

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

# Properties of (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact Spaces

**Research Article** 

#### R.Femina<sup>1</sup> and N.Rajesh<sup>1\*</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract:	A kind of new $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -compactness axiom is introduced in L-bitopological spaces, where L is a fuzzy lattice. And its topological properties are systematically studied.
MSC:	54C10, 54C08, 54C05.
Keywords:	<i>L</i> -bitopology; $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open set, $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -compact set. © JS Publication.

### 1. Introduction

It is known that compactness and its stronger and weaker forms play very important roles in topology. Based on fuzzy topological spaces introduced by Chang [3], various kinds of fuzzy compactness [3, 6, 10] have been established. However, these concepts of fuzzy compactness rely on the structure of L and L is required to be completely distributive. In [9], for a complete De Morgan algebra L, Shi introduced a new definition of fuzzy comactness in L-topological spaces using open L-sets and their inequality. This new definition does not depend on the structure of L. In this paper, A kind of new (i, j)- $\beta$ -compactness axiom is introduced in L-bitopological spaces, where L is a fuzzy lattice. And its topological properties are systematically studied.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper X and Y will be nonempty ordinary sets and  $L = L(\leq, \lor, \land')$  will denote a fuzzy lattice, that is, a completely distributive lattice with a smallest element 0 and largest element 1  $(0 \neq 1)$  and with an order reversion involution  $a \to a'(a \in L)$ . We shall denote by  $L^X$  the lattice of all L-subsets of X and if  $A \in X$  by  $\chi_A$  the characteristic function of A. An L-topological space is a pair  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is a subfamily of  $L^X$  which contains  $\underline{0}, \underline{1}$  and is closed for any suprema and finite infima.  $\tau$  is called an L-topological space (or L-bts for short) is an ordered triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are subfamilies of  $L^X$  which contains  $\underline{0}, \underline{1}$  and is closed for any suprema and finite infima. An topological space (or L-bts for short) is an ordered triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are subfamilies of  $L^X$  which contains  $\underline{0}, \underline{1}$  and is closed for any suprema and finite infima. An element p of L is called prime if and only if  $p \neq 1$  and whenever  $a, b \in L$  with  $a \land b \leq p$  then  $a \leq p$  or  $b \leq p$  [5, 6]. The set of all prime elements of L will be denoted by pr(L). An element  $\alpha$  of L is called union

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  E-mail: nrajesh\_topology@yahoo.co.in

irreducible or coprime if and only if whenever  $a, b \in L$  with  $\alpha \leq a \lor b$  then  $\alpha \leq a$  or  $\alpha \leq b$  [5]. The set of all non zero union irreducible elements of L will be denoted by M(L). It is obvious that  $p \in pr(L)$  if and only if  $p' \in M(L)$ . Warner [12] has determined the prime element of the fuzzy lattice  $L^X$ . We have  $pr(L^X) = \{x_p : x \in X \text{ and } p \in pr(L)\}$ , where for each  $x \in X$  and each  $p \in pr(L), x_p : X \to L$  is the L-subset defined by

$$x_p(y) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } y=x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These  $x_p$  are called the *L*-points of *X* and we say that  $x_p$  is a member of an *L*-subset *f* and write  $x_p \in f$  if and only if  $f(x) \not\leq p$ . Thus, the union irreducible elements of  $L^X$  are the function  $x_\alpha : X \to L$  defined by

$$x_{\alpha}(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if y=x,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in M(L)$ . Hence, we have  $M(L^X) = \{x_\alpha : x \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in M(L)\}$ . As these  $x_\alpha$  are identified with the *L*-points  $x_p$  of *X*, we shall refer to them as fuzzy points. When  $x_\alpha \in M(L^X)$ , we hall *x* and  $\alpha$  the support of  $x_\alpha$  $(x = Suppx_\alpha)$  and the height of  $x_\alpha(\alpha = h(x_\alpha))$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.1** ([1]). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts,  $A \in L^X$ . Then A is called an (i, j)- $\beta$ -open set if  $A \leq j \operatorname{Cl}(i \operatorname{Int}(j \operatorname{Cl}(A)))$ . The complement of an (i, j)- $\beta$ -open set is called an (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed set. Also, (i, j)- $\beta O(L^X)$  and (i, j)- $\beta C(L^X)$  will always denote the family of all (i, j)- $\beta$ -open sets and (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed sets respectively. Obviously,  $A \in (i, j)$ - $\beta O(L^X)$  if and only if  $A' \in (i, j)$ - $\beta C(L^X)$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([1]). Let  $(L^X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bitopological space,  $A, B \in L^X$ . Let (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Int}(A) = \lor \{B \in L^X | B \leq A, B \in (i, j)$ - $\beta O(L^X)\}, (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(A) = \land \{B \in L^X | A \leq B, B \in (i, j)$ - $\beta C(L^X)\}$ . Then (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Int}(A)$  and (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  are called the (i, j)- $\beta$ -interior and (i, j)- $\beta$ -closue of A respectively.

**Definition 2.3** ([11]). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two L-bitopological spaces. A function  $f(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called (i, j)- $\beta$ -continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(g)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  for each  $g \in \sigma_i$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([2]). Let  $\alpha \in M(L)$  and  $g \in L^X$ . A collection  $\eta$  of L-subsets is said to form an  $\alpha$ -level filter base in the L-subset g if and only if for any finite subcollection  $\{f_1, ..., f_n\}$  of  $\eta$ , there exists  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge \alpha$  such that  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) \ge \alpha$ . When g is the whole space X, then  $\eta$  is an  $\alpha$ -lever filter base if and only if for any finite subcollection  $\{f_1, ..., f_n\}$  of  $\eta$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) \ge \alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([9]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, f be an L-subset in the L-ts  $(X, \omega(\tau))$  and  $p \in pr(L)$ . Then we have

1.  $(Cl(f))^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \nleq p\}) \subset Cl(f^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \nleq p\})).$ 

2. 
$$(\operatorname{Int}(f))^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \leq p\}) \subset \operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \leq p\})).$$

**Lemma 2.6** ([9]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subset X$ . Considering the L-ts  $(X, \omega(\tau))$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e \in L & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have the following  $% \left( f_{i} \right) = \int_{\partial \Omega} f_{i} \left( f_{i} \right) \left( f_{i} \right)$ 

$$\operatorname{Cl}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{Cl}(A), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Int}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{Int}(A), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.7** ([2]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an L-ts and  $g \in L^X, r \in L$ .

- 1. A collection  $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  of L-subsets is called an r-level cover of g if and only if  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq r$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge r'$ . If each  $f_i$  is open then  $\mu$  is called an r-level open cover of g. If g is the whole space X, then  $\mu$  is called an r-level open cover of g. If g is the whole space X, then  $\mu$  is called an r-level cover of X if and only if  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq r$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- 2. An r-level cover  $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  of g is said to have a finite r-level subcover if there exists a finite subset F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in T} f_i)(x) \nleq r$  for all  $x \in x$  with  $g(x) \ge r'$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an L-ts and  $g \in L^X$ . Then g is said to be compact [7] if and ony if for every prime  $p \in L$  and every collection  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  of open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ , there exists a finite subset F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ , that is, every p-level open cover of g has a finite p-level subcover, where  $p \in pr(L)$ . If g is the whole space, then the L-ts  $(X, \tau)$  is called compact.

## **3.** (i, j)- $\beta$ -compactness and its Goodness

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts and  $g \in L^X$ . The g is called (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if every p-level cover of g consisting of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets has a finite p-level subcover, where  $p \in pr(L)$ . If g is the whole space, then we say that the L-bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A \subset X$ . If A is (i, j)- $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $\chi_A$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -open in the L-bts  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if the L-bts  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

Proof. Let  $p \in pr(L)$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a *p*-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence for each  $x \in X$  there is  $i \in J$  such that  $f_i(x) \notin p$ , that is,  $x \in f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\})$ . So,  $X = \bigcup_{i \in J} f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\})$ . Because  $f_i$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$ , there is an (i, j)-preopen *L*-subset  $g_i$  in  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  such that  $g_i \leq f_i \leq \operatorname{Cl}(g_i)$  for every  $\in J$ . Hence by Lemma 2.5, we get  $g_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\}) \subset f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\}) \subset (\operatorname{Cl}(g_i))^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\}) \subset \operatorname{Cl}(g_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\}))$ , Which means that  $f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\})$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Thus  $\{f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\})\}_{i \in J}$  is an (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact, there is a finite subset F of J such that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in F} f_i^{-1}(\{t \in L : t \notin p\})$ , that is,  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence,  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

Conversely let  $\{A_i\}_{i\in J}$  be an (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then by Lemma 3.2 $\{\chi_{A_i}\}_{i\in J}$  is a family of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets in  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  such that  $1 = (\bigvee_{i\in J} \chi_{A_i})(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $p \in pr(L)$ , that is,  $\{\chi_{A_i}\}_{i\in J}$  is a p-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$ . Since  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact, there is a finite F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i\in F} \chi_{A_i})(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $(\bigvee_{i\in F} \chi_{A_i})(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $X = \bigcup_{i\in F} A_i$  and therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts. Then  $g \in L^X$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if for every  $\alpha \in M(L)$  and every collection  $\{h_i\}_{i \in J}$  of (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subsets with  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} h_i)(x) \not\geq \alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ , there is a finite subset F of J such that  $(\bigwedge_{i \in T} h_i)(x) \not\geq \alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Definition 3.1.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts. Then  $g \in L^X$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if for every  $p \in pr(L)$  and every collection  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ , there is a finite subset F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in pr(L)$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a collection of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ . Since g is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact, there is a finite subset F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ . Take an arbitrary  $x \in X$ . If  $g'(x) \le p$ , then  $g'(x) \lor (\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) = (\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  because  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \nleq p$ . If  $g'(x) \nleq p$ , then we have  $g'(x) \lor (\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$ . Thus, we have  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Conversely, let  $p \in pr(L)$  and  $\{f\}_{i \in J}$  be a *p*-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of *g*. Then  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ . Hence  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ . From the hypothesis, there is a finite subset *F* of *J* such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i \lor g')(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g'(x) \le p$ . Thus *g* is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts,  $x_\alpha$  be an L-point in  $M(L^X)$  and  $S = (S_m)_{m \in D}$  be a net.  $x_\alpha$  is called (i, j)- $\beta$ cluster point of S if and only if for each (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset f with  $f(x) \not\geq \alpha$  and for all  $n \in D$ , there is  $m \in D$  such that  $m \geq n$  and  $S_m \not\leq f$ , that is,  $h(S_m) \not\leq f$  (Supp $S_m$ ).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts. Then  $g \in L^X$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if every constant  $\alpha$ -net in g, where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ , has an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point in g with height  $\alpha$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha \in M(L)$  and  $S = (S_m)_{m \in D}$  be a constant  $\alpha$ -net in g without any (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point with height  $\alpha$  in g. Then for each  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ ,  $x_{\alpha}$  is not an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point of S, that is, there are  $n_x \in D$  and an (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset  $f_x$  with  $f_x(x) \not\geq \alpha$  and  $S_m \leq f_x$  for each  $m \geq n_x$ . Let  $x^1, ..., x^k$  be elements of X with  $g(x^i) \geq \alpha$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ . Then there are  $n_{x_1}, ..., n_{x_k} \in D$  and (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset  $f_{x_i}$  with  $f_{x_i}(x^i) \not\geq \alpha$  and  $S_m \leq f_{x_i}$  for each  $m \geq n_{x_i}$  and for each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ . Since D is a directed set, there is  $n_0 \in D$  such that  $n_0 \geq n_{x_i}$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  and  $S_m \leq f_{x_i}$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  and each  $m \geq n_0$ . Now, consider the family  $\mu = \{f_x\}_{x \in X}$  with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ . Then  $(\bigwedge_{f_x \in \mu} f_x)(y) \not\geq \alpha$ for all  $y \in X$  with  $g(y) \geq \alpha$  because  $f_y(y) \not\geq \alpha$ . We also have that for any finite subfamily  $v = \{f_{x_1}, ..., f_{x_k}\}$  of  $\mu$ , there is  $y \in X$  with  $g(y) \geq \alpha$  and  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k f_{x_i})(y) \geq \alpha$  since  $S_m \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^k f_{x_i}$  for each  $m \geq n_0$  because  $S_m \leq f_{x_i}$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  and for each  $m \geq n_0$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.5, g is not (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

Conversely, suppose that g is not (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact. Then by Theorem 3.5, there exist  $\alpha \in M(L)$  and a collection  $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ of (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subsets with  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\geq \alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ , but for any finite subfamily v of  $\mu$  there is  $x \in X$ with  $g(x) \geq \alpha$  and  $(\bigwedge_{f \in v} f_i)(x) \geq \alpha$ . Consider the family of all finite subsets of  $\mu$ ,  $2^{(\mu)}$ , with the order  $v_1 \leq v_2$  if and only if  $v_1 \subset v_2$ . Then  $2^{(\mu)}$  is a directed set. So, writing  $x_\alpha$  as  $S_v$  for every  $v \in 2^{(\mu)}, (X_v)_{v \in 2}(\mu)$  is a constant  $\alpha$ -net in g because the height of  $S_v$  for all  $v \in 2^{(\mu)}$  is  $\alpha$  and  $S_v \leq g$  for all  $v \in 2^{(\mu)}$ , that is,  $g(x) \geq \alpha$ .  $(S_v)_{v \in 2}(\mu)$  also satisfies the condition that for each (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset  $f_i \in v$  we have  $x_\alpha = S_v \leq f_i$ . Let  $y \in X$  with  $g(y) \geq \alpha$ . Then  $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} f_i)(y) \not\geq \alpha$ , that is, there exists  $j \in J$  with  $f_j(y) \not\geq \alpha$ . Let  $v_0 = \{f_i\}$ . So, for any  $v \geq v_0, S_v \leq \bigwedge_{f_i \in v} f_i \leq \bigwedge_{f_i \in v_0} f_i = f_j$ . Thus, we get an (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset  $f_j$  with  $f_j(y) \geq \alpha$  and  $v_0 \in 2^{(\mu)}$  such that for any  $v \geq v_0, S_v \leq f_j$ . That means that  $y_\alpha \in M(L^X)$  is not an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point  $(X_v)_{v \in 2}(\mu)$  for all  $y \in X$  with  $g(y) \geq \alpha$ . Hence, the constant  $\alpha$ -net  $(S_v)_{v \in 2}(\mu)$  has no (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point in g with height  $\alpha$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** An L-bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if every constant  $\alpha$ -net in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point with height  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts and  $\eta$  an  $\alpha$ -level filter base, where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ . An L-point  $x_r \in M(L^X)$  is called an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point of  $\eta$ , if  $\bigwedge_{f \in \eta} (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f)(x) \geq r$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts. Then  $g \in L^X$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if every  $\alpha$ -filter base in g, where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ , has an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point  $x_{\alpha}$  in g with height  $\alpha$ .

Proof. Assume that  $\eta$  is an  $\alpha$ -level filter base in g with no (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point in g with height  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ . Then for each  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge \alpha, x_{\alpha}$  is not an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point of  $\eta$ , that is, there is  $f_x \in \eta$  with (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_x)(x) \not\ge \alpha$ . Hence (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_x)'(x) \not\le \alpha' = p \in pr(L)$ . This means that the collection  $\{(i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_x)'\}_{x \in X}$  with  $g(x) \ge \alpha$  is a p-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of g. Since g is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact, there are (i, j)- $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_{x_1}), \dots, (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_{x_n})$  such that  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_{x_i})')(x) \not\le p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p' = \alpha$ . Hence  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(f_{x_i})(x) \not\ge \alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge \alpha$  which implies that  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} f_{x_i})(x) \not\ge \alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge \alpha$ . This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that g is not (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact. Then there is a p-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover  $\mu$  of g with no finite p-level subcover, where  $p \in pr(L)$ . Hence for each finite subcollection  $\{h_1, ..., h_n\}$  of  $\mu$ , there exists  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$  such that  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n h_i)(x) \le p$ , that is,  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n h'_i)(x) \ge p' = \alpha \in M(L)$ . Thus,  $\eta = \{h' : h \in \mu\}$  forms an  $\alpha$ -level filter base in g. By the hypothesis,  $\mu$  has an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point  $y_\alpha \in M(L^X)$  in g with height  $\alpha$ , that is,  $g(y) \ge \alpha$  and  $\bigwedge_{h \in \mu} (i, j)$ - $\beta \operatorname{Cl}(h')(y) = (\bigwedge_{h \in \mu} h')(y) \ge \alpha$ . Then  $(\bigwedge_{h \in \mu} h')(y) \le p$ , which yileds a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.11.** An L-bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact if and only if every  $\alpha$ -filter base has an (i, j)- $\beta$ -cluster point with height  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in M(L)$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts and  $g, h \in L^X$ . If g and h are (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact, then  $g \lor h$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

Proof. Let  $p \in pr(L)$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a collection of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $(g \lor h)(x) \ge p'$ . Since p is prime, we have  $(g \lor h)(x) \ge p'$  if and only if  $g(x) \ge p'$  or  $h(x) \ge p'$ . So, by the (i, j)- $\beta$ -compactness of g and h, there are finite subsets E, F of J such that  $(\bigvee_{i \in E} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$  and  $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $h(x) \ge p'$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i \in E \cup F} f_i)(x) \notin p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ , that is,  $(\bigvee_{i \in E \cup F} f_i)(x) \notin P$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $(f \lor h)(x) \ge p'$ . Thus,  $g \lor h$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts and  $g, h \in L^X$ . If f is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact and h is (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed, then  $g \wedge h$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

Proof. Let  $p \in pr(L)$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a collection of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $(g \land h)(x) \ge p'$ . Thus  $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{h'\}$  is a family of (i, j)- $\beta$ -open L-subsets with  $(\bigvee_{k \in \mu} k)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ . In fact, for each  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \ge p'$ , if  $h(x) \ge p'$ , then  $(g \land h)(x) \ge p'$  which implies that  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \nleq p$ , thus  $(\bigvee_{k \in \mu} k)(x) \nleq p$ . If  $h(x) \nvDash p'$ , then  $h'(x) \nleq p$  which implies  $(\bigvee_{k \in \mu} k)(x) \nleq p$ . From the (i, j)- $\beta$ -compactness of g there is a

finite subfamily v of  $\mu$ , say  $v = \{f_1, ..., f_n, h'\}$  with  $(\bigvee_{k \in v} k)(x) \not\leq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $g(x) \geq p'$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) \not\leq p$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$ . Hence  $g \wedge h$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact space and g be an (i, j)- $\beta$ -closed L-subset. Then g is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-bts where X is a finite set. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a *p*-level (i, j)- $\beta$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $p \in pr(L)$ . Then  $(\bigvee_{i\in J} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence, for each  $x \in X$  there is  $i \in J$  such that  $x \in f_i^{-1}(\{t \in T : t \nleq p\})$ . Since X is finite subset F of J such that  $X = \bigcup_{i\in F} f_i^{-1}(\{t \in T : t \nleq p\})$ , that is,  $(\bigvee_{i\in F} f_i)(x) \nleq p$  for each  $x \in X$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\beta$ -compact.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- [1] R.Femina and N.Rajesh, On Fuzzy (i, j)- $\beta$ -open sets in L-fuzzy bitopological spaces, (submitted).
- [2] R.Femina and N.Rajesh, Some mappings on L-fuzzy bitopological spaces, (submitted).
- [3] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24(1968), 182-190.
- [4] P.Dwinger, Characterizations of the complete homomorhic images of a completely distributive complete lattice-I, Nedreal. Akad. Wetensh. Indag. Math., 44(1982), 403-414.
- [5] G.Gierz and et al., A Compendium of Continuous Lattices, Springer Verlang, Berlin, (1980).
- [6] Y.M.Liu and M.K.Luo, Fuzzy topology, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, (1998).
- [7] F.G.Shi, Countable compactness and the Lindelof property of L-fuzzy sets, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy systems, 1(2004), 79-88.
- [8] F.G.Shi, Semicompactness in L-topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Mathematical Sciences, 12(2005), 1869-1878.
- [9] F.G.Shi, A new definition of fuzzy comapctness, Fuzzy sets and systems, 158(2007), 1486-1495.
- [10] G.J.Wang, Theory of L-fuzzy topological spaces, Shaanxi Normal University Press, Xi'an, (1988).
- [11] M.W.Warner, Fuzzy topology with respect to continuous lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 35(1990), 85-91.
- [12] M.W.Warner, Frame-fuzzy points and membership, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 42(1991), 335-344.
- [13] D.S.Zhao, The N-comapctness in L-fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 128(1987), 64-79.