Volume 3, Issue 2 (2015), 17-24.

ISSN: 2347-1557

Available Online: http://ijmaa.in/



International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Oscillation of Second Order Quasi-linear Neutral Delay Differential Equations

Research Article

Hongyan Guan^{1*} and Yuanhong Yu²

- 1 School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, People's Republic of China.
- 2 School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, People's Republic of China.

Abstract: In this paper, by employing generalized Riccati transformation and some specific analytical skills, we will establish some new oscillation criteria for the second order quasi-linear neutral delay equation of the form

$$(r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t))' + q(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)), -1 < p_1 \le p(t) \le 0, \ q(t) > 0, \alpha > 0$. The results obtained essentially improve and extent the results in the cited literature.

MSC: 34K11.

Keywords: Oscillation, second order, neutral delay differential equation, generalized Riccati transformation.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

Neutral delay equations appear in modelling of networks containing lossless transmission lines, in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, see the Euler equation in some variational problems, in the theory of automatic control and in neuromechanical systems in which inertia plays an important role. In the last few decades, there has been increasing interest in obtaining sufficient conditions for the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of different classes of second order neutral delay differential equations [1-23]. Erbe et al.[3], Grammatikopoulos et al.[5], Györi et al.[6], Jiang et al.[8], Ladas et al.[10, 11], Li et al.[12], Sahiner[17], Yan[22] have studied the oscillation of the second order neutral differential equation

$$[x(t) - p(t)x(t - \tau)]'' + q(t)f(x(t - \sigma)) = 0, t \ge 0,$$
(1)

where $\tau, \sigma > 0, p, q \in C([0, \infty), (-\infty, +\infty)), q(t) \ge 0$, and $f \in C((-\infty, +\infty), (-\infty, +\infty)), xf(x) > 0, x \ne 0$. They all considered the case that $p(t) \le 0$. The paper [5] studied the oscillation of second-order neutral delay differential equation

$$[x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)]'' + q(t)x(t - \sigma) = 0, t \ge 0,$$
(2)

^{*} E-mail: quanhy8010@163.com

and obtained that if $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(s)(1-p(s-\tau))ds = \infty$, then the solutions of Equation (2) is oscillatory. Wong[20] studied the oscillation and nonoscillation for Equation (1) when $p(t) = p, 0 \le p < 1$. Lin[14] investigated the oscillation and nonoscillation of Equation (1) when $0 \le p(t) \le p < 1$.

Recently, Xu and Meng[21], Ye and Xu[23] considered the oscillation of the equations

$$(r(t)|y'(t)|^{\alpha-1}y'(t))' + q(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) = 0, t \ge t_0,$$
(3)

where $y(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t))$, $0 \le p(t) < 1$, $q(t) \ge 0$, $\alpha > 0$. After that, in 2012, Han et al.[7] considered Equation (eqn13) in the case $-1 \le p(t) \le p < 0$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $xf(x) \ne 0$, $x \ne 0$, and there exists a constant L > 0 such that $\frac{f(x)}{|x|^{\alpha-1}x} \ge L$, for $x \ge 0$. The authors obtained some conditions which guarantee that every solution x of Equation (3) oscillates. Grace and Lalli[4] studied the equation

$$(r(t)[x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)]')' + q(t)x(t - \sigma) = 0, t \ge 0,$$
(4)

and subject to

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} \ge k > 0, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty,$$

and they gave an sufficient condition for the oscillation of Equation (4), that is, if there exists a function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty], \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\int^{\infty} [\rho(s)q(s)(1-p(s-\sigma)) - \frac{{\rho^{'}}^2(s)r(s-\sigma)}{4k\rho(s)}]ds = \infty,$$

then Equation (4) is oscillatory. Paper[13] considered the oscillation of second-order Emden-Fowler neutral differential equation

$$[r(t)z'(t)]' + q(t)|x(\sigma(t))|^{\gamma - 1}x(\sigma(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0,$$
(5)

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t))$, $0 \le p(t) < 1$, $q(t) \ge 0$, $\gamma > 0$. The authors established some new oscillation results. Agarwal et al.[1], Chern et al.[2], Kusano et al.[9], Mirzov[16] and Sun and Meng[18] studied the oscillation of second order nonlinear delay differential equation

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + q(t)|x(\tau(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(\tau(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0.$$
(6)

Especially, under the case $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} dt < \infty$, Sun and Meng[18] obtained some results which guarantee that every solution x of Equation (6) oscillates or tends to zero. Tiryaki[19] gave some oscillation criteria for the following equation

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + q(t)|x(\tau(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\tau(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0.$$

Liu et al. [15] considered generalized Emden-Fowler equation with neutral type delays:

$$(r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t))' + q(t)|x(\sigma(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0,$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t))$, $\alpha \ge \beta > 0$., In this paper, we use the generalized Riccati transformation and some specific analytical skills to establish some new suffecient conditions for the oscillation of second order quasi-linear neutral delay differential equation of the form

$$(r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t))' + q(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
 (7)

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)), -1 < -p_1 \le p(t) \le 0, q(t) > 0, \alpha > 0.$

Throughout this paper, we assume that

 $(c_1)r, p, q \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty)), r(t) > 0, q(t) > 0, for \ all \ t \in [0, \infty),$

 $(c_2)\sigma \in C([t_0,\infty),\mathbb{R}), \sigma(t) \leq t, \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty, \tau \in C([t_0,\infty),\mathbb{R}), \tau(t) \leq t, \lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \infty,$

 $(c_3)f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), xf(x) \neq 0, x \neq 0$, and there exists a constant L > 0 such that $\frac{f(x)}{|x|^{\beta-1}x} \geq L$, for $x \neq 0$, where β is a positive constant.

2. Main Results

In this section, we will give some new oscillation criteria for Equation (7) which extend and improve some known results. First, in order to get our main results, we need to prove the following results:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $(c_1) - (c_3)$ hold, and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} dt = \infty. \tag{8}$$

If x(t) is an eventually positive solution of Equation (7), then there exists a $t_* \geq t_0$ such that

$$z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0, (r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha})' < 0, \text{ for } t \ge t_*$$
 (9)

or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

Proof. Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of Equation (7). It follows from (c_2) that there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0, x(\sigma(t)) > 0, x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$. In virtue of Equation (7) and (c_3) , one can get that

$$(r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t))' = -q(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) \le -Lq(t)(x(\sigma(t)))^{\beta} < 0, t \ge t_1.$$
(10)

Therefore $r(t)|z^{'}(t)|^{\alpha-1}z^{'}(t)$ is a nonincreasing function and $z^{'}(t)$ is eventually of one sign. It follows that there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that z(t) > 0 or z(t) < 0 for $t \geq t_2$. If z(t) > 0 for $t \geq t_2$, we claim that there exists a $t_* \geq t_2$ such that $z^{'}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_*$. Otherwise, there exists a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that $z^{'}(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_3$. Since $r(t)|z^{'}(t)|^{\alpha-1}z^{'}(t)$ is a nonincreasing function, we have

$$-r(t)(-z'(t))^{\alpha} \le -r(t_3)(-z'(t_3))^{\alpha} \doteq -K, \text{ for } t \ge t_3,$$

which implies that

$$z'(t) \leq -K^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{r(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$
, for $t \geq t_3$.

Integrating the above inequality from t_3 to t leads to

$$z(t) \le z(t_3) - K^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t_3}^t (\frac{1}{r(s)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ds \to -\infty, \ t \to \infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence, there exists a $t_* \geq t_0$ such that (9) holds.

Now suppose that z(t) < 0. By equation $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t))$, we assert x(t) is bounded. If it is not true, there exists $\{k_n\}$ with $k_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

$$x(k_n) = \max_{s \in [t_2, k_n]} \{x(s)\}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} x(k_n) = \infty.$$

In light of $\tau(t) \leq t$ and $\tau(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, there exists enough large n such that $k_n \geq \tau(k_n) > t_2$. It follows that

$$x(\tau(k_n)) \le \max_{s \in [t_2, k_n]} \{x(s)\} = x(k_n) = z(k_n) - p(k_n)x(\tau(k_n)) < -p(k_n)x(\tau(k_n)) < x(\tau(k_n)),$$

which is a contradiction. This implies that x(t) is bounded. It follows that

$$0 \ge \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \ge \limsup_{t \to \infty} x(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} p(t)x(\tau(t))$$
$$\ge \limsup_{t \to \infty} x(t) - p_1 \limsup_{t \to \infty} x(\tau(t))$$
$$= (1 - p_1) \limsup_{t \to \infty} x(t)$$
$$\ge (1 - p_1) \liminf_{t \to \infty} x(t) \ge 0,$$

which implies that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $(c_1) - (c_3)$ and (8) hold. If $r'(t) \geq 0$, and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(t)(\sigma(t))^{\beta} dt = \infty, \tag{11}$$

further x(t) is an eventually positive solution of Equation (7) such that (9) holds, then there exists a $T \ge t_0$ such that

$$z(t) > tz'(t)$$
, for $t \ge T$,

and $\frac{z(t)}{t}$ is strictly decreasing eventually.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [7], we omit it.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $(c_1) - (c_3)$, (8) and (11) hold. Furthermore, $r'(t) \ge 0$. If there exists a positive function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that for any $m \in (0, 1]$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} [L\rho(t)q(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} - \frac{r(t)(\rho'_+(t))^{\lambda+1}}{m^{\lambda}(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\rho^{\lambda}(t)}]dt = \infty,$$

where $\rho'_{+}(t) = \max\{0, \rho'(t)\}, \ \lambda = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}, \ then \ every \ solution \ x(t) \ of \ Equation \ (7) \ oscillates \ or \ \lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0.$

Proof. Assume that x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (7). Without loss of generality, we assume that x(t) is eventually positive. It follows that there exists a $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0, x(\sigma(t)) > 0, x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$, such that (9) holds or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. Now suppose (9) holds. Define Riccati function

$$w(t) = \rho(t) \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(t)}, t \ge t_2.$$
(12)

Obviously, w(t) > 0. Differentiating (12), one can get that

$$w'(t) = \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) + \rho(t)\frac{(r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha})'}{z^{\beta}(t)} - \rho(t)\frac{\beta r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{z^{\beta+1}(t)}.$$
 (13)

It follows that there exists $t^* \geq t_2$ such that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_{+}(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t)\left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\lambda c_{\lambda}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}w^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}(t), t \ge t^{*}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\lambda = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad c_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1, \alpha = \beta \\ \theta \in (0, 1), \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $\beta \geq \alpha$, by (10), we get that

$$(r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha})' \le -Lq(t)(x(\sigma(t)))^{\beta} \le -Lq(t)(z(\sigma(t)))^{\beta} < 0, t \ge t_1.$$
(15)

It follows from (11), (13), (15) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_+(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(t)}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t), t \ge t_2.$$

When $\alpha = \beta$, it is obvious that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_+(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t), t \ge t_2.$$

$$(16)$$

Now we consider $\beta > \alpha$. Since z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, we obtain that $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) \doteq M \le \infty$. If M > 1, then there exists $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that z(t) > 1, for $t \ge t_3$. It follows that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_+(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t), t \ge t_3.$$

If $M \leq 1$, then there exist $t_4 \geq t_2$ and $\theta_1 \in (0, M)$ such that $z(t) \geq \theta_1$ for $t \geq t_4$. Hence,

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_{+}(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha\theta_{1}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t), t \ge t_{4}.$$

It follows from the above inequalities that there exist $t_4^* \ge t_2$ and $\theta_1^* \in (0,1)$ such that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_{+}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\alpha \theta_{1}^{*}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} w^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t), t \ge t_{4}^{*}.$$
(17)

If $\alpha > \beta$, by (11), (13), (15) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$w'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'_+(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}(\frac{1}{z'(t)})^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\beta}}w^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}(t), t \geq t_2.$$

In virtue of (9) and $r'(t) \geq 0$, we get that

$$(r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha})' = r'(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha} + \alpha r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha-1}z''(t) < 0, \ t \ge t_2,$$

which implies that z''(t) < 0, for $t \ge t_2$. It follows that $\frac{1}{z'(t)}$ is increasing function and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{z'(t)}$ exists or $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{z'(t)} = \infty$. Using the similar technique in proof of the case $\beta \ge \alpha$, we obtain that there exist $\theta_2 \in (0,1)$ and $t_5 \ge t_2$ such that

$$w'(t) \le \frac{\rho'_{+}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - L\rho(t)q(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\beta \theta_{2}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} w^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}(t), t \ge t_{5}.$$
(18)

Combining (16)–(18), we obtain that (14) holds. Let $A(x) = \frac{\rho'_+(t)}{\rho(t)}x - \frac{\lambda c_\lambda}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}x^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}, x \geq 0$. By calculating, one can get that

$$A(x) \le \frac{r(t)(\rho'_{+}(t))^{\lambda+1}}{(c_{\lambda})^{\lambda}(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\rho^{\lambda}(t)}$$

It follows that

$$w'(t) \le -L\rho(t)q(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} + \frac{r(t)(\rho'_+(t))^{\lambda+1}}{(c_{\lambda})^{\lambda}(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\rho^{\lambda}(t)}, t \ge t^*.$$

Integrating the above inequality from t^* to t leads to

$$w(t) \leq w(t^*) - \int_{t^*}^{\infty} [L\rho(t)q(s)(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s})^{\beta} - \frac{r(s)(\rho'_+(s))^{\lambda+1}}{(c_{\lambda})^{\lambda}(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\rho^{\lambda}(s)}]ds \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

which contradicts w(t) > 0. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $(c_1) - (c_3)$, (8) and (11) hold. Furthermore, $r'(t) \ge 0$. If there exists a positive function $u \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that for any $m \in (0, 1]$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[q(t)\left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{1}{L}\frac{(u(t))^{\lambda+1}}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}(t)}}\right] \exp\left[(\lambda+1)m^{\lambda}\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{u(s)}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}(s)}} ds\right] dt = \infty,\tag{19}$$

where $\lambda = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, then every solution x(t) of Equation (7) oscillates or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (7). Without loss of generality, we assume that x(t) is eventually positive. Then there exists a $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that x(t) > 0, $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$. Lemma 2.1 ensures that there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$, such that (9) holds or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. Suppose (9) holds. Define Riccati function

$$w(t) = \frac{r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(t)}, t \ge t_2.$$

Then w(t) > 0, for $t \ge t_2$. Using the similar technique to prove inequality (14), we get that there exists a $t^{**} \ge t_2$ such that

$$w'(t) \leq -Lq(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\lambda c_{\lambda}}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)} w^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}(t)$$

$$= \left[-Lq(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} + \frac{u^{\lambda+1}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)}\right] - \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)} [u^{\lambda+1}(t) + \lambda c_{\lambda} w^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}(t)], t \geq t^{**}.$$
(20)

From Young's inequality, we have

$$u^{\lambda+1}(t) + \lambda c_{\lambda} w^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}(t) = u^{\lambda+1}(t) + \lambda (c^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} w(t))^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}} > (\lambda+1) c^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} u(t) w(t), \ t > t^{**}.$$

It follows from the above inequality and (20) that

$$w'(t) + \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)} [(\lambda + 1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} u(t)w(t)] \le -Lq(t) \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} + \frac{u^{\lambda+1}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)},$$

that is,

$$(\exp[(\lambda+1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}\int_{t_2}^{t}\frac{u(s)ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}]w(t))' \leq -[Lq(t)(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t})^{\beta} - \frac{u^{\lambda+1}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t)}]\exp[(\lambda+1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}\int_{t_2}^{t}\frac{u(s)ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}],$$

for all $t \ge t^{**}$. Integrating the above inequality from t^{**} to t, one can obtain by (19) that

$$\begin{split} \exp[(\lambda+1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{u(s)ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}]w(t) \\ &\leq \exp[(\lambda+1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \int_{t_2}^{t^{**}} \frac{u(s)ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}]w(t^{**}) \\ &- L \int_{t^{**}}^{t} [q(v)(\frac{\sigma(v)}{v})^{\beta} - \frac{1}{L} \frac{u^{\lambda+1}(v)}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(v)}] \exp[(\lambda+1)c_{\lambda}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \int_{t_2}^{v} \frac{u(s)ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}]dv \\ &\to -\infty \text{ as } t \to \infty. \end{split}$$

It is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

The following examples illustrate our main results.

Example 2.5. Consider the neutral delay differential equation

$$(|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t))' + \frac{1}{t^{1+\frac{\beta}{2}}}|x(\sigma(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0,$$
(21)

where $z(t) = x(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t-1), \ \sigma(t) = \sqrt{t} \ln t, \ \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$

Let r(t)=1, $p(t)=-\frac{1}{2}$, $q(t)=\frac{1}{t^{1+\frac{\beta}{2}}}$, $\sigma(t)=\sqrt{t}\ln t$, $\tau(t)=t-1$, $\rho(t)=t^{\beta}$. Theorem 2.3 ensures that every solution x(t) of Equation (21) oscillates or $\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=0$.

Example 2.6. Consider the second order neutral delay differential equation

$$(x(t) - \frac{1}{3}x(\frac{t}{3}))'' + \frac{1}{27}(e^{\frac{t}{6}} - e^{\frac{-t}{2}})x^3(\frac{t}{6}) = 0, \ t \ge t_0.$$
(22)

Let $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 3$, r(t) = 1, $p(t) = -\frac{1}{3}$, $q(t) = e^{\frac{t}{6}} - e^{\frac{-t}{2}}$, $\sigma(t) = \frac{t}{6}$, $\tau(t) = \frac{t}{3}$, $u(t) = \frac{1}{t}$. Theorem 2.4 ensures that every solution x(t) of Equation (22) oscillates or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

References

- R.P.Agarwal, S. L. Shieh and C. C. Yeh, Oscillation criteria for second order retarded differential equations, Math. Comput. Model, 26(1997), 1-11.
- [2] J.L.Chern, W.C.Lian and C.C.Yeh, Oscillation criteria for second order Half-linear differential equations with functional arguments, Publ. Math.(Debr.), 48(3-4)(1996), 209-216.

- [3] L.H.Erbe, Q.K.Kong and B.G.Zhang, Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations, Dekker, New York, (1995).
- [4] S.R.Grace and B.S.Lalli, Oscillation of nonlinear second order neutral differential equations, Ratio Math., 3(1987), 77-84.
- [5] M.K.Grammatilopoulos, G.Ladas and A.Meimaridou, Oscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations, Ratio Math., 1(1985), 267-274.
- [6] I.Györi and G.Ladas, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon, Oxford, (1991).
- [7] Z.L.Han, T.X.Li and S.R.Sun, Oscillation of second order quasilinear neutral delay differntial equations, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 40(2012), 143-152.
- [8] J.Jiang and X.Li, Oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 135(2003), 531-540.
- [9] T.Kusano and Y.Naito, Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for second order quasilinear differential equation, Acta Math. Hung., 6(1997), 81-99.
- [10] G.Ladas, E.C.Partheniadis and Y.G.Sficas, Oscillation of second-order neutral equations, Can. J. Math., 41(1988), 1301-1314.
- [11] G.Ladas, E.C.Partheniadis and Y.G.Sficas, Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of second-order neutral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 138(1989), 214-231.
- [12] H.J.Li and W.L.Liu, Oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations, Math. Comput. Model., 22(1995), 45-53.
- [13] T.X.Li, Z.L.Han and C.H.Zhang, On the oscillation of second-order Emden-Fowler neutral differential equations, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 37(2011), 601-610.
- [14] X.Lin, Oscillatory solutions of sencond order nonlinear neutral differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 309(2005), 442-452.
- [15] H.D.Liu, F.W.Meng and P.C.Liu, Oscillation and asymptotic analysis on a new generalized Emden-Fowler equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 219(2012), 2739-2748.
- [16] D.D.Mirzov, On the oscillation of solutions of a system of differential equations, Math. Zametki, 23(1978), 401-404.
- [17] Y.Sahiner, On oscillation of second-order neutral type delay differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 150(2004), 679-706.
- [18] Y.G.Sun and F.W.Meng, Note on the paper of Džurina and Stavroulakis, Appl. Math. Comput., 174(2006), 1634-1641.
- [19] A.Tiryaki, Oscillation criteria for a certain second-order nonlinear differential equations with deviating arguments, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 61(2009), 1-11.
- [20] J.S.W.Wong, Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 252(2000), 342-352.
- [21] R.Xu and F.W.Meng, Some new oscillation criteria for second order quasi-linear neutral delay differntial equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 182(2006), 797-803.
- [22] J.Yan, Oscillations of second-order neutral functional differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 83(1997), 27-41.
- [23] L.H.Ye and Z.T.Xu, Oscillation criteria for second order quasilinear neutral delay differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 207(2009), 388-396.