

# Some Fixed Point Theorems for JSC Contraction in Complete Metric Space

Research Article

A.Jennie Sebasthy Pritha<sup>1\*</sup> and U.Karuppiah<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.

2 Department of Mathematics, St.Joseph's College (Autonomous), Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.

**Abstract:** Recently, Hussain et al. [1] introduced the concept of JS-contraction. In this paper, we introduce a new method of proofs that allows to prove fixed point theorems for JSC-contraction in complete metric space. These theorems generalize the results of [6].

**MSC:** 47H10, 54H25.

**Keywords:** Fixed point theorem, JS-contraction, JSC-contraction.

© JS Publication.

## 1. Introduction

The Banach contraction principle [2] is the first important result on fixed points for contractive-type mappings, which states that each Banach contraction  $T : X \rightarrow X$  (that is., there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ ) has a unique fixed point, provided that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. The main purpose of this paper is to show that the results concerned in metric spaces with JSC-contraction in [6] are consequences of Theorem 1.3. Before going to the main results. Let us recall the basic definitions and theorems. The concepts of Ciric contraction and JS-contraction have been introduced, respectively, by Ciric [4] and Hussain et al. [1] as follows.

**Definition 1.1** ([4]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a ciric contraction if there exist non-negative numbers  $q, r, s, t$  with  $q + r + s + 2t < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq qd(x, y) + rd(x, Tx) + sd(y, Ty) + t[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1)$$

**Definition 1.2** ([1]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a JS-contraction if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and non-negative numbers  $q, r, s, t$  with  $q + r + s + 2t < 1$  such that

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y))^q \psi(d(x, Tx))^r \psi(d(y, Ty))^s \psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))^t, \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (2)$$

where  $\Psi$  is the set of all functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfying conditions:

\* E-mail: [jennipretha@gmail.com](mailto:jennipretha@gmail.com)

( $\psi_1$ )  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and  $\psi(t) = 1$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;

( $\psi_2$ ) for each sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = 1$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ ;

( $\psi_3$ ) there exist  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $l \in (0, +\infty]$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi(t) - 1}{t^r} = l$ ;

( $\psi_4$ )  $\psi(a + b) \leq \psi(a)\psi(b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$ .

For convenience, we denote by  $\Psi_1$ , the set of all non-decreasing functions  $\psi: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$  satisfying ( $\psi_2$ ) and ( $\psi_3$ ) and by  $\Psi_2$ , the set of all functions  $\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfying ( $\psi_1$ ), ( $\psi_2$ ) and ( $\psi_4$ ).

**Theorem 1.3** ([4]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a Ciric contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Theorem 1.4** ([5]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , Assume that there exist  $\psi \in \Psi_1$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X, d(Tx, Ty) \neq 0 \implies \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y))^k$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Definition 1.5** ([6]). *For  $\psi \in \Psi_2$  and  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , set  $\eta(t) = \ln(\psi(t))$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\eta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  has the following properties:*

( $\eta_1$ )  $\eta$  is non-decreasing, and  $\eta(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;

( $\eta_2$ ) for each sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t_n) = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ ;

( $\eta_3$ )  $\eta(a + b) \leq \eta(a) + \eta(b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$ .

Since ( $\eta_1$ ) and ( $\eta_2$ ) are clear, we only show ( $\eta_3$ ), we have

$$\eta(a + b) = \ln(\psi(a + b)) \leq \ln(\psi(a)\psi(b)) = \ln(\psi(a)) + \ln(\psi(b)) = \eta(a) + \eta(b).$$

**Lemma 1.6** ([6]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is a metric space, where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \ln(\psi(d(x, y)))$ .*

**Lemma 1.7** ([6]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is complete if and only if  $(X, d)$  is complete, where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \ln(\psi(d(x, y)))$ .*

**Lemma 1.8** ([6]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a JS-contraction with  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $T$  is a Ciric contraction in  $(X, D)$ , where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \ln(\psi(d(x, y)))$ .*

## 2. Main results

In this section, we introduce a new metric  $D$  in a given metric space  $(X, d)$  induced by the metric  $d$ , and then we prove that  $(X, D)$  is complete if and only if  $(X, d)$  is complete. Then we show that each JSC-contraction with  $\psi \in \Psi_2$  in  $(X, d)$  is certainly a Ciric contraction in  $(X, D)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a JSC-contraction if there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and non-negative numbers  $q, r, s, t$  with  $q + r + s + 2t < 1$  such that*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq q\psi(d(x, y)) + r\psi(d(x, Tx)) + s\psi(d(y, Ty)) + t\psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (3)$$

where  $\Psi$  is the set of all functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying conditions:

( $\psi_1$ )  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;

( $\psi_2$ ) for each sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ ;

( $\psi_3$ ) there exist  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $l \in (0, +\infty]$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^r} = l$ ;

( $\psi_4$ )  $\psi(a + b) \leq \psi(a) + \psi(b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$ .

For convenience, we denote by  $\Psi_1$ , the set of all non-decreasing functions  $\psi: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  satisfying ( $\psi_2$ ) and ( $\psi_3$ ) and by  $\Psi_2$ , the set of all functions  $\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying ( $\psi_1$ ), ( $\psi_2$ ) and ( $\psi_4$ ).

**Remark 2.2.**

(1). If  $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . then  $f \in \Psi \cap \Psi_1 \cap \Psi_2$ .

(2). If  $g(t) = t$  for  $t \geq 0$ , then  $g \in \Psi_2$ , but  $g \notin \Psi \cup \Psi_1$ . Since  $\frac{t}{t^r} = 0$  for each  $r \in (0, 1)$ , that is, ( $\psi_3$ ) is not satisfied.

(3). Clearly  $\Psi \subseteq \Psi_1$  and  $\Psi \subseteq \Psi_2$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Assume that there exist  $\psi \in \Psi_1$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \neq 0 \implies \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq k\psi(d(x, y)). \tag{4}$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

The Banach contraction principle follows immediately from this theorem. Indeed, let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  be such that (4) holds. Then if we choose  $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t} \in \Psi_1$  and  $k = \sqrt{\lambda}$  in (4), then we get  $\sqrt{d(Tx, Ty)} \leq \sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{d(x, y)}$ , that is,  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$ , which means that  $T$  is a Banach contraction.

**Definition 2.4.** For  $\psi \in \Psi_2$  and  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , set  $\eta(t) = \|\psi(t)\|$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\eta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  has the following properties:

( $\eta_1$ )  $\eta$  is non-decreasing, and  $\eta(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;

( $\eta_2$ ) for each sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t_n) = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ ;

( $\eta_3$ )  $\eta(a + b) \leq \eta(a) + \eta(b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$ .

Since ( $\eta_1$ ) and ( $\eta_2$ ) are clear, we only show ( $\eta_3$ ), we have

$$\eta(a + b) = \|\psi(a + b)\| \leq \|\psi(a) + \psi(b)\| \leq \|\psi(a)\| + \|\psi(b)\| = \eta(a) + \eta(b).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is a metric space, where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \|\psi(d(x, y))\|$ .

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$ , we have  $D(x, x) = \eta(d(x, x)) = 0$  by ( $\eta_1$ ). For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $D(x, y) = 0$ , we have  $\eta(d(x, y)) = 0$ . Hence  $d(x, y) = 0$  by ( $\eta_1$ ). Hence  $D(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \eta(d(y, x)) = D(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . For all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq x$  and  $z \neq y$ , by ( $\eta_1$ ) and ( $\eta_3$ ) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= \eta(d(x, y)) \\ &\leq \eta(d(x, z) + d(z, y)) \\ &\leq \eta(d(x, z)) + \eta(d(z, y)) \\ &= D(x, z) + D(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

we have  $D(x, y) = D(x, z) = D(x, z) + D(y, z)$ , for all  $x \in X$  and  $y = z \in X$  by  $(\eta_1)$ . Also we have  $D(x, y) = D(z, y) = D(x, z) + D(z, y)$ , for all  $x = z \in X$  and  $y \in X$  by  $(\eta_1)$ . For all  $x = y = z \in X$ , we have  $D(x, y) = 0 = D(x, z) + D(y, z)$  by  $(\eta_1)$ . Hence, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we always have  $D(x, y) \leq D(x, z) + D(z, y)$ . This shows that  $(X, D)$  is a metric space.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is complete if and only if  $(X, d)$  is complete, where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \|\psi(d(x, y))\|$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is complete. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence of  $(X, D)$ , that is  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m) = 0$ . Then we have  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(d(x_n, x_m)) = 0$ , hence  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$  by  $(\eta_2)$ . Moreover, by the completeness of  $(X, d)$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ . So we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(d(x_n, x)) = 0$  by  $(\eta_2)$ . Hence  $(X, D)$  is complete. Similarly, we can show that if  $(X, D)$  is complete, then  $(X, d)$  is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a JSC-contraction with  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $T$  is a Ciric contraction in  $(X, D)$ , where  $D(x, y) = \eta(d(x, y)) = \|\psi(d(x, y))\|$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from (3) that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D(Tx, Ty) &= \eta(d(Tx, Ty)) = \|\psi(d(Tx, Ty))\| \\ &\leq \|q\psi(d(x, y)) + r\psi(d(x, Tx)) + s\psi(d(y, Ty)) + t\psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))\| \\ &\leq \|q\psi(d(x, y))\| + \|r\psi(d(x, Tx))\| + \|s\psi(d(y, Ty))\| + \|t(\psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)))\| \\ &= |q| \|\psi(d(x, y))\| + |r| \|\psi(d(x, Tx))\| + |s| \|\psi(d(y, Ty))\| + |t| \|\psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))\| \\ &= qD(x, y) + rD(x, Tx) + sD(y, Ty) + t[D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (1) is satisfied with respect to metric  $D$ . Hence  $T$  is a Ciric contraction in  $(X, D)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a JSC-contraction with  $\psi \in \Psi_2$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space,  $(X, D)$  is also a complete metric space by Lemma 2.6, we know that  $T$  is a Ciric contraction in  $(X, D)$  by Lemma 2.7. Therefore  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$  by Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Theorem 2.8 implies Theorem 1.3.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi(t) = t$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Clearly,  $t \in \Psi_2$  by Remark 2.2 (2). By (3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) &\leq q\psi(d(x, y)) + r\psi(d(x, Tx)) + s\psi(d(y, Ty)) + t\psi(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)). \\ d(Tx, Ty) &\leq qd(x, y) + rd(x, Tx) + sd(y, Ty) + t[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Which implies that a Ciric contraction  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is certainly a JSC-contraction with  $\psi(t) = t$ . Thus Theorem 1.3 immediately follows from Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

## References

[1] N.Hussain, V.Parvaneh, B.Samet and C.Vetro, *Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015(2015), 185.

- [2] S.Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales*, Fundam Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
- [3] R.Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60(1968), 71-76.
- [4] L.Ciric, *Generalized contractions and fixed-point theorems*. Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr)., 12(26)(1971), 19-26.
- [5] M.Jleli and B.Samet, *A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014(2014), 38.
- [6] Shujun Jiang, Zhilong Li and Bosko Damjanovic, *A note on Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2016(2016), 62.