

Cesàro Difference Sequence Spaces and its Dual

Shadab Ahmad Khan^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, SGN Government PG College, Muhammadabad, Gohna, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

The difference sequence spaces $c_0(\Delta), c(\Delta)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$ were introduced by Kizmaz [4]. Et [8] introduced the Cesàro difference sequence spaces $X_p(\Delta^m)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $X_\infty(\Delta^m)$ and determine their generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals and some of the related matrix transformations. In this paper, we compute η -duals of $C_1(\Delta), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$, the matrix classes $(C_1(\Delta), \ell_\infty), (C_1(\Delta), c; p), (C_1(\Delta), C_0), (C_1(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty), (C_1(\Delta^2), c)$, and $(C_1(\Delta^2), c_0)$ are also characterized.

Keywords: Schauder basis; matrix map; BK space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C05, 40A05, 46A45.

1. Introduction

Let ω denote the linear space of all complex sequences over \mathbb{C} (the field of complex numbers). ℓ_∞, c and c_0 denote the space of all bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with complex terms, respectively, normed by $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$. A complete metric linear space is called a Frèchet space. Let X be a linear subspace of ω such that X is a Frèchet space with continuous coordinate projections. Then we say that X is a FK space. If the metric of a FK space is given by a complete norm, then we say that X is a BK space. We say that a FK space X has AK, or has the AK property, if (e_k) , the sequence of unit vectors, is a Schauder basis for X . A sequence space X is called

- (i) normal (or solid) if $y = (y_k) \in X$ whenever $|y_k| \leq |x_k|, k \geq 1$, for some $x = (x_k) \in X$,
- (ii) monotone if it contains the canonical preimages of all its stepspace,
- (iii) sequence algebra if $xy = (x_k y_k) \in X$ whenever $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in X$,
- (iv) convergence free when, if $x = (x_k)$ is in X and if $y_k = 0$ whenever $x_k = 0$, then $y = (y_k)$ is in X .

*Corresponding author (sakhanan15@gmail.com)

Let X be a sequence space and define

$$X^\alpha = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k x_k| < \infty, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

$$X^\eta = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k x_k|^r < \infty, \forall x \in X \right\}, \quad \text{where } r \geq 1.$$

Taking $r = 1$ in above definition we get α -dual of X . Then X^α , and X^η are called the α -, and η -duals of X , respectively. A sequence space $x = (x_k)$ of complex numbers is said to be $(C, 1)$ summable (or Cesàro summable of order 1) to $l \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k = l$, where $\sigma_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$. By C_1 we shall denote the linear space of all $(C, 1)$ summable sequences of complex numbers over \mathbb{C} , i.e.,

$$C_1 = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) \in c \right\}$$

It is easy to see that C_1 is a BK space normed by

$$\|x\| = \sup_k \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right|, \quad x = (x_k) \in C_1$$

During the last 35 years, a large amount of work has been carried out by many mathematicians regarding various generalizations of difference sequence spaces of Kizmaz [4]. The notion of difference sequence space was introduced by Kizmaz [4] in 1981 as follows: $X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in X\}$ for $X = \ell_\infty, c, c_0$; where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers). Quite recently, Cesàro summable difference sequence space $C_1(\Delta)$ has been introduced by Bhardwaj and Gupta [14, 15] as follows: $C_1(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in C_1\}$ i.e., $C_1(\Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i \right) \in c \right\}$.

The Cesàro sequence space

$$ces_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

and

$$ces_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_\infty = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| < \infty \right\}$$

were introduced and studied by Shiue [6] in 1970 and it was observed that $\ell_p \subset ces_p (1 < p < \infty)$ is strict, although it does not hold for $p = 1$. Ng and Lee [11] in 1978 defined and studied the Cesàro sequence spaces X_p and X_∞ of nonabsolute type as follows:

$$X_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$$X_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_\infty = \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right| < \infty \right\}$$

The inclusion $ces_p \subset X_p, (1 \leq p < \infty)$ is strict. Orhan [1, 2] defined and studied the Cesàro difference spaces $X_p(\Delta)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta)$ (in fact, Orhan used C_p instead of $X_p(\Delta)$ and C_∞ instead of $X_\infty(\Delta)$), by replacing $x = (x_k)$ with $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ in the spaces X_p and X_∞ of Ng and Lee [11] as follows:

$$X_p(\Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, 1 \leq p < \infty$$

and

$$X_\infty(\Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_\infty = \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k \right| < \infty \right\}$$

and it was shown that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the inclusions $X_p \subset X_p(\Delta)$ and $X_\infty \subset X_\infty(\Delta)$ are strict. Using this notion of generalized difference sequence space, Et [8], defined the Cesàro difference sequence space $X_p(\Delta^m)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^m)$ (in fact, Et used $C_p(\Delta^m)$ instead of $X_p(\Delta^m)$ and $C_\infty(\Delta^m)$ instead of $X_\infty(\Delta^m)$) as follows:

$$X_p(\Delta^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta^m x_k \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, 1 \leq p < \infty$$

and

$$X_\infty(\Delta^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_\infty = \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta^m x_k \right| < \infty \right\}$$

If we take $m = 1, X_p(\Delta^m)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^m)$ reduce to the spaces C_p and C_∞ of Orhan [1, 2], respectively. The space $X_\infty(\Delta^m)$ for $m = 2$ was independently introduced and studied by Mursaleen et al. [9]. Bhardwaj, Gupta and Karan [16] introduced the difference sequence space $C_1(\Delta^2)$ as follows:

$$C_1(\Delta^2) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta^2 x_i \right) \in c \right\}$$

The difference sequence space $X_\infty(\Delta^2) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta^2 x_i \right) \in \ell_\infty \right\}$ strictly includes the sequence space $C_1(\Delta^2)$.

In this paper, we show that $C_1(\Delta)$ strictly includes the spaces $c_0(\Delta)$ and $c(\Delta)$ but overlaps with $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$ and the non-absolute type sequence spaces $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$ and $C_1(\Delta^2)$ are BK spaces, none of which is perfect. Finally the η -duals of $C_1(\Delta), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$ are computed, the matrix classes $(C_1(\Delta), \ell_\infty), (C_1(\Delta), c; p), (C_1(\Delta), c_0), (C_1(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty), (C_1(\Delta^2), c)$ and $(C_1(\Delta^2), c_0)$ are also characterized.

2. Topological Properties of $C_1(\Delta), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$

Theorem 2.1. $\ell_\infty \subset C_1(\Delta)$, the inclusion being strict.

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in \ell_\infty$. Then there exists $M > 0$ such that $|x_1 - x_{k+1}| \leq M$ for all $k \geq 1$, and so $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For strict inclusion, observe that $(k) \in C_1(\Delta)$ but $(k) \notin \ell_\infty$. □

Theorem 2.2. $C_1 \subset C_1(\Delta)$, the inclusion being strict.

Proof. For $x = (x_k) \in C_1$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} x_k = 0$, and so $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

Inclusion is strict in view of the example cited in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. $c(\Delta) \subset C_1(\Delta)$, the inclusion being strict.

Proof. Inclusion is obvious since $c \subset C_1$. To see that the inclusion is strict, consider the sequence $x = (x_k) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots)$. □

Theorem 2.4. $C_1(\Delta)$ is a BK space normed by

$$\|x\|_{\Delta} = |x_1| + \sup_k \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i \right|, x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta).$$

Theorem 2.5. $C_1(\Delta)$ and $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$ are not separable but $C_1(\Delta^2)$ is separable.

Corollary 2.6. $C_1(\Delta)$ and $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$ does not have a schauder basis.

Theorem 2.7. $C_1(\Delta)$ is not normal (solid) and hence neither perfect nor convergence free.

Proof. Taking $x = (x_k) = (k - 1)$ and $y = (y_k) = ((-1)^k(k - 1))$, we see that $x \in C_1(\Delta)$ but $y \notin C_1(\Delta)$ although $|y_k| \leq |x_k|, k \geq 1$ and so $C_1(\Delta)$ is not normal. It is well known [12] that every perfect space, and also every convergence free space, is normal and consequently $C_1(\Delta)$ is neither perfect nor convergence free. □

Theorem 2.8. $C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$ are neither monotone nor sequence algebra.

Theorem 2.9. $C_1(\Delta) \subset C_1(\Delta^2)$, the inclusion being strict.

Proof. Inclusion is trivial as $C_1 \subset C_1(\Delta)$. To see that the inclusion is strict, consider the sequence $x = (x_k) = (k^2)$. Then $(\Delta x_k) = (-3, -5, -7, \dots) \notin C_1$ but $(\Delta^2 x_k) = (2, 2, 2, \dots) \in C_1$. □

Theorem 2.10. [16] $C_1(\Delta^2) \subset X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$, the inclusion being strict.

Theorem 2.11. $C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$ are BK spaces normed by $\|x\|_{\Delta^2} = |x_1| + |x_2| + \sup_k \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta^2 x_i \right|$.

Theorem 2.12.

(a) $C_1(\Delta^2)$ is a closed subspace of $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$.

(b) $C_1(\Delta^2)$ is a nowhere dense subset of $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$.

Theorem 2.13. [16] $C_1(\Delta^2)$ does not have the AK property.

Theorem 2.14. [16] The difference sequence spaces $C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_{\infty}(\Delta^2)$ are not normal (solid) and hence neither perfect nor convergence free.

3. η - duals of $C_1(\Delta), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$

In this section, we compute the η -duals of $C_1(\Delta), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$ and show that these difference sequence spaces are not perfect. Convenience, we have used the notation $C_\infty(\Delta^2)$ instead of $X_\infty(\Delta^2)$.

Theorem 3.1. $[C_1(\Delta)]^\eta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_k k^r |a_k|^r < \infty\} = D_1$.

Proof. Let $a = (a_k) \in D_1$. For any $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta)$, we have $\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i\right) \in c$, i.e., $\frac{1}{k}(x_1 - x_{k+1}) \in c$ and so there exists some $M > 0$ such that $|x_k| \leq M(k - 1) + x_1$ for $k \geq 1$ and hence $\sup_k k^{-1} |x_k| < \infty$, which implies that

$$\sum_k |a_k x_k|^r = \sum_k (k^r |a_k|^r) (k^{-r} |x_k|^r) < \infty$$

Thus, $a = (a_k) \in [C_1(\Delta)]^\eta$.

Conversely, let $a = (a_k) \in [C_1(\Delta)]^\eta$. Then $\sum_k |a_k x_k|^r < \infty$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta)$. Taking $x_k = k$ for all $k \geq 1$, we have $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta)$ whence $\sum_k k^r |a_k|^r < \infty$. □

Remark 3.2. It is well known [13] that $[c_0(\Delta)]^\eta = [c(\Delta)]^\eta = [\ell_\infty(\Delta)]^\eta = D_1$, so we conclude that $[c_0(\Delta)]^\eta = [c(\Delta)]^\eta = [\ell_\infty(\Delta)]^\eta = [C_1(\Delta)]^\eta$, i.e. the η -duals of $c_0(\Delta), c(\Delta), \ell_\infty(\Delta)$ and $C_1(\Delta)$ coincide.

Theorem 3.3. $[C_1(\Delta)]^{\eta\eta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-r} |a_k|^r < \infty\} = D_2$.

Proof. Taking $m = 1$ and $X = c$ in the Theorem 2.11 of [13], we have $[c(\Delta)]^{\eta\eta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-r} |a_k|^r < \infty\}$ and the result follows in view of Remark 3.2. □

Corollary 3.4. $C_1(\Delta)$ is not perfect.

The proof follows at once when we observe that the sequence $((-1)^k(k - 1)) \in [C_1(\Delta)]^{\eta\eta}$ but does not belong to $C_1(\Delta)$.

Theorem 3.5. $[C_\theta(\Delta^2)]^\eta = \left\{a = (a_k) : \sum_k k^{2r} |a_k|^r < \infty\right\} = D_1$, where $\theta \in \{1, \infty\}$.

Proof. Let $a = (a_k) \in D_1$. For $\theta \in \{1, \infty\}$

- (i) $(x_k) \in C_\theta(\Delta)$ implies $x_k = O(k)$
- (ii) $(x_k) \in C_\theta(\Delta^2)$ implies $x_k = O(k^2)$.

We have $\sup_k k^{-2r} |x_k|^r < \infty$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_\theta(\Delta^2)$, which implies that

$$\sum_k |a_k x_k|^r = \sum_k (k^{2r} |a_k|^r) (k^{-2r} |x_k|^r) < \infty$$

Thus $a = (a_k) \in [C_\theta(\Delta^2)]^\eta$.

Conversely, let $a = (a_k) \in [C_\theta(\Delta^2)]^\eta$. Then $\sum_k |a_k x_k|^r < \infty$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$. Taking $x_k = k^2$ for all $k \geq 1$, we have $x = (x_k) \in C_\theta(\Delta^2)$ whence $\sum_k k^{2r} |a_k|^r < \infty$. □

Remark 3.6. It is well known [13] that $[c_0(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [c(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [\ell_\infty(\Delta^2)]^\eta = D_1$, so we conclude that $[c_0(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [c(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [\ell_\infty(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [C_1(\Delta^2)]^\eta = [C_\infty(\Delta^2)]^\eta$ i.e., the η -dual of $c_0(\Delta^2), c(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty(\Delta^2), C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $C_\infty(\Delta^2)$ coincide.

Theorem 3.7. $[C_1(\Delta^2)]^{\eta\eta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-2r} |a_k|^r < \infty\} = D_2$.

Proof. Taking $m = 2$ in the Theorem 2.11 of [13], we have $[C_\infty(\Delta^2)]^{\eta\eta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-2r} |a_k|^r < \infty\}$ and the result follows in view of Remark 3.6. □

Corollary 3.8. $C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $C_\infty(\Delta^2)$ are not perfect space.

4. Matrix Maps

Finally, we characterize certain matrix classes. For any complex infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ we shall write $A_n = (a_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the sequence in the n^{th} row of A . If X, Y are any two sets of sequences, we denote by (X, Y) the class of all those infinite matrices $A = (a_{nk})$ such that the series $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ converges for all $x = (x_k) \in X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and the sequence $Ax = (a_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in Y for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 4.1. [5] Let X and Y be BK spaces and suppose that $A = (a_{nk})$ is an infinite matrix such that $\left(\sum_k a_{nk}x_k\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Y$ for each $x \in X$, i.e., $A \in (X, Y)$, then $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator.

Theorem 4.2. $A \in (C_1(\Delta), \ell_\infty)$ if and only if $\sup_n \sum_{k=2}^\infty (k-1) |a_{nk}| < \infty$.

Remark 4.3. If $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta)$, then there exists some $l \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta x_i = l$. We shall call l the $C_1(\Delta)$ limit of the sequence (x_k) and by $(C_1(\Delta), c; P)$ we shall denote that subset of $(C_1(\Delta), c)$ for which $C_1(\Delta)$ limits are preserved.

Theorem 4.4. [14] $A \in (C_1(\Delta), c; P)$ if and only if

- (i) $\sup_n \sum_{k=2}^\infty (k-1) |a_{nk}| < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k (k-1) a_{nk} = -1$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for each k ,
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_n a_{nk} = 0$.

Theorem 4.5. $A \in (C_1(\Delta), c_0)$ if and only if

- (i) $\sup_n \sum_{k=2}^\infty (k-1) |a_{nk}| < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k (k-1) a_{nk} = 0$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for each k ,
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_n a_{nk} = 0$.

Theorem 4.6. $A = (a_{nk}) \in (C_1(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty)$ if and only if

(i) $\sup_n |\sum_k a_{nk}| < \infty,$

(ii) $\sum_k k^2 a_{nk}$ converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

(iii) $(R_{nk}) \in C_1(\Delta), \ell_\infty$ where $R_{nk} = \sum_{v=k+1}^\infty a_{nv}.$

Proof. Let $(a_{nk}) \in (C_1(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty)$. Then the series $\sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\sum_k a_{nk}x_k) \in \ell_\infty$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$. Condition (i) and (ii) follow easily since the sequences $(k^2) = (1^2, 2^2, 3^2, \dots)$ and $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$ belong to $C_1(\Delta^2)$. For all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$, Abel's summation by parts yields $\sum_{k=1}^m a_{nk}x_k = -\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Delta x_j R_{nj} + R_{nm} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Delta x_j + x_1 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{nj}$, where $R_{nj} = \sum_{k=j+1}^\infty a_{nk}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Proceeding as in Theorem 3.9, we have $\left| R_{nm} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \Delta x_j \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so $\sum_k a_{nk}x_k = -\sum_j \Delta x_j R_{nj} + x_1 \sum_j a_{nj}$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\sup_n \left| \sum_k a_{nk} \right| < \infty$ and $A = (a_{nk}) \in (C_1(\Delta^2), \ell_\infty)$, so $\left(\sum_j R_{nj} \Delta x_j \right) \in \ell_\infty$. Thus $(R_{nj}) \in (C_1(\Delta), \ell_\infty)$.

Conversely, using (ii), (iii), in equation ?, we have $\sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$. Proceeding as above, we get $\sum_k a_{nk}x_k = -\sum_j \Delta x_j R_{nj} + x_1 \sum_j a_{nj}$ for all $x = (x_k) \in C_1(\Delta^2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and result follows. □

References

[1] C. Orhan, *Cesàro difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformations*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., 32(8)(1983), 55-63.

[2] C. Orhan, *Matrix transformations on Cesàro difference sequence spaces*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math., 33(1984), 1-8.

[3] F. Başar and B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of p-bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukr. Math. J., 55(1)(2003), 136-147.

[4] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Can. Math. Bull., 24(2)(1981), 169-176.

[5] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1988).

[6] J. S. Shiue, *On the Cesàro sequence spaces*, Tamking J. Math., 1(1970), 19-25.

[7] M. Et and R. Çolak, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math., 21(4)(1995), 377-386.

- [8] M. Et, *On some generalized Cesàro difference sequence spaces*, İstanbul Üniv. Fen Fak. Mat. Derg., 55/56(1996/97), 221-229.
- [9] Mursaleen, M. A. Khatib and Qamaruddin, *On difference Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 89(1997), 337-342.
- [10] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Dekker, New York, (1981).
- [11] P. N. Ng and P. Y. Lee, *Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Comment Math., 20(1978), 429-433.
- [12] R. G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, Macmillan & Co, London, (1950).
- [13] S. A. Khan and A. A. Ansari, *η -duals of generalized difference sequence spaces*, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 14(2)(2016), 122-125.
- [14] V. K. Bhardwaj and S. Gupta, *Cesàro summable difference sequence space*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2013(2013), 315.
- [15] V. K. Bhardwaj and S. Gupta, *Correction: Cesàro summable difference sequence space*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014(2014), 11.
- [16] V. K. Bhardwaj, S. Gupta and R. Karan, *Köthe-Toeplitz duals and matrix transformations of Cesàro difference sequence spaces of second order*, J. Math. Analysis, 5(2)(2014), 1-11.