Volume 4, Issue 1-A (2116), 81-91.

ISSN: 2347-1557

Available Online: http://ijmaa.in/



International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

M-Projective Curvature Tensor of a Semi-symmetric Metric Connection in a Kenmotsu Manifold

Research Article

Ravendra Kumar^{1*}

1 Dr.R M L Government Degree College Aonla, Barielly, U.P., India.

Abstract: In the present paper we consider a semi-symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold. We deduce the relation

between the Riemannian connection and the semi-symmetric metric connection on a Kenmotsu manifold. We investigate the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. We study M-projective curvature tensor with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfying certain curvature

conditions.

MSC: 53C15, 53C25, 53D10

Keywords: Kenmotsu manifold, M-projective curvature tensor, η -Einstein manifold, Semi-symmetric metric connection.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The notion of Kenmotsu manifolds was defined and studied by Kenmotsu [13] in 1972. They set up one of the three classes of almost contact metric manifolds M whose automorphism group attains the maximum dimension [17]. For such a manifold, the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is a constant, say c.

(i) If c > 0, M is a homogeneous Sasakian manifold of constant φ -sectional curvature. (ii) If c = 0, M is global Riemannian product of a line or a circle with a Kahler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. (iii) If c < 0, M is a warped product space $R \times_f C^n$. Kenmotsu [13] characterized the differential geometric properties of manifolds of class. (iv) The structure so obtained is now known as Kenmotsu structure. A Kenmotsu structure is not Sasakian.

In 1924, Friedman and Schouten [9] introduced the notion of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold. Then in 1932, Hayden [10] introduced the idea of metric connection with a torsion on a Riemannain manifold. In 1970, A systematic study of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold has been given by Yano and later studied by K. Amur and S.S.Pujar [1], M. Prvanovic [15], U.C. De and S.C. Biswas [7], A. Sharfuddin and S.I. Hussain [16], T.Q. Binh [2], F. O Zengin and S.A. Uysal and S.A. Demirbag [24], S.K. Chaubey and R.H. Ojha ([5, 6]), H.B. Yilmaz [21] and others.

Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^{∞} endowed with the Riemannian metric g and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection on (M^n, g) . A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ defined on (M^n, g) is said to be semi-symmetric [9] if its torsion tensor T is of the form

$$T(X,Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \tag{1}$$

^{*} E-mail: rkpmbly@gmail.com

where η is a 1-form and ξ is a vector field given by

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \tag{2}$$

for all vector fields $X \in \chi(M^n), \chi(M^n)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M^n . A semi-symmetric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called a semi-symmetric metric connection [10] if it further satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}g = 0 \tag{3}$$

A relation between the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\triangledown}$ and the Levi-Civita connection \triangledown on (M^n,g) has been obtained by K.Yano [18] which is given by

$$\check{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi\tag{4}$$

we also have

$$(\check{\nabla}_X \eta) Y = (\nabla_X \eta) Y - \eta(Y) \eta(X) + \eta(\xi) g(X, Y) \tag{5}$$

Further, a relation between the curvature tensor R of the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the curvature tensor K of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = K(X,Y)Z + \alpha(X,Z)Y - \alpha(Y,Z)X + g(X,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)X$$
(6)

where α is a tensor field of type (0,2) and Q is a tensor field of type (1,1) which is given by

$$\alpha(Y,Z) = g(QY,Z) = (\nabla_Y \eta)Z - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\eta(\xi)g(Y,Z) \tag{7}$$

from (6) and (7), we obtain

$$'R(X,Y,Z,W) = 'K(X,Y,Z,W) + \alpha(X,Z)q(Y,W) - \alpha(Y,Z)q(X,W) + q(X,Z)\alpha(Y,W) - q(Y,Z)\alpha(X,W)$$
(8)

Where

$$'R(X,Y,Z,W) = g(R(X,Y)Z,W),$$

$$'K(X,Y,Z,W) = g(K(X,Y)Z,W)$$
(9)

In an almost contact manifold M, the M-projective curvature tensor P with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right)S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z) + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY$$
 (10)

for $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where R,S and Q are the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the Ricci operator with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively. From (10), it follows that

$$'P(X,Y,Z,W) = R(X,Y,Z,W) - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) \left[S(Y,Z)g(X,W) - S(X,Z)g(Y,W) + g(Y,Z)S(X,W) - g(X,Z)S(Y,W)\right] \quad (11)$$

And

$$P(X,Y,Z,W) = g(P(X,Y)Z,W)$$
(12)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M. Where S is the Ricci tensor with respect to the Semi-symmetric metric connection.

In the present paper, we study M-projective curvature tensor on a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. The organization of this paper is as follows:

First section contains basic concepts of semi-symmetric metric connection. In section 2, we give a brief account of the Kenmotsu manifolds and we also give curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. In section 3, we study the M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection and proved that it is Einstein manifold. Also, an example for M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection is given. Further, we shown quasi-M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection and we shown that the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold. In section 4, we investigate ξ -M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. Section 4 is devoted to the studyof ϕ -M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. In the last section 5, we investigate P.S = 0, in a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection.

2. Kenmotsu Manifolds

Let M be an (2n + 1-dimensional almost contact metric manifold with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) consisting of a (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η and a Riemannian metric g on M satisfying [3]

$$\varphi^{2}(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \ g(X,\xi) = \eta(X)$$
 (13)

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta(\varphi(X)) = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{15}$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M. If an almost contact metric manifold satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X \tag{16}$$

then M is called a Kenmotsu manifold [13]. From the above relations, it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi\tag{17}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{18}$$

Moreover the curvature tensor K and the Ricci tensor S of the Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection satisfies

$$K(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,\tag{19}$$

$$K(\xi, Y)X = \eta(X)Y - g(X, Y)\xi, \tag{20}$$

$$K(X,\xi)Y = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,\tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{S}(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = \tilde{S}(X, Y) + 2n\eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{22}$$

$$S(X,\xi) = -2n\eta(X) \tag{23}$$

we state the following lemma which will be used in the next section:

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). Let M be an η -Einstein Kenmotsu manifold of the form $S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$. If b = constant (or, a = constant), then M is an Einstein manifold.

3. M-projectively Flat and Quasi–M–Projectively Flat Kenmotsu Manifolds with Respect to the Semi-symmetric Metric Connection

Definition 3.1. A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be M-projectively flat with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection if

$$P(X,Y)Z = 0. (24)$$

Definition 3.2. A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be M-projectively flat with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection if

$$g(P(X,Y)Z,\phi W) = 0. (25)$$

Definition 3.3. A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be an η -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of the Levi-Civita connection is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{26}$$

where a and b are smooth functions on the manifold.

Using (7), (14) and (18) in (6), we obtain

$$R(X,Y)Z = K(X,Y)Z - 3g(Y,Z)X + 3g(X,Z)Y + 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + 2g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - 2g(X,Z)\eta(Y)$$
(27)

using (9) in (27), we obtain

$$'R(X,Y,Z,W) = 'K(X,Y,Z,W) - 3g(Y,Z)g(X,W) + 3g(X,Z)g(Y,W)$$

$$+ 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X,W) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y,W)$$

$$+ 2g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) - 2g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W)$$
(28)

Contracting X in (27), we obtain

$$S(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) - 2(3n-1)g(Y,Z) + 2(2n-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$
(29)

Substituting $Z = \xi$ in (29) and using (23), (13) and (14), we get

$$S(Y,\xi) = -4n\eta(Y) \tag{30}$$

Again contracting Y and Z in (29), we get

$$r = r - 2n(6n - 1). (31)$$

where r and \tilde{r} are the scalar curvature with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection and the Levi-Civita connection respectively.

Assume that M is M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. i.e., P(X,Y)Z=0. Then from (10), we get

$$R(X,Y)Z = (\frac{1}{4n})S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY$$
(32)

putting $Z = \xi$ in (26) and using (13) and (14), we get

$$R(X,Y)\xi = K(X,Y)\xi + \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \tag{33}$$

using (19) in (33), we obtain

$$R(X,Y)\xi = 2\{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\}\tag{34}$$

putting $Z = \xi$ in (32) and taking inner product with W of (32) and using (34), we get

$$\{\eta(X)g(Y,W) - \eta(Y)g(X,W)\} = \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right)\{\eta(Y)S(X,W) - \eta(X)S(Y,W)\}$$
(35)

putting $Y = \xi$ in (35) and using (14) and (30), we get

$$S(X,W) = -\left(\frac{1}{4n}\right)g(X,W) \tag{36}$$

Hence (36) leads the following:

Theorem 3.4. A M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection is an Einstein manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection.

3.1. Example for M-projectively Flat Kenmotsu Manifold with Respect to Semisymmetric Metric Connection

Let us consider a 5-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : z \neq 0\}$, where (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^5 . Let $e_1 = e^{-z}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1})$, $e_2 = e^{-z}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2})$, $e_3 = e^{-z}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1})$, $e_4 = e^{-z}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^2})$, $e_5 = e^{-z}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})$, which are linearly independent vector fields at each point of M. Define a Riemannian metric g on M as

$$g = e^{2z}(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) + \eta \otimes \eta$$

where η is the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, e_5)$ for any vector X on M. Hence, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M and ϕ be the tensor field of type (1, 1) defined as

$$\varphi \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \right) + Z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} - X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \right)$$

Thus, we have $\varphi(e_1) = e_3$, $\varphi(e_2) = e_4$, $\varphi(e_3) = -e_1$, $\varphi(e_4) = -e_2$, $\varphi(e_5) = 0$. Then by applying linearity of φ and g, we have $\eta(e_5) = 1$, $\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)e_5$, $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$, for any vector fields X, Y on M. Hence for $e_5 = \xi$, $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines an almost contact metric manifold. The 1-form η is closed. In addition, we have

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = -e^{2z}$$

Thus, we obtain $\varphi = -e^{2z} dx \wedge dy$. Hence $d\varphi = -e^{2z} dz \wedge dx \wedge dy = 2\eta \wedge \varphi$. Therefore, $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmostu manifold. It can be seen that $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is normal. So, it is a Kenmotsu manifold. Moreover, we get

$$[X_i, \xi] = X_i,$$
 $[Y_i, \xi] = Y_i,$ $[X_i, X_j] = 0,$ $[X_i, Y_j] = 0,$ $[Y_i, Y_j] = 0,$ $[Y_i, Y_j] = 0,$ $1 \le i, j \ge 2$

The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(X, Z) - Zg(X, Y) + g([X, Y], Z) - g([Y, Z], X) + g([Z, X], Y).$$

By using Koszul's Formula, we get $\nabla_{X_i}X_i=\xi, \ \nabla_{Y_i}Y_i=\xi, \ \nabla_{X_i}X_j=\nabla_{Y_i}Y_j=\nabla_{X_i}Y_i=0, \ \nabla_{X_i}\xi=X_i, \ \nabla_{Y_i}\xi=Y_i, \ 1\leq i,j\geq 2.$ Therefore, the semi-symmetric metric connection on M is given by $\nabla_{X_i}X_i=0, \ \nabla_{Y_i}Y_i=0, \ \nabla_{X_i}X_j=\nabla_{Y_i}Y_j=0, \ \nabla_{X_i}X_j=\nabla_{Y_i}Y_j=0, \ \nabla_{X_i}X_j=0, \$

So, it can be seen that R=0. Thus, $M(\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ is a M-projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection. From above theorem, $M(\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection. Next, Substituting $X=\varphi X$ and $Y=\varphi Y$ in (10) and using (12), we get

$$g(P(\varphi X, Y)Z, \varphi W) = {'R(\varphi X, Y, Z, \varphi W)} - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) [S(Y, Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - S(\varphi X, Z)g(Y, \varphi W)$$

$$+ g(Y, Z)S(\varphi X, \varphi W) - g(\varphi X, Z)S(Y, \varphi W)$$
(37)

we begin with the following:

Lemma 3.5. Let M be a (2n+1)-demensional Kenmotsu manifold. If M satisfies

$$g(P(\varphi X, Y)Z, \varphi W) = 0, \quad X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M), \tag{38}$$

then M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. Using (38) in (37), we have

$$'R(\varphi X, Y, Z, \varphi W) = \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) \left[S(Y, Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - S(\varphi X, Z)g(Y, \varphi W) + g(Y, Z)S(\varphi X, \varphi W) - g(\varphi X, Z)S(Y, \varphi W)\right]$$
(39)

Again using (27) and (28) in (39), we get

$$'K(\varphi X, Y, Z, \varphi W) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) g(Y, Z) g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) g(\varphi X, Z) g(Y, \varphi W)$$
$$- \left(\frac{(2n+1)}{2n}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) [S(Y, Z) g(\varphi X, \varphi W)$$
$$- S(\varphi X, Z) g(Y, \varphi W) + g(Y, Z) S(\varphi X, \varphi W) - g(\varphi X, Z) S(Y, \varphi W)] \tag{40}$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{2n}, \xi\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in M, then $\{\varphi e_1, \varphi e_2, \varphi e_3, \dots, \varphi e_{2n}, \xi\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis. Putting $X = W = e_i$ in (40) and summing over $= 1, \dots, 2n$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} {}^{i}K(\varphi e_{i}, Y, Z, \varphi e_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(Y, Z)g(\varphi e_{i}, \varphi e_{i}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi e_{i}, Z)g(Y, \varphi e_{i}) - \left(\frac{(2n+1)}{2n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(\varphi e_{i}, \varphi e_{i}) - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [S(Y, Z)g(\varphi e_{i}, \varphi e_{i}) - S(\varphi e_{i}, Z)g(Y, \varphi e_{i}) + g(Y, Z)S(\varphi e_{i}, \varphi e_{i}) - g(\varphi e_{i}, Z)S(Y, \varphi e_{i})]$$
(41)

from (41), we get

$$S(Y,Z) = \left(\frac{(10-n+r)}{2(n+1)}\right)g(Y,Z) - \left(\frac{2n+1}{2(n+1)}\right)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \tag{42}$$

Therefore, $S(Y, Z) = ag(Y, Z) + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$, where

$$a = \left(\frac{(10 - n + \tilde{r})}{2(n+1)}\right), \ \ b = \left(\frac{2n+1}{2(n+1)}\right)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$$

This result shows that the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold. This proves the lemma.

In view of Lemma 3.5, we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3.6. If a Kenmotsu manifold is quasi-M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Since a and b are both constant, by Lemma 2.1, we get following:

Corollary 3.7. If a Kenmotsu manifold is quasi-M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

4. ξ -M-Projectively Flat and φ -M-Projectively Flat Kenmotsu Manifolds With Respect to Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection

Let W^* be the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of a (2n+1)-dimensional manifold M. Since at each point $p \in M$ the tangent space $\chi_p(M)$ can be decomposed into the direct sum $\chi_p(M) = \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \oplus L(\xi_p)$, where $L(\xi_p)$ is an 1-dimensional linear subspace of $\chi_p(M)$ generated by ξ_p . Then we have a map

$$W^*: \chi_p(M) \times \chi_p(M) \to \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \oplus L(\xi_p),$$

Let us consider the following particular cases:

- (1) $W^*: \chi_p(M) \times \chi_p(M) \times \chi_p(M) \to L(\xi_p)$, i.e., the projection of the image of W^* in $\varphi(\chi_p(M))$ is zero.
- (2) $W^*: \chi_p(M) \times \chi_p(M) \times \chi_p(M) \to \varphi(\chi_p(M))$, i.e., the projection of the image of W^* in $L(\xi_p)$ is zero.

$$W^*(X,Y)\xi = 0 \tag{43}$$

(3) $W^*: \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \times \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \times \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \to L(\xi_p)$, i.e., when W^* is restricted to $\varphi(\chi_p(M)) \times \varphi(\chi_p(M)) \times \varphi(\chi_p(M))$, the projection of the image of W^* in $\varphi(\chi_p(M))$ is zero. This condition is equivalent to

$$\varphi^2 W^*(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z = 0 \tag{44}$$

Here the cases 1, 2 and 3 are conformally symmetric, ξ -conformally flat and φ -conformally flat respectively. The cases (1) and (2) were considered in [4] and [22] respectively. the case (3) was considered in [23] for the case M is a K-contact manifold. Analogous to the definition of ξ -conformally flat and φ -conformally flat, we give the following definitions:

Definition 4.1. A Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection is said to be ξ -M-projectively flat if

$$P(X,Y)\xi = 0 \tag{45}$$

Definition 4.2. A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be φ -M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection if

$$g(P(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) = 0 \tag{46}$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (26) and using (13) and (14), we get

$$R(X,Y)\xi = K(X,Y)\xi + \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \tag{47}$$

using (19) in (47), we get

$$R(X,Y)\xi = 2K(X,Y)\xi\tag{48}$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (10), we have

$$P(X,Y)\xi = R(X,Y)\xi - (\frac{1}{4n})[S(Y,\xi)X - S(X,\xi)Y + g(Y,\xi)QX - g(X,\xi)QY$$
(49)

Using (29) and (48) in (49), we get

$$P(X,Y)\xi = 0 \tag{50}$$

This leads the following:

Theorem 4.3. If a Kenmotsu manifold admits a semi-symmetric metric connection, then the Kenmotsu manifold is ξ -M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection.

Putting $Y = \varphi Y$ and $Z = \varphi Z$ in (37), we obtain

$$g(P(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) = g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) [S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - S(\varphi X, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, \varphi W)$$

$$+ g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)S(\varphi X, \varphi W) - g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)S(\varphi Y, \varphi W)$$

$$(51)$$

Using (13), (14), (26) and (28) in (51), we get

$$g(P(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) = g(K(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, \varphi W)$$
$$-\left(\frac{1}{4n}\right)[S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - S(\varphi X, \varphi Z)g(Y, \varphi W) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)S(\varphi X, \varphi W)$$
$$-g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)S(\varphi Y, \varphi W)] \tag{52}$$

Using (46) in (52), we get

$$g(K(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi W) = (\frac{1}{n})g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) + (\frac{1}{n})g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, \varphi W)$$
$$-\left(\frac{1}{4n}\right)[S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi W) - S(\varphi X, \varphi Z)g(Y, \varphi W)$$
$$+g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)S(\varphi X, \varphi W) - g(\varphi X, \varphi Z)S(\varphi Y, \varphi W)]$$
(53)

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{2n}, \xi\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in M, then $\{\varphi e_1, \varphi e_2, \varphi e_3, \dots, \varphi e_{2n}, \xi\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis. Putting $X = W = e_i$ in (53) and summing over $= 1, \dots, 2n$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(K(\varphi e_i, \varphi Y)\varphi Z, \varphi e_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\varphi e_i, \varphi Z)g(\varphi Y, \varphi e_i)$$
$$-\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)g(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) + S(\varphi e_i, \varphi Z)g(Y, \varphi e_i)$$
$$-g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)S(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) - g(\varphi e_i, \varphi Z)S(\varphi Y, \varphi e_i)]$$
(54)

From (54), we get

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) = \frac{10n - 4 + r}{2(n+1)} g(\varphi Y, \varphi Z)$$
(55)

Using (15) and (22) in (55) we get

$$S(Y,Z) = \frac{10n - 4 + r}{2(n+1)}g(Y,Z) - \frac{4n^2 + 14n - 4 + r}{2(n+1)}\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$
 (56)

Therefore, $S(Y, Z) = ag(Y, Z) + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$. Where

$$a = \frac{10n - 4 + r}{2(n+1)}, \ b = -\frac{4n^2 + 14n - 4 + r}{2(n+1)}$$

This leads the following:

Theorem 4.4. If a Kenmotsu manifold is φ -M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Since a and b are both constant, by Lemma 2.1 we get following:

Corollary 4.5. If a Kenmotsu manifold is φ -M-projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

5. Kenmotsu Manifold with Respect to the Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection Satisfying P.S = 0

In this Section we consider Kenmotsu Manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection M^{2n+1} satisfying condition

$$(P(U,Y).S)(Z,X) = 0$$

Then we have

$$S(P(U,Y)Z,X) + S(Z,P(U,Y)X) = 0 (57)$$

Putting $U = \xi$ in (57), we get

$$S(P(\xi, Y)Z, X) + S(Z, P(\xi, Y)X) = 0$$
(58)

Putting $X = \xi$ and using (28) and (29) in (10), we obtain

$$P(\xi, Y)Z = R(\xi, Y)Z - \left(\frac{1}{4n}\right) \left[S(Y, Z)\xi - 2(5n - 1)g(Y, Z)\xi + 2(2n + 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi\right]$$
(59)

Again putting $X = \xi$ in (26) and using (20), we get

$$R(\xi, Y)Z = 2[\eta(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\xi] \tag{60}$$

Using (28), (29), (59) and (60) in (58), we obtain

$$S(Y,Z) = \left(\frac{2(11n+7)}{3}\right)g(Y,Z) + \left(\frac{4(1-2n)}{3}\right)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \tag{61}$$

Therefore, $S(Y,Z) = ag(Y,Z) + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$. Where

$$a = \left(\frac{2(11n+7)}{3}\right), b = \left(\frac{4(1-2n)}{3}\right)$$

This leads the following:

Theorem 5.1. If a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfying P.S = 0, then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Since a and b are both constant, by Lemma 2.1, we get following:

Corollary 5.2. If a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfying P.S = 0, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

References

- [1] K.Amur and S.S.Pujar, On submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold admitting a metric semi-symmetric connection, Tensor, N.S., 32(1978), 35-38.
- [2] T.Q.Binh, On semi-symmetric connection, Periodica Math. Hungerica, 21(2)(1990), 101-107.
- [3] D.E.Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Note in Mathematics, 509, Springer-Verlag Berlin, (1976).
- [4] J.L.Cabrerizo, M.Fernandez, L.M.Fernandez and G.Zhen, On ξ-conformally at K-contact manifolds, Indian J. Pure and Applied Math., 28(1997), 725-734.
- [5] S.K.Chaubey and R.H.Ojha, On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Filomat, 25(4)(2011), 19-27.
- [6] S.K.Chaubey and R.H.Ojha, On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Filmot, 26(2)(2012), 63-69.
- [7] U.C.De and S.C.Biswas, On a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Pub. De L Institut Math., N.S., Tome, 61(75)(1997), 90-96.
- [8] U.C.De and G.Pathak, On 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds, Indian J. Pure Applied Math., 35(2004), 159-165.
- [9] A.Friedmann and J.A.Schouten, Uber die Geometric der halb symmetrischen Ubertragung, Math., Zeitschr., 21(1924), 211-223.
- [10] H.A.Hayden, Subspaces of space with torsion, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34(1932), 27-50.
- [11] S.Ianus and D.Smaranda, Some remarkable structures on the product of an almost contact metric manifold with the real line, Papers from the National Coll. on Geometry and Topology, Univ. Timisoara, (1997), 107-110.
- [12] J.B.Jun, U.C.De and G.Pathak, On Kenmotsu manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc., 42(2005), 435-445.
- [13] K.Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 24(1972), 93-103.
- [14] A.Oubina, New classes of contact metric structures, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 32(3-4)(1985), 187-193.
- [15] M.Prvanovic, On pseudo metric semi-symmetric connections, Pub. De L Institut Math., Nouvelle serie, 18(32)(1975), 157-164.
- [16] A.Sharfuddin and S.I.Hussain, Semi-symmetric metric connexions in almost contact manifolds, Tensor, N.S., 30(1976), 133-139.
- [17] S.Tanno, The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. j., 21(1969), 21-38.
- [18] K.Yano, On semi-symmetric connection, Revue Roumaine de Math. Pure et Appliques, 15(1970), 1570-1586.
- [19] K.Yano and S.Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers, Annals of Mathematics studies, 32 (Princeton university press), (1953).
- [20] A.Yildiz, U.C.De and B.E.Acet, On Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying certain curvature conditions, SUT J. of Math., 45(2)(2009), 89-101.
- [21] H.B.Yilmaz, On weakly symmetric manifolds with a type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Annales Polonici Mathematici, 102(3)(2011).

- $[22] \ \text{G.Zhen}, \ \textit{On conformal symmetric K-contact manifolds}, \ \text{Chinese Quart. J. Math.}, \ 7(1992), \ 5\text{-}10.$
- [23] G.Zhen, J.L.Cabrerizo, L.M.Fernandez and M.Fernandez, *The structure of a class of K-contact manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar, 82(4)(1999), 331-340.
- [24] F.O.Zengin, S.A.Uysal and S.A.Demirbag, On sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection, Ann. Polon. Math., 101(2011), 131-138.