

Monotonic Behavior in Subdiffusion Equation of the Parameter in Mittag-Leffler Function

Swati Mishra^{1,*}, Ajay Kumar Singh¹

¹*Department of Mathematics, Madhyanchal Professional University, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India*

Abstract

In this paper, we demonstrate the strict monotonicity concerning the parameter ho of the Mittag-Leffler functions $E_{h,0}(-t^h)$ and $t^{ho-1}E_{ho,ho}(-t^h)$. Furthermore, the results obtained are applicable to a broader class of subdiffusion equations than those previously examined.

Keywords: Fractional derivatives; The Caputo fractional derivatives; the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives; monotonicity; Mittag-Leffler function.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an arbitrary N - dimensional domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the following *Initial-boundary value problem*:

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\rho u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is a continuous function, Δ is the Laplace operator, $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and D_t^ρ is the fractional Caputo derivative defined as (see, for example, [14], p. 91):

$$D_t^\rho u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \rho)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{u(x, \xi) - u(x, 0)}{(t - \xi)^\rho} d\xi, \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega.$$

When modeling various processes, the order of the fractional derivative ρ is often unknown. One of the effective methods for finding the unknown order of the derivative is, by setting some additional conditions for a solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (1), to solve analytically the corresponding inverse problem. A very interesting paper [16] by G. Li, Z. Wang, X. Jia, Y. Zhang was recently published, in which the

* (Research Scholar)

order of the fractional derivative ρ in the equation

$$D_t^\rho u(x, t) - c\Delta u(x, t) = 0$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d = 1, 2, 3$ and c is a sufficiently small positive number. The solution of the initial-boundary value problem at only one point of space-time (x_0, t_0) . Note that the proof relies on the condition $d \leq 3$. However, the paper contains an interesting auxiliary result (see Theorem 1): the authors proved that the function $g(\rho) = E_\rho(-ct^\rho)$ has a negative derivative, $g'(\rho) < 0$, if t is large enough and c is small enough. In particular:

- 1) we have proved that the Mittag-Leffler functions $E_\rho(-t^\rho)$ and $t^{\rho-1}E_{\rho,\rho}(-t^\rho)$ increase monotonically in parameter ρ for sufficiently small t . This is the main result of this work;
- 2) the requirement of a sufficiently small coefficient c was removed from the considered equation;
- 3) the restriction on the dimension of the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d = 1, 2, 3$ was removed. Of course, the transition to the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, with $N \geq 1$, does not cause any particular difficulty, but we would like to draw the attention of readers to one fundamental work by V.A. Ilyin [12];
- 4) it is shown that the conditions of Theorem 2 of [16] is not complete and an updated version of this theorem with a proof is presented.
- 5) other applications of the monotonicity in parameter of Mittag-Leffler functions are given.

2. Preliminaries

Let Ω be a bounded N -dimensional domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $\{v_k(x)\}$ denote the complete system of orthonormal in $L_2(\Omega)$ eigenfunctions and $\{\lambda_k\}$ the set of positive eigenvalues of the spectral problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v(x) = \lambda v(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ v(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us present some assertions about eigenfunctions $v_k(x)$ and eigenvalues λ_k proved by V.A. Ilyin [12].

Lemma 2.1. *The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-([\frac{N}{2}]+1)} v_k^2(x)$ converges uniformly in a closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let the function $g(x)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$(1). \quad g(x) \in C^p(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \frac{\partial^{p+1}g(x)}{\partial x_1^{p_1} \dots \partial x_n^{p_n}} \in L_2(\Omega), \quad p+1 = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n, \quad p \geq 1,$$

$$(2). \quad g(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = \Delta g(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = \dots = \Delta^{[\frac{p}{2}]}g(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Then the number series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k^2 \lambda_k^{p+1}$ converges, where $g_k = (g, v_k)$.

For $0 < \rho < 1$, let $E_{\rho,\mu}(z)$ denote the Mittag-Leffler function defined as:

$$E_{\rho,\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\rho k + \mu)}, \quad \mu, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $\mu = 1$, then the Mittag-Leffler function is called the one-parameter or classical Mittag-Leffler function and is denoted by $E_{\rho}(z) = E_{\rho,1}(z)$. Recall the following estimate of the Mittag-Leffler functions [13].

Lemma 2.3. For any $t \geq 0$ one has

$$|E_{\rho,\mu}(-t)| \leq \frac{C}{1+t}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C},$$

where constant C does not depend on t .

3. Monotonicity of the Mittag-Leffler Function $E_{\rho}(-t^{\rho})$

Let us first introduce some important concepts to prove the strict monotonicity of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\rho}(-t^{\rho})$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then the following equality holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(\rho n)}{\Gamma(\rho n + \rho)} = 0.$$

Proof. Using the Stirling approximation of the gamma function we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\rho n)}{\Gamma(\rho n + \rho)} &\sim \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{\rho n}} \left(\frac{\rho n}{e}\right)^{\rho n}}{\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{\rho n + \rho}} \left(\frac{\rho n + \rho}{e}\right)^{\rho n + \rho}} \sim e^{\rho} \left(\frac{\rho n}{\rho n + \rho}\right)^{\rho n} \frac{1}{(\rho n + \rho)^{\rho}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho n + \rho}{\rho n}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &\sim e^{\rho} \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + 1/n}\right)^n\right]^{\rho} \frac{1}{(\rho n + \rho)^{\rho}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

According to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+1/n}\right)^n = e^{-1}$, we get:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(\rho n)}{\Gamma(\rho n + \rho)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\rho} e^{-\rho} \frac{1}{(\rho n + \rho)^{\rho}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\rho n + \rho)^{\rho}} = 0.$$

□

Next we calculate the derivative of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\rho}(-t^{\rho})$. Let $\Phi(\rho)$ be the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function $\Gamma(\rho)$. Then $\Gamma'(\rho) = \Gamma(\rho)\Phi(\rho)$ and therefore, we have:

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} E_{\rho}(-t^{\rho}) = \frac{d}{d\rho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{t^{\rho n}}{\Gamma(\rho n + 1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n t^{\rho n} \frac{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)}{\Gamma(\rho n + 1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n y_n, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\rho n + 1) &= -\gamma - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s + \rho n + 1} \right), \\ y_n &= nt^{\rho n} \frac{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)}{\Gamma(\rho n + 1)},\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

and $\gamma \approx 0.57722$ is the Euler-Mascherano constant.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then the following two statements hold:*

$$1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\Phi(\rho n + 1)} = 1.$$

$$2) \Phi(\rho n + 1) > -\frac{1}{\rho + 1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Proof of Part 1)

Consider the function $f(z) = z(\ln z - \Phi(z))$. Function $f(z)$ is strictly decreasing and strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$ (see, [1]) and the following relationships are fulfilled:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} f(z) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = \frac{1}{2}.\quad (4)$$

From (4) and the monotonicity of $f(z)$ we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq f(z) \leq 1, \quad z \in (0, \infty).\quad (5)$$

Using estimate (5) and definition of function $f(z)$ we obtain

$$\ln z - \frac{1}{z} \leq \Phi(z) \leq \ln z - \frac{1}{2z}.\quad (6)$$

Apply estimate (6) to have:

$$\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1} \leq \Phi(\rho n + 1) \leq \ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + 1)}.\quad (7)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}}{\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + 1)}} \leq \frac{\Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\Phi(\rho n + 1)} \leq \frac{\ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}}.$$

Firstly we will show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln(\rho n + 1)} = 1.\quad (8)$$

Using Lopital's theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln(\rho n + 1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\rho}{\rho n + \rho + 1}}{\frac{\rho}{\rho n + 1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1} = 1$$

Apply Squeeze theorem and (8) to obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\Phi(\rho n + 1)} = 1.$$

This complete the proof of part 1.

The proof of part 2 of the lemma obviously follows from:

$$\Phi(\rho n + 1) \geq \ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1} > -\frac{1}{\rho + 1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 3.2 is completely proved. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $t_1 > 0$. Then series (2) is uniformly convergent with respect to $t \in [t_1, T]$ and $\rho \in [\rho_0, 1]$.

Proof. Since $t \in [t_1, T]$ and $\rho \in [\rho_0, 1]$ we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n n t^{\rho n} \frac{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)}{\Gamma(\rho n + 1)} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n T^n \frac{|\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)|}{\Gamma(\rho_0 n + 1)}.$$

Using inequality (7), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n T^n}{\Gamma(\rho_0 n + 1)} \left(\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n,$$

where

$$z_n = \frac{n T^n}{\Gamma(\rho_0 n + 1)} \left(\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Let us consider the following proportion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_n} &= \frac{\frac{(n+1)T^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\rho_0(n+1)+1)} \left(\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+2) + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\frac{nT^n}{\Gamma(\rho_0 n + 1)} \left(\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+1) + \frac{1}{2} \right)} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)T^{n+1} \Gamma(\rho_0 n + 1)}{nT^n \Gamma(\rho_0 n + \rho_0 + 1)} \frac{\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+2) + \frac{1}{2}}{\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+1) + \frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the equality $\Gamma(\rho n + 1) = \rho n \Gamma(\rho n)$, we have

$$\frac{z_{n+1}}{z_n} = T \frac{\Gamma(\rho_0 n)}{\Gamma(\rho_0 n + \rho_0)} \frac{\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+2) + \frac{1}{2}}{\max_{t \in \{t_1, T\}} |\ln t| + \ln(n+1) + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Apply Lemma 3.1, to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_n} = 0.$$

Thus, by D’Alembert’s Ratio Test, we conclude that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$ is convergent. Therefore, by the Weierstrass M-Test, we deduce that the series (2) is uniformly convergent. This complete the proof of lemma. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 < \rho < 1$. Then for $t \in \left(0, \min\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}, \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right]$ the inequalities $y_{n+1} > y_n, n \geq 1$, hold.*

Proof. Let us consider the following proportion

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} = t^\rho \frac{(n+1)\Gamma(\rho n+1) \ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{n\Gamma(\rho n+\rho+1) \ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+1)}.$$

According to equality $\Gamma(\rho n+1) = \rho n\Gamma(\rho n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} &= t^\rho \frac{\Gamma(\rho n)}{\Gamma(\rho n+\rho)} \frac{\ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{\ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+1)} \\ &= t^\rho \frac{\Gamma(\rho n)}{\Gamma(\rho n+\rho)} \left(1 + \frac{\Phi(\rho n+1) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{\ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+1)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now we will show that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n+1) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{\ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n+1)} \right| < 1.$$

Based on estimate (7), we examine four cases to prove the estimate above.

Case 1: We use the following estimates

$$\Phi(\rho n+1) \leq \ln(\rho n+1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n+1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1) \geq \ln(\rho n+\rho+1) - \frac{1}{\rho n+\rho+1}.$$

From these estimates we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n+1) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n+1)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\ln(\rho n+1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n+1)} - \ln(\rho n+\rho+1) + \frac{1}{\rho n+\rho+1}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1) + \frac{1}{\rho n+1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n+1}{\rho n+\rho+1}\right) + \frac{\rho n+1-\rho}{2(\rho n+1)(\rho n+\rho+1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n+1}{\rho n+\rho+1}\right)}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1) + 1} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{\rho n+1-\rho}{2(\rho n+1)(\rho n+\rho+1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1)| - 1} + \frac{\left| \frac{\rho n+1}{2(\rho n+1)(\rho n+\rho+1)} \right|}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n+1)| - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t| - 1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ln t < -\frac{5}{2}$ then we have

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n+1) - \Phi(\rho n+\rho+1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n+1)} \right| < 1.$$

Case 2: We use the following estimates

$$\Phi(\rho n + 1) \geq \ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1) \leq \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + \rho + 1)}.$$

From these estimates we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1} - \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) + \frac{1}{2(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right) - \frac{\rho n + 2\rho + 1}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right)}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{\rho n + 2\rho + 1}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} + \frac{\left| \frac{\rho n + 3}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)} \right|}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t| - 1} + \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{5}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ln t < -\frac{7}{2}$ then we have

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| < 1.$$

Case 3: We use the following estimates

$$\Phi(\rho n + 1) \leq \ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + 1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1) \leq \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + \rho + 1)}.$$

From these estimates we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{2(\rho n + 1)} - \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) + \frac{1}{2(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right) - \frac{\rho}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right)}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{\rho}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} + \frac{\left| \frac{1}{2(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)} \right|}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t| - 1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{|\ln t| - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ln t < -\frac{5}{2}$ then we have

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| < 1.$$

Case 4: We use the following estimates

$$\Phi(\rho n + 1) \geq \ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1) \geq \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}.$$

From these estimates we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\ln(\rho n + 1) - \frac{1}{\rho n + 1} - \ln(\rho n + \rho + 1) + \frac{1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + \frac{1}{\rho n + 1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right) - \frac{\rho}{(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\rho n + 1}{\rho n + \rho + 1}\right)}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{\rho}{(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)}}{\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1) + 1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} + \frac{\left| \frac{1}{(\rho n + 1)(\rho n + \rho + 1)} \right|}{|\ln t - \ln(\rho n + 1)| - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\ln t| - 1} + \frac{1}{|\ln t| - 1} \\ &= \frac{2}{|\ln t| - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ln t < -3$ then we have

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln t - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right| < 1.$$

Since $\Gamma(\rho n) \leq \Gamma(\rho n + \rho)$ and the equality (9) we have the following inequality

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} \leq t^\rho \left(1 + \frac{\Phi(\rho n + 1) - \Phi(\rho n + \rho + 1)}{\ln(t) - \Phi(\rho n + 1)} \right).$$

Based on the four cases considered, we select t from the interval $\left(0, \min\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}, \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right]$. For such t , according to assertion 2 of Lemma 3.2, the sequence y_n , defined by the equality (3), is negative for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, we get the inequality $y_{n+1} > y_n$.

This complete the proof of Lemma. □

Theorem 3.5. Let $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $t \in \left(0, \min\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\rho_0}}}, \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \right]$, the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\rho(-t^\rho)$ is monotonically increasing in $\rho \in [\rho_0, 1]$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3 the series (2) converges absolutely. Let us divide this series into groups as follows

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} E_\rho(-t^\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n y_n = -(y_1 - y_2) - (y_3 - y_4) - \dots$$

Then by Lemma 3.4 it follows that $\frac{d}{d\rho} E_\rho(-t^\rho) > 0$ for all t and ρ from the conditions of the lemma.

Theorem 3.5 is proved. □

Theorem 3.6. Let $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $t \in \left(0, \min\left(\frac{1}{2^{\rho_0}}, \frac{1}{e^{\frac{13}{6}}}\right)\right]$, the function $t^{\rho-1}E_{\rho,\rho}(-t^\rho)$ is monotonically decreasing in $\rho \in [\rho_0, 1]$.

The theorem is proved in the same way as Theorem 3.5.

Using Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, we can update the results presented in several previous works. In particular, in [2,5], the inverse problem of determining the order of the fractional derivative is solved under the over-determination condition

$$\|u(x, t_0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = d_0, \quad (10)$$

where t_0 is a sufficiently large number. Our results show that under the over-determination condition (10), the time instant t_0 can also be chosen sufficiently small, which is quite useful for real-life applications.

References

- [1] G. Anderson, R. Barnard, K. Richards, M. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, *Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 347(1995), 1713–1723.
- [2] Sh. Alimov and R. Ashurov, *Inverse problem of determining an order of the Riemann-Liouville time-fractional derivative*, Progr. Fract. Differ. Appl., 8(4)(2022), 1–8.
- [3] A. Artyushin, *An inverse problem of recovering the variable order of the derivative in a fractional diffusion equation*, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 64(4)(2023), 796–806.
- [4] R. Ashurov and S. Umarov, *Determination of the order of fractional derivative for subdiffusion equations*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 23(6)(2020), 1647–1662.
- [5] Sh. Alimov and R. Ashurov, *Inverse problem of determining an order of the Caputo time-fractional derivative for a subdiffusion equation*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl., 28(5)(2020), 651–658.
- [6] R. Ashurov and R. Zunnunov, *Inverse Problem for Determining the Order of the Fractional Derivative in Mixed-Type Equations*, Lobachevskii J. Math., 42(12)(2021), 2714–2729.
- [7] R. Ashurov and Yu. Fayziev, *Inverse problem for determining the order of a fractional derivative in a wave equation*, Math. Notes, 110(6)(2021), 824–836.
- [8] R. Ashurov and Yu. Fayziev, *Determination of fractional order and source term in a fractional subdiffusion equation*, Eur. Math. J., 13(1)(2022), 19–31.
- [9] R. Ashurov and O. Mukhiddinova, *Inverse problem of determining the order of the fractional derivative in the Rayleigh-Stokes equation*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 26(2023), 1691–1708.

- [10] H. Bateman, *Higher transcendental functions*, McGraw-Hill, (1953).
- [11] M. Djrbashian, *Integral Transforms and Representation of Functions in the Complex Domain*, (1966).
- [12] V.A. Il'in, *On the solvability of mixed problems for hyperbolic and parabolic equations*, Russian Math. Surveys, 15(2)(1960), 97-154.
- [13] R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi and S. V. Rogozin, *Mittag-Leffler functions, related topics and applications*, Springer, (2014).
- [14] A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava and J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2006).
- [15] Z. Li, Y. Liu and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse problems of determining parameters of the fractional partial differential equations*, Handbook of fractional calculus with applications, 2(2019), 431-442.
- [16] G. Li, Z. Wang, X. Jia and Y. Zhang, *An inverse problem of determining the fractional order in the TFDE using the measurement at one space-time point*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 26(2023), 1770–1785.
- [17] A. Pskhu, *Inverse problem for fractional differential equation of order liable to determination*, International conference "Ill-Posed and Inverse Problems" dedicated to prof. M.M. Lavrent'ev on the occasion of his 70th anniversary, Novosibirsk, Russia, (2002).