International Journal of

Mathematics And its Applications

ISSN: 2347-1557

*Int. J. Math. And Appl.*, **11(2)**(2023), 59–70 Available Online: http://ijmaa.in

# Non-archimedean Pseudo-differential Operators $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$ Connected with Fractional Fourier Transform

Abhisekh Shekhar<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nawin Kumar Agrawal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, C.M.Science College (A constituent unit of L.N.M.U., Darbhanga), Darbhanga, Bihar, India <sup>2</sup>University Department of Mathematics, L. N. Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  connected with fractional Fourier transform whose symbol min{ $|\psi_1(.)|, |\psi_2(.)|$ } is found from the character of two mappings defined on the p-adic numbers. In this manuscript, we also study some properties of fractional heat Kernel  $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , self-adjoint of  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  and dissipative of  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Keywords:** Non-archimedean analysis; Pseudo-differential operators; Fractional Fourier transform; dissipative operators; p-Adic analysis.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26E30, 35S05, 46E35, 47G30, 46F12.

## 1. Introduction

The connections of non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators with certain p-adic pseudo-differential equations that describe certain physical models [1–5]. Therefore, non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators have received a lot of attention in two decades.Non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators have gained popularity in recent years due to their utility in studying certain equations associated with new physical models/Models in physical form [6–12]. The interest in pseudo-differential operators in the p-adic context has grown significantly in recent years as a result of their utility in modelling various types of physical phenomena. For example, modelling geological processes (such as the formation of petroleum micro-scale reservoirs and fluid flows in porous media such as rock); the dynamics of complex systems such as macromolecules, glasses, and proteins; the study of Coulomb gases, etc. [13–17]. Nonlocal diffusion problems arise in a wide range of applications in the archimedean setting, including biology, image processing, particle systems, and coagulation models. This research work is motivated/ inspired by

\*Corresponding author (abhi08.iitkgp@gmail.com)

the works of Ismael Gutiérrez García and Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo [10, 16, 18, 19]. In this manuscript, we discuss the p-adic non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathscr{B}_{\psi_{1},\psi_{2}}\phi)(\zeta) &= -\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}\big([\min\{|\psi_{1}(||\zeta||_{p})|,|\psi_{2}(||\zeta||_{p})|\}]\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}(\zeta)\big), \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta)[\min\{|\psi_{1}(||\zeta||_{p})|,|\psi_{2}(||\zeta||_{p})|\}]\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}(\zeta)d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}$  denotes the inverse fractional Fourier transform,  $\hat{\phi}_{\vartheta}$  is the fractional Fourier transform of  $\phi$ , the mapping min{ $|\psi_1(.)|, |\psi_2(.)|$ } :  $\mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of the p-adic non-archimedean pseudodifferential operator  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$ .

The implementation of these symbols aims to offer new classes of the p-adic non-archimedean pseudodifferential equations that are naturally associated with our p-adic non-archime. The p-adic heat equation (or Cauchy problem) relating to  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  has the following mathematical form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}(\zeta, \tau) = -\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}(\phi(\zeta, \tau)), & 0 < \tau < \infty, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p \\ \phi(\zeta, 0) = \phi_0(\zeta) \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p). \end{cases}$$

$$\phi(\zeta, \tau) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta, \eta) e^{-\tau [\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, \; |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]} (\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}\phi_0)(\eta) d\eta, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \; \tau \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

is a basic solution of (1). In this article, we study some properties of fractional heat Kernel  $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , self-adjoint of  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  and dissipative of  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . p-adic numbers, on the other hand, have become a natural structure in the last three years, thanks to their topology, to research novel mathematical models connected to the transmission of infectious illnesses (say, COVID-19) over certain sorts of population groupings, see for example, [14, 20, 21].

## 2. Mathematical Background of Fractional Fourier Analysis on Q<sub>p</sub>

**Definition 2.1** (The field of p-adic numbers). *Let* p *be a prime number. Through out this manuscript* p *will denote a prime number. Firstly we define* p*-adic norm*  $|.|_p$  *on*  $\mathbb{Q}$  *as follows* 

$$|\eta|_{p} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \eta = 0, \\ p^{-\tau}, & \text{if } \eta = p^{\tau} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are integers coprime with p. The integer  $\tau := ord(\eta)$ , with  $ord(0) := +\infty$ , is called the p-adic order of  $\eta$ . The unique expansion of any p-adic number  $\eta \neq 0$  is of the form

$$\eta = p^{ord(\eta)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta_i p^i, \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta_i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., p-1\}$  and  $\eta_0 \neq 0$ . Using (2), we define the fractional part of  $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , denoted by  $\{\eta\}_p$ , as the rational number

$$\{\eta\}_{p} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \eta = 0 \text{ or } ord(\eta) \ge 0, \\ p^{ord(\eta)} \sum_{i=0}^{-ord_{p}(\eta)-1} \eta_{i}p^{i}, & \text{if } ord(\eta) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Extension of the *p*-adic norm on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is given by

$$||\eta||_p = |\eta|, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Let  $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We consider  $I_{r_0}(\eta_0) = \{\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p : ||\eta - \eta_0||_p \le p^{r_0}\}$ . The empty set and the points are the only connected subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Therefore, the topological space  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, ||.||_p)$  is totally disconnected. The necessary and sufficient condition for the compactness of a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is that bounded and closed subdet of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

### 3. Few Functional Spaces

A function  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$  is called locally constant if for any  $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  there exists an integer  $r(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that  $f(\eta + \eta') = f(\eta)$  for all  $\eta' \in I_{r(\eta)}$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$  is called a test function (or a Bruhat-Schwartz function ) if it is a compact support with locally constant. The set of all complex valued test functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  or simply  $\mathcal{D}$ . The set of all distributions (all continous functionals) on  $\mathcal{D}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  or simply  $\mathcal{D}'$ . The mapping  $\langle U, \psi \rangle : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{C}$  for  $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is defined as follows:

$$ig\langle U,\psiig
angle = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} U(\zeta)\psi(\zeta)d\zeta.$$

**Definition 3.1** (Regular Distribution). Let M be an arbitrary compact subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . i.e.  $M \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{\phi | \phi : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \phi \in L^1(M)\}$ . A distribution  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is defined by every function  $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  according to the formula

$$\langle \phi, \psi 
angle = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \phi(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

This type of distributions is known as regular distributions.

Let  $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$ . Then the set  $L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{Q}_p, dx) = \{h : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} |h(x)|^{\sigma} dx < \infty\}$ , the set  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p, dx) = \{h : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C} \text{ such that essential supremum of } |h| < \infty\}$ , the set  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{C}) = \{h : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C} \text{ and } h \text{ is a continous function}\}$ , and the set

$$C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathbb{C}) = \{h: \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C} \text{ and } h \text{ is a continous function and } \lim_{||\zeta||_p \to \infty} h(\zeta) = 0\}$$

are complex vector space under the binary operation vector addition (+) and scalar multiplication (.). It also implies that  $(C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathbb{C}), ||.||_{L^{\infty}})$  is a Banach space.

## 4. Fractional Fourier Transform (FFT) on $Q_p$

In this chapter, we introduce the definition of fractional Fourier transform on the field of p-adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Firstly, the map  $\chi_p^{\theta}(.,.)$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as follows:

$$\chi_{p}^{\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta) = \begin{cases} C^{\vartheta} e^{\frac{i(\zeta^{2}+\eta^{2})\cot\vartheta}{2} - i\zeta\eta\csc\vartheta}, & \vartheta \neq n\pi, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-i\zeta\eta}, & \vartheta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \forall \zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{Q}_{p} \\ C^{\vartheta} = \sqrt{\frac{1-i\cot\vartheta}{2\pi}}. \end{cases}$$

If  $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , its fractional Fourier transform of one dimension is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}\psi)(\eta) = \widehat{\psi}_{\vartheta}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_p^{\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta)\psi(\zeta)d\zeta, \quad for \ \eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$
(3)

The inverse fractional Fourier transform of a mapping  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}\phi)(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta)\phi(\eta)d\eta, \quad for \ \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$
(4)

The fractional Fourier transform is an isomorphism, continuous and linear map of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  onto itself holding

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}\phi))(\zeta) = (\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}\phi))(\zeta) = \phi(\zeta), \quad \forall \ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$
(5)

# 5. Symbol of Two Functions with FFT Connected to the Non-archimedean Pseudo-differential Operators (NPDO) $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$

In this chapter we introduce different types of the p-adic non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators which is denoted by  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$ . We define fractional heat Kernel related to NPDO involving FFT. Some properties of fractional heat Kernel will be proved. Throughout this manuscript, we consider  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ .

We assume the **Hypothesis B**, which is defined as follows: We call that the continuous functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$  holds the Hypothesis B if the following conditions satisfy.

- (a)  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are radial functions with  $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 0$ . We apply the notation  $\psi_1(\eta) = \psi_1(|\eta|_p)$  and  $\psi_2(\eta) = \psi_2(|\eta|_p); \ \eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .
- (b)  $|\psi_1|$  and  $\psi_2$  are increasing mappings with respect to the p-adic absolute value  $|.|_p$ .
- (c) There is an integer  $\rho = \rho(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\psi_2(|\eta|_p)| \ge |\psi_1(|\eta|_p)| \iff \eta \in B_{\rho}$ .
- (d) There exist constants  $\mathscr{C}_1 = \mathscr{C}_1(\psi_1) > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\psi_1) > 0$  such that  $|\psi_1(|\eta|_p)| \ge \mathscr{C}_1|\eta|_p^{\gamma_1}$ ,  $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p B_{\rho}$ .

#### Example 5.1.

- (a) We consider  $\psi_1(||\eta||_p) = \sqrt{||\eta||_p}$  and  $\psi_2(||\eta||_p) = \sqrt[3]{||\eta||_p}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We get that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  holds the Hypothesis B.
- (b) Let  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\delta_2 > 0$  constants such that  $\delta_1 \ge \delta_2 > 0$ . Then the mappings  $\psi_1(||\eta||_p) = e^{||\eta||_p^{\delta_1}} 1$  and  $\psi_2(||\eta||_p) = e^{||\eta||_p^{\delta_2}} 1$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , holds the Hypothesis B.

**Remark 5.2.** We obtain that  $e^{-\tau[\min\{|\psi_1|, |\psi_2|\}]} \in L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\forall$  fixed  $\tau > 0$ . In fact,  $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e^{-\tau[\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]} d\eta$  is exactly

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} e^{-\tau\sigma|\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|} d\eta + \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p - B_{\rho}} e^{-\tau\sigma|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|} d\eta = \mathscr{I}_1 + \mathscr{I}_2.$$

It implies that  $\mathscr{I}_1 < \infty$ , because  $e^{-\tau \sigma |\psi_2(.)|}$  is a continuous over  $B_\rho$  and  $B_\rho$  is a compact set. Further,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{I}_{2} &= \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau \sigma |\psi_{1}(p^{i})|} \int_{||\eta||_{p}=p^{i}} d\eta \\ &\leq (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau \sigma \mathscr{C}_{1} p^{i \gamma_{1}}} p^{i} \\ &< \infty. \end{split}$$

Definition 5.3. We introduce the non-archimedean pseudo-differential operator

$$(\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}\phi)(\zeta) = -\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{-1}([\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]\widehat{\phi}_{\alpha}(\eta)) \\ = -\int_{Q_p} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta)[\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}(\eta)d\eta,$$

where  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , the mapping  $\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\} : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , is the symbol of the operator  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$ .

**Remark 5.4.** From [22] we get  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is dense in  $L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$  and in  $C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Since  $\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta} \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and min $\{|\psi_1(.)|, |\psi_2(.)|\}$  is a continuous mapping on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , we obtain that min $\{|\psi_1(.)|, |\psi_2(.)|\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta} \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p).\}$ From Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem [22], we can prove that the NPDO  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2} : \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{Q}_p), \sigma \in [1, \infty)$ , is a well-defined NPDO.

**Definition 5.5.** We introduce fractional heat Kernel related to NPDO  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  involving FFT as follows:

$$\mathscr{Z}_{\tau}(\zeta) = \mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta, \eta) e^{-\tau [\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]} d\eta, \quad \forall \ \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \ \tau > 0.$$
(6)

 $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau)$  is a mapping of  $\zeta$  with  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  for fixed  $\tau > 0$ .

**Remark 5.6.** From [23] we obtain for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  that  $\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \mathscr{L}_{\tau}(\zeta) = \delta(\zeta)$ , where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function. From [23],  $e^{-\tau [\min\{|\psi_1(.)|, |\psi_2(.)|\}]}$  can be proved that it is a regular distribution as well as a radial function. **Theorem 5.7.** The fractional heat Kernel  $\mathscr{Z}_{\tau}(.)$  holds that  $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\tau > 0$ .

Proof.

**Case I:** When  $\zeta = 0$ . It is trivial that  $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau) \ge 0$  holds for all  $\tau > 0$ . **Case II:** When  $\zeta \ne 0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We obtain that

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{\rho}} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta) e^{-\tau |\psi_{2}(||\eta||_{p})|} d\eta &+ \int_{Q_{p}-B_{\rho}} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta) e^{-\tau \sigma |\psi_{1}(||\eta||_{p})|} d\eta \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_{2}(p^{i})|} \int_{||p^{i}\eta||_{p}=1} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta) d\eta \\ &+ \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau |\psi_{1}(p^{i})|} \int_{||p^{i}\eta||_{p}=1} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,p^{-i}t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_{2}(p^{i})|} p^{i} \int_{||t||_{p}=1} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,p^{-i}t) dt \\ &+ \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau |\psi_{1}(p^{i})|} p^{i} \int_{||t||_{p}=1} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,p^{-i}t) dt \end{split}$$

It implies that for  $\zeta \neq 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i \int_{||t||_p=1} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta, p^{-i}t) dt + \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^i \int_{||t||_p=1} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta, p^{-i}t) dt.$$
(7)

For  $\zeta \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $||\zeta||_p = p^{-l}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and applying the formula

$$\int_{||t||_{p=1}} \chi_{p}^{-\vartheta}(\zeta, p^{-i}t) dt = \begin{cases} 1-p^{-1}, & \text{if } i \leq l, \\ -p^{-1}, & \text{if } i = l+1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq l+2, \end{cases}$$
(8)

There are different cases for *l*. Firstly we consider  $l \le \rho - 1$ , then from (7) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta,\tau) &= (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^{-i})|} p^{-i} - e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^{l+1})|} p^l \\ &\geq e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^l)|} \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} (p^{-i} - p^{-(i+1)}) - e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^{l+1})|} p^l \\ &= ||\zeta||_p^{-1} \{ e^{-\tau |\psi_2(||\zeta||_p^{-1})|} - e^{-\tau |\psi_2(||\zeta||_p^{-1}p)|} \} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $l \ge \rho$ , from (7) and (8) and using the mathematical induction on  $l - \rho$ , we get If  $l - \rho = 0$ , then

$$\mathscr{Z}(\zeta,\tau) = (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^{-i})|} p^{-i} - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{l+1})|} p^l$$

$$\geq e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^l)|} \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} (p^{-i} - p^{-(i+1)}) - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{l+1})|} p^l$$

$$= ||\zeta||_p^{-1} \{ e^{-\tau |\psi_2(||\zeta||_p^{-1})|} - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(||\zeta||_p^{-1}p)|} \}$$

$$\geq ||\zeta||_p^{-1} \{ e^{-\tau |\psi_2(||\zeta||_p^{-1})p|} - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(||\zeta||_p^{-1}p)|} \}$$

$$> 0.$$

Here we suppose that the assertion is true for  $l - \rho = q$ , for some  $q \ge 1$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta,\tau) &= (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i + (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+q} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^i - p^{-1} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+1} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the hypothesis for  $l - \rho = q + 1$  or  $l = \rho + q + 1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{X}(\zeta,\tau) &= (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i + (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+q+1} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^j - p^{-1} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+2} \\ &= (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i + (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+q} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^j \\ &+ (1-p^{-1}) e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+1} - p^{-1} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+2} \\ &\geq (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i + (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+q} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^j \\ &+ (1-p^{-1}) e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+1} - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q+1} \\ &= (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\rho} e^{-\tau |\psi_2(p^i)|} p^i + (1-p^{-1}) \sum_{i=\rho+1}^{\rho+q} e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^i)|} p^j - e^{-\tau |\psi_1(p^{\rho+q+1})|} p^{\rho+q} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Remark 5.8.** A mapping  $f : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{C}$  is said to be negative definite, if

$$\sum_{l,m=1}^{q} \left( f(\zeta_l) + \overline{f(\zeta_m)} - f(\zeta_l - \zeta_m) \right) \gamma_l \overline{\gamma_m} \ge 0$$

 $\forall q \neq 0 \in \mathbb{N}, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_q \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_q \in \mathbb{C}$ . From Theorem 5.7 and [24] we obtain that the mapping min{ $|\psi_1(||.||_p)|, |\psi_2(||.||_p)|$ } is negative definite on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Remark 5.9. We introduce the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}(\zeta, \tau) = -\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1, \psi_2}(\phi(\zeta, \tau)), & 0 < \tau < \infty, \ \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p \\ \phi(\zeta, 0) = \phi_0(\zeta) \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p). \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Similarly from [12], we can show that

$$\phi(\zeta,\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_p^{-\vartheta}(\zeta,\eta) e^{-\tau [\min\{|\psi_1(||\eta||_p)|, |\psi_2(||\eta||_p)|\}]} (\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}\phi_0)(\eta) d\eta, \ \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \ \tau \ge 0$$

is a basic solution of (9). Further,

(a)  $\phi(\zeta, \tau)$  holds the principles of mass conservation and comparison i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \phi(\zeta, \tau) d\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \phi_0(\zeta) d\zeta$  and if  $\phi_0(\zeta) \ge \phi_0(\zeta)$ ,  $\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $\phi(\zeta, \tau) \ge \phi(\zeta, \tau)$ .

## 6. Some Properties of Fractional Heat Kernel $\mathscr{Z}(\zeta, \tau)$ on $\mathbb{Q}_p$

In this section, motivated by Taira Kazuaki [25] we introduce the existence of some properties such as Feller semigroups and Strong Markov processes related to fractional Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Using the fractional heat Kernel given in (6), and for  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , the operator  $\Psi_{\tau}$  is defined as follows:

$$\Psi_{\tau}\phi(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\zeta), & \text{if } \tau = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta - \eta, \tau)\phi(\eta)d\eta, & \text{if } \tau > 0. \end{cases}$$
(10)

**Theorem 6.1.**  $\Psi_{\tau} : C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p), \ \tau \ge 0$  is well-defined contraction operator.

*Proof.* When  $\tau = 0$ , the result is obvious/trivial. When  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{\tau}\phi(\zeta)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta - \eta, \tau)\phi(\eta)d\eta \right| &\leq ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta - \eta, \tau)d\eta \\ &= ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}. \end{aligned}$$
(11)

From [23] similary, we can show that  $\mathscr{Z}_{\tau}$  is continous. Since  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and we consider that  $Supp(\phi) \subset \mathscr{B}_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Applying the compactness of  $\mathscr{B}_m$  and Theorem 5.7, we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq |\Psi_{\tau}\phi(\zeta)| &\leq ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_{p})} \int_{\mathscr{B}_{m}} \mathscr{L}(\zeta - \eta, \tau) d\eta \\ &\leq \tau ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_{p})} \int_{\mathscr{B}_{m}} ||\zeta - \eta||_{p}^{-1} d\eta \\ &= \tau ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_{p})} ||\zeta||_{p}^{-1} Vol(\mathscr{B}_{m}) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

It implies that  $|\Psi_{\tau}\phi(\zeta)| \to 0$  as  $||\zeta||_p \to \infty$ . This proves that  $\Psi_{\tau} : C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is a well-defined bounded linear transform.

**Theorem 6.2.** The operators  $\Psi_{\tau}$ ,  $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ , holds the semigroup axiom,

$$\Psi_{\tau}(\Psi_{\omega}\phi)(\zeta) = \Psi_{\tau+\omega}\phi(\zeta), \quad \forall \phi \in C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p), \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \ \tau, \ \omega \ \in [0,\infty).$$

Proof. Proof is similar to Lemma 2 in [19].

**Theorem 6.3.** The operators  $\Psi_{\tau}$ ,  $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ , holds the following property for fixed  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ :

$$||\Psi_{\tau}\phi - \phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \to 0 \quad as \quad \tau \to 0^+.$$
(12)

Proof. We consider

$$\left(\Psi_{\tau}\phi-\phi\right)(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta-\eta,\tau)[\phi(\zeta)-\phi(\eta)]d\eta, \text{ for fixed } \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$
(13)

For a given arbitrary number  $\epsilon > 0$ , however small,  $\exists$  some numbers  $l(\zeta, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $||\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\eta)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} < \epsilon$ , for  $||\zeta - \eta||_p < p^l$ . From Theorem 5.7 and (13), we obtain that

$$egin{aligned} & \left| ig( \Psi_{ au} \phi - \phi ig) (\zeta) 
ight| & \leq & \int_{||\zeta - \eta||_p < p^l} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta - \eta, au) |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\eta)| d\eta \ & + & \int_{||\zeta - \eta||_p \geq p^l} \mathscr{Z}(\zeta - \eta, au) |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\eta)| d\eta \ & \leq & \epsilon + 2 ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \int_{||\xi||_p \geq p^l} \mathscr{Z}(\xi, au) d\xi \ & \leq & \epsilon + 2 au ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \int_{||\xi||_p \geq p^l} ||\xi||_p^{-1} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{||\xi||_p \ge p^l} ||\xi||_p^{-1} d\xi = K < \infty$  (say), then we get

$$\left| \left( \Psi_{\tau} \phi - \phi \right)(\zeta) \right| \leq \epsilon + 2K\tau ||\phi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

Hence, given any  $\epsilon > 0$  we obtain that

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0^+} \max \left| \left( \Psi_{\tau} \phi - \phi \right)(\zeta) \right| \leq \epsilon, \ \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Thus,

$$||\Psi_ au \phi - \phi||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p)} o 0 \hspace{0.2cm} ext{as} \hspace{0.2cm} au o 0^+.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 6.3.

**Definition 6.4.** An operator  $\Phi$  in a complete norm linear space  $(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{F}), ||.||)$  is said to be dissipative if  $||\psi - \gamma \Phi \psi|| \ge ||\psi||$ ,  $\forall \psi \in D(\Phi)$  and for all  $\gamma \in ]0, \infty[$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** The non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  is dissipative in  $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

*Proof.* From [23] we get for  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}\phi,\phi\right) &= -\left(\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}(\min\{\psi_1,\psi_2\}]\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}),\phi\right) \\ &= -(\min\{\psi_1,\psi_2\}\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta},\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}) \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\min\{\psi_1,\psi_2\}|\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}(\zeta)|^2d\zeta \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  is dissipative in  $L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Definition 6.6.** [26] If  $\Phi$  is a linear operator in the complete inner product space  $(\mathbb{H}(K), \langle ., . \rangle)$  with dense domain, then

$$G(\Phi^*) = \{(\psi, \phi) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} : \langle \phi, g \rangle = \langle \psi, h \rangle \ \forall \ (g, h) \in G(\Phi) \},\$$

introduces a linear operator  $\Phi^*$  (the adjoint of  $\Phi$ ). The domain of  $\Phi^*$  is

$$D(\Phi^*) = \{ g \in \mathbb{H} : \exists C < \infty, |\langle \Phi h, g \rangle| \le C ||h||, \ \forall h \in D(\Phi) \},$$

and  $\Phi^*$  satisfies  $\langle \Phi^*g,h\rangle = \langle g,\Phi h\rangle$ ,  $\forall h \in D(\Phi)$ . Then,  $\Phi$  is said to be self-adjoint if  $\Phi^* = \Phi$ .

**Theorem 6.7.** The non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  is a self-adjoint operator i.e. to prove that

$$\langle \mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}\phi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \phi, \mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}\varphi \rangle, \text{ for } \phi, \varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

*Proof.* We consider for  $\phi$ ,  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}_p(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and using of Parseval-Steklov equality that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \mathscr{B}_{\psi_{1},\psi_{2}}\phi,\varphi\right\rangle &= \left\langle -\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{-1}(\min\{|\psi_{1}|, |\psi_{2}|\}\widehat{\phi}_{\vartheta}),\varphi\right\rangle \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\widehat{\phi_{\vartheta}}(\zeta)\overline{\min\{|\psi_{1}(\zeta)|, |\psi_{2}(\zeta)|\}}\widehat{\varphi}_{\vartheta}(\zeta)}d\zeta \\ &= \left\langle \phi, -\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{-1}(\min\{|\psi_{1}|, |\psi_{2}|\})\widehat{\varphi}_{\vartheta}\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \phi, \mathscr{B}_{\psi_{1},\psi_{2}}\varphi\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

It implies that the non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators  $\mathscr{B}_{\psi_1,\psi_2}$  is a self-adjoint operator.  $\Box$ 

#### References

 Alexandra V Antoniouk, Andrei Yu Khrennikov and Anatoly N Kochubei, Multidimensional nonlinear pseudo-differential evolution equation with p-adic spatial variables, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 11(2020), 311–343.

- [2] Andrei Khrennikov, Klaudia Oleschko and Maria de Jesus Correa Lopez, Modeling fluid's dynamics with master equations in ultrametric spaces representing the treelike structure of capillary networks, Entropy, 18(7)(2016).
- [3] Klaudia Oleschko and A Yu Khrennikov, Applications of p-adics to geophysics: Linear and quasilinear diffusion of water-in-oil and oil-in-water emulsions, Theoretical and mathematical physics, 190(1)(2017), 154-163.
- [4] Ehsan Pourhadi, Andrei Khrennikov, Reza Saadati, Klaudia Oleschko, and Maria de Jesus Correa Lopez, Solvability of the p-adic analogue of navierstokes equation via the wavelet theory, Entropy, 21(11)(2019).
- [5] Wilson A Zuniga-Galindo, *Pseudodifferential equations over non archimedean spaces*, Volume 2174, Springer, (2016).
- [6] Victor A Aguilar-Arteaga and Samuel Estala-Arias, Pseudodifferential operators and markov processes on adeles, p-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications, 11(2019), 89-113.
- [7] Victor A Aguilar-Arteaga, Manuel Cruz-López and Samuel Estala-Arias, Non-archimedean analysis and a wave-type pseudodifferential equation on finite adeles, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 11(3)(2020), 1139-1181.
- [8] Alexandra V Antoniouk, Klaudia Oleschko, Anatoly N Kochubei and Andrei Yu Khrennikov, A stochastic p-adic model of the capillary flow in porous random medium, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 505(2018), 763-777.
- [9] Ismael Gutierrez Garcia and Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo, Strong markov processes and negative definite functions associated with non-archimedean elliptic pseudo-differential operators, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 11(1)(2020), 345-362.
- [10] Ismael Gutierrez Garcia and Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo, Some classes of non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators related to bessel potentials, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 11(3)(2020), 1111-1137.
- [11] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo and WA Zuniga-Galindo, Non-archimedean pseudodifferential operators and feller semigroups, p-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications, 10(2018), 57-73.
- [12] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo and WA Zuniga-Galindo, *Ultrametric diffusion, exponential landscapes, and the first passage time problem,* Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 157(1)(2018), 93-116.
- [13] LF Chacon-Cortes, Ismael Gutierrez-Garcia, Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo and Andres Vargas, Finite time blow-up for a p-adic nonlocal semilinear ultradiffusion equation, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 494(2)(2021), 124599.

- [14] Andrei Khrennikov and Klaudia Oleschko, An ultrametric random walk model for disease spread taking into account social clustering of the population, Entropy, 22(9)(2020), 931.
- [15] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo, Non-archimedean generalized bessel potentials and their applications, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 497(2)(2021), 124874.
- [16] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo, Non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators on sobolev spaces related to negative definite functions, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 12(1)(2021).
- [17] WA Zuniga-Galindo and Sergii M Torba, Non-archimedean coulomb gases, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 61(1)(2020), 013504.
- [18] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo and Edwin A Bolano-Benitez, New classes of p-adic evolution equations and their applications, Journal of PseudoDifferential Operators and Applications, 14(1)(2023), 12.
- [19] Anselmo Torresblanca-Badillo and Adriana A Albarracín-Mantilla, Some further classes of pseudodifferential operators in the p-adic context and their applications, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 14(2)(2023), 24.
- [20] V Aguilar-Arteaga, García I Gutiérrez and Anselmo Torresblanca Badillo, Energy landscapes and non-archimedean pseudo-differential operators as tools for studying the spreading of infectious diseases in a situation of extreme social isolation, Kragujev. J. Math., 48(6)(2024), 827-844.
- [21] Andrei Khrennikov, Utrametric diffusion equation on energy landscape to model disease spread in *hierarchic socially clustered population*, (2021).
- [22] M. H. Taibleson, Fourier analysis on local fields, Princeton University Press, (1975).
- [23] Sergio Albeverio, A Yu Khrennikov and Vladimir M Shelkovich, *Theory of p-adic distributions: linear and nonlinear models*, Number 370. Cambridge University Press, (2010).
- [24] Christian van den Berg and Gunnar Forst, Potential theory on locally compact abelian groups, Volume 87, Springer Science & Business Media, (2012).
- [25] Kazuaki Taira, Boundary value problems and markov processes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1499, (1991).
- [26] Thierry Cazenave and Alain Haraux, An introduction to semilinear evolution equations, Volume 13, Oxford University Press on Demand, (1998).