_s \left(x_n, x \right) - m_{snx} \right) = 0 \tag{1}$$

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y is said to be m_r -CS if and only if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} (m_s(x_n, x_m) - m_{sx_nx_m}) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \left(M_s \left(x_n, x_m \right) - M_{sx_n x_m} \right) = 0 \tag{2}$$

(iii) A RMMS is said to be $m_s - CS \{x_n\}$ converges to $x \text{ s.t } \lim_{n \to \infty} (m_s (x_n, x) - m_{sx_n x}) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(M_s \left(x_n, x \right) - M_{s x_n x} \right) = 0 \tag{3}$$

Definition 1.8 ([4]). Let (Y, M_s) be a Rectangular M-Metric Space. A map $T : M_s \to M_s$ is a MKC if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t } \exists x, y \in Y$ and

$$\epsilon \le M_s(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow M_s(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon \tag{4}$$

Definition 1.9 ([37]). Let (C, D) be a pair of non-empty subsets of Complete Metric Space (X, d) with $C_0 \neq 0$. Then the pair (C, D) is said to be pp if and only if for any $c_1, c_2 \in C_0$ and $d_1, d_2 \in D_0$, $d(c_1, c_2) = d(C, D) = d(d_1, d_2)$.

Definition 1.10 ([27]). *Let the function* ϕ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ *which satisfy*

- (*i*) ϕ *is continuous and ND*
- (*ii*) $\phi(t) = 0$ *if and only if* t = 0.

2. Known Results

We need the following theorems to discuss the main result.

Theorem 2.1 ([13]). Let (Y, m_s) be a Rectangular M-Metric Space and T be a self-map on Y. If there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$m_s(Tx, Ty) \le km_s(x, y) \quad \forall \ x, y \in Y$$
 (5)

and consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$. If $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, $Tx_n \to x_n$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.2 ([7]). Let (Y, m_s) be a Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and T be a self-map on Y. If there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$m_s(Tx, Ty) \le km_s(x, y) \quad \forall \ x, y \in X$$
(6)

Then *T* has a unique fixed point $u \in Y$, where $m_s(u, u) = 0$.

Theorem 2.3 ([14]). Let (Y, m_s) be a Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and T be a self-map on Y. If there exists $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$m_s(Tx, Ty) \le k[m_s(x, Tx) + m_s(y, Ty) \quad \forall \ x, y \in Y$$
(7)

Then T has a unique fixed point $u \in Y$, where $m_s(u, u) = 0$.

Theorem 2.4 ([17]). Let (Y, M_s) be a m_r -Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and Let T be a Meir Keeler Contraction. Then, T has a unique fixed point $z \in Y$. Moreover, for all $x \in Y$, the sequence $\{T_n(x)\}$ converges to z.

Theorem 2.5 ([7]). Let (Y, M_s) be a m_s -Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and satisfying Meir Keeler Contraction. Let A, B be non-empty continuous set of Y such that $A_0 \neq \phi$. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a map satisfying $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$. Suppose

$$m_s(Tx,Ty) - d(A,B) \le m_s(x,y) - d(A,B)$$
(8)

for all $x \in A$, $y \in B$. Then T has a Best Proximity Point.

Theorem 2.6 ([1]). Let (Y, M_s) be a Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and let T be a continuous mapping satisfying Meir Keeler Contraction. Let A, B be non-empty closed subsets of Y such that $A_0 \neq \phi$. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a map satisfying

- 1. *T* is continuous and $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$.
- 2. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in A, y \in B \in \leq km_s(x, y) d(x, y,) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow M_s(Tx, Ty) d(x, y) < \epsilon$ for some $k \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$, then T has a Best Proximity Point.

Theorem 2.7 ([10]). Let (Y, M_s) be a Rectangular M-Metric Space and satisfying Meir Keeler Contraction. Let $T : A \to B$ be a map satisfying $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$. Assume that there exists a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following:

- 1. $\psi(0) = 0$ and $t > 0 \Rightarrow \phi(t) > 0$
- 2. ϕ is non decreasing and right continuous
- 3. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\epsilon \leq \phi(kc_s(x,y)) d(x,y, \epsilon) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow \phi(m_s(Tx,Ty)) d(x,y) < \epsilon$ for some $k \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$, then T has a Best Proximity Point.

3. Lemmas

The followings lemmas are in need to prove the main result.

Lemma 3.1 ([9]). Assume that $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ in a Rectangular M-Metric Space (Y, M_s) . Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} (m_s(x_n, y_n) - m_{sx_ny_n}) = m_s(x, y) - m_{sxy}$.

Lemma 3.2 ([8]). Assume $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ in a Rectangular M-Metric Space (Y, m_s) . Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} (m_s (x_n, y) - m_{sx_n y}) = m_s (x, y) - m_{sxy} \quad \forall y \in Y.$

Lemma 3.3 ([24]). Assume $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ in a Rectangular M-Metric Space (Y, m_s) . Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} (m_s (x_n, y_n) - m_{sx_ny_n}) = m_s (x, y) - m_{sxy}.$

Lemma 3.4 ([15]). Assume $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ in a Rectangular M-Metric Space (Y, m_s) . Then, $m_s(x, y) = m_{sxy}$. Further if $m_s(y, y)$, then x = y.

Lemma 3.5 ([3]). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Rectangular M-Metric Space (Y, m_s) such that there exists $s \in [0, \infty)$ s.t

$$m_s(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le sm_s(x_n, x_{n-1}) \quad \forall \ n \in N$$
(9)

Then

- (a). $\lim_{n\to\infty} (M_s(x_n, x_{n-1})) = 0$
- (b). $\lim_{n\to\infty} (M_s(x_n, x_n)) = 0$
- (c). $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} (M_{sx_nx_m}) = 0$
- (*d*). $\{x_n\}$ is an m_s -CS.

4. Main Results

Theorem 4.1. Let (Y, M_s) be a m_s -Complete Rectangular M-Metric Space and satisfying Meir Keeler Contraction. Let (C, D) be non-empty closed subsets of Y such that $C_0 \neq \phi$. Let $T : C \rightarrow D$ be a map satisfying $T(C_0) \subseteq D_0$. Suppose

$$M_{s}(Tc, Td) - d(C, D) \le M_{s}(c, d) - d(C, D)$$
(10)

for all $c \in C$, $d \in D$. Then T has a Best Proximity Point.

Proof. Let $c_0 \in C$. Since $Tc_0 \in T(C_0) \subset D_0$, there exists $c_1 \in C_0$ such that $d(c_1, Tc_0) = d(C, D)$. Similarly, $Tc_1 \in T(C_0) \subset D_0$, we choose $c_2 \in C_0$ such that $d(c_2, Tc_1) = d(C, D)$. Again, we get a sequence $\{c_n\}$ in C_0 satisfying

$$d(c_{n+1}, Tc_n) = d(C, D) \quad \forall \ n \in N$$
(11)

Claim: $d(c_n, c_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$

If $c_n = c_{n+1}$, then c_n is a Best Proximity Point. By pp, we have

$$d(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}) = d(Tc_n, Tc_{n+1})$$

Suppose $c_n \neq c_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. Since $d(c_{n+1}, Tc_n) = d(C, D)$, from (11), we have for all $n \in N$.

$$M_{s}(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}) = m_{s}(Tc_{n}, Tc_{n+1})$$

$$\leq M_{s}(c_{n}, c_{n+1}) - d(C, D)$$
(12)

We get $M_s(c_n, c_{n+1}) = 0$, a contradiction.

We get from (11) that $M_s(c_n, c_{n+1}) = 0$ contradicting our assumption. Therefore $M_s(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}) < M_s(c_n, c_{n+1})$ for any $n \in N$ and $\{M_s(c_n, c_{n+1})\}$ is Non-Decreasing Sequence of Non-Negative Integers, there exists $s \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_s(c_n, c_{n+1}) = s$. We get from (11), for any $n \in N$,

$$M_s(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}) \le m_s(c_n, c_{n+1})$$

as $n \to \infty$ in the above equations, and using m_s and M_s , we get $M_s(s) \le m_s(s)$ this implies $m_s(s) = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_s(c_n, c_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Next, we show that $\{c_n\}$ is a CS. If otherwise there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, for which we can find two sequences of positive integers (m_k) and (n_k) such that for all positive integers $m_k > n_k > k$, $Ms(c_{m_k}, c_{n_k}) \ge \varepsilon$ and $M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon$. $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation and using (13) we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_k}) = \varepsilon \tag{14}$$

Again $M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_k}) \le M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{m_{k+1}}) + M_s(c_{m_{k+1}}, c_{n_{k+1}}) + M_s(c_{n_{k+1}}, c_{n_k})$ as $k \to \infty$ in the above equation and using (13) and (14) we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M_s(c_{m_{k+1}}, c_{n_{k+1}}) = \varepsilon \tag{15}$$

Again $M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_k}) \leq M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_{k+1}}) + M_s(c_{n_{k+1}}, c_{n_k}) \leq M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_k}) + M_s(c_{n_k}, c_{n_k})$. Let $k \to \infty$ in the above equation and using (13) and (14) we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M_s(c_{m_k}, c_{n_{k+1}}) = \varepsilon \tag{16}$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M_s(c_{n_k}, c_{m_{k+1}}) = \varepsilon \tag{17}$$

For $c = c_{m_k}$, $d = c_{n_k}$ we have

$$M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{m_{k}}) - d(C, D) \leq M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{m_{k+1}}) + M_{s}(c_{m_{k+1}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D)$$
$$= M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{m_{k+1}})$$

Similarly,

$$M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D) = M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, c_{n_{k+1}})$$
$$M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(A, B) = M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{n_{k+1}})$$
$$M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, Tc_{m_{k}}) - d(C, D) = M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, c_{m_{k+1}})$$

From (12) we have

$$M_{s}(c_{m_{k+1}}, Tc_{n_{k+1}}) = M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{n_{k+1}})$$

$$\leq M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D) + M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{m_{k}}) - d(C, D) + M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D)$$

$$+ M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D) + M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{m_{k}}) - d(C, D) + M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) - d(C, D)$$

It follows

$$M_{s}(Tc_{m_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k}}) \leq M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, c_{n_{k}}) + M_{s}(c_{n_{k}}, Tc_{n_{k+1}}) + M_{s}(c_{m_{k}}, Tc_{m_{k+1}})$$

From (14), (15), (16) and (17) and let $k \to \infty$ in the above equations and using M_s , we get $M_s(\varepsilon) \le M_s(\varepsilon)$, which is a contradiction by M_s . Hence $\{c_n\}$ is a CS.

Since $\{c_n\} \subset C$ and C is a Cauchy Sequence of the Complete Metric Space (X, d), there exists c^* in C such that $c_n \to c^*$. Put $c = c_n$ and $d = c^*$ and since $M_s(c_n, Tc^*) \leq M_s(c_n, c^*) + M_s(c^*, Tc_n)$ and $M_{s}(c^{*}, Tc_{n}) \leq M_{s}(c^{*}, Tc^{*}) + M_{s}(Tc^{*}, Tc_{n})$, we have

$$M_{s}(c_{n+1}, Tc^{*}) - d(C, D) \leq M_{s}(Tc_{n}, Tc^{*}) \leq m_{s}(c_{n}, c^{*}) + M_{s}(c_{n}, Tc_{n} + M_{s}(c^{*}, Tc^{*}) - d(C, D)$$

as $n \to \infty$ in the above equations and using M_s and m_s , we get

$$M_s(c^*, Tc^*) - d(C, D)) \le m_s(c^*, Tc^*) - d(C, D)$$

This implies $M_s(c^*, Tc^*) = d(C, D)$. Hence c^* is a Best Proximity Point of *T*.

Next to prove uniqueness: Let *e* and *f* be two Best Proximity Point and suppose $e \neq f$, then put c = e and d = f in (10), we get

$$M_{s}\left(T_{e},T_{f}\right)-d\left(C,D\right)\leq m_{s}\left(e,f\right)-d\left(C,D\right)$$

That is $M_s(e, f) \le m_s(e, f)$ contradiction by Meir Keeler Contraction. Hence e = f.

5. Conclusion

Rectangular M-Metric is a newly developing concept in Fixed Point Theory. Here we have established Meir Keeler Contraction in Rectangular M-Metric Space. We may extend this result to b-metric space in future.

References

- [1] S. Banach, Surles operations dans ensembles abstraits et leur application aur equations integrals, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3(1)(1922), 133-181.
- [2] S. G. Mathews, Partial metric topology, Annals New York Academy of Sciences, 728(1994), 183-197.
- [3] S. Czerwick, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, 1(1)(1993), 5-11.
- [4] S. Shukla, Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 11(2014), 703-711.
- [5] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach Caccippoli typeona class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 57(1-2)(2000), 31-37.
- [6] S. Shukla, *Partial rectangular metric spaces and fixed point theorems*, The Scientific World Journal, 2014(2014).
- [7] M. Asadi, E. Karapinar and P. Salimi, New extension of p-metric spaces with some fixed results on Mmetric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 18(2014).

- [8] N. Y. Ozgilr, N. Mlaiki, N. Tas and N. Souayah, A new generalization of metric spaces: rectangular M-metric spaces, Mathematical Sciences, 12(2018), 223-233.
- [9] M. Asim, A. R. Khan and M. Imdad, Rectangular M_b-metric spaces and fixed point results, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 10(1)(2019), 10-18.
- [10] A. N. Gupta and A. Saxena, A unique fixed point theorem in metric spaces, Math. Stud., 52(1984), 156-158.
- [11] C. M. Chan, E. Karapinar and I. J. Lin, Periodic points of Weaker Mein-Keeler contractive mappings on generalized quasi metric spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2014(2014).
- [12] E. Karapinar, N. Aydi and B. Samet, *Fixed points for generalized* (α , φ) *Contractions on generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 229(2014).
- [13] E. Karapinar and A. Pitea, On (α, ψ) Geraghty contraction type mappings on quasi Branciari metric *spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 17(7)(2016), 1291-1301.
- [14] E. Karapinar, Some fixed point results on branciari metric Spaces via Implicit functions, Carpathian J. Math., 31(3)(2015), 339-348.
- [15] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and D. Zhang, On Common Fixed Points in the Context of Brianciari Metric Spaces, Results in Mathematics, 71(2017), 73-92.
- [16] H. Monfared, M. Azhini and M. Asadi, Fixed point results of M-metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 7(5)(2016), 85-101.
- [17] H. Monfared, M. Azhini and M. Asadi, *C-class and* $F(\psi, \phi)$ *contractions on M-metric spaces*, Int. J Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 8(1)(2017), 209-224.
- [18] H. Monfared, M. Azhini and M. Agadi, A generalized contraction principle with control function on M-metric spaces, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, 22(2)(2017), 395-402.
- [19] H. Monfared, M. Asadi and M. Azhini, Coupled fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered M-metric spaces, Res. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2018(2018).
- [20] H. Monfared, M. Agadi, M. Azhini and D. Regan, $F(\psi, \phi)$ -contractions for α -admissible mappings on *M*-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 22(1)(2018).
- [21] M. A. Alghamdi, C. M. Chan and E. Karapinar, *A generalized weaker* (α, ϕ, ψ) *contractive mappings and related fixed point results in complete generalized metric spaces*, Abstract and Applied Anal., (2014).
- [22] M. Arshad, J. Ahmed and E. Karapinar, Some common fixed point results in rectangular metric spaces, International Journal of Analysis, 2013(2013).

- [23] M. Arshad, E. Ameer and E. Karapinar, *Generalized contractions with triangular α-orbital admissible mapping on Branciari metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 63(2016).
- [24] M. Asadi, E. Karapinar and A. Kumar, $\alpha \psi$ *Geraghty contractions on generalized metric spaces,* Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 423(2014).
- [25] M. Asadi, On Ekelands variational principle on M-metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 17(6)(2016), 1151-1158.
- [26] M. Asadi, M. Azhini, E. Karapinar and H. Monfared, Simulations functions over M-metric spaces, East Asian Math. J., 33(5)(2017), 559-570.
- [27] M. Asadi, B. Moeini A. Mukheimer and H. Aydi, Complex valued m-metric spaces and related fixed point results via complex c-class function, Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions, 10(1)(2009), 101-110.
- [28] M. Berzig, E. Karapinar and A. Roldan, Some fixed point theorems in Branciari metric spaces, Mathematica Slovaca, 67(5)(2017), 1-14.
- [29] J. M. Erhan, E. Karapinar and T. Sekulic, *Fixed points of* (ψ, ϕ) *-contractions on rectangular metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 38(2012).
- [30] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar and B. Samet, Further generalizations of the Banach contraction principle, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014(2014).
- [31] K. Sarkar and K. Tiwary, A note on common fixed points for (ψ, α, β) –weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, International J.Math. Combin., 1(2019), 81-87.
- [32] A. Meir and E. Keeler, A theorem contaction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 28(2)(1969), 326-329.
- [33] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bulletin Calcutta Mathematical Society, 10(1968), 71-76.
- [34] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 20(1969), 458-464.
- [35] M. Asadi, *Fixed point theorems for Meir-Keeler mapping type in M-metric space with applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 210(2015).
- [36] A. Mohammad, S. Mujahid and I. Uddin, Meir-Keeler contraction in rectangular M-metric space, Topological Algebra and its Applications, 9(2021), 96-104.
- [37] V. Sankar Raj, A Best proximity point theorem for weakly contractive non-self mappings, Nonlinear Analysis, 74(2011), 4804-4808.