Available Online: http://ijmaa.in

An Approach to Find the Solution of Assignment Problem

Murli Mohan Jha<sup>1,\*</sup>, Neha Varma<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

**Abstract** 

In this paper we introduce an approach to give a solution for travelling salesman problem (Assignment problem). We consider a salesman problem if he wants to visit a certain number of cities allotted to work. He knows the distance (or cost or time) of journey between every pair of cities, usually denoted by  $c_{ij}$ , i.e., from city i to city j. His problem is to select such a route that starts from his home city, passes through each city once and only once, and returns to his home city in the shortest possible distance and its goal would be to minimize the total distance traveled so that the cost or time will be optimal.

Keywords: assignment problem; optimization; travelling salesman; puzzles; algorithm.

1. Introduction

The assignment problem is a special type of linear programming problem where assignees are being assigned to perform tasks. Also, the travelling salesman problem is one of the problems considered as puzzles and it is a classical algorithm problem in the field of operations research. In the view of delivery vehicles delivering packages, the travelling salesman problem can be used to determine the most efficient route for each vehicle. This would involve defining the cities as the delivery locations and the salesman as the delivery vehicle. Although the name assignment problem seems to have first appeared in a 1952 paper by Votaw and Orden [1], what is generally recognized to be the beginning of the development of practical solution methods for and variations on the classic assignment problem, hence after (referred to as the assignment problem), was the publication 1955 of Kuhn's article on the Hungarian method for its solution [2].

The problem may be classified in two forms:

1. **Symmetrical.** The problem is said to be symmetrical if the distance (or cost or time) between every pair of cities is independent of the direction of his journey.

\*Corresponding author (murlimohanjha444@gmail.com (Research scholar))

2. **Asymmetrical.** The problem is said to be asymmetrical if, for one or more pair of cities, the distance (or cost or time) changes with the direction.

Furthermore, if number of cities is only two, obviously there is no choice. If number of cities become three, say P, Q and R, one of them (say P) is the home base, then there are two possible routes:

$$P \to Q \to R \to P$$
 and  $P \to R \to Q \to P$ .

For four cities P, Q, R and S, there are 3! = 6 possible routes, i.e.,

$$P \to Q \to R \to S \to P$$
,  $P \to Q \to S \to R \to P$ ,  $P \to R \to Q \to S \to P$ ,  $P \to R \to S \to Q \to P$ ,  $P \to S \to Q \to R \to P$ , and  $P \to S \to R \to Q \to P$ .

But, if number of cities is increased to 21, there are 20! = 2,402,902,008,176,640,000 different routes.it is practically impossible to find the best route by trying each one. In general, if there are n cities, there are (n-1)! possible routes. At present, the best procedure is to solve the problem as if it were an assignment problem with the additional restriction on his choice of route. Different types of method have been presented of the assignment problem and various article have been published in this subject [3], and [4].

#### 2. Mathematical Formulation of Travelling-Salesman Problem

Suppose  $c_{ij}$  is the distance (or cost or time) from city i to city j, and  $x_{ij} = 1$  if the salesman goes directly from city i to city j, and  $x_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Then minimize  $\sum_i \sum_j c_{ij} x_{ij}$  with the additional restriction that the  $x_{ij}$  must be so chosen that no city is visited twice before the tour of all cities is completed. In particular, he cannot go directly from city i to city i itself. This possibility may be avoided in the minimization process by adopting the convention  $c_{ii} = \infty$  which ensures that  $x_{ii}$  can never be unity. Alternatively, omit the variable  $x_{ii}$  from the problem specification. It is also important to that only single  $x_{ij}$  for each value of i and j. The distance (or cost or time) matrix for this problem is given in the following table.

| From\To | $A_1$    | $A_2$           |   |   |   | $A_n$    |
|---------|----------|-----------------|---|---|---|----------|
| $A_1$   | ∞        | c <sub>12</sub> |   |   |   | $C_{1n}$ |
| $A_2$   | $C_{21}$ | $\infty$        |   |   |   | $C_{2n}$ |
| ÷       | :        | :               | : | : | : | :        |
| $A_n$   | $C_{n1}$ | $C_{n2}$        |   |   |   | $\infty$ |

# 3. Solution Procedure

Let us consider an example, given the matrix of set of cost is

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$                 | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 2<br>∞<br>7<br>4<br>3 | 5        | 7        | 1        |
| $A_2$                                  | 6        | $\infty$              | 3        | 8        | 2        |
| $A_3$                                  | 8        | 7                     | $\infty$ | 4        | 7        |
| $A_4$                                  | 12       | 4                     | 6        | $\infty$ | 5        |
| $A_5$                                  | 1        | 3                     | 2        | 8        | $\infty$ |

and we have to find an order in which products are produced so that the set-up costs are minimized, is an asymmetrical travelling-salesman problem. The change-over/set-up costs between products must be produced once and only once and production must return to the first product. Initially we solve the problem as an assignment problem

Table 1(Given)

| $\boxed{\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$    | $A_3$    | $A_4$                 | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| $A_1$  | $\infty$ | 2        | 5        | 7<br>8<br>4<br>∞<br>8 | 1        |
| $A_2$  | 6        | $\infty$ | 3        | 8                     | 2        |
| $A_3$  | 8        | 7        | $\infty$ | 4                     | 7        |
| $A_4$  | 12       | 4        | 6        | $\infty$              | 5        |
| $A_5$  | 1        | 3        | 2        | 8                     | $\infty$ |

Step 1: First, subtract the smallest element from each row to get the reduced matrix in Table 2.

Table 2

| $\mathbf{From} \backslash \mathbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$            | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 1<br>∞<br>3<br>0 | 4        | 6        | 0        |
| $A_2$                                  | 4        | $\infty$         | 1        | 6        | 0        |
| $A_3$                                  | 4        | 3                | $\infty$ | 0        | 3        |
| $A_4$                                  | 8        | 0                | 2        | $\infty$ | 1        |
| $A_5$                                  | 0        | 2                | 1        | 7        | $\infty$ |

**Step 2:** Also subtract the smallest element in each column to get the reduced the matrix in Table 3.

Table 3

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$    | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$                 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 1        | 3        | 6        | 0<br>0<br>3<br>1<br>∞ |
| $A_2$                                  | 4        | $\infty$ | 0        | 6        | 0                     |
| $A_3$                                  | 4        | 3        | $\infty$ | 0        | 3                     |
| $A_4$                                  | 8        | 0        | 1        | $\infty$ | 1                     |
| $A_5$                                  | 0        | 2        | 0        | 7        | $\infty$              |

**Step 3:** The zeros of this matrix (marked ○) give a solution to the assignment problem **Table 4.** 

From\To
 
$$A_1$$
 $A_2$ 
 $A_3$ 
 $A_4$ 
 $A_5$ 
 $A_1$ 
 $\infty$ 
 1
 3
 6
 0

  $A_2$ 
 4
  $\infty$ 
 0
 6
  $\emptyset$ 
 $A_3$ 
 4
 3
  $\infty$ 
 0
 3

  $A_4$ 
 8
 0
 1
  $\infty$ 
 1

  $A_5$ 
 0
 2
  $\emptyset$ 
 7
  $\infty$ 

it is  $(A_1 \rightarrow A_5, A_5 \rightarrow A_1, A_2 \rightarrow A_3, A_3 \rightarrow A_4 \text{ and } A_4 \rightarrow A_2)$  but this is not a solution of the travelling-salesman problem. It indicates to produce the products  $A_1$ , then  $A_5$  and then again  $A_1$ , without producing the products  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and  $A_4$ , thereby violating the additional restriction of producing each product once and only once before returning to the first product.

**Step 4:** Again, examine the matrix for some of the 'next best' solutions to the assignment problem, and try to find out one solution which satisfies the additional restriction. The smallest element other than zero is 1, so try the effect of putting such an element in the solution.

Table 5

| $\mathbf{From}\backslash\mathbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$   | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                | $\infty$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ \infty\\ 3\\ 0 \end{array} $ | 3        | 6        | 0        |
| $A_2$                                | 4        | $\infty$  | 0        | 6        | 0        |
| $A_3$                                | 4        | 3   | $\infty$ | 0        | 3        |
| $A_4$                                | 8        | 0   | 1        | $\infty$ | 1        |
| $A_5$                                | 0        | 2   | 0        | 7        | $\infty$ |

Start by making unity-assignment in the cell (1, 2) instead of zero-assignment in the cell (1, 5), as shown in the above matrix .

Although there is no solution to the assignment problem among zeros, it is easy to see the best solution lies in the marked " $\square$ " elements. Thus, the required solution of the problem is **Step 4.**  $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow A_4 \rightarrow A_5 \rightarrow A_1$ . where as another solution  $A_1 \rightarrow A_5 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow A_4 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ .

It is seen that any solution where the 'cost' exceeds 2 is not optimal. Now, only examine solutions containing the element 1 in the cell (1, 2) not so far used to see if a better solution exists. Hence, the most suitable sequence is  $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow A_4 \rightarrow A_5$  and the minimum set-up cost = 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 1 = Rs.15.

### Consider another Example (2)

A person has to visit five village  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  and  $A_5$ . The distances between five village in km are

as follows:

| $\mathbf{From} \backslash \mathbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$            | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 7<br>∞<br>8<br>5 | 6        | 8        | 4        |
| $A_2$                                  | 7        | $\infty$         | 8        | 5        | 6        |
| $A_3$                                  | 6        | 8                | $\infty$ | 9        | 7        |
| $A_4$                                  | 8        | 5                | 9        | $\infty$ | 8        |
| $A_5$                                  | 4        | 6                | 7        | 8        | $\infty$ |

If the person starts village  $A_1$  and come back to the village,  $A_1$  we have to find which route be select so the distance will be optimal. Using procedure each step from example (1), we get

Table 1 (Given)

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$    | $A_3$    | $A_4$            | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 7        | 6        | 8<br>5<br>9<br>∞ | 4        |
| $A_2$                                  | 7        | $\infty$ | 8        | 5                | 6        |
| $A_3$                                  | 6        | 8        | $\infty$ | 9                | 7        |
| $A_4$                                  | 8        | 5        | 9        | $\infty$         | 8        |
| $A_5$                                  | 4        | 6        | 7        | 8                | $\infty$ |

# Table 2

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$                 | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 3<br>∞<br>2<br>0<br>2 | 2        | 4        | 0        |
| $A_2$                                  | 2        | $\infty$              | 3        | 0        | 1        |
| $A_3$                                  | 0        | 2                     | $\infty$ | 3        | 1        |
| $A_4$                                  | 3        | 0                     | 4        | $\infty$ | 3        |
| $A_5$                                  | 0        | 2                     | 3        | 4        | $\infty$ |

# Table 3

| <b>From</b> \ <b>To</b> | $A_1$    | $A_2$                 | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                   | $\infty$ | 3<br>∞<br>2<br>0<br>2 | 0        | 4        | 0        |
| $A_2$                   | 2        | $\infty$              | 1        | 0        | 1        |
| $A_3$                   | 0        | 2                     | $\infty$ | 3        | 1        |
| $A_4$                   | 3        | 0                     | 2        | $\infty$ | 3        |
| $A_5$                   | 0        | 2                     | 1        | 4        | $\infty$ |

# Table 4

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ | $A_1$    | $A_2$    | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 3        | Ø        | 4        | 0        |
| $A_2$                                  | 3        | $\infty$ | 1        | 0        | 1        |
| $A_3$                                  | 0        | 1        | $\infty$ | 2        | Ø        |
| $A_4$                                  | 4        | 0        | 2        | $\infty$ | 3        |
| $A_5$                                  | Ø        | 1        | 0        | 3        | $\infty$ |

| $\mathbf{From} \backslash \mathbf{To}$ | $A_1$ | $A_2$    | $A_3$    | $A_4$    | $A_5$    |
|--|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | 8     | 3        | 0        | 4        | Ø        |
| $A_2$                                  | 3     | $\infty$ | 1        | 0        | 1        |
| $A_3$                                  | Ø     | 1        | $\infty$ | 2        | 0        |
| $A_4$                                  | 4     | 0        | 2        | $\infty$ | 3        |
| $A_5$                                  | 0     | 1        | Ø        | 3        | $\infty$ |

### Table 5

| $\textbf{From} \backslash \textbf{To}$ |          |          |          |                  | $A_5$    |
|--|----------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|
| $A_1$                                  | $\infty$ | 3<br>∞   | 0        | 4                | 0        |
| $A_2$                                  | 3        | $\infty$ | 1        | 0                | 1        |
| $A_3$                                  | 0        | 1        | $\infty$ | 0<br>2<br>∞<br>3 | 0        |
| $A_4$                                  | 4        | 0        | 2        | $\infty$         | 3        |
| $A_5$                                  | 0        | 1        | 0        | 3                | $\infty$ |

 $A_1 \rightarrow A_5 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_4 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow A_1$  and minimum distance = 4 + 6 + 5 + 9 + 6 = 30 km, whereas other possibilities of solutions also exist, but that is not optimal.

#### 4. Conclusion

We have seen the Hungarian method is not sufficient to solve this type of problem. Also, there are many other algorithm for the travelling salesman problem, including computer programs trying to improve the results that have been optimal. Here, we have been getting better results for a given problem.

#### References

- [1] D. Votaw, The personnel assignment problem, (1952).
- [2] H. W. Kuhn, *The hungarian method for the assignment problem*, Naval research logistics quarterly, 2(1-2)(1955), 83-97.
- [3] H. Basirzadeh, Ones assignment method for solving assignment problems, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 6(47)(2012), 2345-2355.
- [4] C. E. Miller, A. W. Tucker and R. A. Zemlin, *Integer programming formulation of traveling salesman problems*, Journal of the ACM (JACM), 7(4)(1960), 326-329.
- [5] Nicos Christofides, Worst-case analysis of a new heuristic for the travelling salesman problem, Operations Research Forum, 3(2022), page 20.
- [6] András Sebő and Jens Vygen, *Shorter tours by nicer ears: 7/5-approximation for graphic tsp,* 3/2 *for the path version, and* 4/3 *for two-edge-connected subgraphs,* arXiv preprint arXiv:1201.1870, (2012).

- [7] F Yu Vincent, Hadi Susanto, Panca Jodiawan, Tsai-Wei Ho, Shih-Wei Lin and YuTsung Huang, *A simulated annealing algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with parcel lockers*, IEEE Access 10(2022), 20764-20782.
- [8] Masamune Kawasaki and Kenjiro Takazawa, *Improving approximation ratios for the clustered traveling salesman problem*, Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan, 63(2)(2020), 60-70.
- [9] S. S. Srivastava, Santosh Kumar, R. C. Garg and Prasenjit Sen, *Generalized traveling salesman problem through n sets of nodes*, CORS Journal, 7(2)(1969).