

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

# Efficiently Dominating $(\gamma, \text{ ed})$ -Number of Graphs

**Research Article** 

#### A.Mahalakshmi<sup>1\*</sup>, K.Palani<sup>2</sup> and S.Somasundaram<sup>3</sup>

- 1 Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College For Women, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 2 Department of Mathematics, A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College For Women, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 3 Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.
- Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the new concept connected and independent edge detour domination number of a graph and obtain the connected and independent edge detour domination number for some well known graphs.

**Keywords:** Edge detour domination, efficient domination, efficient( $\gamma$ ,ed)-number of graphs. © JS Publication.

### 1. Introduction

The concept of domination was introduced by Ore and Berge [8]. Let G be a finite, undirected connected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. A subset D of V(G) is a dominating set of G if every vertex in V-D is adjacent to at least one vertex in D. The minimum cardinality among all dominating sets of G is called the domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of G. We consider connected graphs with at least two vertices. For basic definitions and terminologies, we refer Harary [3]. For vertices u and v in a connected graph G, the detour distance D(u,v) is the length of longest u-v path in G. A u-v path of length D(u,v) is called a u - v detour. A subset S of V is called a detour set if every vertex in G lie on a detour joining a pair of vertices of S. The detour number dn(G) of G is the minimum order of a detour set and any detour set of order dn(G) is called a detour basis of G. These concepts were studied by chartrand [4]. A subset S of V is called an edge detour set of G if every edge in G lie on a detour joining a pair of vertices of S. The edge detour number  $dn_1(G)$  of G is the minimum order of its edge detour sets and any edge detour set of order  $dn_1$  is an edge detour basis. A graph G is called an edge detour graph if it has an edge detour set. Edge detour graphs were introduced and studied by Santhakumaran and Athisavanathan [10]. Let G be a connected graph with at least two vertices. An edge detour dominating set is a subset S of V(G) which is both a dominating and an edge detour set of G. An edge detour dominating set is said to be minimal edge detour dominating set of G if no proper subset of S is an edge detour dominating set of G. An edge detour dominating set S is said to be minimum edge detour dominating set of G if there exists no edge detour dominating set S' such that S'. The smallest cardinality of an edge detour dominating set of G is called the edge detour domination n umber of G. It is denoted by  $(\gamma, eD)$ . Any edge detour dominating set G of minimum cardinality is called  $\gamma_{eD}$ -set of G.

The edge detour domination number of graphs were introduced and studied by A.Mahalakshmi, K.Palani and

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  E-mail: lakshmi19maths@gmail.com

S.Somasundaram [7]. A subset S of V(G) is called an efficient dominating if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $|N[v] \cap S| = 1$ . A graph G is efficient if G has an efficient dominating set. Efficient dominating graphs were introduced and studied by D.W.Bange, A.E.Barkauskas and P.J.Slater[1]. Let G be a connected graph and S be an edge detour dominating set of G. Then, S is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $|N[v] \cap S| = 1$ . The minimum cardinality of S is the efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G and is denoted by  $e\gamma_{eD}(G)$ . An efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of minimum cardinality is called a  $e\gamma_{eD}$ -set of G. A graph G is said to be an efficiently dominating graph if it has an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set. In our paper [7], we need the symbol  $\gamma_{eD}$ -set to represent any edge detour dominating set which we now changed to  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

**Theorem 1.1** ([6]). The domination number of some standard graphs are given as follows.

- (1).  $\gamma(P_p) = \lceil \frac{p}{3} \rceil, p \ge 3.$
- (2).  $\gamma(C_p) = \lceil \frac{p}{3} \rceil, p \ge 3.$
- (3).  $\gamma(K_p) = \gamma(W_p) = \gamma(K_{1,n}) = 1.$
- (4).  $\gamma(K_{m,n} = 2 \text{ if } m, n \ge 2.$

The following results are from [10].

**Theorem 1.2.** Every end vertex of an edge detour graph G belongs to every edge detour set of G. Also, if the set of all end vertices of G is an edge detour set, then S is the unique edge detour basis for G.

**Theorem 1.3.** If G is an edge detour graph of order  $p \ge 3$  such that  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge detour basis of G, then u and v are not adjacent.

**Theorem 1.4.** If T is a tree with k end vertices, then  $dn_1(T) = k$ .

The following theorems are by A.Mahalakshmi, K.Palani, S.Somasundaram [7].

**Theorem 1.5.**  $K_p$  is an edge detour dominating graph and for  $p \ge 3$ ;  $\gamma_{eD}(K_p) = 3$ .

**Theorem 1.6.**  $\gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) = n$ .

Theorem 1.7.

$$\gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.8.** A subset S of V is called an independent set of G if no two vertices of S are adjacent.

**Definition 1.9.** A subdivision of an edge e = uv of a graph G is the replacement of the edge e by a path  $\{u, v, w\}$ . If every edge of G is subdivided exactly once, then the resulting graph is called the subdivision graph S(G).

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and edge sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Then their union  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  is a graph with vertex set  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  and edge set  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ . Clearly,  $G_1 \cup G_2$  has  $p_1 + p_2$  vertices and  $q_1 + q_2$  edges.

**Definition 1.11.** If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint graphs, then the join of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is denoted by  $G_1 + G_2$  and is defined as  $V(G_1 + G_2) = V_1 \cup V_2$  and  $E(G_1 + G_2) = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{uv : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}.$ 

In the next section, we introduce the definition of efficiently dominating ( $\gamma$ , eD)-graph and find its values for different graphs.

## 2. Efficiently Dominating $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph

**Definition 2.1.** Let G be a connected graph. An efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G is an edge detour dominating set of G such that for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $|N[v] \cap S| = 1$ . The minimum cardinality of among all efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$  is the efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -number of G and is denoted by  $e\gamma_{eD}(G)$ . An efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of minimum cardinality  $e\gamma_{eD}(G)$  is called a  $e\gamma_{eD}$ -set of G. A graph G is said to be an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph if it has an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

**Example 2.2.** Consider, the graph G in Figure 2.1 (a). Here,  $S = \{v_1, v_4\}$  is the minimum edge detour dominating set of G and  $|N[v_i] \cap| = 1$  for all  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,  $1 \le i \le 5$ . Therefore, S is the minimum efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G and  $e\gamma_{eD}(G) = 2$ . Therefore, the graph G is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.





For the graph G in Figure 2.1 (b),  $S = \{v_1, v_4, v_7, v_{10}\}$  is the minimum edge detour dominating set of G and  $|N[v_i] \cap S| = 1$ for all  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,  $1 \le i \le 10$ . Therefore, S is the minimum efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G and  $e\gamma_{eD}(G) = 4$ . Therefore, the graph G is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

#### Observation 2.3.

- (1) Any efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set contains only isolated vertices.
- (2) Every edge detour dominating set need not be an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

**Theorem 2.4.** The path  $P_n$ ,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph and  $e\gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n-4}{3} \rceil + 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P_n = (v_1, v_2, v_3, ..., v_{3k+1}), k > 0$ .  $S = \{v_1, v_4, v_7, ..., v_{3k+1}\}$  is a unique  $\gamma_{eD}$ -set of  $P_n$ . Further,  $|N[v] \cap S| = 1$ 

for all  $v \in P_n$ . Hence, S is the unique efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of  $P_n$ . Therefore,  $e\gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \gamma_{eD}(P_n)$ . By Theorem 1.8,  $\gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n-4}{3} \rceil + 2$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** The graph  $G = C_{3n}$ , n > 1 is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph and  $e\gamma_{eD}(C_{3n}) = n$ .

Proof. Let  $V(C_{3n}) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{3n}\}$ . The only  $\gamma_{eD}$ -sets of  $C_{3n}$  are  $S_1 = \{v_1, v_4, ..., v_{3(n-1)+1}\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{v_2, v_5, ..., v_{3(n-1)+2}\}$ and  $S_3 = \{v_3, v_6, ..., v_{3n}\}$ . Further,  $|N[v] \cap S_i| = 1$  for all  $v \in C_{3n}$  and i = 1, 2, 3. Hence,  $C_{3n}$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$  graph and  $S_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 are efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -sets of  $C_{3n}$ . Therefore,  $e\gamma_{eD}(C_{3n}) = \gamma_{eD}(C_{3n})$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1.9,  $e\gamma_{eD}(C_{3n}) = \gamma_{eD}(C_{3n}) = \lceil \frac{3n}{3} \rceil = n$ . □

**Theorem 2.6.** Every efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of a graph G is independent. (i.e), No two vertices of an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set are adjacent.

*Proof.* Let G be a graph and S be a  $e\gamma_{eD}$ -set of G. Suppose u,  $v \in S$  such that u and v are adjacent. Then,  $\{u, v\} \subseteq N[u] \cap S$ . Therefore,  $|N[u] \cap S| \neq 1$ . So, S is not an efficiently dominating  $e\gamma_{eD}$ -set of G, which is a contradiction. Therefore, u and v are not adjacent. Since u and v are arbitrary, no two vertices are adjacent. Hence, every efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set is independent.

**Proposition 2.7.** Complete graph  $K_n$ , n > 2 are not efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graphs.

*Proof.* By Observation 2.3 (ii), any efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set is also a  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set. Further, any  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of  $K_n$  contains at least two vertices. As being vertices of  $K_n$ , they are adjacent. Therefore, by Observation 2.3(ii),  $K_p$  has no efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

#### **Corollary 2.8.** Complete bipartite graphs are not efficiently dominating $(\gamma, eD)$ -graphs.

*Proof.* Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  be the bipartition of  $V(K_{m,n})$ . Any,  $\gamma_{eD}$ -set of  $K_{m,n}$  contains at least one vertex from both  $V_1$ , and  $V_2$ . Obviously, they are adjacent. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6,  $K_{m,n}$  are not efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graphs.

#### Observation 2.9.

- (1) A graph G has no efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set if it has a vertex with more than one pendant edge.
- (2) For any graph  $e\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq \gamma_{eD}(G)$ .
- (3) If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graphs then,  $G_1 \cup G_2$  is also an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph and  $e\gamma_{eD}(G_1 \cup G_2) = \gamma_{eD}(G_1) + \gamma_{eD}(G_2)$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** An edge detour dominating set S is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set if and only if  $d(u, v) \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a graph. S is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G. Suppose, for all  $u, v \in S$  such that d(u, v) < 3. Case 1: d(u, v) = 1.  $\{u, v\} \subseteq N[u] \cap S$ . Therefore,  $N[u] \cap S \ge 1$ .

**Case 2:** d(u, v) = 2. Let uwv be a smallest u-v path in G. Then,  $N[w] \cap S \ge 2$ . In both the cases,  $N[x] \cap S \ne 1$  for at least one  $x \in S$ . Therefore, S is not an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set of G, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $d(u, v) \ge 3$ . Conversely,  $d(u, v) \ge 3$ . Let S be an edge detour dominating set of G. To prove that S is efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set of G. Suppose not. Then,  $S \cap N[x] \ne 1$  for at least one  $x \in S$ . Therefore, there exist one vertex say u in S such that x is adjacent to u. Then, obviously d(u, x) = 1 < 3. Which is a contradiction. Therefore, S is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma,$ eD)-set of G. **Lemma 2.11.** Wheel graph  $W_{1,n}$  is an edge detour dominating graph,  $\gamma_{eD}(W_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 2ifn = 6\\ 3otherwise \end{cases}$ 

*Proof.* Let G be a wheel graph with central vertex v.

**Case 1:** When n = 6,  $S_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Forms an edge detour dominating set of G. Therefore,  $\gamma_{eD}(G) = 2$ .

**Case 2:** Let n > 6. Now v along with any two of the rim vertices forms a edge detour dominating set. Therefore,  $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq 3$ .

Claim:  $\gamma_{eD}(G) \neq 2$ .

Let S be any two element subset of V(G). Suppose  $S = \{v, v_i\}$ , then the edge  $vv_i$  does not lie on any detour joining v and  $v_i$ . If  $S = \{v_i v_j\}$  two cases arises.

Sub Case 2a: If  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent. Here, the edge  $v_i v_j$  does not lie on any detour joining  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

sub Case 2b: If  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are non-adjacent. Since n > 6, some vertices of G are either not dominated by  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  or they do not lie on any detour joining  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ . Therefore, S cannot be a detour joining set of G. Since, S is arbitrary,  $\gamma_{eD}(G) \neq 2$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{eD}(G) = 3$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Wheel graph is a non - efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

*Proof.* Any edge detour dominating set of  $W_p$  contains at least two of the rim vertices. Obviously, d(u, v) = 2 < 3, for any two rim vertices u and v. Therefore, by Proposition 2.10, any edge detour dominating set is not an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set. Therefore, wheel is not an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

### 3. Efficiently Dominating ( $\gamma$ , eD)-Number of Subdivision Graphs

**Theorem 3.1.** The graph  $S(P_n)$ , subdivision of path  $P_n$ ,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

*Proof.* The graph  $S(P_n)$ ,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  is again a path  $P_m$  with  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.4,  $S(P_n)$  where  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, \text{ eD})$ -graph.

**Theorem 3.2.** The graph  $S(C_{3n})$ , subdivision of the cycle  $C_{3n}$  where  $n \ge 1$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph and  $e\gamma_{eD}(S(C_{3n})) = 2n$ .

*Proof.* The graph  $S(C_{3n})$  where  $n \ge 1$ , is again a cycle  $C_{2(3n)}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.5,  $S(C_{3n})$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph and also  $e\gamma_{eD}(S(C_{3n})) = e\gamma_{eD}(C_{3(2n)}) = 2n$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** The subdivision graph  $S(K_n)$  is an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph if and only if n = 3.

*Proof.* Let n = 3.  $S(K_n) = C_6$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.5,  $\gamma(S(K_3) = 2$ . Let  $n \ge 4$ . Any  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set should contain at least three points. Therefore, it must contain at least two points such that d(u, v) = 2. Hence, the middle vertex in any shortest u - v path is dominated by both u and v. Therefore, any  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set cannot be an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set. Hence,  $S(K_n)$  has no efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, \text{eD})$ -set.

**Corollary 3.4.** The subdivision of a star graph  $S(K_{1,n})$  is not an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -graph.

*Proof.* Any efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set S of  $S(K_{1,n})$  contain at least n + 1 vertices. Therefore, it must be at least two vertices u and v in S such that  $d(u, v) \leq 2$ .

**Case 1:** d(u, v) = 1, then  $|N(u) \cap S| = |N(v) \cap S| = 2$ . Then, S is not an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

**Case 2:** d(u, v) = 2, Hence, the middle vertex in any shortest u - v path is dominated by both u and v. Therefore, any  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set cannot be an efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set. Hence,  $S(K_{1,n})$  has no efficiently dominating  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

63

**Remark 3.5.** Let G be a connected graph with  $p \ge 2$  vertices. If every  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set of G should contains at least two vertices of shortest length  $\le 2$ , then G contains no efficiently  $(\gamma, eD)$ -set.

#### References

- D.W.Bange, A.E.Barkauskas and P.J.Slater, *Efficient dominating sets in graphs*, Application of Discrete Mathematics, SIAM, Philadephia, (1988), 189-199.
- [2] D.W.Bange, A.E.Barkauskas, L.H.Host and P.J.Slater, Generalised domination and efficient domination in graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 159(1996), 1-11.
- [3] F.Buckley and F.Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addision-Weseely, Reading MA, (1990).
- [4] G.Chartrand, H.Escuadro and P.Zhang, Distance in Graphs, Taking the Long View, AKCE J. Graphs and Combin., 1(1)(2004), 1-13.
- [5] G.Chartrand, L.Johns and P.Zang, Detour Number of graph, Utilitas Mathematics, 64(2003), 97-113.
- [6] T.W.Haynes, S.T.Hedetniemi and P.J.Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in graphs, Marcel Decker, Inc., New York, (1998).
- [7] A.Mahalakshmi, K.Palani and S.Somasundaram, Edge Detour Domination number of Graphs, Proceedings of International Conference in Recent Trends in Mathematical Modelling, (2016), 135-144.
- [8] Ore and Berge, Theory of graphs, American Mathematical Society, Colloquim Publications, XXXVIII(1962).
- [9] K.Palani and A.Nagarajan, G,D- Number of a graph, International Journal of Mathematics Research, 3(3)(2011), 285-299.
- [10] A.P.Santhakumaran and S.Athisayanathan, Edge detour graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 69(2009), 191-204.