



International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Generalized Discrete α, k -Fourier Transform

Research Article

G.Britto Antony Xavier^{1*} and B.Govindan¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain α, k -discrete Fourier transform and the closed form solutions of various functions by using inverse difference operators α and k . Appropriate examples are inserted to exemplify the main results.

MSC: 39A70, 42A16, 42B05.

Keywords: Discrete Fourier Transform, Polynomial factorials and Generalized difference operator.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The knowledge of Fourier transforms becomes an essential part of engineers and scientists. This provides easy and effective solutions of many problems arising in engineering. Let $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $L'(R)$ be the space of Lebesgue integrable function define on R . Then the Fourier transform of $f \in L'(R)$ is defined by $F[f(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{ist} dt$. [10] This transform based on integral. In our research, we introduce discrete Fourier transform by using the difference operators $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$ and $\Delta_{k(\ell)}$, where α is a parameter, k is a variable and $\ell > 0$. By varying value of ℓ and α we get better solutions. The α, k -discrete Fourier transform becomes discrete Fourier transform and Fourier transform when $\ell = 1$, $\ell \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ respectively [1, 2]. The general theory on Δ_ℓ , Δ_α , $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$ and $\Delta_{k(\ell)}$ one can refer [5]. In 2011, M.Maria Susai Manuel, et.al, [8], have extended the definition of Δ_α to $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$ which is defined as $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}v(k) = v(k + \ell) - \alpha v(k)$ for the real valued function $v(k)$, $\ell \in (0, \infty)$. In [9], the authors have used the generalized α -difference equation;

$$v(k + \ell) - \alpha v(k) = u(k), \quad k \in [0, \infty), \quad \ell \in (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

From α difference operator [9], if $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} v(k) = u(k)$ then we have

$$v(k) = \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} u(k) - \alpha^{[k/\ell]} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} u(\hat{\ell}(k)) = \sum_{r=1}^{[k/\ell]} \alpha^{r-1} u(k - r\ell), \quad \hat{\ell}(k) = k - [k/\ell]\ell \quad (2)$$

By replacing the parameter α by variable k , we define k -Difference operator with variable coefficient as

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)} v(k) = v(k + \ell) - kv(k) \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we establish discrete Fourier transform into α, k -discrete Fourier transform for certain functions, based on that we produce many theorems. Out of which one of the theorem demonstrate the relationship between discrete Laplace and discrete Fourier transforms.

* E-mail: brittoshc@gmail.com

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present basic concepts of the Generalized difference operators Δ_ℓ , $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$, $\Delta_{k(\ell)}$ and inverse difference operators Δ_ℓ^{-1} , $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}$, $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1}$ for finding α , k -Discrete Fourier Transform. In [6, 7] the authors introduce $k_\ell^{(m)} = k(k - \ell)(k - 2\ell) \cdots (k - (m - 1)\ell)$, the operator Δ_ℓ as $\Delta_\ell u(k) = u(k + \ell) - u(k)$ and its inverse by

$$\text{if } \Delta_\ell v(k) = u(k), \text{ then } v(k) = \Delta_\ell^{-1} u(k). \quad (4)$$

Let s_r^m and S_r^m are Stirling numbers of first and second kinds respectively, $\ell > 0$, m is non-negative integer and $k_\ell^{(m)} = k(k - \ell)(k - 2\ell) \cdots (k - (m - 1)\ell)$. From [3] we have the following identities:

$$(i) \ k_\ell^{(m)} = \sum_{r=1}^m s_r^m \ell^{m-r} k^r, \quad (ii) \ k^m = \sum_{r=1}^m S_r^m \ell^{m-r} k_\ell^{(r)}, \quad (iii) \ \Delta_\ell k_\ell^{(m)} = (m\ell) k_\ell^{(m-1)}, \quad (5)$$

$$(iv) \ \Delta_\ell^{-1} k_\ell^{(m)} = \frac{k_\ell^{(m+1)}}{\ell(m+1)} \quad (v) \ \Delta_\ell^{-1} k^m = \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{S_r^m \ell^{m-r} k_\ell^{(r)}}{(r+1)\ell} \quad (vi) \ \Delta_\ell^{-1} e^{isk} = \frac{e^{isk}}{(e^{is\ell} - 1)}, \quad (6)$$

$$(vii) \ \Delta_\ell^{-1} u(k) \Big|_a^b = \sum_{r=0}^{M-1} u(a + r\ell), \quad M = \frac{b-a}{\ell} \text{ and } (viii) \ \Delta_\ell^{-1} u(k) \Big|_0^\infty = \sum_{r=0}^\infty u(r\ell). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.1 ([3, 4]). *Let $\ell > 0$ and $u(k)$, $w(k)$ are real valued bounded functions. Then*

$$\Delta_\ell^{-1}(u(k)w(k)) = u(k)\Delta_\ell^{-1}w(k) - \Delta_\ell^{-1}(\Delta_\ell^{-1}w(k + \ell)\Delta_\ell u(k)) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and } \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}(u(k)v(k)) = u(k)\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}v(k) - \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}(\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}v(k + \ell)\Delta_\ell u(k)). \quad (9)$$

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). *For $\ell > 0$,*

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}u(k + \ell) - \alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil + 1} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}u(\hat{\ell}(k)) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^r u(k - r\ell) \quad (10)$$

and hence

$$\alpha^m \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}u(k + \ell - m\ell) - \alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil + 1} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}u(\hat{\ell}(k)) = \sum_{r=m}^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^r u(k - r\ell) \text{ for } m < \left[\frac{k}{\ell} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$. Then we have*

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}(e^{-sk} \cos ak) = \frac{e^{-sk}(e^{-s\ell} \cos a(k - \ell)) - \alpha \cos ak}{e^{-2s\ell} - 2\alpha e^{-s\ell} \cos a\ell + \alpha^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}(e^{-sk} \sin ak) = \frac{e^{-sk}(e^{-s\ell} \sin a(k - \ell)) - \alpha \sin ak}{e^{-2s\ell} - 2\alpha e^{-s\ell} \cos a\ell + \alpha^2}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. The proof follows by the definition of $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}$ and solving the following relations:

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(e^{-sk} \cos ak) = e^{-sk} \cos ak(e^{-s\ell} \cos a\ell - \alpha) - e^{-sk} e^{-s\ell} \sin ak \sin a\ell,$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(e^{-sk} \sin ak) = e^{-sk} \sin ak(e^{-s\ell} \cos a\ell - \alpha) + e^{-sk} e^{-s\ell} \cos ak \sin a\ell.$$

□

Lemma 2.4 ([5] (1(k)-series of $u(k)$)). *The first order generalized k -difference equation $v(k+\ell) - kv(k) = u(k)$, $k \in [\ell, \infty)$, $\ell > 0$, has a summation solution of the form*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{k}{\ell}\right]} k_\ell^{(r)} u(k - r\ell) = {}_{(k+\ell)(\ell)}^{-1} u(k + \ell) - k_\ell^{(\left[\frac{k}{\ell}\right]+1)} {}_{\hat{\ell}(k)(\ell)}^{-1} u(\hat{\ell}(k)). \quad (14)$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\ell > 0$ and $u(k)$, $v(k)$ are real valued bounded functions. Then*

$${}_{k(\ell)}^{-1} (u(k)v(k)) = u(k) {}_{k(\ell)}^{-1} v(k) - {}_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \left({}_{k(\ell)}^{-1} v(k + \ell) \Delta_\ell u(k) \right). \quad (15)$$

Proof. From (3), we get

$${}_{k(\ell)} \Delta (u(k)w(k)) = u(k) {}_{k(\ell)} \Delta w(k) + w(k + \ell) \Delta_\ell u(k). \quad (16)$$

By taking ${}_{k(\ell)} \Delta w(k) = v(k)$ and $w(k) = {}_{k(\ell)}^{-1} v(k)$ in equation (16), we obtain (15) \square

3. Main Results

In this section we derive closed form solution of the generalized difference equation ${}_{\alpha(\ell)} \Delta w(k) = v(k)$ and ${}_{k(\ell)} \Delta w(k) = v(k)$, where $w(k)$ takes polynomials, polynomial factorial with exponential and geometric with trigonometric functions. Also we find that the α , k -discrete Fourier transform of several functions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$. Then we have*

$${}_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} (k_\ell^{(p)} e^{isk}) = \left(\sum_{r=0}^p (-\ell)^r (p)_1^{(r)} k_\ell^{(p-r)} (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-(r+1)} \right) e^{is(k-\ell)}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Taking $u(k) = k_\ell^{(1)}$, $w(k) = e^{isk}$ in (8) and using (5), we get

$${}_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} (k_\ell^{(1)} e^{isk}) = \left(k_\ell^{(1)} (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-1} - \ell (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-2} \right) e^{is(k-\ell)}.$$

Taking $u(k) = k_\ell^{(2)}$, $w(k) = e^{isk}$ in (8), and using (5), we get

$${}_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} (k_\ell^{(2)} e^{isk}) = \left(k_\ell^{(2)} (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-1} - 2\ell k_\ell^{(1)} (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-2} + 2\ell^2 \ell (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-3} \right) e^{is(k-\ell)}.$$

Continuing the above process, we get the proof of (17). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$. Then we have*

$${}_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} (k^q e^{\pm isk}) = \sum_{p=1}^q S_q^p \ell^{q-p} \left(\sum_{r=0}^p (-\ell)^r (p)_1^{(r)} k_\ell^{(p-r)} (1 - \alpha e^{-is\ell})^{-(r+1)} \right) e^{is(k-\ell)}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. The proof follows from second term of (5) and (17) \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have*

$${}_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} (a^k e^{isk}) = \frac{a^k e^{is(k-\ell)}}{(a^\ell - \alpha e^{-is\ell})}. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Since $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^k a^k e^{isk} = a^{k+\ell} e^{is(k+\ell)} - \alpha a^k e^{isk}$, the proof follows from (4). \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^m \ell^r + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} \binom{m-p}{r-p} \ell^{r-p} k^{m-r} - k^{m+1} \right\} = \sum_{r=0}^m k^r. \quad (20)$$

Proof. From (3), we get $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^0 k^0 = 1 - k$, $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^1 k = k + \ell - k^2$, $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^2 k^2 = (k + \ell)^2 - k^3$ and proceeding like this we get $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^m k^m = (k + \ell)^m - k^{m+1}$. Adding all and then taking $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1}$ on both sides we get the proof of (20). \square

Example 3.5. Taking $m = 5$ in (20), we get

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \left\{ 5\ell k^4 + (10\ell^2 + 4\ell)k^3 + (10\ell^3 + 6\ell^2 + 3\ell)k^2 + (5\ell^4 + 4\ell^3 + 3\ell^2 + 2\ell)k + (1 + \ell + \ell^2 + \ell^3 + \ell^4 + \ell^5) - k^6 \right\} = 1 + k + k^2 + k^3 + k^4 + k^5.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^m \Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} ((k + \ell)^r - k^{r+1}) = \sum_{r=0}^m k^r. \quad (21)$$

Proof. The similar proof of the Theorem 3.4 proves (21). \square

Theorem 3.7. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \left\{ (a^\ell e^{isl} - k) a^k e^{isk} \right\} = a^k e^{isk}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. From (3), we get $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^k a^k e^{isk} = a^{k+\ell} e^{is(k+\ell)} - ka^k e^{isk}$. Now taking $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1}$ on both sides we get the proof of (22). \square

Example 3.8. Taking $a = 2$, $\ell = 3$, $k = 6$ and $s = 2$ in (22), we get

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} (2^6 (2^6 (\cos 6 + i \sin 6) - 6)) (\cos 12 + i \sin 12) = 64 (\cos 12 + i \sin 12).$$

Theorem 3.9. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \left\{ (a^\ell e^{isl} (k + \ell) - k^2) a^k e^{isk} \right\} = ka^k e^{isk}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Taking $v(k) = ka^k e^{isk}$ in (3) and then applying $\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1}$, we get the proof of (23). \square

Theorem 3.10. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} ((\cos a\ell + \sin a\ell - k) \cos ak + (\cos a\ell - \sin a\ell - k) \sin ak) = \cos ak + \sin ak. \quad (24)$$

Proof. From (3), we have $\Delta_{k(\ell)} \cos ak = \cos a(k + \ell) - k \cos ak$ and $\Delta_{k(\ell)} \sin ak = \sin a(k + \ell) - k \sin ak$. Which completes the proof of (24). \square

Theorem 3.11. Let $k \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\ell > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \{ (e^{s\ell}(\cos a\ell - \sin a\ell) - k) \sin ak + (e^{s\ell}(\cos a\ell + \sin a\ell) - k) \cos ak \} e^{sk} = (\sin ak + \cos ak) e^{sk}, \quad (25)$$

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \{ (e^{s\ell}(\cos a\ell + \sin a\ell) - k) \sin ak + (e^{s\ell}(\sin a\ell - \cos a\ell) + k) \cos ak \} e^{sk} = (\sin ak - \cos ak) e^{sk}, \quad (26)$$

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \{ (e^{s\ell} \cos ak - k \cos a(k - \ell)) \} e^{sk} = e^{sk} \cos a(k - \ell), \quad (27)$$

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} \{ (e^{s\ell} \sin ak - k \sin a(k - \ell)) \} e^{sk} = e^{sk} \sin a(k + \ell). \quad (28)$$

Proof. From (3), we have

$$\Delta_{k(\ell)} e^{sk} \sin ak = (e^{s\ell} \cos a\ell \sin ak + e^{s\ell} \sin a\ell \cos ak - k \sin ak) e^{sk} \quad (29)$$

$$\text{and } \Delta_{k(\ell)} e^{sk} \cos ak = (e^{s\ell} \cos a\ell \cos ak - e^{s\ell} \sin a\ell \sin ak - k \cos ak) e^{sk} \quad (30)$$

By solving (29) and (30), we get the proof of (25), (26), (27) and (28). \square

Definition 3.12. The α , k -Fourier transform of $u(k)$ is defined as

$$F_{\alpha(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) e^{isk} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{ and } F_{k(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) e^{isk} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}. \quad (31)$$

Similarly α , k -Fourier sine and cosine transforms of $u(k)$ are defined as

$$F_{\alpha_s(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) \sin sk \Big|_0^{\infty} \text{ and } F_{k_s(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) \sin sk \Big|_0^{\infty} \quad (32)$$

$$F_{\alpha_c(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) \cos sk \Big|_0^{\infty} \text{ and } F_{k_c(\ell)} w(k) = W(s) = \ell \Delta_{k(\ell)}^{-1} w(k) \cos sk \Big|_0^{\infty} \quad (33)$$

Theorem 3.13. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$F_{\alpha(\ell)} (\Delta_{\ell}^n u(k)) = (e^{-is\ell} - \alpha)^{n-1} F_{\alpha(\ell)} (\Delta_{\ell} u(k)). \quad (34)$$

In particular, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ we get

$$F(\Delta_{\ell}^n u(k)) = (e^{-is\ell} - 1)^{n-1} F(\Delta_{\ell} u(k)). \quad (35)$$

Proof. Taking $w(k) = \Delta_{\ell} u(k)$ in (15), we get $F(\Delta_{\ell} u(k)) = \frac{-e^{is\ell}}{e^{is\ell} - 1} F(\Delta_{\ell}^2 u(k))$. From this we can get $F(\Delta_{\ell}^2 u(k)) = (e^{-is\ell} - 1) F(\Delta_{\ell} u(k))$. Now taking $w(k) = \Delta_{\ell}^2 u(k)$, we get $F(\Delta_{\ell}^3 u(k)) = (e^{-is\ell} - 1)^2 F(\Delta_{\ell} u(k))$. Repeating this process n times we get the proof of (34). \square

Example 3.14. Taking $u(k) = e^k$ in (34) and using (31), we get

$$F_{\alpha(\ell)} (\Delta_{\ell}^n e^k) = (e^{-is\ell} - \alpha)^{n-1} \ell (e^{\ell} - 1) \left(\frac{e^{(is+1)k}}{e^{(is+1)\ell} - \alpha} \right) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}.$$

In particular, when $n = 108$ and $-4 < k < 4$, we have

$$F_{\alpha(\ell)} (\Delta_{\ell}^{108} e^k) = \frac{\ell (e^{-is\ell} - \alpha)^{107} (e^{\ell} - 1)}{e^{(is+1)\ell} - \alpha} (e^{4(is+1)} - e^{-4(is+1)}).$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s)$ and $\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} z(k) = Z(s)$, then

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} (aw(k) + bz(k)) = a \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{W}(s) + b \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{Z}(s) \text{ and } \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} (w(ak)) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{W}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right). \quad (36)$$

Proof. The proof follow from (31) and the linearity of $\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{\Delta}$. \square

Example 3.16. Taking $w(k) = k$ and $-2 < k < 2$ in (36), then the α -Fourier transform of ak as

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F}(ak) = \frac{\ell}{a} \left\{ \frac{4 \cos 2(s/a)}{(e^{i(s/a)\ell} - \alpha)} - \frac{2ie^{i(s/a)\ell} \sin 2(s/a)}{(e^{i(s/a)\ell} - \alpha)^2} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.17. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s)$ and $\underset{\alpha_c(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s)$, then

$$\underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F} w(ak) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{W}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right) \text{ and } \underset{\alpha_c(\ell)}{F} w(ak) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{\alpha_c(\ell)}{W}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right) \quad (37)$$

Proof. The proof follow from (32) and (33). \square

Theorem 3.18. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} g(k) = G(s)$, then $\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F}(g(k-a)) = e^{isa} \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{G}(s)$.

Proof. The proof follow from (31). \square

Example 3.19. Taking $g(k) = k_\ell^{(2)}$ in the Theorem 3.18, using (17) and (31), we get the α -Fourier transform of $(k-a)_\ell^{(2)}$ as

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F}((k-a)_\ell^{(2)}) = \ell e^{isa} \left\{ \frac{k_\ell^{(2)} e^{isk}}{(e^{isl} - \alpha)} - \frac{2\ell k_\ell^{(1)} e^{is(k+\ell)}}{(e^{isl} - \alpha)^2} + \frac{2\ell^2 e^{is(k+2\ell)}}{(e^{isl} - \alpha)^3} \right\}$$

In particular, when $\alpha = 2$, $\ell = 2$ and $0 < k < 3$, we have

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F}((k-a)_\ell^{(2)}) = \frac{6e^{is(3+a)}}{(e^{i2s} - 2)} - \frac{24e^{is(5+a)}}{(e^{i2s} - 2)^2} + \frac{8e^{is(7+a)}}{(e^{i2s} - 2)^3} - \frac{8e^{is(4+a)}}{(e^{i2s} - 2)^3}.$$

Theorem 3.20 (α -Modulation Theorem). Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, we have the following

$$(i) \text{ If } \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s) \text{ then } \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F}(w(k) \cos ak) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ W(s+a) + W(s-a) \right\} \quad (38)$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s) \text{ then } \underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F}(w(k) \cos ak) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ W(s+a) + W(s-a) \right\} \quad (39)$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } \underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s) \text{ then } \underset{\alpha_c(\ell)}{F}(w(k) \sin ak) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ W(s+a) - W(s-a) \right\} \quad (40)$$

$$(iv) \text{ If } \underset{\alpha_c(\ell)}{F} w(k) = W(s) \text{ then } \underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F}(w(k) \sin ak) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ W(s-a) - W(s+a) \right\} \quad (41)$$

Example 3.21. Taking $w(k)$ in (1) and using (13), we get the α -sine Fourier transform of $e^{-mk \sin ak}$ as

$$\underset{\alpha_s(\ell)}{F}(e^{-mk} \sin ak) = \frac{\ell}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{-mk} (e^{-m\ell} \cos(s-a)(k-\ell) - \alpha \cos(s-a)k)}{e^{-2m\ell} - 2\alpha e^{-m\ell} \cos(s-a)\ell + \alpha^2} - \frac{e^{-mk} (e^{-m\ell} \cos(s+a)(k-\ell) - \alpha \cos(s+a)k)}{e^{-2m\ell} - 2\alpha e^{-m\ell} \cos(s+a)\ell + \alpha^2} \right\} \Big|_0^\infty.$$

In particular, when $m = 5$, $\ell = 3$ and $0 < k < 9$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\alpha_s(3)}{F}(e^{-5k} \sin ak) = & \frac{3}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{-45} (e^{-15} \cos 6(s-a) - \alpha \cos 9(s-a))}{e^{-30} - 2\alpha e^{-15} \cos 3(s-a) + \alpha^2} - \frac{e^{-45} (e^{-15} \cos 6(s+a) - \alpha \cos 9(s+a))}{e^{-30} - 2\alpha e^{-15} \cos 3(s+a) + \alpha^2} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{e^{-15} \cos 3(s-a) - \alpha}{e^{-30} - 2\alpha e^{-15} \cos 3(s-a) + \alpha^2} + \frac{e^{-15} \cos 3(s+a) - \alpha}{e^{-30} - 2\alpha e^{-15} \cos 3(s+a) + \alpha^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.22. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{k(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k(\ell)}{H}(s)$ and $\underset{k(\ell)}{F} g(k) = \underset{k(\ell)}{G}(s)$, then

$$\underset{k(\ell)}{F}(ah(k) + bg(k)) = a \underset{k(\ell)}{H}(s) + b \underset{k(\ell)}{G}(s) \text{ and } \underset{k(\ell)}{F}(h(ak)) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{k(\ell)}{H}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right). \quad (42)$$

Proof. The proof follows from (31) and the linearity of $\underset{k(\ell)}{\Delta}$. \square

Example 3.23. Taking $h(k) = a^k(a^\ell e^{is\ell} - k)$ in (42), using (31) and (22) we get k -Fourier transform of $a^k(a^\ell e^{is\ell} - k)$ for $-5 < k < 5$ is

$$\underset{k(\ell)}{F}(a^k(a^\ell e^{is\ell} - k)) = \ell(a^5 e^{i5s} - a^{-5} e^{-i5s}).$$

From this we can get the k -Fourier transform of $a^{ak}(a^\ell e^{is\ell} - ak)$ is

$$\underset{k(\ell)}{F}(a^{ak}(a^\ell e^{is\ell} - ak)) = \frac{\ell}{a^6} \{ \cos 5(s/a)(a^{10} - 1) + i \sin 5(s/a)(a^{10} + 1) \}.$$

Theorem 3.24. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{k_s(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k_s(\ell)}{H}(s)$ and $\underset{k_c(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s)$, then

$$\underset{k_s(\ell)}{F} h(ak) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{k_s(\ell)}{H}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right) \text{ and } \underset{k_c(\ell)}{F} h(ak) = \frac{1}{a} \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right) \quad (43)$$

Proof. The proof follows from (32) and (33). \square

Theorem 3.25. Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, $\underset{k(\ell)}{F} g(k) = \underset{k(\ell)}{G}(s)$, then $\underset{k(\ell)}{F}(g(k-a)) = e^{isa} \underset{k(\ell)}{G}(s)$.

Proof. The proof follows from (31). \square

Theorem 3.26 (k -Modulation Theorem). Let $\ell > 0$, $k \in [0, \infty)$, we have the following

$$(i) \text{ If } \underset{k(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k(\ell)}{H}(s) \text{ then } \underset{k(\ell)}{F}(h(k) \cos ak) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \underset{k(\ell)}{H}(s+a) + \underset{k(\ell)}{H}(s-a) \} \quad (44)$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \underset{k_s(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k_s(\ell)}{H}(s) \text{ then } \underset{k_s(\ell)}{F}(h(k) \cos ak) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \underset{k_s(\ell)}{H}(s+a) + \underset{k_s(\ell)}{H}(s-a) \} \quad (45)$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } \underset{k_c(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s) \text{ then } \underset{k_c(\ell)}{F}(h(k) \sin ak) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s+a) - \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s-a) \} \quad (46)$$

$$(iv) \text{ If } \underset{k_c(\ell)}{F} h(k) = \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s) \text{ then } \underset{k_s(\ell)}{F}(h(k) \sin ak) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s-a) - \underset{k_c(\ell)}{H}(s+a) \} \quad (47)$$

Theorem 3.27 (Relationship between Laplace and Fourier Transform). Let $\ell > 0$, $w(k) = e^{-pk} g(k)$ for $k > 0$ and $w(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$, then $\underset{k(\ell)}{F} w(k) = \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{L} g(k)$. In particular, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ we get $F(w(k)) = L(g(k))$.

Proof. From (31), we get

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} w(k) = \ell \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{\Delta}^{-1} w(k) e^{isk} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 + \ell \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{\Delta}^{-1} w(k) e^{isk} \Big|_0^\infty.$$

Which gives

$$\underset{\alpha(\ell)}{F} w(k) = \ell \underset{\alpha(\ell)}{\Delta}^{-1} e^{-pk} e^{isk} \Big|_0^\infty.$$

Which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. Conclusion

By using Fourier transform we get only one output for input. But by using the α and k -discrete Fourier transform we can get different outputs by varying the value of α for given inputs.

References

- [1] A.N.Akansu and Poluri, *Walsh-like Nonlinear Phase Orthogonal Codes for Direct Sequences CDMA Communications*, IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing, (2007), 3800-3806.
- [2] Aleksandar Ivic, *Some Applications of Laplace Transforms in Analytic Number Theory*, Novi Sad J. Math., 45(1)(2015), 31-44.
- [3] V.Britanak and K.R.Rao, *The Fast Generalized discrete Fourier Transforms: A unified Approach to the Discrete Sinusoidal Transforms Computation*, Siganal Processing, 79(1999), 135-150.
- [4] G.Britto Antony Xavier, B.Govindan, S.U.Vasantha Kumar and S.John Borg, *Higher order Multi-Series arising from Generalized α Difference Equation*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 9(45)(2015), 2211-2220.
- [5] G.Britto Antony Xavier, B.Govindan, S.U.Vasantha Kumar and S.John Borg, *$m(k)$ -Series Solution of Generalized k -Difference Equation with Variable Coefficients*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 99(5)(2016), 699-717.
- [6] G.Britto Antony Xavier, S.Sathyam and S.U.Vasantha Kumar, *m -Series of the Generalized Difference Equation to Circular Functions*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 4(7)(2013), 200-209.
- [7] M.Maria Susai Manuel, G.Britto Antony Xavier and E.Thandapani, *Theory of Generalized Difference Operator and Its Applications*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 20(2)(2006), 163-171.
- [8] M.Maria Susai Manuel, V.Chandrasekar and G.Britto Antony Xavier, *Solutions and Applications of Certain Class of α -Difference Equations*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 24(6)(2011), 943-954.
- [9] M.Maria Susai Manuel, V.Chandrasekar and G.Britto Antony Xavier, *Theory of Generalized α -Difference Operator and its Applications in Number Theory*, Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes, 9(2)(2012), 141-155.
- [10] Steven W.Smith, *The Scientist and Engineer's Guide to Digital Signal Processing*, Second Edition, California Technical Publishing San Diego, California, (1999).