

## The Shilov Boundary and Peak Points of the Discrete Beurling Algebras on $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2$

H. V. Dedania<sup>1,\*</sup>, Vaishali N. Goswami<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University, Gujarat, India

### Abstract

Let  $\omega$  be a weight function on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The Gel'fand spaces of the discrete Beurling algebras  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  and  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  are studied in [2]. In this paper, we study their Shilov boundary, peak points and strong boundary points.

**Keywords:** Gel'fand space; Gel'fand transform; Shilov boundary; Peak point; Strong boundary Point.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 46J05; Secondary 46J10.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital, semisimple, commutative Banach algebra. Let  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  denote the Gel'fand space of  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $\hat{a} : \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \varphi \mapsto \varphi(a)$  be the Gel'fand transform of  $a$ , let  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C_0(\Delta(\mathcal{A})); a \mapsto \hat{a}$  be the Gel'fand representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\hat{A} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\hat{a} : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Then  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a compact Hausdorff space equipped with the weak\* topology,  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a norm decreasing, one-to-one, algebra homomorphism, and  $\hat{A}$  is a unital subalgebra of  $C(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$  which strongly separates the points of  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ , i.e. if  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = 0$  and  $\hat{a}(\psi) = 1$  [3]. By [4], there always exists a smallest closed subset  $\partial\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $|\hat{a}|_{\partial\mathcal{A}} = |\hat{a}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})}$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ), where  $|\hat{a}|_F = \sup\{|\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in F\}$ ; such a closed set  $\partial\mathcal{A}$  is called the *Shilov boundary* of  $\mathcal{A}$  [4]. A point  $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a *peak point* for  $\mathcal{A}$  if there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = 1$  and  $|\hat{a}(\psi)| < 1$  for all  $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  [4]. Let  $S_0(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all peak points for  $\mathcal{A}$ . A point  $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a *strong boundary point* for  $\mathcal{A}$  if, for each neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\varphi$ , there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = |\hat{a}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} = 1$  and  $|\hat{a}|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \setminus U} < 1$  [3]. Let  $T_0(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all strong boundary points for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then it is always true that  $S_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset T_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset \partial\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $S$  be the semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, +)$  or the group  $(\mathbb{Z}^2, +)$ . A *weight*  $\omega$  on  $S$  is a strictly positive function  $\omega : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\omega(s+t) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . Let  $l^1(S, \omega)$  be the set of all functions  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum\{|f(s)|\omega(s) : s \in S\} < \infty$ . For  $f, g \in l^1(S, \omega)$ , the *convolution product*  $f * g$  of  $f$

\*Corresponding author (hvdedania@gmail.com)

and  $g$  is defined as

$$f * g(s) = \sum \{f(u)g(v) : u, v \in S \text{ and } u + v = s\} \quad (s \in S).$$

Then  $l^1(S, \omega)$  is a unital, commutative Banach algebra with pointwise linear operations, the convolution product, and the norm  $\|f\|_\omega = \sum \{|f(s)|\omega(s) : s \in S\}$ . The reader should refer to [3] for the definition and some basic properties.

The Gel'fand theory of the Beurling algebras  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+, \omega)$  and  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \omega)$  are very well understood. For example: (i) their Gel'fand space can be identified with some closed disc  $\mathbb{D}_r$  with center zero and radius  $r$  and the closed annulus  $\Gamma(r_1, r_2)$  with center zero and radii  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ , respectively; (ii) their Shilov boundaries are just the topological boundaries of  $\mathbb{D}_r$  and  $\Gamma(r_1, r_2)$ , respectively. So it is natural to study the Gel'fand theory and various boundaries of the algebras  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  and  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Their Gel'fand spaces are studied in [2]. In this paper, we study their geometrical properties; more specifically, we study Shilov boundary, peak points, strong boundary points, and polynomial convexity. It is already proved that  $\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)) \cong \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  and  $\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)) \cong \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ , where  $\mathbb{G}(\omega)$  and  $\mathbb{T}(\omega)$  are union of product of closed discs and closed annuli in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , respectively [2]. Here, we shall prove that  $\mathbb{G}(\omega)$  is always polynomially convex, while  $\mathbb{T}(\omega)$  is never.

It follows from [1] that, unlike  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ , the algebra  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  is always semisimple. Under some condition on the weight  $\omega$ , the algebra  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  is semisimple too.

## 2. Main Section

To make this paper self instructed, we state here some notations, definitions and results from [2] without proofs.

**Notations:** Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then we set the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_r &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}; \Gamma(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r\}; \\ \Gamma(r, s) &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r \leq |z| \leq s\}; \\ \mu &= \inf\{\omega(m, n)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} : (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}\}; \\ \beta_{1,0} &= \inf\{\omega(m, 0)^{\frac{1}{m}} : (m \in \mathbb{N})\}; \\ \beta_{2,0} &= \inf\{\omega(0, n)^{\frac{1}{n}} : (n \in \mathbb{N})\}; \\ \mathbb{G}(\omega) &= \cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i}), \text{ where } r_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_+; \\ \mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega) &= \cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r_i) \times \Gamma(s_i)); \\ \xi &= \sup\{|zw| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)\}; \\ \mathbb{G}_p(\omega) &= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega) : |z||w| = \xi\}; \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then we set the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{T}(\omega) &= \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r, t_r)), \text{ where } r, s_r, t_r \in \mathbb{R}_+; \\ \mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega) &= [\cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r))] \cup [\cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(t_r))]; \\ \xi_1 &= \sup\{|zw| : (z, w) \in \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(t_r))\}; \\ \xi_2 &= \inf\{|zw| : (z, w) \in \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r))\}; \\ \xi_3 &= \sup\{|z^{-1}w| : (z, w) \in \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(t_r))\}; \\ \xi_4 &= \inf\{|z^{-1}w| : (z, w) \in \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r))\}; \\ \mathbb{T}_{p_i}(\omega) &= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega) : |z||w| = \xi_i\} \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ or } 2; \\ \mathbb{T}_{p_i}(\omega) &= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega) : |z^{-1}w| = \xi_i\} \text{ for } i = 3 \text{ or } 4;\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be weights on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\omega$  is called a product weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  if  $\omega(m, n) = \omega_1(m)\omega_2(n)$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

The next result is proved in [2, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then there is a subset  $\{(r_i, s_i) : i \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  such that

$$\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)) \cong \mathbb{G}(\omega) = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i})$$

in such a way that, for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$  there exists  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  such that  $\hat{f}(\varphi) = \hat{f}(\phi_{z,w}) = \hat{f}(z, w) = \sum\{f(m, n)z^m w^n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  for all  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . In particular, if  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and if  $p = \sum\{\alpha_{kl}\delta_{e_1}^k \delta_{e_2}^l : k+l \leq m\} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ , then  $\hat{p}(z, w) = \sum\{\alpha_{kl}z^k w^l : k+l \leq m\}$ .

Next Lemma will be required at later stage.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then the Beurling algebra  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  is semisimple iff  $\mu > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega$  be semisimple. Suppose, if possible, that  $\mu = 0$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Since  $\mu = 0$ , there exists  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that  $\omega(m, n)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} < \epsilon$ . This implies that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\omega(km, kn)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq \omega(m, n) < \epsilon^{m+n} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\inf\{\omega(km, kn)^{\frac{1}{k}} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mu > 0$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu > 0$ . Then, for any  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , it is clear that  $\inf\{\omega(km, kn)^{\frac{1}{k}} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \geq \mu^{m+n} > 0$ . Hence  $\omega$  is semisimple.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4** ([4]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , is said to be polynomially convex if, for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ , there exists a polynomial  $p$  in  $n$ -variables such that  $p(z) = 1$  and  $|p(w)| < 1$  for all  $w \in K$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** The Gel'fand set  $\mathbb{G}(\omega)$  is polynomially convex.

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_e = \delta_{(0,0)}$  denote the identity of  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  and let  $(z_1, w_1) \notin \mathbb{G}(\omega)$ . Then, for any  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$ , either  $\varphi(\delta_{e_1}) \neq z_1$  or  $\varphi(\delta_{e_2}) \neq w_1$ . Consider the ideal  $I = \{(\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * g_1 + (\delta_{e_2} -$

$w_1\delta_e) * g_2 : g_1, g_2 \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)\}$  of  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Then we must have  $I = l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . If possible, suppose that,  $I \neq l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Then there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$  such that  $I \subset \ker \varphi \subset l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Since  $\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e, \delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e \in I$ , so  $\varphi(\delta_{e_1}) - z_1 = \varphi(\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) = 0 = \varphi(\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) = \varphi(\delta_{e_2}) - w_1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $I = l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ , and hence there exist  $g_1, g_2 \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  such that

$$\delta_e = (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * g_1 + (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * g_2.$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta(\|\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e\|_\omega + \|\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e\|_\omega) < 1$ . Since  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  is generated by  $\delta_{e_1}$  and  $\delta_{e_2}$ , there exist  $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $p_1 = \sum_{k+l \leq m_0} \alpha_{kl} \delta_{e_1}^k \delta_{e_2}^l$ ,  $p_2 = \sum_{k+l \leq n_0} \beta_{kl} \delta_{e_1}^k \delta_{e_2}^l$ , and  $\|p_j - g_j\| \leq \delta$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . By substituting the values of  $\delta_e$  above, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_e - ((\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * p_1 + (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * p_2)\|_\omega &\leq \|\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e\|_\omega \|g_1 - p_1\|_\omega + \|\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e\|_\omega \|g_2 - p_2\|_\omega \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p = \delta_e - (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * p_1 - (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * p_2 \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Then  $\hat{p}(z, w) = 1 - (z - z_1)\hat{p}_1(z, w) - (w - w_1)\hat{p}_2(z, w)$  ( $(z, w) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$ ) and  $\hat{p}$  is a polynomial in two variables  $z$  and  $w$ . Clearly  $\hat{p}(z_1, w_1) = 1$ . Let  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  be arbitrary. Then, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{p}(z, w)| &= |\hat{p}(\varphi(\delta_{e_1}), \varphi(\delta_{e_2}))| \\ &= |1 - (\varphi(\delta_{e_1}) - z_1)\hat{p}_1(\varphi(\delta_{e_1}), \varphi(\delta_{e_2})) - (\varphi(\delta_{e_2}) - w_1)\hat{p}_2(\varphi(\delta_{e_1}), \varphi(\delta_{e_2}))| \\ &= |\varphi(\delta_e) - \varphi(\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e)\varphi(p_1) - \varphi(\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e)\varphi(p_2)| \\ &\leq \|\delta_e - (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * p_1 - (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * p_2\|_\omega \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\mathbb{G}(\omega)$  is polynomially convex. □

**Lemma 2.6** ([3]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Then  $S_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset T_0(\mathcal{A}) \subset \partial\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is metrizable, then  $\partial(\mathcal{A}) = T_0(\mathcal{A}) = S_0(\mathcal{A})$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  and let  $S = S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$  or  $T_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$  or  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . If  $(z_0, w_0) \in S$ , then  $\Gamma(|z_0|) \times \Gamma(|w_0|) \subset S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)) \cong \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  is metrizable, it is sufficient to prove only for  $S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$  due to Lemma 2.6 above. Assume that  $(z_0, w_0) \in S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$ . Then there exists  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  such that  $\hat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\hat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (z_0, w_0)$ . Let  $(z_1, w_1) \in \Gamma(|z_0|) \times \Gamma(|w_0|)$  be arbitrary and let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_1}z_1$  and  $w_0 = e^{i\theta_2}w_1$ . Take  $g(m, n) = e^{i(m\theta_1 + n\theta_2)}f(m, n)$ . Then  $g \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  and  $\hat{g}(z, w) = \hat{f}(e^{i\theta_1}z, e^{i\theta_2}w)$ . Hence  $\hat{g}(z_1, w_1) = \hat{f}(e^{i\theta_1}z_1, e^{i\theta_2}w_1) = \hat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\hat{g}(z, w)| = |\hat{f}(e^{i\theta_1}z, e^{i\theta_2}w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (z_1, w_1)$ . Thus  $(z_1, w_1) \in S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$ . □

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) \subset \mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Since  $\hat{f}$  is continuous and  $\mathbb{G}(\omega)$  is compact, there exists  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  such that  $|\hat{f}|_{\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))} = |\hat{f}(z_0, w_0)|$ . Since  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} \mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i}$ , there exists  $i \in \Lambda$  such that  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i}$ . Define  $g_1 : \mathbb{D}_{r_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as  $g_1(z) = \hat{f}(z, w_0)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_i}$ ). Since  $\hat{f}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i}$  and analytic on  $\text{int}(\mathbb{D}_{r_i} \times \mathbb{D}_{s_i})$ ,  $g_1$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_i}$  and analytic on  $\text{int}(\mathbb{D}_{r_i})$ . So by the maximum modulus principle, there exists  $z_1 \in \Gamma(r_i)$  such that  $|\hat{f}(z, w_0)| = |g_1(z)| \leq |g_1(z_1)| = |\hat{f}(z_1, w_0)|$ . Next define  $g_2 : \mathbb{D}_{s_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as  $g_2(w) = \hat{f}(z_1, w)$  ( $w \in \mathbb{D}_{s_i}$ ). As above argument, there exists  $w_1 \in \Gamma(s_i)$  such that  $|\hat{f}(z_1, w)| = |g_2(w)| \leq |g_2(w_1)| = |\hat{f}(z_1, w_1)|$  ( $w \in \mathbb{D}_{s_i}$ ). Hence  $|\hat{f}|_{\mathbb{G}(\omega)} = |\hat{f}(z_0, w_0)| \leq |\hat{f}(z_1, w_0)| \leq |\hat{f}(z_1, w_1)| \leq |\hat{f}|_{\Gamma(r_i) \times \Gamma(s_i)}$ . Thus  $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r_i) \times \Gamma(s_i))$  is a boundary of  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Hence we get  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) \subset \mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)$ .  $\square$

We believe that the two sets  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)$  should be identical. Unfortunately, we could not prove it. However, if the weight  $\omega$  is a product weight, then it is true; this is proved in the next result.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ .*

(I) *If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = (\mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}})$  and following holds.*

(a) *If  $\beta_{1,0} = \beta_{2,0} = 0$ , then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \{(0, 0)\}$ ;*

(b) *If  $\beta_{1,0} = 0$  and  $\beta_{2,0} > 0$ , then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ ;*

(c) *If  $\beta_{1,0} > 0$  and  $\beta_{2,0} = 0$ , then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \{0\}$ ;*

(d) *If  $\beta_{1,0}, \beta_{2,0} > 0$ , then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = (\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}))$ .*

(II) *If  $\mu > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{G}_p(\omega) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ ;*

(III) *If  $\omega$  is a product weight, then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* (I) If  $\mathbb{D}_r \times \mathbb{D}_s \subset \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  for some  $r, s > 0$ , then  $\mu \geq \min\{r, s\} > 0$ . So, by [2],  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = (\mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}})$ . If  $\beta_{1,0} = \beta_{2,0} = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Clearly  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . If  $\beta_{1,0} = 0$  and  $\beta_{2,0} > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}}$ . Take  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + \beta_{2,0}^{-1}\delta_{(0,1)}) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Then the Gel'fand transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  will be  $\hat{f}(0, w) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta_{2,0}^{-1}w)$  such that  $\hat{f}(0, \beta_{2,0}) = 1$  and  $|\hat{f}(0, w)| < 1$  for all  $(0, w) \neq (0, \beta_{2,0})$ . Thus  $(0, \beta_{2,0})$  is a peak point and hence by Lemma 2.7,  $\{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . But in this case,  $\mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega) = \{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ . So by Theorem 2.9,  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ . This proves (b). The proof of c follows by the similar arguments given in (b) above. Now assume that  $\beta_{1,0} > 0$  and  $\beta_{2,0} > 0$ . Let  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + \beta_{1,0}^{-1}\delta_{(1,0)} + \frac{\beta_{2,0}^{-1}}{2}\delta_{(0,1)})$ . Then the Gel'fand transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  is given by  $\hat{f}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta_{1,0}^{-1}z + \frac{\beta_{2,0}^{-1}}{2}w)$ . Let  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{G}(\omega)$  be such that  $(z, w) \neq (\beta_{1,0}, 0)$ . Then either  $z = 0$  or  $w = 0$ . Hence  $\hat{f}(\beta_{1,0}, 0) = 1$  and  $|\hat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (\beta_{1,0}, 0)$ . Thus  $(\beta_{1,0}, 0) \in \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . So we have  $\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \{0\} \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $\{0\} \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . This proves (d).

(II) Assume that  $\mu > 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega)$  is compact, the set  $\mathbb{G}_p(\omega) = \{(z, w) : |zw| = \xi\}$  is non-empty.

Let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{G}_p(\omega)$ . Consider,  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0^{-1}w_0^{-1}\delta_{(1,1)}) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . The Gel'fand transform of  $f$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + z_0^{-1}w_0^{-1}zw).$$

Then  $\widehat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (z_0, w_0)$ . Hence  $(z_0, w_0)$  is a peak point. Therefore  $\mathbb{G}_p(\omega) \subset S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega))$ .

(III) If  $\omega$  is a product weight, then by [2, Theorem 3.7(i)], we have  $\mathbb{G}(\omega) = \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}}$ . If  $\beta_{i,0} = 0$  for  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . Then, by the similar arguments given in (II) above, the result follows. Now let  $\beta_{i,0} \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ . Consider  $f = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0^{-1}\delta_{e_1} + w_0^{-1}\delta_{e_2} + z_0^{-1}w_0^{-1}\delta_{(1,1)})$ . Then the Gel'fand transform  $\widehat{f}$  of  $f$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\varphi) = \widehat{f}(\phi_{z,w}) = \widehat{f}(z, w) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + z_0^{-1}z)(1 + w_0^{-1}w) \quad ((z, w) \in \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}}).$$

Then  $\widehat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  ( $(z, w) \neq (z_0, w_0)$ ). Hence  $\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Note that, for any  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ , the Gel'fand transform  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}}$  and analytic on the interior of  $\mathbb{D}_{\beta_{1,0}} \times \mathbb{D}_{\beta_{2,0}}$ . Hence, by the maximum modulus principle,  $\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega)$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{G}_{db}(\omega) = \Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.9,  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}_+^2, \omega) = \Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10** ([2]). *Let  $\omega$  be any weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then there exists a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+^\bullet$  and a subset  $\{(s_r, t_r) : r \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+^\bullet$  such that*

$$\Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)) \cong \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r, t_r)).$$

The following proof can be compared with the proof of Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then*

(i)  $\mathbb{T}(\omega)$  is never polynomially convex;

(ii) Let  $z_1, w_1 \neq 0$  be such that  $(z_1, w_1) \notin \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ . Then there exists  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  such that  $\widehat{f}(z_1, w_1) = 1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ ;

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $\mathbb{T}(\omega)$  is polynomially convex. Since for each  $r \in \Lambda$  the point  $(0, t_r) \notin \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ , we get a polynomial  $p_r$  such that  $p_r(0, t_r) = 1$  and  $|p_r(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ . Fix some  $r \in \Lambda$  and define a polynomial  $q : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $q(z) = p_r(z, t_r)$ . Then  $|q(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| = r$  and  $q(0) = p_r(0, t_r) = 1$ , which is a contradiction to the maximum modulus principle.

(ii) Let  $(z_1, w_1) \notin \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ ,  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega))$ , and let  $\ker(\varphi) = M$ . Then  $\varphi(\delta_{e_1}) \neq z_1$  or  $\varphi(\delta_{e_2}) \neq w_1$ . Take a set  $I = \{(\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * g_1 + (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * g_2 : g_1, g_2 \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)\}$  of  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Then clearly,  $I$  is an ideal. Also the elements  $\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e$  and  $\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e \in I$  but atleast one of these two elements does not belong to  $\ker(\varphi)$  for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega))$ . This implies that  $I \subset \ker \varphi$  for any  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega))$  is not possible. Thus  $I = l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Hence there exists  $g_1, g_2 \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  such that

$$\delta_e = (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * g_1 + (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * g_2.$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta(\|\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e\|_\omega + \|\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e\|_\omega) < 1$ . Since  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  is generated by  $\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}$ , and  $\delta_{-e_2}$ , there exists polynomials  $p_1, p_2$  in 4-variables such that  $\|p_j(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2}) - g_j\|_\omega \leq \delta$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta_e - (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * p_1(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2}) - (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * p_2(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2})\|_\omega \\ & \leq \|\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e\|_\omega \|g_1 - p_1(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2})\|_\omega \\ & \quad + \|\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e\|_\omega \|g_2 - p_2(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2})\|_\omega \\ & < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, define a map  $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as follows

$$f = \delta_e - (\delta_{e_1} - z_1\delta_e) * p_1(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2}) - (\delta_{e_2} - w_1\delta_e) * p_2(\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{-e_1}, \delta_{e_2}, \delta_{-e_2}).$$

Then  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  and the Gel'fand transform of  $f$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}(z, w) = 1 - (z - z_1)p_1(z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}) - (w - w_1)p_2(z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}).$$

Then  $\widehat{f}(z_1, w_1) = 1$ , and by the similar arguments given in Lemma 2.5, we have  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for every  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega) \subset \mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the set  $\mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega)$  is boundary for  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Let  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  and let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega)$  such that  $|\widehat{f}|_{\mathbb{T}(\omega)} = |\widehat{f}(z_0, w_0)|$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}(\omega) = \cup_{r \in \Lambda} (\Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r, t_r))$ ,  $(z_0, w_0) \in \Gamma(r) \times \Gamma(s_r, t_r)$  for some  $r \in \Lambda$ . Define  $g : \Gamma(s_r, t_r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as  $g(w) = \widehat{f}(z_0, w)$ . Then  $g$  is continuous on  $\Gamma(s_r, t_r)$  and analytic on its interior. So there exists  $w_1 \in \Gamma(s_r) \cup \Gamma(t_r)$  such that  $|\widehat{f}(z_0, w)| = |g(w)| \leq |g(w_1)| \leq |\widehat{f}(z_0, w_1)|$  for all  $w \in \Gamma(s_r, t_r)$ . This implies  $|\widehat{f}|_{\mathbb{T}(\omega)} \leq |\widehat{f}(z_0, w_1)|$ . Note that  $(z_0, w_1) \in (\Gamma(|z_0|) \times \Gamma(s_r)) \cup \Gamma(|z_0|) \times \Gamma(t_r)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega)$  is a boundary for  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Hence  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega) \subset \mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then*

$$(i) \cup_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{T}_{p_i}(\omega) \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega);$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \omega \text{ be a product weight, then } \cup_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{T}_{p_i}(\omega) = \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega) = \mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega).$$

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\mathbb{T}_{ab}(\omega)$  is compact, each set  $\mathbb{T}_{p_i}$  is non-empty. Let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{T}_{p_1}(\omega)$ . Then  $|z_0 w_0| = \xi_1$ . Take  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0^{-1} w_0^{-1} \delta_{(1,1)}) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Then the Gel'fand transform  $\widehat{f}$  of  $f$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + z_0^{-1} w_0^{-1} zw) \quad ((z, w) \in \mathbb{T}(\omega)).$$

Clearly,  $\widehat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (z_0, w_0)$ . So  $(z_0, w_0)$  is a peak point, and hence  $(z_0, w_0) \in \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  due to Lemma 2.6.

Similarly, if  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{T}_{p_2}$ , then  $|z_0 w_0| = \xi_2$ . Take  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0 w_0 \delta_{(-1,-1)})$ . Then  $\widehat{f}(z_0, w_0) = 1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(z, w)| < 1$  for all  $(z, w) \neq (z_0, w_0)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{T}_{p_2} \subset S_0(l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)) = \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . If  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{T}_{p_3}$ , then take  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0^{-1} w_0 \delta_{(1,-1)})$ ; and if  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{T}_{p_4}$ , then take  $f = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{(0,0)} + z_0 w_0^{-1} \delta_{(-1,1)})$ . Then, as per the above arguments, we can show that  $\mathbb{T}_{p_3}, \mathbb{T}_{p_4} \subset \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ .

(ii) Since  $\omega$  is a product weight, by [2, Theorem 3.7(ii)], the Gel'fand space of  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$  is homeomorphic to  $\Gamma(\alpha_{1,0}, \beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\alpha_{2,0}, \beta_{2,0})$ . It is clear from the maximum modulus principle that  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega) \subset (\Gamma(\alpha_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\alpha_{2,0})) \cup (\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\alpha_{2,0})) \cup (\Gamma(\alpha_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0})) \cup (\Gamma(\beta_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\beta_{2,0}))$ . Conversely, let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \Gamma(\alpha_{1,0}) \times \Gamma(\alpha_{2,0})$ , then  $|z_0 w_0| = \xi_4$  and hence, by the argument given in (i) above,  $(z_0, w_0)$  is a peak point, and hence  $(z_0, w_0) \in \partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ . Similarly, we can show that other sets are also subsets of  $\partial l^1(\mathbb{Z}^2, \omega)$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The corresponding author is thankful to CSIR-HRDG, New Delhi for providing Senior Research Fellowship.

## References

- [1] S. J. Bhatt, and H. V. Dedania, *A Beurling algebra is semisimple, an elementary proof*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc., 66(2002), 91-93.
- [2] H. V. Dedania, and V. N. Goswami, *The Gel'fand spaces of discrete Beurling algebras on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^2$* , Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics(to appear).
- [3] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Oxford Science Pub., London Math. Soc. Monographs, 2000.
- [4] E. Kaniuth, *A course in Commutative Banach Algebras*, Springer, New York, 2009.