

International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

A Fixed Point Theorem for Meir Keeler Type Contraction Using Result of Wong, Chi Song

Research Article

Sujata Goyal^{1*}

1 Department of Mathematics, A.S.College, Khanna, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we establish a new fixed point theorem for Meir Keeler type contraction using result of Wong [2]. The presented theorem is an extension of the result of Wong [2].

Keywords: Complete metric space, Fixed point, Meir-Keeler type contraction. © JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The Banach contraction principle [1] is the most celebrated fixed point theorem. It is very useful, simple and classical tool in nonlinear analysis. Moreover this principle has many generalizations (see for example [2-10]). Among the most relevant results in this direction, one can give that of Meir and Keeler [3] who proved the following fixed point result.

Theorem 1.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a self mapping from X into itself satisfying the following condition:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \text{ such that } \epsilon \leq d(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon$$

Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, for all $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z.

Wong [2] proved the following fixed point result:

Theorem 1.2. Let T be a self mapping on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose there exists symmetric functions α_i , $i=1,2,\ldots, 5$, of $X \times X$ into [0, 1) such that

- (a). $r = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^{5} \alpha_i(x, y) : x, y \in X\} < 1$
- (b). for any x, y in X

 $d(Tx, Ty) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Tx), where \ a_i = \alpha_i(x, y) + \alpha_i d(x, Ty) + \alpha_i d(x, Ty)$

then T has a unique fixed point.

In this paper, a new fixed point theorem of Meir Keeler type that generalizes Theorem 1.2 of Wong, Chi Song in the case when each a_i is assumed to be the constant in [0, 1/5] has been derived.

E-mail: sujatagoyal636@yahoo.com

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a mapping of X into itself. We assume that the following hypothesis holds: given $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$5\epsilon \le d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) < 5\epsilon + \delta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, for all $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z.

To prove the Theorem we need the following lemma :

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a mapping of X into itself. Then the conditions $(A) \notin (B)$ are equivalent :

 $(A). Given \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \text{ such that } 5\epsilon \leq d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) < 5\epsilon + \delta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon.$ $(B). Given \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \text{ such that } d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) < 5\epsilon + \delta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon.$

Proof. Clearly (B) \Rightarrow (A). Now suppose condition (A) holds. For convenience, let $M(x, y) = \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) \}$. If $M(x, y) < \epsilon$, then by condition (A), we have $d(Tx, Ty) \le M(x, y)$ and thus $d(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon$. If $M(x, y) \ge \epsilon$ then condition (B) immediately holds.

Proof of Main Theorem. First note that trivially (1) implies

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) \text{ for } x \neq y$$
(2)

Let $x_0 \in X$ and consider the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{T^n x_0\}, n \in N$, we will prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. If there exists $t \in N$ such that $x_t = x_{t+1}$, the clearly x_t is a fixed point of T. So assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all n. Define $s_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \forall n$. By (2), we have

$$s_{n} = d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n}) < \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n}) \}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \}$$

$$= \frac{3}{5} s_{n-1} + \frac{2}{5} s_{n}$$

 $\Rightarrow s_n < s_{n-1} \forall n$. Thus the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is strictly decreasing. Therefore the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is convergent. Let it converge to s, where $s \ge 0$. If possible, let s > 0. Now $2s_n + s_{n-1} \rightarrow 5s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. According to condition (1), for 5s > 0, there exists $\delta(5s) > 0$ with the given property. Now for $\delta(5s) > 0$, there exists M such that $5s \le 2s_M + 3s_{M-1} < 5s + \delta(5s)$

$$\Rightarrow 2d(x_M, x_{M+1}) + 3d(x_{M-1}, x_M) < 5s + \delta(5s) \Rightarrow d(x_{M-1}, x_M) + d(x_{M-1}, Tx_{M-1}) + d(x_M, Tx_M) + d(x_{M-1}, Tx_M) + d(x_M, Tx_{M-1}) = d(x_{M-1}, x_M) + d(x_{M-1}, x_M) + d(x_M, x_{M+1}) + d(x_{M-1}, x_{M+1}) + d(x_M, x_M) \le 2d(x_{M-1}, x_M) + d(x_M, x_{M+1}) + d(x_{M-1}, x_M) + d(x_M, x_{M+1}) = 2d(x_M, x_{M+1}) + 3d(x_{M-1}, x_M) < 5s + \delta(5s)$$

thus by Lemma 2.2, $d(Tx_{M-1}, Tx_M) < s \Rightarrow d(x_M, x_{M+1}) < s \Rightarrow s_M < s$, which is a contradiction. Thus we deduce that $s_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now, let $\delta^1(\epsilon) = \min\{\delta(\epsilon), \epsilon\}$. By the convergence of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ to 0, there exists $L \in N$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10} \quad \forall \ n \ge L$$
(3)

Define $\Omega = \{x_p : p \ge L, d(x_p, x_L) < +\frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}\}$, we will show that

$$T(\Omega) \subset \Omega \tag{4}$$

let $u \in \Omega$, then there exists $p \ge L$ such that $u = x_p$ and $d(x_p, x_L) < \frac{5\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$. If p = L, then clearly $T(u) = x_{L+1} \in \Omega$ by (3). So assume that p > L. Now we have two cases:

Case I:

$$d(x_p, x_L) < \frac{5\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$$
(5)

We shall show that

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x_p, x_L) + d(x, x_{p+1}) + d(x_L, x_{L+1}) + d(x_p, x_{L+1}) + d(x_{p+1}, x_L) \} < \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$$
(6)

From (3) & (5), we have

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{3}{5}d(x_p, x_L) \leq \frac{3}{5}d(x_p, x_L) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{p+1}, x_p) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{L+1}, x_L)$$

$$< \frac{3}{5}\left[\frac{5\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}\right] + \frac{4}{5}\left[\frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10}\right]$$

$$= \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon \leq \frac{3}{5}d(x_p, x_L)$$
(7)

and

$$\frac{3}{5}d(x_p, x_L) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{p+1}, x_p) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{L+1}, x_L) < \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$$
(8)

From (7), we have,

$$\epsilon + \frac{\delta^{1}(\epsilon)}{5} \le \frac{1}{5}d(x_{p}, x_{L}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{p}, x_{p+1}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{p+1}, x_{L}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{p}, x_{L+1}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{L+1}, x_{L})$$
(9)

From (8), we have,

$$\frac{1}{5} \{ d(x_p, x_L) + d(x_p, x_{p+1}) + d(x_{p+1}, x_L) + d(x_p, x_{L+1}) + d(x_{L+1}, x_L) \}
\leq \frac{1}{5} \{ d(x_p, x_L) + d(x_p, x_{p+1}) + d(x_{p+1}, x_p) + d(x_p, x_L) + d(x_p, x_L) + d(x_L, x_{L+1}) + d(x_{L+1}, x_L) \}
= \frac{3}{5} d(x_p, x_L) + \frac{2}{5} d(x_{p+1}, x_p) + \frac{2}{5} d(x_{L+1}, x_L)
< \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5}$$
(10)

Combining (9) & (10), (6) is established. From (1), (3) & (6), we have

$$d(Tx_p, x_L) \le d(Tx_p, Tx_L) + d(Tx_L, x_L) < \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10} < \frac{5\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5} \Rightarrow Tx_p \in \Omega \Rightarrow Tu \in \Omega$$

95

Case II: $d(x_p, x_L) < \frac{5\epsilon}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_p, \ x_L) &\leq d(Tx_p, \ Tx_L) + d(Tx_L, \ x_L) \\ &< \frac{1}{5}d(x_p, \ x_L) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{p+1}, \ x_p) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_L, \ x_{L+1}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{L+1}, \ x_p) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_L, \ x_{p+1}) + \frac{1}{5}d(x_{L+1}, \ x_L) \\ &= \frac{3}{5}d(x_p, \ x_L) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{p+1}, \ x_p) + \frac{2}{5}d(x_{L+1}, \ x_L) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(Tx_p, x_L) \leq \frac{3}{5}(\frac{5\epsilon}{3}) + \frac{2}{5}(\frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10}) + \frac{3}{5}(\frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10}) = \epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{10} \Rightarrow Tx_p \in \Omega \Rightarrow Tu \in \Omega$. Thus (4) is proved. Now from (4), we have,

$$d(x_m, x_L) < \frac{5}{3}\epsilon + \frac{\delta^1(\epsilon)}{5} \quad \forall m \ge L$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) \le d(x_m, x_L) + d(x_L, x_n) < \frac{10}{6}\epsilon + \frac{2}{5}\delta^1(\epsilon) \quad \forall m, n \ge L$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{10}{6}\epsilon + \frac{2}{5}\delta^1(\epsilon) < 2\epsilon + \frac{2}{5}\epsilon < 3\epsilon \quad \forall m, n \ge L$$

Thus the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, the sequence $x_n \to z$ (say). Now

$$d(Tz, z) \le d(Tz, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, z) < \frac{1}{5} \{ d(z, x_n) + d(z, Tz) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tz) \} + d(x_{n+1}, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{4}{5} d(Tz, z) < \frac{1}{5} d(z, x_n) + \frac{1}{5} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{5} d(x_n, Tz) + \frac{6}{5} d(x_{n+1}, z)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get:

$$\frac{4}{5}d(Tz, z) \le \frac{1}{5}d(Tz, z) \Rightarrow d(Tz, z) \le 0 \Rightarrow Tz = z$$

Uniqueness : Let w be another fixed point of T, then $Tz = z \& Tw = w, z \neq w$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, \ w) &= d(Tz, \ Tw) < \frac{1}{5} \{ d(z, \ w) + d(z, \ Tz) + d(w, \ Tw) + d(z, \ Tw) + d(w, \ Tz) \} \\ &\Rightarrow d(z, \ w) < \frac{3}{5} d(z, \ w) \Rightarrow 1 < \frac{3}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus the uniqueness of fixed point is proved, and it completes the proof of the theorem.

From the main theorem, we immediately get the following corollary which extends the known result of Wong [2] for the case when each a_i is assumed to be the constant in [0, 1/5]

Corollary 2.3 ([2]). Let T be a self mapping on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose there exist a constant k such that for any x, y in X,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le k\{d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$

where $k \in [0, 1/5]$ then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Also the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z for every $x \in X$.

References

- S.Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations integrals, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3(1)(1922), 133-181.
- [2] C.S.Wong, Fixed point theorems for generalized nonexpansive mappings, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 18(3)(1974), 265-276.

- [3] A.Meir and E.Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 28(2)(1969), 326-329.
- [4] M.U.Ali, T.Kamran and E.Karapınar, Fixed point of α-ψ-contractive type mappings in uniform spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2014(1)(2014), 1-12.
- [5] V.Berinde, Iterative approximation of fixed points, Vol. 1912, Berlin, Germany: Springer, (2007).
- [6] A.Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 29(9)(2002), 531-536.
- [7] A.Latif, M.E.Gordji, E.Karapınar and W.Sintunavarat, Fixed point results for generalized-meir-keeler contractive mappings and applications, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014(1)(2014), 1-11.
- [8] L.B.Cirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 45(2)(1974), 267-273.
- [9] M.Edelstein, An Extension of Banach's Contraction Principle, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 12(1)(1961), 7-10.
- [10] R.Kannan, Some Results on Fixed Points-II, The American Mathematical Monthly, 76(4)(1969), 405-408.