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#### Abstract

In this paper we define and study the concept of binary linear topological spaces (BLTS) and their properties. Here we prove that the binary product of two linear topological spaces is a BLTS. Also we have the main result that the binary product preserve metrizability and normability. Finally we construct a BLTS from a family of binary seminorms on a pair of vector spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

P. Thangavelu and Nithanantha Jothi introduced the concept of binary topology in [4]. It is a single topological structure that carries the subsets of a set $X$ as well as the subsets of another set $Y$ for studying the information about the ordered pair $(A, B)$ of subsets of $X$ and $Y$. A linear topological space is a linear space endowed with a topology such that the vector addition and scalar multiplication are both continuous. The theory of linear topological spaces provide a remarkable economy in discussion of many classical mathematical problems. We introduce the concept of binary topology to linear topological spaces and form the theory of binary linear topology. Section 2 contains the prerequisites for the paper. In section 3 we define the concept of binary linear topological spaces (BLTS). We prove that the binary product of two linear topological spaces is a BLTS. Also we discuss the concept of locally convex BLTS and locally bounded BLTS and prove some of their properties. In section 4 we define binary metric and binary norm. The main result of this section is that the binary product preserve metrizability and normability. Section 5 deals with the construction of a BLTS using a family of binary seminorms.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([4]). Let $X$ and $Y$ be any two non-empty sets and $\wp(X)$ and $\wp(Y)$ be their power sets respectively. A binary topology from $X$ to $Y$ is a binary structure $M \subseteq \wp(X) \times \wp(Y)$ that satisfies the following axioms.

$$
(1) \cdot(\phi, \phi) \text { and }(X, Y) \in M
$$

[^0](2). If $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right) \in M$, then $\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}, B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right) \in M$.
(3). If $\left\{\left(A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a family of members of $M$, then $\left(\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}, \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} B_{\alpha}\right) \in M$.

If $M$ is a binary topology from $X$ to $Y$ then the triplet $(X, Y, M)$ is called a binary topological space and the members of $M$ are called binary open sets. $(C, D)$ is called binary closed if $(X \backslash C, Y \backslash D)$ is binary open. The elements of $X \times Y$ are called the binary points of the binary topological space $(X, Y, M)$. Let $(X, Y, M)$ be a binary topological space and let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. The binary open set $(A, B)$ is called a binary neighbourhood of $(x, y)$ if $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. If $X=Y$ then $M$ is called a binary topology on $X$ and we write $(X, M)$ as a binary space.

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). Let $(X, Y, M)$ be a binary topological space. Then
(1). $\tau(M)=\{A \subseteq X:(A, B) \in M$ for some $B \subseteq Y\}$ is a topology on $X$.
(2). $\tau^{\prime}(M)=\{B \subseteq Y:(A, B) \in M$ for some $A \subseteq X\}$ is a topology on $Y$.

Proposition 2.3 ([4]). Suppose $(X, \rho)$ and $(Y, \sigma)$ are two topological spaces. Then $\rho \times \sigma$ is a binary topology from $X$ to $Y$ such that $\tau(\rho \times \sigma)=\rho$ and $\tau^{\prime}(\rho \times \sigma)=\sigma$.

Definition 2.4 ([5]). A linear topological space is a linear space $E$ with a topology such that addition and scalar multiplication are both continuous. That is for every elements $x, y \in E$ and for every neighbourhood $V$ of $x+y$ there exists neighbourhoods $V_{1}$ of $x$ and $V_{2}$ of $y$ such that $V_{1}+V_{2} \subseteq V$ and also for every neighbourhood $W$ of $\lambda x$ there exists neighbourhoods $K$ of $\lambda$ and $U$ of $x$ such that $K U \subseteq W$. A base for the neighbourhood system of 0 in $E$ is called a local base.

Throughout this paper we consider vector spaces over the same field K.

Definition $2.5([1])$. Let $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of seminorms on a vector space $X$. Then the $\alpha$ th open strip of radius $r$ centered at $x \in X$ is $B_{r}^{\alpha}(x)=\left\{y \in X: \rho_{\alpha}(x-y)<r\right\}$. Let $\varepsilon$ be the collection of all open strips in $X: \varepsilon=\left\{B_{r}^{\alpha}(x): \alpha \in\right.$ $J, r>0, x \in X\}$. The topology $\tau(\varepsilon)$ generated by $\varepsilon$ is called the topology induced by $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([1]). Let $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of seminorms on a vector space $X$. Then $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\cap_{j=1}^{n} B_{r}^{\alpha_{j}}(x): n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{j} \in\right.$ $J, r>0, x \in X\}$ forms a base for the topology induced from these seminorms. In fact if $U$ is open and $x \in U$, then there exists an $r>0$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in J$ such that $\cap_{j=1}^{n} B_{r}^{\alpha_{j}}(x) \subseteq U$. Further every element of $\mathcal{B}$ is convex.

Theorem 2.7 ([1]). If $X$ is a vector space whose topology is induced from a family of seminorms $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J, ~ t h e n ~} X$ is a locally convex topological vector space.

## 3. Binary Linear Topology

Definition 3.1. A binary topology between two vector spaces is said to be binary linear if the two operations are continuous i.e. if $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are two vector spaces over the same field $K$ and for every neighbourhoods $U$ of $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}, \exists$ two neighbourhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ respectively such that $U_{1}+U_{2} \subseteq U$. Similarly for every neighbourhood $W$ of $(\lambda x, \lambda y) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$ there exists a neighbourhood $W^{\prime}$ of $(x, y)$ such that $\lambda W^{\prime} \subseteq W$. If $M$ is a binary linear topology between two vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, then the triplet $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, M\right)$ is called a binary linear topological space (BLTS).

Definition 3.2. Suppose $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are two linear topological spaces. Then $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ is called the binary product of the given spaces.

Proposition 3.3. If $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are two linear topological spaces, then $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ is a binary linear topological space.

Proof. By proposition 2.3, $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ is a binary topological space. It remains to show that $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is a binary linear topology. Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a neighbourhood of $\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]$. Then $x_{1}+y_{1} \in A_{1}$ and $x_{2}+y_{2} \in A_{2}$. Since $A_{1} \in \tau_{1}$ and $A_{2} \in \tau_{2}$, and $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are linear topologies, there exist neighbourhoods $B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ of $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ respectively in $\tau_{1}$ such that $B_{1}+C_{1} \subseteq A_{1}$ and neighbourhoods $B_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ of $x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ respectively in $\tau_{2}$ such that $B_{2}+C_{2} \subseteq A_{2}$. Then in $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2},\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ is a neighbourhood of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) and ( $C_{1}, C_{2}$ ) is a neighbourhood of $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)+\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(B_{1}+C_{1}, B_{2}+C_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$. Now let $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a neighbourhood of $\lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$. Then $A_{1}$ is a neighbourhood of $\lambda x_{1}$ in $\tau_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ is a neighbourhood of $\lambda x_{2}$ in $\tau_{2}$. So there exists two neighbourhoods $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ respectively such that $\lambda B_{1} \subseteq A_{1}$ and $\lambda B_{2} \subseteq A_{2}$. This implies that ( $B_{1}, B_{2}$ ) is a neighbourhood of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) such that $\lambda\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$. Thus $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is a binary linear topology.

Proposition 3.4. If $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, M\right)$ is a BLTS, then $\tau(M)=\left\{A \subseteq V_{1}:(A, B) \in M\right.$ for some $\left.B \subseteq V_{2}\right\}$ is a linear topology on $V_{1}$ and $\tau^{\prime}(M)=\left\{B \subseteq V_{2}:(A, B) \in M\right.$ for some $\left.A \subseteq V_{1}\right\}$ is a linear topology on $V_{2}$.

Proof. By Proposition $2.2 \tau(M)$ and $\tau^{\prime}(M)$ are both topologies in $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively. Let $x_{1}, y_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $A \in \tau(M)$ contains $x_{1}+y_{1}$. Then for some $x_{2}, y_{2} \in V_{2}$ there exists $B \subseteq V_{2}$ such that $\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}+y_{2}\right) \in(A, B)$ where $(A, B) \in M$. Since $M$ is a binary linear topology, there exists $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ and $\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ in $M$ such that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)+\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) \subseteq(A, B)$. Then $x_{1} \in E_{1}, y_{1} \in F_{1}$, and $E_{1}+F_{1} \subseteq A$ by the definition of binary sets. Also $E_{1}$ and $F_{1} \in \tau(M)$ by the construction of $\tau(M)$. Similarly for $\lambda x \in A$, where $A \in \tau(M)$ we can find a neighbourhood of $x$ say $U$ such that $\lambda U \subseteq A$. Thus $\tau(M)$ is a linear topology. In the same way we can prove that $\tau^{\prime}(M)$ is also a linear topology.

Definition 3.5. A local base of a binary linear topology $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, M\right)$ is the base consisting of the neighbourhood of a binary point ( $x, y$ ).

Definition 3.6. $A$ set $(A, B) \in \wp\left(V_{1}\right) \times \wp\left(V_{2}\right)$ is convex if for all pairs $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in(A, B), \lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in$ $(A, B), \forall \lambda \in[0,1]$.

Definition 3.7. A binary linear topology is called locally convex if there exists a local base at $(0,0)$ whose members are convex.

Definition 3.8. A BLTS is locally bounded if $(0,0)$ has a bounded neighbourhood, i.e. a neighbourhood $(E, F)$ such that $\forall(N, M) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}$, the set of neighbourhoods of $(0,0)$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall t>s,(E, F) \subseteq t(N, M)$.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, M\right)$ be a BLTS. Then for every $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \exists$ balanced and symmetric sets $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}$ such that $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \subset\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$.

Proof. If $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}$, then $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are neighbourhoods of 0 in $\left(V_{1}, \tau(M)\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau^{\prime}(M)\right)$ respectively. By the property of linear topologies there exists symmetric balanced neighbourhoods of $0, X_{1}, X_{2} \in \tau(M)$ and $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \tau^{\prime}(M)$ such that $X_{1}+X_{2} \subset W_{1}$ and $Y_{1}+Y_{2} \subset W_{2}$. Now $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ are balanced $\Rightarrow \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\alpha| \leq 1, \alpha X_{1} \subset X_{1}$ and $\alpha Y_{1} \subset Y_{1}$. So $\alpha\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha X_{1}, \alpha Y_{1}\right) \subset\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$. Thus $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ are balanced. By the symmetry of $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$, we get $X_{1}=-X_{1}, Y_{1}=-Y_{1} \Rightarrow\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(-X_{1},-Y_{1}\right)=-\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$. Thus $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ is symmetric and similarly $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ is also symmetric. $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}, Y_{1}+Y_{2}\right) \subset\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be real vector spaces and $U_{1}$ be a convex set in $V_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be a convex set in $V_{2}$, then $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is convex in $\wp\left(V_{1}\right) \times \wp\left(V_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Then $x_{i} \in U_{1}$ and $y_{i} \in U_{2}$ for $i=1,2 \Rightarrow \lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2} \in U_{1}$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. And $\lambda y_{1}+(1-\lambda) y_{2} \in U_{2}$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. So $\left(\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}, \lambda y_{1}+(1-\lambda) y_{2}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$. Consider
$\lambda\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda x_{1}, \lambda y_{1}\right)+\left((1-\lambda) x_{2},(1-\lambda) y_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}, \lambda y_{1}+(1-\lambda) y_{2}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Thus $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is convex.

Corollary 3.11. If $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are both locally convex topological vector spaces, then their binary product, $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ is a locally convex BLTS.

Proposition 3.12. Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be bounded sets in two real vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively, then $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is also bounded.

Proof. Since $U_{1}$ is bounded, for every neighbourhood $E_{1} \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\left(V_{1}\right), \exists s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall t>s_{1}, U_{1} \subset t E_{1}$. Similarly for every neighbourhood $E_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\left(V_{2}\right), \exists s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall t>s_{2}, U_{2} \subset t E_{2}$. Let $(E, F) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}$. Then $E \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $F \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\left(V_{2}\right)$. Let $t_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ correspond to $E$ and $t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ correspond to $F$. Then $\forall t>t_{1}, U_{1} \subset t E$ and $\forall t>t_{2}, U_{2} \subset t F$. So $\forall t>s$, where $s=\max \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}, U_{1} \subset t E$ and $U_{2} \subset t F$ i.e. $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \subset t(E, F), \forall t>s$. Thus $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is bounded.

Corollary 3.13. If $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are both locally bounded topological vector spaces, then their binary product, $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ is a locally bounded BLTS.

Proposition 3.14. Let $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ be a topological vector space and $V_{2}$ be another vector space such that the map $T: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ is an isomorphism. Then $\tau_{2}=\left\{T(A): A \in \tau_{1}\right\}$ is a linear topology in $V_{2}$ and hence $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is a binary linear topology from $V_{1}$ to $V_{2}$.

Proof. Since $T$ is an isomorphism, $T(\phi)=\phi$ and $T\left(V_{1}\right)=V_{2}$ and so $\phi, V_{2} \in \tau_{2}$. Let $A, B \in \tau_{2}$. Then $A=T\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and $B=T\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ for some $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime} \in \tau_{1}$. So $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime} \in \tau_{1}$ and $T\left(A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}\right) \in \tau_{2} \cdot T\left(A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}\right)=T\left(A^{\prime}\right) \cap T\left(B^{\prime}\right)=A \cap B$. Thus $A \cap B \in \tau_{2}$. Now let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I} \in \tau_{2}$ for some index set $I$. Then there exists $\left\{B_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I} \in \tau_{1}$ such that $A_{\alpha}=T\left(B_{\alpha}\right)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Then $\cup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha} \in \tau_{1}$ and $\cup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}=\cup_{\alpha \in I} T\left(B_{\alpha}\right)=T\left(\cup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}\right) \in \tau_{2}$. Thus $\tau_{2}$ is a topology on $V_{2}$. Let $x_{2}, y_{2} \in V_{2}$ and there exists $B \in \tau_{2}$ such that $x_{2}+y_{2} \in B$. Then there exist $x_{1}, y_{1} \in V_{1}$ such that $T\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$ and $T\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{2}$. Let $A=T^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{1}$. So $x_{1}+y_{1} \in A$ and there exists $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \tau_{1}$ such that $A_{1}+A_{2} \in A$. This implies $T\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) \in T(A)$. Let $B_{1}=T\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $B_{2}=T\left(A_{2}\right)$. Then $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \tau_{2}$ and $x_{1} \in A_{1} \Rightarrow x_{2}=T\left(x_{1}\right) \in T\left(A_{1}\right)=B_{1}, y_{1} \in A_{2} \Rightarrow y_{2}=T\left(y_{1}\right) \in T\left(A_{2}\right)=B_{2}$. Also $B_{1}+B_{2}=T\left(A_{1}\right)+T\left(A_{2}\right)=T\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) \subseteq T(A)=B$. Let $y \in V_{2}$ and $\lambda y \in U \in \tau_{2}$ for some scalar $\lambda$. Then $y=T(x)$ for some $x \in V_{1}$ and $U=T(W)$ for some $W \in \tau_{1} . y=T(x) \Rightarrow \lambda y=\lambda T(x)=T(\lambda x)$. So $\lambda y \in U \Rightarrow T(\lambda x) \in U \Rightarrow \lambda x \in W$. Since $\tau_{1}$ is a linear topology, there exists $W^{\prime}$ in $\tau_{1}$ such that $\lambda W^{\prime} \subseteq W$. So $U^{\prime}=T\left(W^{\prime}\right) \in \tau_{2}, y=T(x) \in T\left(W^{\prime}\right)=U^{\prime}$ and $T\left(\lambda W^{\prime}\right)=\lambda T\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\lambda U^{\prime} \subseteq T(W)=U$. Thus $\tau_{2}$ is a linear topology and hence $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is a binary linear topology.

## 4. Binary Metrizable and Binary Normable BLTS

Definition 4.1. A binary metric on two sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is a map $d:\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \times\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following axioms: If $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$ then
(1). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right] \geq 0$
(2). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]=0 \Leftrightarrow x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2}$
(3). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]=d\left[\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]$ and
(4). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right] \leq d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right]+d\left[\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]$ for every $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, M\right)$ be a BLTS. A binary topology $M$ is metrizable with a binary metric $d$ if for any ( $x, y$ ) in some binary open set $(A, B) \in M, \exists r>0$ such that $B_{r}(x, y) \subset(A, B)$ i.e. $\pi_{1}\left(B_{r}(x, y)\right) \subset A$ and $\pi_{2}\left(B_{r}(x, y)\right) \subset B$, where $\pi_{i}$ is the projection map to $V_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Proposition 4.3. If $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are two linear topological spaces such that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are both metrizable with metrics $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ respectively, then $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is binary metrizable.

Proof. Consider the map $d:\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \times\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
d\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2}, \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)
$$

If $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$ then
(1). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2} \geq 0$, since $d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are both non-negative.
(2). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=0$ and $d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=0$. This happens if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2}$ i.e. when $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$.
(3). $d\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2}=\frac{d_{1}\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(y_{2}, x_{2}\right)}{2}=d\left(\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ and if $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$
(4). $d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2} \leq \frac{\left[d_{1}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right)+d_{1}\left(z_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right]+\left[d_{2}\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right)+d_{2}\left(z_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right]}{2}=\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right)}{2}+$ $\frac{d_{1}\left(z_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(z_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2}=d\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right]+d\left[\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right]$

Thus $d$ is a binary metric. Let $(A, B) \in \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ and $(x, y) \in(A, B)$. Then $x \in A \in \tau_{1}$ and $y \in B \in \tau_{2}$. Since $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are metrizable, $\exists r_{1}, r_{2}>0$ with respect to $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ respectively such that $B_{r_{1}}(x) \subset A$ and $B_{r_{2}}(y) \subset B$. i.e. if $d_{1}\left(x, x_{1}\right)<r_{1}$, then $x_{1} \in B_{r_{1}}(x)$ and if $d_{2}\left(y, y_{1}\right)<r_{2}$, then $y_{1} \in B_{r_{2}}(y) \Rightarrow\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in(A, B)$. Let $r=\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $(u, v) \in B_{r / 2}(x, y)$. Then $d((x, y),(u, v))<\frac{r}{2}$. i.e. $\frac{d_{1}(x, u)+d_{2}(y, v)}{2}<r / 2$. So $d_{1}(x, u)+d_{2}(y, v)<r \Rightarrow d_{1}(x, u)<r<r_{1}$ and $d_{2}(y, v)<r<r_{2}$. Hence $u \in B_{r_{1}}(x) \subset A$ and $v \in B_{r_{2}}(y) \subset B$. Thus $(u, v) \in(A, B)$ showing that $B_{r / 2}(x, y) \subset(A, B)$.

Definition 4.4. A binary seminorm on two vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is a map, $\|\cdot\|: V_{1} \times V_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$
(1). $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\| \geq 0$
(2). $\left\|\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|=|\alpha|\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|$
(3). $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\| A$ binary seminorm becomes a binary norm if the following condition holds.
(4). $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|=0 \Leftrightarrow\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(0,0)$

Proposition 4.5. If $\left(V_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are both normable topological vector spaces, then their binary product is binary normable.

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ be the norms corresponding to $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ respectively. Then we get two metrics $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, defined by $d_{i}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\|_{i}, i=1,2$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$, with which $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are metrizable respectively. So by Proposition $4.3 \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is metrizable with the binary metric $d\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=$ $\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}{2}, \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)$. Hence the binary norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|=d\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),(0,0)\right)$ for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$ corresponds to the topology $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$. But this norm is same as $\frac{\|\cdot\|_{1}+\|\cdot\|_{2}}{2}$ since $\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|=d\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),(0,0)\right)=$ $\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)+d_{2}\left(x_{2}, 0\right)}{2}=\frac{\left\|x_{1}-0\right\|_{1}+\left\|x_{2}-0\right\|_{2}}{2}=\frac{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{1}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}}{2}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be two vector spaces and $p$ be a binary seminorm on $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ Then there exists two seminorms $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively.

Proof. Let $p_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $p_{1}(x)=\inf _{y}\left\{p(x, y): y \in V_{2}\right\}$. Since $p(x, y) \geq 0, \forall(x, y) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}, p_{1}(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in V_{1}$. For $x \in V_{1}$ and $\alpha \in K$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}(\alpha x) & =\inf _{y}\left\{p(\alpha x, y): y \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& =\inf _{y}\left\{|\alpha| p\left(x, \frac{1}{\alpha} y\right): y \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& \left.=|\alpha| \inf _{y} p\left(x, \frac{1}{\alpha} y\right): y \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& =|\alpha| p_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x, y \in V_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}(x+y) & =\inf _{z}\left\{p(x+y, z): z \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& =\inf _{z=z_{1}+z_{2}}\left\{p\left(x+y, z_{1}+z_{2}\right): z=z_{1}+z_{2} \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& =\inf _{z_{1}, z_{2}}\left\{p\left[\left(x, z_{1}\right)+\left(y, z_{2}\right)\right]: z_{1}, z_{2} \in V_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf _{z_{1}, z_{2}}\left\{p\left(x, z_{1}\right)+p\left(y, z_{2}\right): z_{1}, z_{2} \in V_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Thus } p_{1}(x+y) \leq p_{1}(x)+p_{1}(y)
$$

Hence $p_{1}$ is a seminorm on $V_{1}$ and similarly $p_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $p_{2}(y)=\inf _{x}\left\{p(x, y): x \in V_{1}\right\}$ is a seminorm on $V_{2}$.

Proposition 4.7. Given a family of binary seminorms on two vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, then a locally convex binary linear topology is formed between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{p_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of binary seminorms on $V_{1} \times V_{2}$. Corresponding to each $p_{\alpha}, \alpha \in J$, there exists two seminorms $p_{1 \alpha}$ and $p_{2 \alpha}$ on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively. Thus we get a family of seminorms $\left\{p_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$ on $V_{i}, i=1,2$. Hence by theorem 2.7 there exists a locally convex linear topology, $\tau_{i}$ on $V_{i}$ induced by $\left\{p_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}, i=1,2$. Then $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$ is a locally convex binary linear topology between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced the concept of linear topological spaces to situations in which we have to deal with two vector spaces and a topology between the spaces. This helps to study both the spaces simultaneously. The concept of topological vector space is well used in mathematics, engineering and science and particularly in quantum mechanics. Hence our theory of Binary Linear Topological Spaces helps in the further development of such areas.
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