



Common Fixed Points of Two Pairs of Selfmaps Satisfying $(E.A)$ -property in b -metric Spaces Using a New Control Function

Research Article

Venkata Ravindranadh Babu Gutti¹ and Dula Tolera Mosissa^{1*}¹ Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we apply the $(E.A)$ -property to prove the existence and uniqueness of common fixed points of four selfmaps in the setting b -metric spaces using a new control function. We provide an example in support of our results. Our results generalize the fixed point results of Ozturk and Radenovic [11].

MSC: 2010, 47H10, 54H25

Keywords: b -metric space, common fixed point, b -(E. A)-property, weakly compatible.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1993, Stefan Czerwik [4] introduced the concept of b -metric spaces which is a generalization of metric space and generalized the Banach contraction principle in the context of complete b -metric spaces. Afterwards, many mathematicians studied fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in b -metric spaces. In 2002, Aamari and Moutawakil [1] introduced the notion of property $(E.A)$. Different authors apply this concept to prove the existence of common fixed points (see [2], [9], [11] [12]). We now mention some well-known notations, definitions and primary known results in the literature that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.1 ([4]). Let X be a non-empty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a b -metric if the following conditions are satisfied;

- (1). $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (2). $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (3). there exists $s \geq 1$ such that $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

In this case, the pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space with coefficient s .

Every metric space is a b -metric space with $s = 1$. In general, every b -metric space is not a metric space.

Definition 1.2 ([3]). Let (X, d) be a b -metric space.

* E-mail: dulamosissa@gmail.com

- (1). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called b -convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- (2). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called b -Cauchy if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3). The b -metric space (X, d) is b -complete if every b -Cauchy sequence in X is b -convergent.
- (4). Let $Y \subset X$. Then Y is called b -closed if and only if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y which b -converges to an element x , we have $x \in Y$.

Remark 1.3. A b -metric need not be a continuous function. For more details, we refer [5].

Lemma 1.4 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with $s \geq 1$.

- (1). If a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a b -convergent sequence, then it admits a unique limit.
- (2). Every b -convergent sequence in X is b -Cauchy.

Definition 1.5 ([8]). Let f and g be selfmaps on a metric space (X, d) . If $fx = gx = w$ for some $x \in X$, then x is called a coincidence point of f and g and the set of all coincidence points of f and g is denoted by $C(f, g)$, and w is called point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 1.6 ([6]). A pair (f, g) of selfmaps on a metric space (X, d) is said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, fgx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some z in X .

Definition 1.7. A pair (f, g) of selfmaps on a metric space (X, d) is said to be noncompatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some z in X but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, fgx_n)$ is either non-zero or does not exist.

Definition 1.8 ([1]). A pair (f, g) of selfmaps on a metric space (X, d) is said to be satisfy (E.A)-property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some z in X .

Definition 1.9 ([10]). A pair (f, g) of selfmaps on a b -metric space (X, d) is said to be satisfy b -(E.A)-property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some z in X .

Definition 1.10 ([7]). A pair (f, g) of selfmaps on a set X is said to be weakly compatible if $fgx = gfx$ whenever $fx = gx$ for any x in X .

We denote $\Psi = \{\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty) \mid \psi \text{ is continuous, nondecreasing on } [0, \infty) \text{ and } \psi(t) = 1 \text{ if and only if } t = 0\}$.

Example 1.11. The following functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ are elements of Ψ . For $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $a \in (1, \infty)$

- (1). $\psi(t) = t + 1$,
- (2). $\psi(t) = a^t$,
- (3). $\psi(t) = a^{\sqrt{t}}$.

Very recently, Ozturk and Radenovic [11] obtained the following result in b -metric spaces.

Theorem 1.12 ([11]). *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient $s > 1$ and $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be selfmappings of X with $fX \subset TX$ and $gX \subset SX$ such that*

$$s^\epsilon d(fx, gy) \leq M_s(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon > 1$ is a constant and

$M(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, fx), d(Ty, gy), \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(Ty, fx)}{2s}\}$. Suppose one of the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the b -(E.A)-property and that of one of the subspaces fX, gX, SX and TX is b -closed in X . Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

In Section 2, we prove our main results in which we study the existence of common fixed points of two pairs of selfmaps satisfying b -(E.A)-property in b -metric spaces. In Section 3, we provide corollaries and an example in support of our results. Our results generalize the results of Ozturk and Radenovic [11].

2. Main Results

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Let $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be selfmaps of X with $fX \subset TX$ and $gX \subset SX$. Assume that there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $k \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\psi(sd(fx, gy)) \leq (\psi(M_s(x, y)))^k \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{2}$$

where $M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, fx), d(Ty, gy), \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(Ty, fx)}{2s}\}$. Suppose that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible. Then $F(f, S) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $F(g, T) \neq \emptyset$, where $F(f, S)$ and $F(g, T)$ are the set of all common fixed points of the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) respectively. In this case, if $q \in F(f, S)$ then $q \in F(g, T)$ and q is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T . Similarly, if $q \in F(g, T)$ then $q \in F(f, S)$ and q is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Proof. First we assume that $F(f, S) \neq \emptyset$. Let $q \in F(f, S)$, then $q = fq = Sq$. Now, we show that $q \in F(g, T)$. Since $fX \subset TX$ there exists $r \in X$ such that $q = fq = Tr$, then we have $Sq = fq = Tr = q$. We now show that $gr = q$. Suppose that $gr \neq q$. From (2) we have

$$\psi(sd(q, gr)) = \psi(sd(fq, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, r)))^k, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(q, r) &= \max\{d(Sq, Tr), d(Sq, fq), d(Tr, gr), \frac{d(Sq, gr) + d(Tr, fq)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(q, q), d(q, q), d(q, gr), \frac{d(q, gr) + d(q, q)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{0, 0, d(q, gr), \frac{d(q, gr)}{2s}\} \\ &= d(q, gr). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now, from (3) using (4) we have

$$\psi(sd(q, gr)) = \psi(sd(fq, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, r)))^k = (\psi(d(q, gr)))^k < \psi(d(q, gr)),$$

a contradiction. Hence $gr = q$. Therefore $gr = Tr = q$. Since g and T are weakly compatible, we have $gq = Tq$. We now show that $gq = q$. Suppose $gq \neq q$. From (2) we have

$$\psi(sd(q, gq)) = \psi(sd(fq, gq)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, q)))^k, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(q, q) &= \max\{d(Sq, Tq), d(Sq, fq), d(Tq, gq), \frac{d(Sq, gq) + d(Tq, fq)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(q, gq), d(q, q), d(gq, gq), \frac{d(q, gq) + d(gq, q)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(q, gq), 0, 0, \frac{d(q, gq)}{s}\} \\ &= d(q, gq). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

From (5) and using (6), we have

$$\psi(sd(q, gq)) = \psi(sd(fq, gq)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, q)))^k = (\psi(d(q, gq)))^k < \psi(d(q, gq)),$$

a contradiction. Hence $gq = q$. Therefore $Tq = gq = q$ and hence $F(g, T) \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, we assume that $F(g, T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in F(g, T)$ i.e., $gu = Tu = u$. On using similar steps as above we can show that $u \in F(f, S)$ and hence $F(f, S) \neq \emptyset$. We now show that f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point. Let u and q be common fixed points of f, g, S and T . Suppose that $u \neq q$. From (2), we have

$$\psi(sd(u, q)) = \psi(sd(fu, gq)) \leq (\psi(M_s(u, q)))^k \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(u, q) &= \max\{d(Su, Tq), d(Su, fu), d(Tq, gq), \frac{d(Su, gq) + d(Tq, fu)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(u, q), d(u, u), d(q, q), \frac{d(u, q) + d(q, u)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(u, q), 0, 0, \frac{d(u, q)}{s}\} \\ &= d(u, q). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From (7) and using (8), we have

$$\psi(sd(u, q)) = \psi(sd(fu, gq)) \leq (\psi(M_s(u, q)))^k = (\psi(d(u, q)))^k < \psi(d(u, q)),$$

a contradiction. Hence $u = q$. Therefore S, f, g and T have a unique common fixed point. □

The main results of this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Let $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be selfmaps of X with $fX \subset TX$ and $gX \subset SX$. Assume that there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $k \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\psi(sd(fx, gy)) \leq (\psi(M_s(x, y)))^k \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{9}$$

where $M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, fx), d(Ty, gy), \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(Ty, fx)}{2s}\}$. Suppose that one of the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property and that one of the subspaces fX, gX, SX and TX is b -closed in X . Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. We first assume that the pair (f, S) satisfies the b -(E. A)-property. So there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = q \text{ for some } q \in X. \tag{10}$$

As $fX \subset TX$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $f x_n = T y_n$, and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T y_n = q. \tag{11}$$

Now, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g y_n = q$. Suppose that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f x_n, g y_n) > 0$. From (9), we have

$$\psi(sd(f x_n, g y_n)) \leq (\psi(M_s(x_n, y_n)))^k, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x_n, y_n) &= \max\{d(Sx_n, Ty_n), d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(Ty_n, gy_n), \frac{d(Sx_n, gy_n) + d(Ty_n, fx_n)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(fx_n, gy_n), \frac{d(Sx_n, gy_n) + d(fx_n, fx_n)}{2s}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(fx_n, gy_n), \frac{s[d(Sx_n, fy_n) + d(fx_n, gy_n)]}{2s}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_n, y_n) &= \max\{0, 0, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n), \frac{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On taking limits supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (12) and using (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n)) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(sd(fx_n, gy_n)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi(M_s(x_n, y_n)))^k \\ &= (\psi(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n)))^k < \psi(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gy_n) = 0$, which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (fx_n, gy_n) = 0$. Now, we have

$$d(q, g y_n) \leq s[d(q, f x_n) + d(f x_n, g y_n)]. \tag{14}$$

On taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (14), we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g y_n, q) \leq s \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(q, f x_n) + d(f x_n, g y_n)] = 0. \tag{15}$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(q, g y_n) = 0$.

Case (i) : Assume that TX is a b -closed subset of X .

In this case $q \in TX$ and hence we can choose $r \in X$ such that $Tr = q$. Now we show that $gr = q$. Now, we have

$$d(q, gr) \leq s[d(q, f x_n) + d(f x_n, gr)]. \tag{16}$$

On taking limit supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (16), we have

$$d(q, gr) \leq s \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f x_n, gr). \tag{17}$$

Suppose $d(q, gr) > 0$. From (9), we have

$$\psi(sd(fx_n, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(x_n, r)))^k, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x_n, r) &= \max\{d(Sx_n, Tr), d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(Tr, gr), \frac{d(Sx_n, gr) + d(Tr, fx_n)}{2s}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(Sx_n, q), d(Sx_n, fx_n), d(q, gr), \frac{s[d(Sx_n, q) + d(q, gr)] + d(q, fx_n)}{2s}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_n, r) \leq \max\{0, 0, d(q, gr), \frac{d(q, gr)}{2}\} = d(q, gr). \tag{19}$$

On taking limits supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (18) and using (17) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(q, gr)) &\leq \psi(s \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gr)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(sd(fx_n, gr)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi(M_s(x_n, r)))^k = (\psi(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_n, r)))^k \\ &\leq (\psi(d(q, gr)))^k < \psi(d(q, gr)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $d(q, gr) = 0$. Therefore $gr = q$, i.e., $gr = Tr = q$ and hence r is a coincidence point of g and T .

Since $q = gr$ and $gX \subset SX$, we have $q \in SX$ and hence there exists $z \in X$ such that $Sz = q = gr$.

Now, we show that $Sz = fz$. Suppose $Sz \neq fz$. By (9), we have

$$\psi(sd(fz, q)) = \psi(sd(fz, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(z, r)))^k, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(z, r) &= \max\{d(Sz, Tr), d(Sz, fz), d(Tr, gr), \frac{d(Sz, gr) + d(Tr, fz)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{0, d(q, fz), 0, \frac{d(q, fz)}{2s}\} = d(fz, q). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From (20) and using (21), we have

$$\psi(sd(fz, q)) = \psi(d(fz, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(z, r)))^k = (\psi(d(fz, q)))^k < \psi(d(fz, q)),$$

a contradiction. Hence $fz = Sz = q$, so that z is a coincidence point of f and S . Since the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, we have $fz = Sq$ and $Tz = gq$ so that q is also a coincidence point of (f, S) and (g, T) . Now, we show that q is a common fixed point of f, g, S and T . Suppose $fz \neq q$. From (9), we have

$$\psi(sd(fz, q)) = \psi(sd(fz, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, r)))^k, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(q, r) &= \max\{d(Sq, Tr), d(Sq, fz), d(Tr, gr), \frac{d(Sq, gr) + d(Tr, fz)}{2s}\} \\ &= \max\{d(fz, q), 0, 0, \frac{d(q, fz)}{s}\} \\ &= d(fz, q). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (22) and using (23), we have

$$\psi(sd(fq, q)) = \psi(sd(fq, gr)) \leq (\psi(M_s(q, r)))^k = (\psi(d(fq, q)))^k < \psi(d(fq, q)),$$

a contradiction. Hence $f q = q$. Therefore $S q = f q = q$, so that q is common fixed point of f and S and hence $F(f, S) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $F(g, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $q \in F(g, T)$ and q is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Case (ii) : Suppose fX is b -closed.

In this case, we have $q \in fX$ and since $fX \subset TX$, we choose $r \in X$ such that $q = Tr$. Hence the proof follows as in Case (i).

Case (iii) : SX is b -closed.

We follow the argument similar to the case (i), and get the conclusion.

Case (iv) : Suppose gX is b -closed.

As in case (ii), we get the conclusion.

For the case of (g, T) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property, we follow the argument similar to the case (f, S) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property. This complete the proof of the theorem. □

3. Corollaries and Examples

Corollary 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Let $f, g, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be selfmaps of X with $fX \subset TX$ and $gX \subset SX$ such that*

$$sd(fx, gy) \leq kM_s(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{24}$$

where $M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, fx), d(Ty, gy), \frac{d(Sx, gy)+d(Ty, fx)}{2s}\}$ and $0 \leq k < 1$. Suppose that one of the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property and that of one of the subspaces fX, gX, SX and TX is b -closed in X . Then the pair (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.2 by choosing $\psi(t) = e^t$ for all $t \geq 0$. □

Remark 3.2. *Since the inequality (1) is a spacial case of inequality (9) with $\psi(t) = e^t, t \geq 0$ and $k = \frac{s}{s^e}$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.12 follows from Theorem 2.2. Hence Theorem 1.12 is a corollary to Theorem 2.2*

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Let $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be selfmaps of X with $fX \subset TX$ and $gX \subset SX$ such that*

$$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq (\psi(M_s(x, y)))^k \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{25}$$

where $M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, fx), d(Ty, fy), \frac{d(Tx, fy)+d(Ty, fx)}{2s}\}$ and $k \in [0, 1)$. Suppose that the pair (f, T) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property and that of one of the subspaces fX and TX is b -closed in X . Then the pair (f, T) has a point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if the pair (f, T) is weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.2 by choosing $f \equiv g$ and $S \equiv T$. □

Example 3.4. *Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the usual metric. We define f, g, S and T on X by*

$$fx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } x \in [0, 3] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (3, \infty), \end{cases} \quad gx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{5} & \text{if } x \in [0, 3] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (3, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 5x & \text{if } x \in [0, 3] \\ 3 & \text{if } x \in (2, \infty), \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 3x & \text{if } x \in [0, 3] \\ 3 & \text{if } x \in (3, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since $x = 0$ is the only coincidence point of the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) and $fS(0) = Sf(0)$ and $gT(0) = Tg(0)$ and hence the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible. We choose a sequence x_n with $x_n = \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 0$, hence the pair (f, S) satisfies the b -(E.A)-property. We now verify the inequality (9) with $\psi(t) = e^t, t \geq 0$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\psi(t) = e^t$ and $s = 1, f, g, S$ and T satisfy the inequality (9) if and only if f, g, S and T satisfy the following inequality:

$$|fx - fy| \leq kM_s(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}M_s(x, y). \quad (26)$$

We have the following possible cases.

Case (i): $x, y \in [0, 3]$.

In this case, $f(x) = \frac{x}{3}, gy = \frac{y}{5}, Sx = 5x$ and $Ty = 3y$, and hence $d(Sx, Ty) = |5x - 3y|$. Now, we have

$$d(fx, gy) = \left| \frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{5} \right| = \frac{1}{15}|5x - 3y| \leq \frac{1}{2}|5x - 3y| = \frac{1}{2}|Sx - Ty| \leq \frac{1}{2}M_s(x, y)$$

Case (ii): $x, y \in (3, \infty)$.

In this case, since $f(x) = gy = 1$, the inequality (9) holds trivially.

Case (iii): $x \in [0, 3], y \in (3, \infty)$.

In this case, $f(x) = \frac{x}{3}, S(x) = 5x, gy = 1$ and $Ty = 3$ and hence $d(Ty, gy) = |3 - 1| = 2$. Now, we have $d(fx, gy) = \left| \frac{x}{3} - 1 \right| \leq 1 = \frac{1}{2}d(Ty, gy) \leq \frac{1}{2}M_s(x, y)$.

Case (iv): $x \in (3, \infty), y \in [0, 3]$.

In this case, $fx = 1, Sx = 3, gy = \frac{y}{5}$ and $Ty = 3y$ and hence $d(fx, Sx) = |3 - 1| = 2$. Now, we have $d(fx, gy) = \left| \frac{y}{5} - 1 \right| \leq 1 = \frac{1}{2}d(fx, Sx) \leq \frac{1}{2}M_s(x, y)$.

Hence from all the above cases f, g, S and T satisfy the inequality (9). Therefore f, g, S and T satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 and $x = 0$ is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T . Here we observe that Theorem 1.12 is not applicable, since $s = 1$. Hence Remark 3.2 suggests that Theorem 2.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.12.

References

- [1] M.Aamri and D.El.Moutawakil, *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270(2002), 181-188.
- [2] G.V.R.Babu and G.N.Alemayehu, *A common fixed point theorem for weakly contractive mappings satisfying property (E.A)*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 24(6)(2012), 975-981.
- [3] M.Boriceanu, M.Bota and A.Petrusel, *Multivalued fractals in b -metric spaces*, Cent. Eur. J. Math, 8(2)(2010), 367-377.
- [4] S.Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, 1(1993), 5-11.
- [5] N.Hussain, D.Doric, Z.Kadelburg and S.Radenovic, *Suzuki-type fixed point results in metric type spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012(2012), 126.
- [6] G.Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9(4)(1986), 771-779.
- [7] G.Jungck and B.E.Rhoades, *Fixed point for set-valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 29(3)(1998), 227-238.
- [8] G.Jungck and B.E.Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings*, Fixed Point Theory., 7(2006), 287-296.

- [9] S.Mudgal, *Fixed Points Theorems for Weakly Compatible Maps along with Property (E.A.)*, International Journal of Computer Applications, 96(24)(2014), 0975-8887.
- [10] V.Ozturk and D.Turkoglu, *Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying (E.A)-property in b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8(2015), 1127-1133.
- [11] V.Ozturk and S.Radenovi, *Some remarks on b-(E.A)-property in b-metric spaces*, Springer Plus, 5(2016), 544.
- [12] T.Nazir and M.Abbas, *Common fixed points of two pairs of mappings satisfying (E.A)-property in partial metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2014(2014), 237.