ISSN: 2347-1557

Available Online: http://ijmaa.in/



International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications

Similarity Solution of Semilinear Parabolic Equations with Variable Coefficients

Research Article

S.Padmasekaran¹, S.Rajeswari¹ and G.Sivagami²*

- 1 Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, Salem, Tamilnadu, India.
- 2 Department of Mathematics, SBM College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper we establish again that the nonclassical method accounts for more general results than those obtained by

direct method and Lie's classical method with the help of a nonlinear parabolic equation with a variable coefficient $u_t = u_{xx} + V(t,x)u^p$, p > 1. A perturbation solution for the reduced equation $z^2ff'' + l_5f^2 + (1+p)/(1-p)z^2f'^2 + \epsilon z^{n_1+2} = 0$

is obtained.

MSC: 34C14.

Keywords: Lie's classical method, Nonclassical method, Symmetries.

© JS Publication.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this work is to ascertain the superiosity of the non-classical method [1, 2] over the Lie's classical method [3] and CK-method [5–7]. Nucci and Clarkson [8] have already showed with the help of Fizugh-Nagumo equation that the nonclassical method is more general than the CK-method.

It may be recalled that the group theoretic explanation of CK-methodd is provided To achive our goal we consider the nonlinear parabolic equation with a variable coefficient

$$u_t = u_{xx} + V(t, x)u^p, \quad p > 1.$$
 (1)

We show that the solution of (1) by Lie's classical method only solves its the elliptic counterpart

$$u_{xx} + V(x)u^p = 0. (2)$$

Although the application of CK-method to (1) results in one solution it is the nonclassical method that yields two solutions.

This paper is divided into five sections. In section 2, 3 and 4 we apply the classical method, the nonclassical method and the CK-method respectively to (1). Section 5 is devoted to the summary of the present work.

^{*} E-mail: drqsivaqami@qmail.com

2. Classical Lie Group Method

We now seek Lie group of infinitesimal transformations

$$u^* = u + \epsilon U(t, x, u) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad t^* = t + \epsilon T(t, x, u) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad x^* = x + \epsilon X(t, x, u) + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{3}$$

under which (1) is invariant. Then

$$-pVu^{p-1}U - u^{p}V_{x}X - u^{p}V_{t}T - [U_{xx} + (2U_{xu} - X_{xx})u_{x} - T_{xx}u_{t}$$

$$+ (U_{uu} - 2X_{xu})u_{x}^{2} - 2T_{xu}u_{x}u_{t} - X_{uu}u_{x}^{3} - T_{uu}u_{x}^{2}u_{t} + (U_{u} - 2X_{x})(u_{t} - Vu^{p})$$

$$-2T_{x}u_{xt} - 3X_{u}u_{x}(u_{t} - Vu^{p}) - T_{u}u_{t}(u_{t} - Vu^{p}) - 2T_{u}u_{x}u_{xt}] + U_{t} + (U_{u} - T_{t})u_{t}$$

$$-X_{t}u_{x} - T_{u}u_{t}^{2} - X_{u}u_{x}u_{t} = 0,$$

$$(4)$$

where we have replaced for u_{xx} using (1). Equating the coefficients of u_{xt} , $u_x u_{xt}$, $u_x u_{xt}$ and u_x^2 in (4) to zero, we get $T_x = T_u = X_u = U_{uu} = 0$ resulting in T = T(t), X = X(x,t) and U = f(x,t)u + g(x,t). Now (4) reduces to

$$-pVu^{p-1}[uf+g] - u^{p}V_{x}X - u^{p}V_{t}T - [uf_{xx} + g_{xx}] - u_{x}[2f_{x} - X_{xx} + X_{t}] + u_{t}[-T' + 2X_{x}] + Vu^{p}[f - 2X_{x}] + [uf_{t} + g_{t}] = 0.$$
 (5)

Again equating the coefficients of u_x , u_t and u^0 in (5) to zero we have

$$2f_x - X_{xx} + X_t = 0, (6)$$

$$T' - 2X_x = 0, (7)$$

$$-pVu^{p-1}(uf+g) - u^pV_xX - u^pV_tT - uf_{xx} - g_{xx} + Vfu^p - 2u^pVX_x + uf_t + g_t = 0.$$
(8)

Differentiating (7) with respect to x gives $X_{xx} = 0$ so that (6) reduces to

$$2f_x + X_t = 0. (9)$$

Integrating (7) with respect to x, we get

$$X(x,t) = \frac{T'(t)}{2}x + b(t), \tag{10}$$

where b(t) is function of integration. Inserting (10) in (9) and integrating with respect to x, we find that

$$f = -\frac{1}{8}T''(t)x^2 - \frac{1}{2}b'(t)x + c(t), \tag{11}$$

where c(t) is another function of integration. Now (8) assumes the form

$$Vu^{p}\left(-\frac{1}{8}T''(t)x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}b'(t)x + c(t)\right)(1-p) - pVu^{p-1}g - Vu^{p}T'(t) - u^{p}V_{t}T$$

$$-u^{p}V_{x}\left[\frac{T'(t)}{2}x + b(t)\right] + \frac{uT''(t)}{4} - g_{xx} + u\left(-\frac{1}{8}T'''(t)x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}b''(t)x + c'(t)\right) + g_{t} = 0.$$
(12)

Equating the coefficients of u^{p-1} , u and u^p in (12) to zero, we have

$$g = 0, (13)$$

$$\frac{T''}{4} - \frac{T'''}{8}x^2 - \frac{b''}{2}x + c' = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$V\left[-\frac{T''}{8}x^2 - \frac{b'}{2}x + c\right](1-p) - VT' - V_x X - V_t T = 0.$$
(15)

The coefficients of x^2 , x and x^0 in (14) and (15) when equated to zero give

$$T''' = b'' = T'' + 4c' = T'' = b' = 0, (16)$$

$$[(1-p)c - A]V - (\frac{A}{2}x + b)V_x - (At + B)V_t = 0.$$
(17)

Equations in (16)-(17) are satisfied if b and c are constants,

$$T = At + B$$
 and $V = \frac{1}{2}(At + B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A} - \frac{3}{2}\right]}(\frac{A}{2}x + b),$ (18)

where A, B and v_0 are constants. Substituting (18) into (10) and (11) (recall that U = fu), we have

$$X = \frac{A}{2}x + b, \quad T = At + B, \quad U = cu. \tag{19}$$

The invariant surface condition $\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dt}{T} = \frac{du}{U}$ becomes

$$\frac{dx}{\frac{A}{2}x+b} = \frac{dt}{At+B} = \frac{du}{cu}. (20)$$

Integration of equations (20) gives the similarity form of solutions of (2.1) as

$$u = (At + B)^{c/A} F(z), \quad z = \frac{(\frac{A}{2}x + b)^2}{A(At + B)}.$$
 (21)

Putting (21) in (3) we get the following ordinary differential equation for the similarity function F(z):

$$AzF'' + A\left(\frac{1}{2} + z\right)F' + 2z^{\frac{1}{2}}F^p - cF = 0.$$
(22)

Substituting $F = c_1 z^{c_2}$, we have

$$Ac_1c_2(c_2-1)z^{c_2-1} + \frac{A}{2}c_1c_2z^{c_2-1} + Ac_1c_2z^{c_2} + 2c_1^p z^{pc_2+\frac{1}{2}} - cc_1z^{c_2} = 0.$$
(23)

Case 1: $c_2 - 1 = pc_2 + \frac{1}{2}$

If we balance the first, second and third terms and the remaining terms equal to zero, we find that

$$c_1 = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(Ac_2(1 - c_2) - \frac{A}{2}c_2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{24}$$

$$Ac_2 = c. (25)$$

Thus

$$F = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(Ac_2(1 - c_2) - \frac{A}{2}c_2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} z^{\frac{3}{2(1-p)}}.$$
 (26)

Corresponding solution of (1) is a solution of (1):

$$u = (At + B)^{\frac{c}{A}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(Ac_2(1 - c_2) - \frac{A}{2}c_2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} z^{\frac{3}{2(1-p)}}, \tag{27}$$

where V(x, t) is given by

$$V(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}(At+B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A} - \frac{3}{2}\right]} (\frac{A}{2}x+b).$$
 (28)

Case 2: $c_2 = pc_2 + \frac{1}{2}$.

Writing $F = c_1 z^{c_2}$ in (22) and taking the coefficients of z^{c_2} and the remaining terms equal to zero separately, we have

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad p = 0, \quad c_1 = \frac{4}{2c - A}.$$
 (29)

Substituting (29) in $F = c_1 z^{c_2}$ we finally arrive at

$$F = \frac{4}{2c - A} z^{\frac{1}{2}}. (30)$$

Insertion of (30) into (21) and (18) lead to a solution of (1):

$$u = (At + B)^{\frac{c}{A}} \frac{4}{2c - A} z^{1/2}, \tag{31}$$

$$V(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} (At + B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A} - \frac{3}{2}\right]} (\frac{A}{2}x + b).$$
 (32)

3. Nonclassical Method

It follows from the invariant surface condition (where we have taken, without loss of generality, $T \equiv 1$)

$$u_t = U - Xu_x. (33)$$

In view of (33), equation (4) reduces to

$$-pVu^{p-1}U - u^{p}\frac{dV}{dt}T - u^{p}\frac{dV}{dx}X - \left[U_{xx} + (2U_{xu} - X_{xx})u_{x} + (U_{uu} - 2X_{xu})u_{x}^{2} - X_{uu}u_{x}^{3} + (U_{u} - 2X_{x})\left[(U - Xu_{x}) - Vu^{p}\right] - 3X_{u}u_{x}\left[(U - Xu_{x}) - Vu^{p}\right]\right] + U_{t} + U_{u}(U - Xu_{x}) - X_{t}u_{x} - X_{u}u_{x}u_{t} = 0,$$
(34)

Successively equating the coefficients of u^0, u_x, u_x^2 and $u_x u_t$ in (34) to zero we find that

$$-pu^{p-1}V(x)U - u^pV'(x)X - U_{xx} + 2UX_x + u^pV(x)U_u - 2u^pV(x)X_x + U_t = 0, (35)$$

$$-X_t - 2U_{xu} + X_{xx} - 2XX_x + 3UX_u - 3X_uV(x)u^p = 0. (36)$$

$$-U_{uu} + 2X_{xu} - 3XX_u = 0, (37)$$

$$X_u = 0. (38)$$

Again equating the coefficients of u^{p-1} and u^p in (35) to zero we have

$$U = 0. (39)$$

$$V_t + XV'(x) + 2V(x)X_x = 0. (40)$$

Equation (40) leads to

$$V(x) = v_0 X^{-2}. (41)$$

Case 1: $X_t = 0$

Substituting (39) in (36), we get

$$X_{xx} - 2X_x = 0. (42)$$

Now solving (42), we obtain the solution

$$X = -\frac{1}{x}. (43)$$

On inserting (43), equation (41) leads to

$$V(x) = v_0 x^2. (44)$$

Substituting (39), (43) and $T \equiv 1$, the invariant surface condition for z, namely $\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dy}{Y} = \frac{dz}{Z}$ becomes

$$-xdx = dt = \frac{du}{0}. (45)$$

Integration of equations (45) gives a similarity solution of (1) in the form

$$u = F(z), (46)$$

$$z = t + \frac{x^2}{2},\tag{47}$$

Substitution of (47) in (1) yields:

$$F'' + v_0 F^p = 0. (48)$$

Equation (48) can be modified into

$$F^{\prime^2} + \frac{v_0}{1+p}F^{p+1} = 0. (49)$$

Now solving (49), we obtain

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{1-p}{2} \left[\left(\frac{v_0}{-1-p}\right)^{1/2} z + C_2 \right] \right)^{2/(1-p)},\tag{50}$$

where C_2 is an arbitrary constant. Thus the similarity solution of (1) in this case is

$$u(x,t) = \left(\frac{1-p}{2}\left[\left(\frac{v_0}{-1-p}\right)^{1/2}(t+\frac{x^2}{2}) + C_2\right]\right)^{2/(1-p)},$$

$$z(x,t) = t + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$
(51)

Case 2: $X_t \neq 0$

Substituting (39) in (36), we get

$$X_t - X_{xx} + 2X_x = 0. (52)$$

Now solving (52), we obtain the solution

$$X = -\frac{x}{2t}. (53)$$

On inserting (53), equation (40) leads to

$$V(x) = tx^{-4}. (54)$$

Substituting (39), (53) and $T \equiv 1$, the invariant surface condition for z, namely $\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dy}{Y} = \frac{dz}{Z}$ becomes

$$\frac{2tdx}{x} = \frac{dt}{1} = \frac{du}{0}. (55)$$

Integration of equations (55) gives a similarity solution of (1) in the form

$$u = F(z), (56)$$

$$z = tx^{-2}, (57)$$

Substitution of (57) in (1) yields:

$$4z^{2}F'' + (6z - 1)F' + zF^{p} = 0. (58)$$

4. Direct Similarity Method

We transform (1) through

$$u = [v(x,t)]^{2/(1-p)}, (59)$$

to the following Clarkson and Kruskal [6]. We seek solutions of (59) in the form

$$v(x,t) = \alpha(x,t) + \beta(x,t)f(z), \quad z = z(x,t). \tag{60}$$

We substitute (59) in (1) and require the resulting equation in the following form of an ordinary differential equation governing the function f(z):

$$\Lambda_1(z) + \Lambda_2(z)f' + \Lambda_3(z)f + \Lambda_4(z)ff' + \Lambda_5(z)f^2 + \Lambda_6(z)f'^2 + \Lambda_7(z)f'' + ff'' = 0.$$
(61)

The functions $\Lambda_n(z)$, $n=1,2,\cdots,7$ are introduced according to

$$-\alpha \alpha_t + \frac{1+p}{1-p}\alpha_x^2 + \alpha \alpha_{xx} + \frac{(1-p)}{2}V = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_1(z),$$
 (62)

$$-\alpha\beta z_t + \frac{2(1+p)}{(1-P)}\beta\alpha_x z_x + \alpha\beta z_{xx} + 2\alpha\beta_x z_x = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_2(z), \tag{63}$$

$$-\alpha\beta_t - \beta\alpha_t + \frac{2(1+p)}{1-p}\alpha_x\beta_x + \alpha\beta_{xx} + \beta\alpha_{xx} = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_3(z), \tag{64}$$

$$-\beta z_t + \frac{2(1+p)}{1-p}\beta_x z_x + \beta z_{xx} + 2\beta_x z_x = \beta z_x^2 \Lambda_4(z),$$
 (65)

$$-\beta \beta_t + \frac{1+p}{1-p} \beta_x^2 + \beta \beta_{xx} = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_5(z),$$
 (66)

$$\frac{1+p}{1-p}\beta^2 z_x^2 = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_6(z), \tag{67}$$

$$\alpha = \beta \Lambda_7(z). \tag{68}$$

Remark 4.1. If $\alpha(x,t)$ is to be obtained from an equation of the form $\alpha(x,t) = \tilde{\alpha}(x,t) + \beta(x,t)\Lambda(z)$, then we may set $\Lambda(z) = 0$.

Remark 4.2. If $\beta(x,t)$ is given by an equation of the form $\beta(x,t) = \tilde{\beta}(x,t)\Lambda(z)$, then we may choose $\Lambda(z) = 1$.

Remark 4.3. If the equation $\Lambda(z) = \tilde{z}(x,t)$ is to be solved for z, then we may write $\Lambda(z) = z$.

In view of Remark 4.1, we satisfy (68) by taking $\alpha = \Lambda_7 = 0$. And equation (67) simply gives $\Lambda_6 = \frac{1+p}{1-p}$. With $\alpha = 0$, equations (63), (64) and (62) become $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_3 = 0$ and

$$\frac{1-p}{2}V(x) = \beta^2 z_x^2 \Lambda_1(z). \tag{69}$$

We assume that

$$\beta = \beta_0 e^{\sigma x + tht}, \quad z = z_0 e^{\phi x + \mu t}. \tag{70}$$

Evidently z lies in the interval [l,0) when $x \in [0,\infty)$ and $t \in [0,\infty)$. Equations (65), (66) and (69), requires that Λ_5 is proportional to z^{-2} , Λ_4 is proportional to z^{-1} and Λ_1 is proportional to z^{n_1} respectively we indeed find that

$$\Lambda_5 = l_5 z^{-2}, \quad l_5 = -\frac{\theta}{\phi^2} + \frac{2}{1-p} \frac{\sigma^2}{\phi^2},$$
(71)

$$\Lambda_4 = l_4 z^{-1}, \quad l_4 = -\frac{\mu}{\phi^2} + \frac{4}{1-p} \frac{\sigma}{\phi} + 1,$$
(72)

$$\Lambda_1 = l_1 z^{n_1}, \quad V(x,t) = \frac{2}{1-p} \beta_0^2 z_0^{n_1+2} \phi^2 l_1 e^{2(\sigma x + \theta t)} e^{(2+n_1)(\phi x + \mu t)}. \tag{73}$$

Substituting for $\Lambda_n(z)$, n = 1, 2, ..., 7, equation (61) is

$$z^{2}ff'' + \frac{1+p}{1-p}z^{2}f'^{2} + l_{5}f^{2} + l_{4}zff' + l_{1}z^{n_{1}+2} = 0.$$
 (74)

On inserting $f(z) = F(\theta)$, $\theta = \log z$, equation (74) transforms into

$$FF'' - \frac{p+1}{p-1}F'^2 + (l_4 - 1)FF' + l_5F^2 + l_1e^{(n_1+2)\theta} = 0.$$
 (75)

The condition f(0) is finite corresponds to $F(-\infty)$ is finite. We transform (75) through $F(\theta) = e^{\frac{n_1+2}{2}\theta}G(\theta)$, $l_1 = \epsilon$ to the autonomous equation

$$\left[\frac{-2(n_1+2)}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right]GG' + GG'' + \left[\frac{-(n_1+2)^2}{2(p-1)} + (l_4-1)\frac{n_1+2}{2} + l_5\right]G^2 - \frac{p+1}{p-1}G'^2 + \epsilon = 0.$$
 (76)

It is clear that $G(\theta) \to \infty$ as $\theta \to -\infty$ since $n_1 > 0$. Writing $G = G_0(z) + \epsilon G_1(z)$ in (76) and equating the coefficients of ϵ^i , i = 0, 1 to 0, we have

$$\left[\frac{-2(n_1+2)}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right] G_0 G_0' + G_0 G_0'' + \left[\frac{-(n_1+2)^2}{2(p-1)} + (l_4-1)\frac{n_1+2}{2} + l_5\right] G_0^2 - \frac{p+1}{p-1} G_0'^2 = 0,$$

$$\left[\frac{-2(n_1+2)}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right] \left(G_0 G_1' + G_1 G_0'\right) + G_0 G_1'' + G_1 G_0''$$

$$\left[\frac{-(n_1+2)^2}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right] \left(\frac{n_1+2}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right) \left(\frac{n_1+2}{p-1} -$$

$$+2\left[\frac{-(n_1+2)^2}{2(p-1)} + (l_4-1)\frac{n_1+2}{2} + l_5\right]G_0G_1 + 2\frac{p+1}{p-1}G_0'G_1' + 1 = 0.$$
 (78)

It is easily verified that a solution of (77) is

$$G_0(z) = p_1 e^{k\theta},\tag{79}$$

where k is a negetive root of

$$\left[1 + \frac{p+1}{p-1}\right]k^2 + \left[\frac{-2(n_1+2)}{p-1} - 1 + l_4\right]k + \left[\frac{-(n_1+2)^2}{2(p-1)} + (l_4-1)\frac{n_1+2}{2} + l_5\right] = 0,$$
(80)

and $p_1 > 0$. Solving (80) we find that

$$k = \frac{(1-p)}{4} \left[\left(\frac{2(n_1+2)}{p-1} + 1 - l_4 \right) \pm \left((1-l_4)^2 - \frac{8l_5}{1-p} \right)^{1/2} \right]. \tag{81}$$

Then a solution of (78) is

$$G_1(z) = p_2 e^{-k\theta},\tag{82}$$

where

$$p_2 = -\frac{1}{\frac{4p}{p-1}p_0p_1^2 + 2\left[\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{2(1-p)} + \frac{(n_1+2)}{2}(l_4-1) + l_5\right]p_0}.$$
(83)

The corresponding solution of (76) is

$$G(\theta) = p_1 e^{k\theta} + \epsilon p_2 e^{-k\theta}, \tag{84}$$

where p_1 is an arbitrary constant. Then

$$F(\theta) = p_1 e^{k + \frac{(n_1 + 2)}{2}\theta} + \epsilon p_2 e^{-k + \frac{(n_1 + 2)}{2}\theta}.$$
(85)

On inserting $f(z) = F(\theta)$, $\theta = \log z$ into (85), we have

$$f(z) = p_1 z^{k + \frac{(n_1 + 2)}{2}} + \epsilon p_2 z^{-k + \frac{(n_1 + 2)}{2}}.$$
(86)

Putting $\alpha = 0$, (86) and (70 in (59) we get a solution of (1):

$$u = \left[\beta_0 e^{\sigma(x+t)}\right]^{2/(1-p)} \left(p_1 z_0^{k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}} \exp\left[\left(\phi x + \mu t\right)\left(k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}\right)\right] + \epsilon p_2 z_0^{-k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}} \exp\left[\left(\phi x + \mu t\right)\left(-k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}. (87)$$

for

$$V(x,t) = \frac{2}{1-p} \beta_0^2 z_0^{n_1+2} \phi^2 l_1 e^{2(\sigma x + \theta t)} e^{(2+n_1)(\phi x + \mu t)}.$$
 (88)

5. Results and Conclusions

The following solution

$$u(x) = \left[\frac{c^2}{2v_0}\right]^{1/(p-1)} (A/2x + b_0)^2, \tag{89}$$

of (2), when the variable coefficient

$$V(x) = v_0(\frac{Ax}{2} + b)^{-2p},\tag{90}$$

is recovered from the classes of solutions of the nonlinear parabolic equation with the variable coefficient

$$u_t = \Delta u + v_0 \left(\frac{Ax}{2} + b\right)^{-2p} u^p, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty).$$

$$\tag{91}$$

By applying the Lie group of infinitesimal transformations to (91). In yet another study, we employed the direct method of Clarkson and Kruskal [6] to (91) to replace it by a nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$z^{2}ff'' + l_{5}f^{2} + \frac{1+p}{1-p}z^{2}f'^{2} + l_{1}z^{n_{1}+2} = 0.$$
(92)

Perturbative solutions of (92) are derived and then the corresponding intermediate asymptotics of (91) is presented as

$$u(x,t) = \left[\beta_0 e^{\sigma(x+t)}\right]^{2/(1-p)} \left(p_1 z_0^{k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}} \exp\left[(\phi x + \mu t)(k+1+\frac{n_1}{2})\right] + \epsilon p_2 z_0^{-k+1+\frac{n_1}{2}} \exp\left[(\phi x + \mu t)(-k+1+\frac{n_1}{2})\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}},$$
(93)

for

$$V(x,t) = \frac{2}{1-p} \beta_0^2 z_0^{n_1+2} \phi^2 l_1 e^{2(\sigma x + \theta t)} e^{(2+n_1)(\phi x + \mu t)}.$$
 (94)

According to Barenblatt [2] similarity solutions of (91) play the role of intermediate asymptotics of the general solutions of classes of initial value problems.

References

^[1] W.F.Ames, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Engineering, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, (1972).

^[2] G.I.Barenblatt, Similarity, Self-similarity and Intermediate Asymptotics, Consultant Bureau, New York, (1979).

^[3] C.M.Bender and S.A.Orszag, Advanced mathematical methods for Scientists and Engineers, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1978).

- [4] G.W.Bluman and J.D.Cole, The general similarity solution of the heat equation, J. Math. Mech., 18(1969), 1035-1047.
- [5] G.W.Bluman and S.Kumei, Symmetries and Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1989).
- [6] P.A.Clarkson and M.D.Kruskal, New similarity reductions of the Boussinesq equations, J. Math. Phys., 36(1989), 2201-2213.
- [7] George M.Murphy, Ordinary Differential Equations and their Solutions, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc, New Jersey, (1960).
- [8] M.C.Nucci and P.A.Clarkson, The nonclassical method is more general than the direct method for symmetry reductions.

 An example of the Fitzugh-Nagumo equation, Phys. Lett., 164(1992), 49-56.