



I- Convergence Difference Sequence Classes of Fuzzy Real Numbers Defined By Sequence of Modulus Functions

Research Article

Manmohan Das^{1*} and Sanjay Kr. Das²

1 Department of Mathematics, Bajali College (Gauhati University), Assam, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, Handique Girls College (Gauhati University), Assam, India.

Abstract: In this article our aim to introduce some new I- convergence difference sequence classes of fuzzy real numbers defined by sequence of modulus functions and studies some topological and algebraic properties. Also we establish some inclusion relations.

MSC: 40A05, 40D25, 46A45, 46E30.

Keywords: Fuzzy real number, I- convergence, modulus function, difference sequence.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [16]. After that many authors have studied and generalized this notion in many ways, due to the potential of the introduced notion. Also it has wide range of applications in almost all the branches of studied in particular science, where mathematics is used. It attracted many workers to introduce different types of fuzzy sequence spaces. Bounded and convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers were studied by Matloka [8]. Later on sequences of fuzzy numbers have been studied by Kaleva and Seikkala [2], Tripathy and Sarma ([13, 14]) and many others.

I-convergence of real valued sequence was studied at the initial stage by Kostyrko, alt and Wilczyski [4] which generalizes and unifies different notions of convergence of sequences. The notion was further studied by Salat, Tripathy and Ziman [9]. Let X be a non-empty set, then a non-void class $I \subseteq 2^X$ (power set of X) is called an ideal if I is additive (i.e. $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$) and hereditary (i.e. $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$). An ideal $I \subseteq 2^X$ is said to be non-trivial if $I \neq 2^X$. A non-trivial ideal I is said to be admissible if I contains every finite subset of N . A non-trivial ideal I is said to be maximal if there does not exist any non-trivial ideal $J \neq I$ containing I as a subset.

Let X be a non-empty set, then a non-void class $F \subseteq 2^X$ is said to be a filter in X if $\emptyset \notin F$; $A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$ and $A \in F, A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in F$. For any ideal I , there is a filter $\Psi(I)$ corresponding to I , given by $\Psi(I) = \{K \subseteq N : N \setminus K \in I\}$.

Example 1.1.

(a). Let $I = I_f$, the class of all finite subsets of N . Then I_f is a non-trivial admissible ideal.

* E-mail: mdas.bajali@gmail.com

- (b). Let $A \subset N$. If $\delta(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_A(k)$ exists, then the class I_δ of all $A \subset N$ with $\delta(A) = 0$ forms a non-trivial admissible ideal.
- (c). Let $A \subset N$ and $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$, for all $n \in N$. If $d(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_A(k)}{k}$ exists, then the class I_d of all $A \subset N$ with $d(A) = 0$ forms a non-trivial admissible ideal.
- (d). The uniform density of a set $A \subset N$ is defined as follows. For integers $t \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$, let $A(t+1, t+s) = \text{card} \{ n \in A : t+1 \leq n \leq t+s \}$. Put $\beta_s = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s}$, $\beta^s = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s}$. If $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_s}{s}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^s}{s}$ both exist and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_s}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^s}{s} (= u(A), \text{ say})$, then $u(A)$ is called the uniform density of A . The class I_u of all $A \subset N$ with $u(A) = 0$ forms a non-trivial ideal.

A modulus function f is a function from $[0,8)$ to $[0,8)$ such that :

- (i). $f(x) = 0$ iff $x = 0$
- (ii). $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$.
- (iii). f is increasing.
- (iv). f is continuous from the right at 0 .

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on $[0,8)$ and a modulus function may be bounded or not bounded. Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow R$ is called paranorm if

- (1). $p(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$
- (2). $p(-x) = p(x)$ for all $x \in X$
- (3). $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (4). If (λ_n) be a sequence of scalars such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) be a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space.

Kizmaz [5] defined the difference sequence spaces $l_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$ for crisp sets as follows :

$$Z(\Delta) = \{X = (X_k) : \Delta X_k \in Z\}$$

Where $Z = l_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$ and $\Delta X_k = X_k - X_{k+1}$

2. Definitions and Background

Let D denote the set of all closed and bounded intervals $X = [a_1, b_1]$ on the real line R . For $X = [a_1, b_1] \in D$ and $Y = [a_2, b_2] \in D$, define $d(X, Y)$ by

$$d(X, Y) = \max(|a_1 - b_1|, |a_2 - b_2|).$$

It is known that (D, d) is a complete metric space. A fuzzy real number X is a fuzzy set on R . That is a mapping $X : R \rightarrow L (= [0, 1])$ associating each real number t with its grade of membership $X(t)$. The α -level set $[X]^\alpha$ set of a fuzzy real number X for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, defined as $X^\alpha = \{t \in R : X(t) \geq \alpha\}$. A fuzzy real number X is called convex, if

$X(t) \geq X(s) \wedge X(r) = \min(X(s), X(r))$, where $s < t < r$. If there exists $t_0 \in R$ such that $X(t_0) = 1$, then the fuzzy real number X is called normal. A fuzzy real number X is said to be upper semi-continuous if for each $\epsilon > 0$, $X^{-1}([0, a + \epsilon))$, for all $a \in L$ is open in the usual topology of R . The set of all upper semi-continuous, normal, convex fuzzy number is denoted by $L(R)$. The absolute value $|X|$ of $X \in L(R)$ is defined as (see for instance Kaleva and Seikkala [2]).

$$|X|(t) = \max\{X(t), X(-t)\}, \text{ if } t = 0$$

$$= 0, \text{ if } t < 0.$$

Let $\bar{d}: L(R) \times L(R) \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\bar{d}(X, Y) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} d(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha)$. Then \bar{d} defines a metric on $L(R)$. A sequence $X = (X_k)$ of fuzzy numbers is a function X from the set N of all positive integers into $L(R)$. The fuzzy number X_k denotes the value of the function at $k \in N$ and is called the k -th term or general term of the sequence. The set of all sequences of fuzzy numbers is denoted by w^F .

A sequence (X_k) of fuzzy real numbers is said to be convergent to the fuzzy real number X_0 , if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in N$ such that $\bar{d}(X_k, X_0) < \epsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$. A sequence space E^F is said to be symmetric if $(X_{\pi(k)}) \in E^F$, whenever $(X_k) \in E^F$, π is a permutation on N . A sequence $X = (X_k)$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be I-convergent if there exists a fuzzy number X_0 such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{k \in N : \bar{d}(X_k, X_0) \geq \epsilon\} \in I$. We write $I\text{-}\lim X_k = X_0$. A sequence (X_k) of fuzzy numbers is said to be I-bounded if there exists a real number μ such that the set $\{k \in N : \bar{d}(X_k, \bar{0}) > \mu\} \in I$. If $I = I_f$, then I_f convergence coincides with the usual convergence of fuzzy sequences. If $I = I_d(I_\delta)$, then $I_d(I_\delta)$ convergence coincides with statistical convergence (logarithmic convergence) of fuzzy sequences. If $I = I_u$, I_u convergence is said to be uniform convergence of fuzzy sequences. Throughout $c^{I(F)}$, $c_0^{I(F)}$ and $\ell_\infty^{I(F)}$ denote the spaces of fuzzy real-valued I-convergent, I-null and I-bounded sequences respectively.

It is clear from the definitions that $c_0^{I(F)} \subset c^{I(F)} \subset \ell_\infty^{I(F)}$ and the inclusions are proper. It can be easily shown that $\ell_\infty^{I(F)}$ is complete with respect to the metric ρ defined by $f(X, Y) = \sup_n \bar{d}(X_k, Y_k)$, where $X = (X_k), Y = (Y_k) \in \ell_\infty^{I(F)}$.

Lemma 2.1.

- (a) The condition $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, t > 0$ hold iff there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_k f_k(t_0) < \infty$.
- (b) The condition $\inf_k f_k(t) > \infty, t > 0$ hold iff there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\inf_k f_k(t_0) > \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. Let (α_k) and (β_k) be sequences of real or complex numbers and (p_k) be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, then

$$|\alpha_k + \beta_k|^{p_k} \leq D (|\alpha_k|^{p_k} + |\beta_k|^{p_k})$$

and $|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H)$ where $D = \max(1, |\lambda|^{H-1})$, $H = \sup p_k$, λ is any real or complex number.

Lemma 2.3. If \bar{d} is translation invariant then

- (a) $\bar{d}(X_k + Y_k, 0) \leq \bar{d}(X_k, 0) + \bar{d}(Y_k, 0)$.
- (b) $\bar{d}(\alpha X_k, 0) \leq |\alpha| \bar{d}(X_k, 0)$, $|\alpha| > 1$.

3. Main Results

Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $v = (v_k)$ a sequence of positive real numbers. For positive integers r, s we define the following new sequence classes:

$$(c^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I\text{-}\lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_0)]^{p_k}) = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I$$

where $(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k) = (\Delta_{(v,r)}^{s-1} X_k - \Delta_{(v,r)}^{s-1} X_{k-r})$ and $\Delta_{(v,r)}^0 X_k = v_k X_k$ for all $k \in N$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \binom{s}{i} v_{k-ri} X_{k-ri}.$$

$$(c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) = 0 \right\} \in I.$$

$$(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) < \infty \right\} \in I.$$

3.1. Some Special Cases

(a) If $F = f_k(x) = x$ for all k , then we have

$$(c^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_0)]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I$$

$$(c_0^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k} = 0 \right\} \in I$$

$$(l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \sup_k [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k} < \infty \right\} \in I.$$

(b) If $(p_k) = 1$ for all $k \in N$, we have

$$(c^I)^F(F, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_0)]) = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I$$

$$(c_0^I)^F(F, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]) = 0 \right\} \in I$$

$$(l_\infty^I)^F(F, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]) < \infty \right\} \in I$$

(c) If $F = f_k(x) = x$ and $(p_k) = 1$ for all $k \in N$, then

$$(c^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_0)] = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I$$

$$(c_0^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})] = 0 \right\} \in I$$

$$(l_\infty^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \sup_k [\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})] < \infty \right\} \in I.$$

(d) If $(v_k) = 1$ for all $k \in N$, then

$$(c^I)^F(F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, \Delta_r^s X_0)]^{p_k}) = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I$$

$$(c_0^I)^F(F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \lim f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) = 0 \right\} \in I$$

$$(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) \in w^F : I - \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) < \infty \right\} \in I.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, then $(c^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$, $(c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ and $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ are closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

Proof. We will prove the result for $(c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. Let $X = (X_k)$ and $Y = (Y_k) \in (c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. For scalars $\alpha, \beta \in C$, there exist integers a_α and b_β such that $|\alpha| \leq a_\alpha$ and $|\beta| \leq b_\beta$. Since $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, we have

$$f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s(\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k), \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \leq D(a_\alpha)^H f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) + D(b_\beta)^H f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s Y_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k \in (c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, then $(l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) \subset (l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$.

Proof. Let $X = (X_k) \in (l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$, then we have $I - \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a $\delta > 0$ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f_k(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I - \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) &= I - \sup_{k, \bar{d}(X_k, \bar{0}) \leq \delta} f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) + I - \sup_{k, \bar{d}(X_k, \bar{0}) > \delta} f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{M}{\delta} \sup_k [([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k})] \text{ (by properties of modulus function)} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X = (X_k) \in (l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions and $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k(t)}{t} > 0$, then $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) \subset (l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$.

Proof. Let $X = (X_k) \in (l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. By definition of α , we have $f_k(t) \geq \alpha t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since $\alpha > 0$, we have $t \leq \frac{f_k(t)}{\alpha}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I - \sup_k ([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) &\leq I - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_k f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

This follows that $X = (X_k) \in (l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ and hence $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) \subset (l_\infty^I)^F(p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, then $(l_\infty^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s) \subset (c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_k(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions and if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_k(t) = \infty$ for $t > 0$ then $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s) \subset (c_0^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$.

Proof. Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_k(t) = \infty$ for $t > 0$. If $X = (X_k) \in (l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. Then, $f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \leq M < \infty$ for all k . If possible let $X = (X_k) \notin (c_0^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0}) < \varepsilon$ for $k \geq k_0$. Therefore, $f_k(\varepsilon) \geq f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \leq M$ for $k \geq k_0$. This contradicts to our assumption that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_k(t) = \infty$ for $t > 0$ and hence $X = (X_k) \in (c_0^I)^F(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions then $(c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ and $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$ are paranormed spaces with the paranorm

$$h(X) = \sup_k \{ f_k([\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}) \}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Where $M = \max \left\{ 1, \sup_k p_k \right\}$.

Proof. Obviously $h(X) = h(-X)$ for all $X \in (c_0^I)^F(F, p, \Delta_{(v,r)}^s)$. It is trivial that $\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k = \bar{0}$ for $X = \bar{0}$. Since $\frac{p_k}{M} \leq 1$, since \bar{d} is translation invariant and by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\{ f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s (X_k + Y_k), \bar{0})]^{p_k} \}^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq \{ f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k} \}^{\frac{1}{M}} + \{ f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_{(v,r)}^s Y_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k} \}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Hence, $h(X + Y) \leq h(X) + h(Y)$. Finally to check the continuity of scalar multiplication, let λ be any scalar, by definition we have

$$h(\lambda X) = \sup_k \{ f_k[\bar{d}(\lambda \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k} \}^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq K \frac{H}{\lambda} g(X)$$

where $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$. $H = \sup_k p_k < \infty$. Where K_λ is positive integer such that $|\lambda| \leq K_\lambda$. Let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for any fixed X with $g(X) = 0$. By definition for $|\lambda| \leq 1$, we have

$$\sup_k \{f_k[\bar{d}(\lambda \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}\} \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n > N(\varepsilon).$$

Also for $1 \leq n \leq N$ by taking λ small enough, since f_k is continuous, we get

$$\sup_k \{f_k[\bar{d}(\lambda \Delta_{(v,r)}^s X_k, \bar{0})]^{p_k}\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Implies that $h(\lambda X) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.7. *If I is an admissible ideal then $(c^I)^F(F, p)$, $(c_0^I)^F(F, p)$ and $(l_\infty^I)^F(F, p)$ are complete metric spaces under the metric*

$$h(X, Y) = \sup_k \{f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, \Delta_r^s Y_k)]^{p_k}\}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

where $M = \max\left\{1, \sup_k p_k\right\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that this is a metric on $(c^I)^F(F, p)$. To show completeness. Let (X^i) be a Cauchy sequence in $(c^I)^F(F, p)$ where $(X^i) = (X_k^i)$. Therefore for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $i_0 \in N$ such that $h(X^i, X^j) < \varepsilon$ for all $i, j \geq i_0$. i.e $\sup_k \{f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^j)]^{p_k}\}^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon$ for all $i, j \geq i_0$. This means $\sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^j)]^{p_k}) < \varepsilon$ for all $i, j \geq i_0$. Since f is modulus function, so choosing suitable $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and we obtain $\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^j) < \varepsilon_1$ for all $i, j \geq i_0$ and for each k . i.e $(\Delta_r^s X_k^i)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L(R)$ for each k . Keeping i fixed and letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, one can find that $\sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^j)]^{p_k}) < \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq i_0$. That means, $h(X^i, X) < \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Next to show $X \in (c^I)^F(F, p)$, for which the proof as follows: Since $(X_k^i) \in (c^I)^F(F, p)$ for $i \in N$, so for i, j , there exist $L^i, L^j \in L(R)$ and $k_i, k_j \in N$ Such that $\sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, L^i)]^{p_k}) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_i$ and $\sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^j, L^j)]^{p_k}) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_j$. Now let $k_0 = \max(k_i, k_j)$ and $i, j \geq i_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(L^i, L^j)]^{p_k}) &\leq C \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(L^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^i)]^{p_k}) + C \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^i, \Delta_r^s X_k^j)]^{p_k}) + C \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^j, L^j)]^{p_k}) \\ &< 3C\varepsilon \text{ for all } i, j \geq i_0 \text{ and } k \geq k_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (L^i) is a Cauchy sequence in $L(R)$. So there exists $L \in L(R)$ such that $L^i \rightarrow L$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now keeping i fixed and letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, once can find $\sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(L^i, L)]^{p_k}) < 3C\varepsilon$ for all $i \geq i_0$ and $k \geq k_0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, L)]^{p_k}) &\leq C \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k, \Delta_r^s X_k^{i_0})]^{p_k}) + \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(\Delta_r^s X_k^{i_0}, L^{i_0})]^{p_k}) + \sup_k (f_k[\bar{d}(L^{i_0}, L)]^{p_k}) \\ &< 2C\varepsilon + 3C^2\varepsilon \cong \varepsilon_1 \text{ for all } k \geq k_0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $X = (X_k) \in (c^I)^F(F, p)$. This completes the proof. □

References

- [1] J.A.Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, 5(1985), 301-313.
- [2] O.Kaleva and S.Seikkala, *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 12(1984), 215-229.
- [3] P.K.Kamthan and M.Gupta, *Sequence spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekkar, (1980).
- [4] P.Kostyrko, T.Salat and W.Wilczynski, *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2000-2001), 669-686.

- [5] H.Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canada. Math. Bull, 24(2)(1981).
- [6] M.A.Krasnoselkii and Y.B.Rutitsky, *Convex functions and Orlicz functions*, Groningen, Netherlands, (1961).
- [7] J.Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math, (101)(1971), 379-390.
- [8] M.Matloka, *Sequences of fuzzy numbers*, BUSEFAL, 28(1986), 28-37.
- [9] H.Nakano, *Modular sequence spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad, 27(1951), 508-512.
- [10] T.Salat, B.C.Tripathy and M.Ziman, *On some properties of I-convergence*, Tatra Mountain Publ. Math., 28(2004), 279-286.
- [11] S.Sarabadan and S.Talebi, *On I-Convergence of Double Sequences in 2-Normed Spaces*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 7(14)(2012), 673-684.
- [12] B.K.Tripathy and B.C.Tripathy, *On I-convergent double sequences*, Soochow Jour. Math., 31(4)(2005), 549-560.
- [13] B.C.Tripathy and B.Sarma, *Statistically Convergent Double Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 32(2)(2006), 211-221.
- [14] B.C.Tripathy and B.Sarma, *Some Double Sequence Spaces of Fuzzy Numbers Defined by Orlicz Functions*, Acta Math. Scientia, 31(1-B)(2011), 134-140.
- [15] B.C.Tripathy and P.C.Das, *Some classes of difference sequences of fuzzy real numbers*, Fasciculi Mathematici, 40(2008), 105-117.
- [16] P.D.Srivasyava and S.Mohanta, *Statistical Convergence of Generalized Difference Sequence Space of Fuzzy Numbers*, Taiwan Journal of Mathematics, 17(5)(2013), 1659-1676.
- [17] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.
- [18] A.Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. II, Cambridge, (1993).