



Hyers-Ulam Stability of Quartic Functional Equation in Matrix Paranormed Spaces

Research Article

R.Murali^{1*}, A.Antony Raj¹ and M.Boobalan¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur, TamilNadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of quartic functional equation

$$f(3a + b) + f(a + 3b) = 24f(a + b) - 6f(a + b) + 64f(a) + 64f(b)$$

in matrix paranormed spaces.

MSC: 39B52, 39B62, 39B72, 39B82, 46L07, 47L25.

Keywords: Matrix paranormed spaces, Hyers-Ulam stability, quartic functional equation.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem for functional equations starts from the famous talk of Ulam and the partial solution of Hyers to the Ulam's problem (see [20] and [10]). Thereafter, Rassias [17] attempted to solve the stability problem of the Cauchy additive functional equation in a more general setting. The concept introduced by Rassias's theorem significantly influenced a number of mathematicians to investigate the stability problems for various functional equations (see [1], [10], [9], [11], [12], [13]). Choonkil Park and Dong Yun Shin [2] investigated functional equation in paranormed spaces. Choonkil Park and Jung Rye Lee [3] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of an additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic functional equation in paranormed spaces. Recently, Choonkil Park and Dong Yun Shin [4] prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of Cauchy additive functional inequality, the Cauchy additive functional equation and quadratic functional equation in matrix paranormed spaces. The concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [7] and Steinhaus [19] independently, and since then several generalizations and applications of this notion have been investigated by various authors [8], [14], [16], [18]. This notion was defined in normed spaces by Kolk [15]. We recall some basic facts concerning Fréchet spaces.

Definition 1.1 ([21]). Let X be a vector space. A paranorm $P(\cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function on X such that

(1). $P(0) = 0$;

(2). $P(-x) = P(x)$;

* E-mail: shermurali@yahoo.co.in

(3). $P(x + y) \leq P(x) + P(y)$ (triangle inequality);

(4). If $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence of scalars with $t_n \rightarrow t$ and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $P(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$, then $P(t_n x_n - tx) \rightarrow 0$ (continuity of multiplication). The pair $(X, P(\cdot))$ is called a paranormed space if $P(\cdot)$ is a paranorm on X . The paranorm is called total if, in addition, we have

(5). $P(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$. A Frechet space is a total and complete paranormed space.

We will use the following notations: $M_n(X)$ is the set of all $n \times n$ matrices in X ; $e_j \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is that j th component is 1 and the other components are zero; $E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is that (i, j) - component is 1 and the other components are zero; $E_{ij} \otimes x \in M_n(X)$ is that (i, j) - component is x and the other components are zero. For $x \in M_n(X), y \in M_k(X)$,

$$x \oplus y = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix normed space if and only if $(M_n(X), \|\cdot\|_n)$ is a normed space for each positive integer n and $\|Ax\|_k \leq \|A\| \|x\|_n$ holds for $A \in M_{k,n}, x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ and $B \in M_{n,k}$, and that $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix Banach space if and only if X is a Banach space and $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix normed space.

Definition 1.2. Let $(X, P(\cdot))$ be a paranormed space.

(1). $(X, \{P_n(\cdot)\})$ is a matrix paranormed space if $(M_n(X), P_n(\cdot))$ is a paranormed space for each positive integer n , $P_n(E_{kl} \otimes x) = P(x)$ for $x \in X$, and $P(x_{kl}) \leq P_n([x_{ij}])$ for $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

(2). $(X, \{P_n(\cdot)\})$ is a matrix Frechet space if X is a Frechet space and $(X, \{P_n(\cdot)\})$ is a matrix paranormed space.

Let E, F be vector spaces. For a given mapping $h : E \rightarrow F$ and a given positive integer n , define $h_n : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$ by

$$h_n([x_{ij}]) = [h(x_{ij})]$$

for all $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$. Throughout this paper, let $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be a matrix Banach space and $(Y, \{P_n(\cdot)\})$ be a matrix Frechet space. Note that $P(2x) \leq 2P(x)$ for all $x \in Y$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $(X, \{P_n(\cdot)\})$ be a matrix paranormed space. Then

(1). $P(x_{kl}) \leq P_n([x_{ij}]) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(x_{ij})$ for $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

(2). $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_s = x$ if and only if $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_{sij} = x_{ij}$ for $x_s = [x_{sij}], x = [x_{ij}] \in M_k(X)$.

Proof.

(1). By Definition 1.2, $P(x_{kl}) \leq P_n([x_{ij}])$. Since $[x_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$,

$$P_n([x_{ij}]) = P_n\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\right) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n P_n(E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(x_{ij}).$$

(2). By (1), we have

$$P(x_{skl} - x_{kl}) \leq P_n([x_{sij} - x_{ij}]) = P_n([x_{sij}] - [x_{ij}]) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(x_{sij} - x_{ij}).$$

So, we get the result.

□

Lemma 1.4. *Let $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be a matrix normed space. Then*

- (1). $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$ for $x \in X$;
- (2). $\|x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|$ for $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$;
- (3). $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{ijn} = x_{ij}$ for $x_n = [x_{ijn}]$, $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_k(X)$.

Proof.

(1). Since $E_{kl} \otimes x = e_k^* x e_l$ and $\|e_k^*\| = \|e_l\| = 1$, $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n \leq \|x\|$. Since $e_k (E_{kl} \otimes x) e_l^* = x$, $\|x\| \leq \|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n$. So, $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$.

(2). Since $e_k x e_l^* = x_{kl}$ and $\|e_k\| = \|e_l^*\| = 1$, $\|x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{ij}]\|_n$. Since $[x_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$,

$$\|[x_{ij}]\|_n = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij} \right\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\|_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|.$$

(3). By

$$\|x_{kln} - x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{ijn} - x_{ij}]\|_n = \|[x_{ijn}] - [x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ijn} - x_{ij}\|,$$

we get the result.

□

2. Hyers-Ulam Stability of the Quartic Functional Equation in Matrix Paranormed Spaces

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam Stability of the quartic functional equation in Matrix Paranormed spaces. For a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, define $Df : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ and $Df_n : M_n(X^2) \rightarrow M_n(Y)$ by

$$Df(a, b) = f(3a + b) + f(a + 3b) - 24f(a + b) + 6f(a + b) - 64f(a) - 64f(b).$$

$$Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) = f_n(3[x_{ij}] + [y_{ij}]) + f_n([x_{ij}] + 3[y_{ij}]) - 24f_n([x_{ij} + y_{ij}]) + 6f_n([x_{ij} + y_{ij}]) - 64f_n([x_{ij}]) - 64f_n([y_{ij}]).$$

for all $a, b \in X$ and all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let q, θ be positive real numbers with $q > 4$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$P_n(Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta (\|x_{ij}\|^q + \|y_{ij}\|^q) \tag{1}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Then there exist a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$P_n(f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\theta}{3^q - 81} \|x_{ij}\|^q \tag{2}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Let $n = 1$ in (1). Then (1) is equivalent to

$$P(f(3a+b) + f(a+3b) - 24f(a+b) + 6f(a+b) - 64f(a) - 64f(b)) \leq \theta(\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q) \quad (3)$$

for all $a, b \in X$. Letting $b = 0$ in (3), we get $P(f(3a) - 81f(a)) \leq \theta\|a\|^q$ for all $a \in X$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(f\left(\frac{a}{3}\right) - 81f\left(\frac{a}{3^2}\right)\right) &\leq \frac{1}{3^q}\theta\left\|\frac{a}{3}\right\|^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3^q \cdot 3^q}\theta\|a\|^q \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(81^l f\left(\frac{a}{3^l}\right) - 81^m f\left(\frac{a}{3^m}\right)\right) &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} P\left(81^j f\left(\frac{a}{3^j}\right) - 81^{j+1} f\left(\frac{a}{3^{j+1}}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3^q} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{81^j}{3^{qj}} \theta \|a\|^q. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $a \in X$ and nonnegative integers m and l with $m < l$. It follows from (4) that the sequence $\{81^k f(\frac{a}{3^k})\}$ is a Cauchy for all $a \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{81^k f(\frac{a}{3^k})\}$ converges. So, one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(a) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 81^k f(\frac{a}{3^k})$ for all $a \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4), we get

$$P(f(a) - Q(a)) \leq \frac{\theta}{3^q - 81} \|a\|^q \quad (5)$$

for all $a \in X$. It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(81^k \left(f\left(\frac{3a+b}{3^k}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+3b}{3^k}\right) - 24f\left(\frac{a+b}{3^k}\right) + 6f\left(\frac{a-b}{3^k}\right) - 64f\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right) - 64f\left(\frac{b}{3^k}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq 81^k P\left(f\left(\frac{3a+b}{3^k}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+3b}{3^k}\right) - 24f\left(\frac{a+b}{3^k}\right) + 6f\left(\frac{a-b}{3^k}\right) - 64f\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right) - 64f\left(\frac{b}{3^k}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{81^k}{3^{kq}} \theta (\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So,

$$P(Q(3a+b) + Q(a+3b) - 24Q(a+b) + 6Q(a+b) - 64Q(a) - 64Q(b)) = 0$$

$$Q(3a+b) + Q(a+3b) = 24Q(a+b) - 6Q(a-b) + 64Q(a) + 64Q(b)$$

for all $a, b \in X$. Hence $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic. Now let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quartic mapping satisfying (5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(Q(a) - T(a)) &= P\left(81^k \left(Q\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right) - T\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq 81^k \left(P\left(Q\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right) - f\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right)\right) + P\left(T\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right) - f\left(\frac{a}{3^k}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 81^k}{(3^q 81) 3^{kq}} \theta \|a\|^q, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for all $a \in X$. So, we conclude that $Q(a) = T(a)$ for all $a \in X$. By Lemma (1.3) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])) &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\theta}{3^q - 81} \|x_{ij}\|^q \end{aligned}$$

for all $x = [x_{i,j}] \in M_n(X)$. Thus $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ is unique quartic mapping satisfying (2) as desired. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let q, θ be positive real numbers with $q < 4$. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta (P(x_{ij})^q + P(y_{ij})^q) \tag{6}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(Y)$. Then there exist a unique quartic mapping $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f_n[x_{ij}] - Q_n[x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\theta}{81 - 3^q} P(x_{ij})^q \tag{7}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(Y)$.

Proof. Let $n = 1$ in (6). Then (6) is equivalent to

$$\|f(3a + b) + f(a + 3b) - 24f(a + b) + 6f(a + b) - 64f(a) - 64f(b)\| \leq \theta(P(a)^q + P(b)^q) \tag{8}$$

for all $a, b \in Y$. Letting $b = 0$ in (8), we get $\|f(3a) - 81f(a)\| \leq \theta P(a)^q$ for all $a \in Y$. Similarly, $\|f(3a) - \frac{1}{81}f(3^2a)\| \leq \frac{3^q}{81}\theta P(a)^q$ for all $a \in Y$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{81^l} f(3^l a) - \frac{1}{81^m} f(3^m a) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{81^j} f(3^j a) - \frac{1}{81^{j+1}} f(3^{j+1} a) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{3^{qj}}{81^j} \theta P(a)^q \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for all $a, b \in X$ and nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$. It follows from (9) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{81^k} f(3^k a)\}$ is Cauchy for all $a \in Y$. Since X is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{81^k} f(3^k a)\}$ converges. So, one can define the mapping $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ by $Q(a) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{\frac{1}{81^k} f(3^k a)\}$ for all $a \in Y$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (9), we get

$$\|f(a) - Q(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{81 - 3^q} \theta P(a)^q \tag{10}$$

for all $a \in Y$. It follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{81^k} \left(f(3^k(3a + b)) + f(3^k(a + 3b)) - 24f(3^k(a + b)) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 6f(3^k(a + b)) - 64f(3^k(a)) - 64f(3^k(b)) \right) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{81^k} \left\| f(3^k(3a + b)) + f(3^k(a + 3b)) - 24f(3^k(a + b)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6f(3^k(a + b)) - 64f(3^k(a)) - 64f(3^k(b)) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{3^{kq}}{81^k} \theta (P(a)^q + P(b)^q) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So,

$$\|Q(3a + b) + Q(a + 3b) - 24Q(a + b) + 6Q(a + b) - 64Q(a) - 64Q(b)\| = 0$$

$$Q(3a + b) + Q(a + 3b) = 24Q(a + b) - 6Q(a - b) + 64Q(a) + 64Q(a)$$

for all $a, b \in Y$. Hence $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ is quartic. Now let $T : Y \rightarrow X$ be another quartic mapping satisfying (10). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(a) - T(a)\| &= \frac{1}{81^k} \|Q(3^k a) - T(3^k a)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81^k} \left(\|Q(3^k a) - f(3^k a)\| + \|T(3^k a) - f(3^k a)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot 3^{kq}}{(81 - 3^q)81^k} \theta P(a)^q \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for all $a \in Y$. So, we conclude that $Q(a) = T(a)$ for all $a \in Y$. By Lemma (1.4) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])\|_n &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\theta}{81 - 3^q} P(x_{ij})^q \end{aligned}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(Y)$. Thus $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ is unique quartic mapping satisfying (7) as desired. \square

References

- [1] T.Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn., 2(1950), 64-66.
- [2] Choonkil Park and Dong Yun Shin, *Functional equations in paranormed spaces*, Advances in Difference Equations, (2012).
- [3] Choonkil Park and Jung Rye Lee, *An AQCCQ-functional equation in paranormed spaces*, Advances in Difference Equations, (2012).
- [4] Choonkil Park, Jung Rye Lee and Dong Yun Shin, *Functional equations and inequalities in matrix paranormed spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 547(2013), 1-13.
- [5] P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, Singapore, (2002).
- [6] S.Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, (2002).
- [7] H.Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., 2(1951), 241-244.
- [8] J.A.Fridy, *On statistical convergence Analysis*, 5(1985), 301-313.
- [9] G.Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184(1994), 431-436.
- [10] D.H.Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 27(1941), 222-224.
- [11] D.H.Hyers, G.Isac and T.M.Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhuser, Basel, (1998).
- [12] D.H.Hyers and Th.M.Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., 44(1992), 125-153.
- [13] S.M.Jung, *Hyers-Ulam Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York, (2011).
- [14] S.Karakus, *Statistical convergence on probabilistic normed spaces*, Math. Commun., 12(2007), 11-23.
- [15] E.Kolk, *The statistical convergence in Banach spaces*, Tartu lik. Toim, 928(1991), 41-52.
- [16] M.Mursaleen, *λ -Statistical convergence*, Math. Slovaca, 50(2000), 111-115.
- [17] T.M.Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 72(1978), 297-300.
- [18] T.Salat, *On the statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, 30(1980), 139-150.
- [19] H.Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math., 2(1951), 73-74.
- [20] S.M.Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, (1960).
- [21] A.Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Space*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1978).