

Fixed Point Theorem For Pair of Weakly Compatible Mappings Using CLR_T Property

B. Vijayabasker Reddy^{1,*} and V. Srinivas²

1 Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, University college of Science Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space using the concept of weakly compatible mappings and CLR_T property.

MSC: 54H25, 47H10.

Keywords: Fixed point, weakly compatible mappings, CLR_T property.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The notion of the fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [14]. Kramosil and Michelek initiated the concept of Fuzzy metric spaces since then many authors proved fixed point theorem using Fuzzy metric space. In 1988, Grabic [5] obtained the Banach contraction principle in fuzzy version. George and Veeramani [4] modified the notion of fuzzy metric space and shown that every metric induces a fuzzy metric. Recently Sintunavarat and kuman [11] initiated an interesting property Common Limit in the Range property (CLR property). Aim of this paper to prove a common fixed point for four self maps with the concept of weakly compatible maps and CLR_T property.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called continuous t -norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1). $*$ is commutative and associative
- (2). $*$ is continuous
- (3). $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$
- (4). $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$, $s, t > 0$.

* E-mail: basker.bonuga@gmail.com

(FM-1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$

(FM-2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$

(FM-3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$

(FM-4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$

(FM-5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous

Example 2.3 (Induced fuzzy metric space). Let (X, d) be a metric space defined $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)} \quad (a)$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by metric d the standard fuzzy metric. From the above example every metric induces a fuzzy metric but there exist no metric on X satisfying (a).

Definition 2.4. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Definition 2.5. A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 2.6. A fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to a point in X .

Lemma 2.7. For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non decreasing.

Lemma 2.8. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ then $x = y$.

Proposition 2.9. In the fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ if $a * a \geq a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$ then $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Definition 2.10. Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compatible mappings if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(STx_n, TSx_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.11. Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point i.e if $Su = Tu$ for some $u \in X$ then $STu = TSu$.

Definition 2.12. Let B and T be two self maps defined on a metric space (X, d) . We say that the mappings B and T satisfy CLR_T property if there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tx$ for some $x \in X$.

3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a Fuzzy metric space with $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and let A, B, S and T are self maps of X satisfying the following condition conditions

(1). $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and the pair (B, T) satisfy CLR_T property

(2). the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible

(3). $[M(Ax, By, kt)]^2 * M(Ax, By, kt)M(Ty, Sx, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(By, Sx, 2kt) * M(Ax, Ty, 2kt)]$

$$+ k_2 [M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt)]\} M(Ty, Sx, t)$$

where for all x, y in X and $k_1, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 \geq 1$

then the mappings A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Assume that the pairs (B, T) satisfy CLR_T property so there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tx$. Since the condition $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ implies there exists a sequence $\langle y_n \rangle \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = Tx$ this gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = Tx$. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = Tx$. Put $x = y_n$ and $y = x_n$ in (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt)]^2 * M(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt)M(Tx_n, Sy_n, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(Bx_n, Sy_n, 2kt) * M(Ay_n, Tx_n, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(Ay_n, Sy_n, kt) * M(Bx_n, Tx_n, kt)]\} M(Tx_n, Sy_n, t) \\
 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)]^2 * M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)M(Tx, Tx, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(Tx, Tx, 2kt) * M(Ay_n, Tx, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt) * M(Tx, Tx, kt)]\} M(Tx, Tx, t) \\
 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)]^2 &\geq \{k_1 [M(Ay_n, Tx, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)]\} \\
 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)] &\geq \{k_1 + k_2\} \\
 [M(Ay_n, Tx, kt)] &\geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = Tx$ let $Tx = z$ then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$. We prove $Bx = z$. Put $x = y_n$ and $y = x$ in (?)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M(Ay_n, Bx, kt)]^2 * M(Ay_n, Bx, kt)M(Tx, Sy_n, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(Bx, Sy_n, 2kt) * M(Ay_n, Tx, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(Ay_n, Sy_n, kt) * M(Bx, Tx, kt)]\} M(Tx, Sy_n, t) \\
 [M(z, Bx, kt)]^2 * M(z, z, kt)M(z, z, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(Bx, z, 2kt) * M(z, z, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(Bx, z, kt)]\} M(z, z, t) \\
 [M(z, Bx, kt)]^2 &\geq \{k_1 [M(Bx, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Bx, z, kt)]\} \\
 [M(z, Bx, kt)] &\geq \{k_1 + k_2\} \\
 [M(z, Bx, kt)] &\geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

implies $Bx = z$ this gives $Tx = Bx = z$. Since the pair (B, T) is weakly compatible implies $BTx = TBx$ gives $Bz = Tz$. Also since the condition $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ implies there exists a sequence $y \in X$ such that $Bx = Sy = z$. We next show that $z = Ay$. Put $y = x_n$ and $x = y$ in (?)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M(Ay, Bx_n, kt)]^2 * M(Ay, Bx_n, kt)M(Tx_n, Sy, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(Bx_n, Sy, 2kt) * M(Ay, Tx_n, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(Ay, Sy, kt) * M(Bx_n, Tx_n, kt)]\} M(Tx_n, Sy, t) \\
 [M(Ay, z, kt)]^2 * M(Ay, z, kt)M(z, Sy, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(z, Sy, 2kt) * M(Ay, z, 2kt)] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [M(Ay, Sy, kt) * M(z, z, kt)]\} M(z, Sy, t) \\
 [M(Ay, z, kt)]^2 * M(Ay, z, kt)M(z, z, kt) &\geq \{k_1 [M(z, z, 2kt) * M(Ay, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ay, z, kt)]\} M(z, z, t) \\
 [M(Ay, z, kt)]^2 &\geq \{k_1 [M(Ay, z, 2kt)] + k_2 [M(Ay, z, kt)]\} \\
 [M(Ay, z, kt)] &\geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

gives $Ay = z$ and this implies $Ay = Sy = z$. But the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible it follows that $ASy = Say$ implies $Az = Sz$. Now we prove that $Az = Bz$. Put $x = z$ and $y = z$ in (?).

$$[M(Az, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bz, kt)M(Tz, Sz, kt) \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tz, 2kt)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bz, Tz, kt)] M(Tz, Sz, t) \\
 [M(Az, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bz, kt)M(Bz, Az, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Az, 2kt) * M(Az, Bz, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Az, kt) * M(Bz, Bz, kt)]\} M(Bz, Az, t) \\
 [M(Az, Bz, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bz, kt)M(Bz, Az, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Az, 2kt) * M(Az, Bz, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Az, kt) * M(Bz, Bz, kt)]\} M(Bz, Az, t) \\
 [M(Az, Bz, kt)]^2 & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Az, 2kt)] + k_2\} M(Bz, Az, t) \\
 [M(Az, Bz, kt)] & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bz, Az, 2kt)] + k_2\} \\
 M(Az, Bz, kt) & \geq \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\
 M(Az, Bz, kt) & \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

implies $Az = Bz$. Therefore $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$. Now we show that $z = Az$. Put $x = z$ and $y = x$ in (?).

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M(Az, Bx, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bx, kt)M(Tx, Sz, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bx, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tx, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bx, Tx, kt)]\} M(Tx, Sz, t) \\
 [M(Az, z, kt)]^2 * M(Az, z, kt)M(z, Az, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, 2kt) * M(Az, z, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Az, kt) * M(z, z, kt)]\} M(z, Az, t) \\
 [M(Az, z, kt)] & \geq \{k_1 [M(z, Az, 2kt)] + k_2\} \\
 [M(Az, z, kt)] & \geq \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\
 [M(Az, z, kt)] & \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

implies $Az = z$ therefore $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$.

Uniqueness: Let $w (\neq z)$ be the common fixed point of A, B, S and T then we get $Aw = Bw = Sw = Tw = w$. Put $x = z$ and $y = w$ in (?).

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M(Az, Bw, kt)]^2 * M(Az, Bw, kt)M(Tw, Sz, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(Bw, Sz, 2kt) * M(Az, Tw, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bw, Tw, kt)]\} M(Tw, Sz, t) \\
 [M(z, w, kt)]^2 * M(z, w, kt)M(w, z, kt) & \geq \{k_1 [M(w, z, 2kt) * M(z, w, 2kt)] \\
 & +k_2 [M(z, z, kt) * M(w, w, kt)]\} M(w, z, t) \\
 [M(z, w, kt)] & \geq \{k_1 [M(w, z, 2kt)] + k_2\} \\
 [M(z, w, kt)] & \geq \frac{k_2}{1 - k_1} \\
 [M(z, w, kt)] & \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Implies $z = w$. Which gives Self maps A,B,S and T have unique common fixed point. □

Example 3.2. Let $X = [0, 2/3)$, $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$ where $d(x, y) = |x - y|$

$$Ax = \begin{cases} \frac{6}{10} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x < \frac{2}{3} \end{cases} \quad Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x < \frac{2}{3} \end{cases} \quad Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x < \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

then $A(X) = \{\frac{6}{10}, \frac{1}{3}\}$, $B(X) = \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\}$ while $S(X) = T(X) = \{\frac{1}{6} \cup (0, \frac{1}{3}]\}$ so that the condition $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ are satisfied. Clearly the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible as they commute at coincident point $1/3$. Let a sequence $x_n = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{n})$ for $n \geq 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \frac{1}{3}$ and $T(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{3}$ which implies the pair (B, T) satisfies CLR_T property. The rational inequality holds for the values of $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 \leq 1$ where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$. Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Clearly $1/3$ is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

References

- [1] P. Balasubramaniam, S. Murali Sankar and R.P. Pant, *Common fixed point of four mappings in fuzzy metric space*, J.Fuzzy Math. 10(2)(2002), 379-384.
- [2] Y.J. Cho, H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang and J.S. Jung, *Common fixed point of compatible maps of type β on fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 93(1998), 99-111.
- [3] Dinesh Panth and Kumar Subedi, *Some common fixed point theorems for four mappings in dislocated metric space*, Advances in Pure Mathematics, 6(2016), 695-712.
- [4] A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
- [5] M. Grabiec, *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988), 385-389.
- [6] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2)*, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., (1988), 285-288.
- [7] Manish Jain and Sanjay Kumar, *A Common fixed point Theorem in Fuzzy metric Space Using the property (CLR_g)* , Thai Journal of Mathematics, 12(3)(2014), 591-600.
- [8] S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma and S.L. Singh, *Common fixed points of mappings on fuzzy metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 17(1994), 253-258.
- [9] R.P. Pant and K. Jha, *A Remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space*, J. Fuzzy Math., 12(2)(2004), 433-437.
- [10] S. Sharma, *Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 127(2002), 345-352.
- [11] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kuman, *Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2011(2011), 1-14.
- [12] K.S. Sisodia, M.S. Rathore and Deepak Singh, *A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Int. J. of Math. Analysis, 5(17)(2011), 819-826.
- [13] V. Srinivas, B.V.B. Reddy and R. Umamaheshwar Rao, *A common fixed point theorem on fuzzy metric space*, Kathmandu University Journal of Science Engineering and Technology, 8(2)(2012), 77-82.
- [14] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets*, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.