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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following "When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation $\epsilon$ must be close to an exact solution $\epsilon$ ? If the problem accepts a solution, we say that the equation $\epsilon$ is stable".

In 1940, Ulam [44] at the University of Wiscosin, he proposed the following stability problem:
Let $\left(G_{1}, *\right)$ be a group and let $\left(G_{2}, \diamond, d\right)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(.,$.$) . Given \epsilon>0$, does there exists $\delta(\epsilon)>0$ such that if $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y))<\delta \quad x, y \in G_{1}
$$

then there is a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$.
In the next year, Hyers [18] gave a affirmative answer to this question for additive groups under the assumption that groups are Banach spaces. In 1950, T. Aoki [3] first generalized the Hyers theorem for unbounded Cauchy difference. In generalizing the definition of Hyers, T. Aoki proved the following result, when $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping and $X$ and $Y$ are normed spaces.

[^1]Theorem 1.1. Let $f(x)$ from $X$ to $Y$ be an approximately linear transformation, when there exists $K \geq 0$ and $0 \leq p<1$ such that $\left\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y) \leq K\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)\right\|$ for any $x$ and $y$ in $X$. Let $f(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ be transformations from $X$ to $Y$. These are called near when there exists $K \geq 0$ and $0 \leq p<1$ such that $\|f(x)-\phi(x)\| \leq K\|x\|^{p}$ for any $x$ in $X$.

The above result was rediscovered by Th. M. Rassias [38] in 1978 and proved the generalization of Hyers theorem for additive mappings as a special case in the form of following:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $E$ and $F$ are real normed spaces with $F$ a complete normed space, $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a mapping such that for each fixed $x \in E$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t x)$ is continuous on $R$, and let there exist $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ for all $x, y \in E$. Then there exists a unique linear mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ such that $\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \epsilon \frac{\|x\|^{p}}{1-2^{(p-1)}}$ for all $x \in E$.

In 1982 J.M. Rassias [35], followed the innovative approach of Rassias theorem in which he replaced the factor $\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}$ by $\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{p}$ with $p+q \neq 1$.

In 1990, during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations, Th.M.Rassias asked a question whether the Theorem 1.2 can also be proved for value of $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Gajda [27] provided an partial solution to Th.M. Rassiass question for $p>1$. He established the following result:

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two (real) normed linear spaces and assume that $Y$ is complete. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping for which there exist two constants $\epsilon \in[0, \infty)$ and $p \in R-\{1\}$ such that $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq\|x\|^{p}$ for all $x \in X$, where $\delta=\frac{2 \epsilon}{2-2^{p}}$ for $p<1$ and $\delta=\frac{2 \epsilon}{2^{p}-2}$ for $p>1$, Moreover, for each $x \in X$, the transformation $t \rightarrow f(t x)$ is continuous, then the mapping $T$ is linear.

However, Gajda [14] and Th.M.Rassias and P.Semrl [40] independently showed that a similar result can not be obtained for $p=1$. They presented the following:

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 holds for all $p \in R-\{1\}$. Gajda [14] in 1991 gave an example to show that the Theorem 1.2 fails if $p=1$. Gajda [14] succeeded in constructing an example of a bounded continuous function $g: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $|g(x+y)-g(x)-g(y)| \leq|x|+|y|$ for all $x, y \in R$, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x)}{x}=\infty$.

In 1994, P. Gavruta [15] provided a further generalization of Th.M. Rassias [38] theorem in which he replaced the bound $\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ by a general control function $\phi(x, y)$. In 2008, a special case of Gavruta's theorem for the unbounded Cauchy difference was obtained by Ravi et. al., [41] by considering the summation of both the sum and the product of two p-norms in the sprit of Rassias approach.
In 2009, C. Park and Th. M. Rassias [33] proved Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in Banach algebras for the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ where $A$ and $B$ are Complex Banach algebras which satisfies the functional equation $\mu f(x+y)=f(\mu x)+f(\mu y)$ for all $\mu \in T^{1}=\{v \in C:|v|=1\}$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $C$ linear mapping (i.e. A $C$ - linear mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is called a homomorphism in Banach algebra if $H$ satisfies $H(x y)=H(x) H(y)$ for all $x, y \in A)$. They also obtained the Hyers-UlamRassias stability of derivations on Banach algebra for the Cauchy functional equation. M.S. Moslehian and Th.M. Rassias [32] proved that the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability holds for Non-Archimedean normed spaces. They consider that $G$ is an additive group and $X$ is a complete Non-Archimedean space. For more details about stability of functional equations, one can refer to [42].

During the last seven decades, the stability problems of various functional equations in several spaces such as intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, random normed spaces, non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces, Banach spaces, orthogonal spaces and many spaces have been broadly investigated by number of mathematicians (see [4-7, 11, 13, 19-21, 31, 36-39]).

The functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to be quadratic functional equation because the quadratic function $f(x)=a x^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1).

In geometry, a median of a triangle is a line segment joining a vertex to the midpoint of the opposing side. Every triangle has exactly three medians: one running from each vertex to the opposite side. In the case of isosceles and equilateral triangles, a median bisects any angle at a vertex whose two adjacent sides are equal in length.


In a triangle with the sides $a, b$ and $c$ the median drawn to the side $c$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{c}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} c^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a triangle with the vertices $x, y, z \in R^{2}$ and if we take

$$
a=z-x, b=z-y, c=x-y
$$

and the length of a median $m_{c}$ from $z$ to the midpoint of $x$ and $y$ is

$$
m_{c}=\frac{x+y}{2}-z
$$

In functional equation the length of the median from $z$ is given by

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)=\frac{1}{2}(f(z-x)+f(z-y))-\frac{1}{4} f(x-y)
$$

In a triangle with the sides $a, b$ and $c$ the lengths of the medians $m_{a}, m_{b}$ and $m_{c}$, drawn to the sides $a, b$ and $c$ respectively satisfy to the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}^{2}+m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}=\frac{3 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+3 c^{2}}{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In functional equation the sum of the medians of a triangle is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

having solution $f(x)=a x^{2}$.
Now, we give some definitions which helps to investigate the stability results in fuzzy ternary banach algebras.

Definition 1.5 ([29]). Let $X$ be a real vector space. A function $N: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ (the so-called fuzzy subset) is said to be a fuzzy norm on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(F1) $\quad N(x, c)=0$ for $c \leq 0$;
(F2) $\quad x=0$ if and only if $N(x, c)=1$ for all $c>0$;
(F3) $\quad N(c x, t)=N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0$;
(F4) $\quad N(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$;
(F5) $\quad N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t)=1$;
(F6) for $x \neq 0, N(x, \cdot)$ is (upper semi) continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
The pair $(X, N)$ is called a fuzzy normed linear space. One may regard $N(X, t)$ as the truth-value of the statement the norm of $x$ is less than or equal to the real number $t^{\prime}$.

Example $1.6([29])$. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\beta>0$. Then

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{t+\beta\|x\|}, & t>0, \quad x \in X \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \quad x \in X\end{cases}
$$

is a fuzzy norm on $X$.
Definition 1.7 ([29]). Let $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed vector space. Let $x_{n}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $x_{n}$ is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-x, t\right)=1$ for all $t>0$. In that case, $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $x_{n}$ and we denote it by $N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Definition 1.8. p[29]] A sequence $x_{n}$ in $X$ is called Cauchy if for each $\epsilon>0$ and each $t>0$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and all $p>0$, we have $N\left(x_{n+p}-x_{n}, t\right)>1-\epsilon$.

Definition 1.9 ([29]). Every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy normed space is called a fuzzy Banach space.

Ternary algebraic operations were considered in the nineteenth century by several mathematicians such as Cayley [10] who introduced the notion of cubic matrix which in turn was generalized by Kapranov, Gelfand and Zelevinskii in [22]. The comments on physical applications of ternary structures can be found in [1, 25, 26, 43, 45].

Definition 1.10. Let $X$ be a ternary algebra and $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed space.
(1) The fuzzy normed space $(X, N)$ is called a ternary fuzzy normed algebra if

$$
N([x y z], s t u) \geq N(x, s) N(y, t) N(z, u)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t, u>0$;
(2) A complete ternary fuzzy normed algebra is called a ternary fuzzy Banach algebra.

Example 1.11. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a ternary normed (Banach) algebra. Let

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{t+\|x\|}, & t>0, \quad x \in X \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \quad x \in X\end{cases}
$$

Then $N(x, t)$ is a fuzzy norm on $X$ and $(X, N)$ is a ternary fuzzy normed (Banach) algebra.

Definition 1.12. Let $(X, N)$ and $\left(Y, N^{\prime}\right)$ be two ternary fuzzy normed algebras.
(1) A $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H:(X, N) \rightarrow\left(Y, N^{\prime}\right)$ is called a ternary quadratic homomorphism if

$$
H([x y z])=[H(x) H(y) H(z)]
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$;
(2) $\quad$ A $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $D:(X, N) \rightarrow(X, N)$ is called a ternary quadratic derivation if

$$
D([x y z])=\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right]
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.
For more details about fuzzy normed spaces and fuzzy normed algebras, one can refer to $[9,12,16,17,23,24,29,30,34]$. In this paper, we obtain the solution in vector spaces and the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the ternary quadratic homomorphisms and ternary quadratic derivations between fuzzy ternary Banach algebras associated to the quadratic functional equation (4) originating from sum of the medians of a triangle by using direct and fixed point methods. An application of this functional equation is also studied.

## 2. General Solution of the Functional Equation (4)

In this section, the authors investigate the general solution of quadratic functional equation (4). Throughout this section let us consider $X$ and $Y$ be real vector spaces.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be real vector spaces. If the mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1) for all $x, y \in X$ then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the functional equation (4) for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Proof. Setting $x=y=0$ in (1), we get $f(0)=0$. Let $x=0$ in (1), we obtain $f(-x)=f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore $f$ is an even function. Replacing $y$ by $x$ and $2 x$ respectively in (1), we get $f(2 x)=2^{2} f(x)$ and $f(3 x)=3^{2} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. In general for any positive integer $n$, we have $f(n x)=n^{2} f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(x-z, y-z)$ in (1) and using evenness, we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)=\frac{1}{2}(f(z-x)+f(z-y))-\frac{1}{4} f(x-y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $(z, y, x)$ in (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{z+y}{2}-x\right)=\frac{1}{2}(f(x-z)+f(x-y))-\frac{1}{4} f(z-y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $(x, z, y)$ in (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}-y\right)=\frac{1}{2}(f(y-x)+f(y-z))-\frac{1}{4} f(x-z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Adding (5),(6) and (7) and using evenness, we derive (4) for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Hereafter throughout this paper, we assume that $X$ is a ternary fuzzy normed algebra and $Y$ is a ternary fuzzy Banach algebra. For the convenience, we define a mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, y, z)= & f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

## 3. Stability Results: Direct Method

In this section, the authors present the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the functional equation (4).
Theorem 3.1. Let $j \in\{-1,1\}$ be fixed and let $\alpha: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that for some $d>0$ with $0<\left(\frac{d}{2^{2}}\right)^{j}<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n j} x, 2^{n j} y, 2^{n j} z\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(d^{n j} \alpha(x, y, z), r\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n j} x, 2^{n j} y, 2^{n j} z\right), r\right)=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f([x y z])-[f(x) f(y) f(z)], r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), r\left|2^{2}-d\right|\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. The mapping $H(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n j} x\right)}{2^{2 n j}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Assume $j=1$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $(x, x,-x)$ in (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(2 x)-2^{2} f(x), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Replacing $x$ by $2^{n} x$ in (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{2^{2}}-f\left(2^{n} x\right), \frac{r}{2^{2}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} x,-2^{n} x\right), r\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Using (8) and (F3) in (15), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{2^{2}}-f\left(2^{n} x\right), \frac{r}{2^{2}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r}{d^{n}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. It is easy to verify from (16), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{2^{2(n+1)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, \frac{r}{2^{2(n+1)}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r}{d^{n}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Replacing $r$ by $d^{n} r$ in (17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)}{2^{2(n+1)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, \frac{d^{n} r}{2^{2(n+1)}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{f\left(2^{i+1} x\right)}{2^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{i} x\right)}{2^{2 i}}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. From equations (18) and (19), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-f(x), \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{d^{i} r}{2^{2(i+1)}}\right) \geq \min \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{\frac{f\left(2^{i+1} x\right)}{2^{2(i+1)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{i} x\right)}{2^{2 i}}, \frac{d^{i} r}{2^{2(i+1)}}\right\} \\
\geq \min \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r)\right\} \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r) \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Replacing $x$ by $2^{m} x$ in (20) and using (8), (F3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{2^{2(n+m)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{2^{2 m}}, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{d^{i} r}{2^{2(m+i+1)}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r}{d^{m}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$ and all $m, n \geq 0$. Replacing $r$ by $d^{m} r$ in (21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{2^{2(n+m)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{2^{2 m}}, \sum_{i=m}^{m+n-1} \frac{d^{i} r}{2^{2(i+1)}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$ and all $m, n \geq 0$. Using (F3) in (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n+m} x\right)}{2^{2(n+m)}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} x\right)}{2^{2 m}}, r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r}{\sum_{i=m}^{m+n-1} \frac{d^{i}}{2^{2(i+1)}}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$ and all $m, n \geq 0$. Since $0<d<n^{2}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{n^{2}}\right)^{i}<\infty$, the cauchy criterion for convergence and (F5) implies that $\left\{\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(Y, N)$. Since $(Y, N)$ is a fuzzy ternary Banach space, this sequence converges to some point $H(x) \in Y$. So one can we define the mapping $H: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
H(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $m=0$ in (23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-f(x), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{d^{i}}{2^{2(i+1)}}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (24) and using (F6), we arrive

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), r\left(2^{2}-d\right)\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Now, we need to prove $H$ satisfies the (4), replacing ( $x, y, z$ ) by $\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right)$ in (10), respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} D f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), 2^{2 n} r\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and all $x, y, z \in X$. Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)-\frac{3}{4}(H(x-y)+H(y-z)+H(z-y)), r\right) \\
& \geq \min \{ N\left(H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} f\left(\frac{2^{n}(x+y)}{2}-2^{n} z\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), N\left(H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} f\left(\frac{2^{n}(y+z)}{2}-2^{n} x\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), \\
& N\left(H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} f\left(\frac{2^{n}(z+x)}{2}-2^{n} y\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), N\left(-\frac{3}{4} H(x-y)+\frac{3}{(4) 2^{2 n}} f\left(2^{n} x-2^{n} y\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), \\
& N\left(-\frac{3}{4} H(y-z)+\frac{3}{(4) 2^{2 n}} f\left(2^{n} y-2^{n} z\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), N\left(-\frac{3}{4} H(z-x)+\frac{3}{(4) 2^{2 n}} f\left(2^{n} z-2^{n} x\right), \frac{r}{7}\right), \\
& N\left(\frac { 1 } { 2 ^ { 2 n } } \left(f\left(\frac{2^{n}(x+y)}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{2^{n}(y+z)}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{2^{n}(z+x)}{2}-y\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.-\frac{3}{4}\left(f\left(2^{n} x-2^{n} y\right)+f\left(2^{n} y-2^{n} z\right)+f\left(2^{n} z-2^{n} y\right)\right)\right), \frac{r}{7}\right)\right\} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Using (25) and (F5) in (26), we arrive

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)-\frac{3}{4}(H(x-y)+H(y-z)+H(z-y)), r\right) \\
& \quad \geq \min \left\{1,1,1,1,1,1, N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), 2^{2 n} r\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), 2^{2 n} r\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (27) and using (9), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)-\frac{3}{4}(H(x-y)+H(y-z)+H(z-y)), r\right)=1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Using (F2) in the above inequality gives

$$
H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+H\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+H\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(H(x-y)+H(y-z)+H(z-y))
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Hence $H$ satisfies the quadratic functional equation (4). This shows that $H$ is quadratic. So it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
N(H([x y z])-[H(x) H(y) H(z)], r) & =N\left(\frac{1}{2^{6 n}}\left(f\left(2^{3 n}[x y z]\right)-\left[f\left(2^{n} x\right) f\left(2^{n} y\right) f\left(2^{n} z\right)\right]\right), \frac{r}{2^{6 n}}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), r\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (29) and using (9), we gain

$$
N(H([x y z])-[H(x) H(y) H(z)], r)=1
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Hence we have $H([x y z])=[H(x) H(y) H(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore, $H$ is a ternary quadratic homomorphism. In order to prove $H(x)$ is unique, let $H^{\prime}(x)$ be another quadratic functional equation satisfying (4) and (12). Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(H(x)-H^{\prime}(x), r\right) & =N\left(\frac{H\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-\frac{H^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, r\right) \\
& \geq \min \left\{N\left(\frac{H\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, \frac{r}{2}\right), N\left(\frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}-\frac{H^{\prime}\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, \frac{r}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} x,-2^{n} x\right), \frac{r\left(2^{2}-d\right)}{2}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r\left(2^{2}-d\right)}{2 d^{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r\left(2^{2}-d\right)}{2 d^{n}}=\infty,
$$

we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), \frac{r\left(2^{2}-d\right)}{2 d^{n}}\right)=1
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Thus

$$
N\left(H(x)-H^{\prime}(x), r\right)=1
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. Hence, we have $H(x)=H^{\prime}(x)$. Therefore $H(x)$ is unique. Thus the mapping $H: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique ternary quadratic homomorphism.

For $j=-1$, we can prove the result by a similar method. This completes the proof of the theorem.

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability for the functional equation (4).

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that a function $F: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq \begin{cases}N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r), & s \neq 2 ;  \tag{30}\\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right),\end{cases}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
N(H([x y z])-[H(x) H(y) H(z)], r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r),  \tag{31}\\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$, where $\epsilon, s$ are constants with $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon,|3| r),  \tag{32}\\
N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon| | x| |^{s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{s}\right|\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon| | x| |^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(4 \epsilon|x| \|^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $j= \pm 1$. Let $\alpha: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that for some $d$ with $0<\left(\frac{d}{2^{2}}\right)^{j}<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n j} x, 2^{n j} y, 2^{n j} z\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(d^{n j} \alpha(x, y, z), r\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0, d>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n j} x, 2^{n j} y, 2^{n j} z\right), r\right)=1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z$ and all $r>0$. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f([x y z])-\left[f(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} f(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} f(z)\right], r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic derivation $D: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-D(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), r\left|2^{2}-d\right|\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$. The mapping $D(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n j} x\right)}{2^{2 n j}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. By the same reasoning as that in the proof of the Theorem 3.1, there exist a unique quadratic mapping $D: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying (37). The mapping $D: X \rightarrow X$ ginven by $D(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n j} x\right)}{2^{2 n j}}$ for all $x \in X$. It follows from (35) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(D([x y z])-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right) \\
& =N\left(\frac{1}{2^{6 n}}\left(f\left(2^{3 n}[x y z]\right)-\left[f\left(2^{n} x\right) 2^{2 n} y^{2} 2^{2 n} z^{2}\right]-\left[2^{2 n} x^{2} f\left(2^{n} y\right) 2^{2 n} z^{2}\right]-\left[2^{2 n} x^{2} 2^{2 n} y^{2} f\left(2^{n} z\right)\right]\right), \frac{r}{2^{6 n}}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), r\right) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and all $x, y, z \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (39) and using (34), we reach

$$
N\left(D([x y z])-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right)=1
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $r>0$. Hence, we have $D([x y z])=\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore $D: X \rightarrow X$ is a ternary quadratic derivation satisfying (37). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

From Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following corollary concerning the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability for the functional equation (4).

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that a function $F: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the inequality

$$
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq \begin{cases}N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r), & s \neq 2 ;  \tag{40}\\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right),\end{cases}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(D([x y z])-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right) \\
& \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$, where $\epsilon, s$ are constants with $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic derivation $D: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-D(x), r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon,|3| r),  \tag{42}\\
N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon| | x| |^{s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{s}\right|\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\left.\epsilon| | x\right|^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(4 \epsilon|x| \|^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$.

## 4. Stability Results: Fixed Point Method

In this section, the authors presented the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the functional equation (4) in fuzzy ternary banach algebra by fixed point method.

Now we will recall the fundamental results in fixed point theory

Theorem 4.1. [28](The alternative of fixed point) Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space ( $X, d$ ) and a strictly contractive mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ with Lipschitz constant L. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)=\infty \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(B2) there exists a natural number $n_{0}$ such that:
(i) $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$;
(ii) The sequence $\left(T^{n} x\right)$ is convergent to a fixed point $y^{*}$ of $T$
(iii) $y^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $Y=\left\{y \in X: d\left(T^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
(iv) $d\left(y^{*}, y\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, T y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

For to prove the stability result we define the following:
$\delta_{i}$ is a constant such that

$$
\delta_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \text { if } & i=0, \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } & i=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\Omega$ is the set such that

$$
\Omega=\{g \mid g: X \rightarrow Y, g(0)=0\} .
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping for which there exist a function $\alpha: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\delta_{i}^{n} x, \delta_{i}^{n} y, \delta_{i}^{n} z\right), \delta_{i}^{2 n} r\right)=1, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, r>0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfying the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f([x y z])-[f(x) f(y) f(z)], r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. If there exists $L=L(i)$ such that the function

$$
x \rightarrow \beta(x)=\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right)
$$

has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(L \frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2}} \beta\left(\delta_{i} x\right), r\right)=N^{\prime}(\beta(x), r), \forall x \in X, r>0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists unique ternary quadratic homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the functional equation (4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \beta(x), r\right), \forall x \in X, r>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $d$ be a general metric on $\Omega$, such that

$$
d(g, h)=\inf \left\{K \in(0, \infty) \mid N(g(x)-h(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}(K \beta(x), r), x \in X, r>0\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that $(\Omega, d)$ is complete. Define $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by $T g(x)=\frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2}} g\left(\delta_{i} x\right)$, for all $x \in X$. For $g, h \in \Omega$, we have $d(g, h) \leq K$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Rightarrow & N(g(x)-h(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}(K \beta(x), r) \\
\Rightarrow & N\left(\frac{g\left(\delta_{i} x\right)}{\delta_{i}^{2}}-\frac{h\left(\delta_{i} x\right)}{\delta_{i}^{2}}, r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{K}{\delta_{i}^{2}} \beta\left(\delta_{i} x\right), r\right) \\
\Rightarrow & N(T g(x)-T h(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}(K L \beta(x), r) \\
\Rightarrow & d(T g(x), T h(x)) \leq K L \\
\Rightarrow & d(T g, T h) \leq L d(g, h) \tag{48}
\end{array}
$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$. Therefore $T$ is strictly contractive mapping on $\Omega$ with Lipschitz constant $L$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $(x, x,-x)$ in (44), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(2 x)-2^{2} f(x), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, x,-x), r) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, r>0$. Using (F3) in (49), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(2 x)}{2^{2}}-f(x), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha(x, x,-x), 2^{2} r\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, r>0$, with the help of (46) when $i=0$, it follows from (50), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Rightarrow \quad N\left(\frac{f(2 x)}{2^{2} x}-f(x), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(L \beta(x), r) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad d(T f, f) \leq L=L^{1}=L^{1-i} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (49), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-2^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right), r\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, r>0$, with the help of (46) when $i=1$, it follows from (52), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Rightarrow \quad N\left(f(x)-2^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\beta(x), r) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad d(f, T f) \leq 1=L^{0}=L^{1-i} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (51) and (53), we can conclude

$$
d(f, T f) \leq L^{1-i}<\infty
$$

Now from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point $H$ of $T$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{2 n}}, \quad \forall x \in X, r>0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove $H: X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $\left(\delta_{i} x, \delta_{i} y, \delta_{i} z\right)$ in (44), we arrive

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2 n}} F\left(\delta_{i} x, \delta_{i} y, \delta_{i} z\right), r\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\delta_{i} x, \delta_{i} y, \delta_{i} z\right), \delta_{i}^{2 n} r\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and all $x, y, z \in X$.
By proceeding the same procedure as in the Theorem 3.1, we can prove the ternary quadratic homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (4).

By fixed point alternative, since $H$ is unique fixed point of $T$ in the set

$$
\Delta=\{f \in \Omega \mid d(f, H)<\infty\}
$$

therefore $H$ is a unique function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}(K \beta(x), r) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, r>0$ and $K>0$. Again using the fixed point alternative, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(f, H) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, T f) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \quad d(f, H) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}  \tag{57}\\
\Rightarrow \quad & N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \beta(x), r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $r>0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

From Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following corollary concerning the stability for the functional equation (4).

Corollary 4.3. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq \begin{cases}N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r), & s \neq 2 ;  \tag{58}\\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} ; \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right),\end{cases}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
N(H([x y z])-[H(x) H(y) H(z)], r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r)  \tag{59}\\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$, where $\epsilon, s$ are constants with $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic homomorphism $H: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon,|3| r),  \tag{60}\\
N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon| | x| |^{s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{s}\right|\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon| | x| |^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(4 \epsilon| | x| |^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$.
Proof. Setting

$$
\alpha(x, y, z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\delta_{i}^{n} x, \delta_{i}^{n} y, \delta_{i}^{n} z\right), \delta_{i}^{2 n} r\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon, \delta_{i}^{2 n} r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), \delta_{i}^{(2-s) n} r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), \delta_{i}^{(2-3 s) n} r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, \delta_{i}^{(2-3 s) n} r\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
\rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
\rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
\rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (43) is holds. But we have $\beta(x)=\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right)$ has the property

$$
N^{\prime}\left(L \frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2}} \beta\left(\delta_{i} x\right), r\right)=N^{\prime}(\beta(x), r), \forall x \in X, r>0
$$

## Hence

$$
N^{\prime}(\beta(x), r)=N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right), r\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{3 \epsilon}{2^{s}}\|x\|^{s}, r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2^{3 s}}\|x\|^{3 s}, r\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{(4) \epsilon}{2^{3 s}}\|x\|^{3 s}, r\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now,

$$
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2}} \beta\left(\delta_{i} x\right), r\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\delta_{i}^{2}}, r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{3 \epsilon}{2^{s} \delta_{i}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{i} x\right\|^{s}, r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2^{3 s} \delta_{i}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{i} x\right\|^{3 s}, r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\frac{4 \epsilon}{2^{3 s} \delta_{i}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{i} x\right\|^{3 s}, r\right),
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}\left(\delta_{i}^{-2} \beta(x), r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\delta_{i}^{s-2} \beta(x), r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\delta_{i}^{3 s-2} \beta(x), r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\delta_{i}^{3 s-2} \beta(x), r\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

From (47), we prove the following cases:
Case:1 $L=2^{-2}$ if $i=0$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{2^{-2}}{1-2^{-2}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\left(2^{2}-1\right)}, r\right)=N^{\prime}(\epsilon, 3 r) .
$$

Case:2 $L=2^{2}$ if $i=1$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{2}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{-3}, r\right)=N^{\prime}(\epsilon,|-3| r)
$$

Case:3 $L=2^{s-2}$ for $s<2$ if $i=0$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{2^{s-2}}{1-2^{s-2}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{3 \epsilon}{2^{2}-2^{s}}\|x\|^{s}, r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon\|x\|^{s},\left(2^{2}-2^{s}\right) r\right)
$$

Case: $4 L=2^{2-s}$ for $s>2$ if $i=1$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{2-s}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{3 \epsilon}{2^{s}-2^{2}}\|x\|^{s}, r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon\|x\|^{s},\left(2^{s}-2^{2}\right) r\right)
$$

Case:5 $L=2^{3 s-2}$ for $s<\frac{2}{3}$ if $i=0$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{2^{3 s-2}}{1-2^{3 s-2}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2^{2}-2^{3 s}}\|x\|^{s}, r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\|x\|^{s}, 2^{2}-2^{3 s} r\right)
$$

Case: $6 L=2^{2-3 s}$ for $s>\frac{2}{3}$ if $i=1$

$$
N(f(x)-H(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{1-2^{2-3 s}} \beta(x), r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2^{3 s}-2^{2}}\|x\|^{s}, r\right)=N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\|x\|^{s},\left(2^{3 s}-2^{2}\right) r\right)
$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping for which there exist a function $\alpha: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\delta_{i}^{n} x, \delta_{i}^{n} y, \delta_{i}^{n} z\right), \delta_{i}^{2 n} r\right)=1, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, r>0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfying the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f([x y z])-\left[f(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} f(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} f(z)\right], r\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha(x, y, z), r) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$. If there exists $L=L(i)$ such that the function

$$
x \rightarrow \beta(x)=\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2},-\frac{x}{2}\right),
$$

has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(L \frac{1}{\delta_{i}^{2}} \beta\left(\delta_{i} x\right), r\right)=N^{\prime}(\beta(x), r), \forall x \in X, r>0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists unique ternary quadratic derivation $D: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the functional equation (4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-D(x), r) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \beta(x), r\right), \forall x \in X, r>0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the same reasoning as that in the proof of the Theorem 4.2, there exist a unique quadratic mapping $D: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying (65). The mapping $D: X \rightarrow X$ ginven by $D(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n j} x\right)}{2^{2 n j}}$ for all $x \in X$. It follows from (62) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(D([x y z])-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right) \\
& =N\left(\frac{1}{2^{6 n}}\left(f\left(2^{3 n}[x y z]\right)-\left[f\left(2^{n} x\right) 2^{2 n} y^{2} 2^{2 n} z^{2}\right]-\left[2^{2 n} x^{2} f\left(2^{n} y\right) 2^{2 n} z^{2}\right]-\left[2^{2 n} x^{2} 2^{2 n} y^{2} f\left(2^{n} z\right)\right]\right), \frac{r}{2^{6 n}}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), r\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and all $x, y, z \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (66) and using (61), we reach

$$
N\left(D([x y z])-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right)=1
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $r>0$. Hence, we have $D([x y z])=\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]+\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore $D: X \rightarrow X$ is a ternary quadratic derivation satisfying (65). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2.

From Theorem 4.4, we obtain the following corollary concerning the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability for the functional equation (4) and the corollary proof is similar to that of Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 4.5. Suppose that a function $F: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the inequality

$$
N(F(x, y, z), r) \geq \begin{cases}N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r), & s \neq 2  \tag{67}\\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), & s \neq \frac{2}{3} \\ N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right),\end{cases}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
N(D([x y z]) & \left.-\left[D(x) y^{2} z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} D(y) z^{2}\right]-\left[x^{2} y^{2} D(z)\right], r\right) \\
\geq & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon, r) \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}+\|y\|^{s}+\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}\right), r\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon\left\{\|x\|^{s}\|y\|^{s}\|z\|^{s}+\|x\|^{3 s}+\|y\|^{3 s}+\|z\|^{3 s}\right\}, r\right),
\end{array}\right. \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $r>0$, where $\epsilon$,s are constants with $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists a unique ternary quadratic derivation $D: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-D(x), r) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N^{\prime}(\epsilon,|3| r),  \tag{69}\\
N^{\prime}\left(3 \epsilon| | x| |^{s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{s}\right|\right), \\
N^{\prime}\left(\epsilon| | x| |^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right) \\
N^{\prime}\left(4 \epsilon| | x| |^{3 s}, r\left|2^{2}-2^{3 s}\right|\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $r>0$.

## 5. Application of the Functional Equation(4)

Consider the quadratic functional equation (4), that is

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x))
$$

This functional equation can be used to find the sum of the length of the median in a triangle. Since $f(x)=x^{2}$ is the solution of the functional equation, the above equation is written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left((x-y)^{2}+(y-z)^{2}+(z-x)^{2}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the above quadratic identity can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}^{2}+m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above identity shows that "three times the sum of the squares of the sides of a triangle is equal to four times the sum of squares of the medians of that triangle".

Example 5.1. Find the sum of the medians of a following triangle.


Solution. Using (70), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.H.S of (71) is } m_{a}^{2}+m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}=\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{4+6}{2}-8\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{6+8}{2}-4\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{8+4}{2}-6\right)^{2}=18 . \\
& \text { R.H.S of (71) is } \frac{3}{4}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& =\frac{3}{4}\left((z-x)^{2}+(y-z)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{4}\left(4^{2}+2^{2}+2^{2}\right)=18 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^0]:    Abstract: In this paper, we obtain the solution in vector space and the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the ternary quadratic homomorphisms and ternary quadratic derivations between fuzzy ternary Banach algebras associated to the quadratic functional equation

    $$
    f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}-x\right)+f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}-y\right)=\frac{3}{4}(f(x-y)+f(y-z)+f(z-x))
    $$

    originating from sum of the medians of a triangle by using direct and fixed point methods. An application of this functional equation is also studied.
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