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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this work is to ascertain the superiosity of the non-classical method [1, 2] over the Lie's classical method [3] and CK-method [5-7]. Nucci and Clarkson [8] have already showed with the help of Fizugh-Nagumo equation that the nonclassical method is more general than the CK-method.

It may be recalled that the group theoretic explanation of CK-methodd is provided To achive our goal we consider the nonlinear parabolic equation with a variable coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{x x}+V(t, x) u^{p}, \quad p>1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the solution of (1) by Lie's classical method only solves its the elliptic counterpart

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}+V(x) u^{p}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the application of CK-method to (1) results in one solution it is the nonclassical method that yields two solutions.

This paper is divided into five sections. In section 2, 3 and 4 we apply the classical method, the nonclassical method and the CK-method respectively to (1). Section 5 is devoted to the summary of the present work.
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## 2. Classical Lie Group Method

We now seek Lie group of infinitesimal transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}=u+\epsilon U(t, x, u)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad t^{*}=t+\epsilon T(t, x, u)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad x^{*}=x+\epsilon X(t, x, u)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which (1) is invariant. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-p V u^{p-1} U-u^{p} V_{x} X-u^{p} V_{t} T-\left[U_{x x}+\left(2 U_{x u}-X_{x x}\right) u_{x}-T_{x x} u_{t}\right. \\
+\left(U_{u u}-2 X_{x u}\right) u_{x}^{2}-2 T_{x u} u_{x} u_{t}-X_{u u} u_{x}^{3}-T_{u u} u_{x}^{2} u_{t}+\left(U_{u}-2 X_{x}\right)\left(u_{t}-V u^{p}\right) \\
\left.-2 T_{x} u_{x t}-3 X_{u} u_{x}\left(u_{t}-V u^{p}\right)-T_{u} u_{t}\left(u_{t}-V u^{p}\right)-2 T_{u} u_{x} u_{x t}\right]+U_{t}+\left(U_{u}-T_{t}\right) u_{t} \\
-X_{t} u_{x}-T_{u} u_{t}^{2}-X_{u} u_{x} u_{t}=0, \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where we have replaced for $u_{x x}$ using (1). Equating the coefficients of $u_{x t}, u_{x} u_{x t}, u_{x} u_{t}$ and $u_{x}^{2}$ in (4) to zero, we get $T_{x}=T_{u}=X_{u}=U_{u u}=0$ resulting in $T=T(t), X=X(x, t)$ and $U=f(x, t) u+g(x, t)$. Now (4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-p V u^{p-1}[u f+g]-u^{p} V_{x} X-u^{p} V_{t} T-\left[u f_{x x}+g_{x x}\right]-u_{x}\left[2 f_{x}-X_{x x}+X_{t}\right]+u_{t}\left[-T^{\prime}+2 X_{x}\right]+V u^{p}\left[f-2 X_{x}\right]+\left[u f_{t}+g_{t}\right]=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again equating the coefficients of $u_{x}, u_{t}$ and $u^{0}$ in (5) to zero we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 f_{x}-X_{x x}+X_{t} & =0,  \tag{6}\\
T^{\prime}-2 X_{x} & =0,  \tag{7}\\
-p V u^{p-1}(u f+g)-u^{p} V_{x} X-u^{p} V_{t} T-u f_{x x}-g_{x x}+V f u^{p}-2 u^{p} V X_{x}+u f_{t}+g_{t} & =0 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (7) with respect to $x$ gives $X_{x x}=0$ so that (6) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f_{x}+X_{t}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (7) with respect to $x$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(x, t)=\frac{T^{\prime}(t)}{2} x+b(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b(t)$ is function of integration. Inserting (10) in (9) and integrating with respect to $x$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-\frac{1}{8} T^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} b^{\prime}(t) x+c(t), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(t)$ is another function of integration. Now (8) assumes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
V u^{p}\left(-\frac{1}{8} T^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} b^{\prime}(t) x+c(t)\right)(1-p)-p V u^{p-1} g-V u^{p} T^{\prime}(t)-u^{p} V_{t} T \\
-u^{p} V_{x}\left[\frac{T^{\prime}(t)}{2} x+b(t)\right]+\frac{u T^{\prime \prime}(t)}{4}-g_{x x}+u\left(-\frac{1}{8} T^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} b^{\prime \prime}(t) x+c^{\prime}(t)\right)+g_{t}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $u^{p-1}, u$ and $u^{p}$ in (12) to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g & =0  \tag{13}\\
\frac{T^{\prime \prime}}{4}-\frac{T^{\prime \prime \prime}}{8} x^{2}-\frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{2} x+c^{\prime} & =0  \tag{14}\\
V\left[-\frac{T^{\prime \prime}}{8} x^{2}-\frac{b^{\prime}}{2} x+c\right](1-p)-V T^{\prime}-V_{x} X-V_{t} T & =0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients of $x^{2}, x$ and $x^{0}$ in (14) and (15) when equated to zero give

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\prime \prime \prime}=b^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime \prime}+4 c^{\prime}=T^{\prime \prime}=b^{\prime} & =0  \tag{16}\\
{[(1-p) c-A] V-\left(\frac{A}{2} x+b\right) V_{x}-(A t+B) V_{t} } & =0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations in (16)-(17) are satisfied if $b$ and $c$ are constants,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=A t+B \quad \text { and } \quad V=\frac{1}{2}(A t+B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A}-\frac{3}{2}\right]}\left(\frac{A}{2} x+b\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ and $v_{0}$ are constants. Substituting (18) into (10) and (11) (recall that $U=f u$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{A}{2} x+b, \quad T=A t+B, \quad U=c u \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariant surface condition $\frac{d x}{X}=\frac{d t}{T}=\frac{d u}{U}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{\frac{A}{2} x+b}=\frac{d t}{A t+B}=\frac{d u}{c u} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of equations (20) gives the similarity form of solutions of $(2.1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(A t+B)^{c / A} F(z), \quad z=\frac{\left(\frac{A}{2} x+b\right)^{2}}{A(A t+B)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (21) in (3) we get the following ordinary differential equation for the similarity function $F(z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z F^{\prime \prime}+A\left(\frac{1}{2}+z\right) F^{\prime}+2 z^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{p}-c F=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $F=c_{1} z^{c_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A c_{1} c_{2}\left(c_{2}-1\right) z^{c_{2}-1}+\frac{A}{2} c_{1} c_{2} z^{c_{2}-1}+A c_{1} c_{2} z^{c_{2}}+2 c_{1}^{p} z^{p c_{2}+\frac{1}{2}}-c c_{1} z^{c_{2}}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1: $c_{2}-1=p c_{2}+\frac{1}{2}$
If we balance the first, second and third terms and the remaining terms equal to zero, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1} & =\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(A c_{2}\left(1-c_{2}\right)-\frac{A}{2} c_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}  \tag{24}\\
A c_{2} & =c \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(A c_{2}\left(1-c_{2}\right)-\frac{A}{2} c_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} z^{\frac{3}{2(1-p)}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding solution of (1) is a solution of (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(A t+B)^{\frac{c}{A}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(A c_{2}\left(1-c_{2}\right)-\frac{A}{2} c_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} z^{\frac{3}{2(1-p)}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(x, t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, t)=\frac{1}{2}(A t+B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A}-\frac{3}{2}\right]}\left(\frac{A}{2} x+b\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: $c_{2}=p c_{2}+\frac{1}{2}$.
Writing $F=c_{1} z^{c_{2}}$ in (22) and taking the coefficients of $z^{c_{2}}$ and the remaining terms equal to zero separately, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad p=0, \quad c_{1}=\frac{4}{2 c-A} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (29) in $F=c_{1} z^{c_{2}}$ we finally arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{4}{2 c-A} z^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (30) into (21) and (18) lead to a solution of (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =(A t+B)^{\frac{c}{A}} \frac{4}{2 c-A} z^{1 / 2},  \tag{31}\\
V(x, t) & =\frac{1}{2}(A t+B)^{\left[\frac{c(1-p)}{A}-\frac{3}{2}\right]}\left(\frac{A}{2} x+b\right) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Nonclassical Method

It follows from the invariant surface condition (where we have taken, without loss of generality, $T \equiv 1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=U-X u_{x} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (33), equation (4) reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-p V u^{p-1} U-u^{p} \frac{d V}{d t} T-u^{p} \frac{d V}{d x} X-\left[U_{x x}+\left(2 U_{x u}-X_{x x}\right) u_{x}+\left(U_{u u}-2 X_{x u}\right) u_{x}^{2}\right. \\
\left.-X_{u u} u_{x}^{3}+\left(U_{u}-2 X_{x}\right)\left[\left(U-X u_{x}\right)-V u^{p}\right]-3 X_{u} u_{x}\left[\left(U-X u_{x}\right)-V u^{p}\right]\right] \\
+U_{t}+U_{u}\left(U-X u_{x}\right)-X_{t} u_{x}-X_{u} u_{x} u_{t}=0, \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

Successively equating the coefficients of $u^{0}, u_{x}, u_{x}^{2}$ and $u_{x} u_{t}$ in (34) to zero we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
-p u^{p-1} V(x) U-u^{p} V^{\prime}(x) X-U_{x x}+2 U X_{x}+u^{p} V(x) U_{u}-2 u^{p} V(x) X_{x}+U_{t} & =0,  \tag{35}\\
-X_{t}-2 U_{x u}+X_{x x}-2 X X_{x}+3 U X_{u}-3 X_{u} V(x) u^{p} & =0 .  \tag{36}\\
-U_{u u}+2 X_{x u}-3 X X_{u} & =0,  \tag{37}\\
X_{u} & =0 . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Again equating the coefficients of $u^{p-1}$ and $u^{p}$ in (35) to zero we have

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =0  \tag{39}\\
V_{t}+X V^{\prime}(x)+2 V(x) X_{x} & =0 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (40) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=v_{0} X^{-2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1: $X_{t}=0$
Substituting (39) in (36), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{x x}-2 X_{x}=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now solving (42), we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=-\frac{1}{x} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

On inserting (43), equation (41) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=v_{0} x^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (39), (43) and $T \equiv 1$, the invariant surface condition for $z$, namely $\frac{d x}{X}=\frac{d y}{Y}=\frac{d z}{Z}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x d x=d t=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of equations (45) gives a similarity solution of (1) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=F(z)  \tag{46}\\
& z=t+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of (47) in (1) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime}+v_{0} F^{p}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (48) can be modified into

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime^{2}}+\frac{v_{0}}{1+p} F^{p+1}=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now solving (49), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left(\frac{1-p}{2}\left[\left(\frac{v_{0}}{-1-p}\right)^{1 / 2} z+C_{2}\right]\right)^{2 /(1-p)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}$ is an arbitrary constant. Thus the similarity solution of (1) in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & =\left(\frac{1-p}{2}\left[\left(\frac{v_{0}}{-1-p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(t+\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)+C_{2}\right]\right)^{2 /(1-p)}  \tag{51}\\
z(x, t) & =t+\frac{x^{2}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2: $X_{t} \neq 0$
Substituting (39) in (36), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}-X_{x x}+2 X_{x}=0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now solving (52), we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=-\frac{x}{2 t} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

On inserting (53), equation (40) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=t x^{-4} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (39), (53) and $T \equiv 1$, the invariant surface condition for $z$, namely $\frac{d x}{X}=\frac{d y}{Y}=\frac{d z}{Z}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 t d x}{x}=\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of equations (55) gives a similarity solution of (1) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=F(z)  \tag{56}\\
& z=t x^{-2} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of (57) in (1) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z^{2} F^{\prime \prime}+(6 z-1) F^{\prime}+z F^{p}=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Direct Similarity Method

We transform (1) through

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=[v(x, t)]^{2 /(1-p)} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the following Clarkson and Kruskal [6]. We seek solutions of (59) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\alpha(x, t)+\beta(x, t) f(z), \quad z=z(x, t) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute (59) in (1) and require the resulting equation in the following form of an ordinary differential equation governing the function $f(z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1}(z)+\Lambda_{2}(z) f^{\prime}+\Lambda_{3}(z) f+\Lambda_{4}(z) f f^{\prime}+\Lambda_{5}(z) f^{2}+\Lambda_{6}(z) f^{\prime^{2}}+\Lambda_{7}(z) f^{\prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\Lambda_{n}(z), n=1,2, \cdots, 7$ are introduced according to

$$
\begin{align*}
-\alpha \alpha_{t}+\frac{1+p}{1-p} \alpha_{x}^{2}+\alpha \alpha_{x x}+\frac{(1-p)}{2} V & =\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{1}(z)  \tag{62}\\
-\alpha \beta z_{t}+\frac{2(1+p)}{(1-P)} \beta \alpha_{x} z_{x}+\alpha \beta z_{x x}+2 \alpha \beta_{x} z_{x} & =\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{2}(z)  \tag{63}\\
-\alpha \beta_{t}-\beta \alpha_{t}+\frac{2(1+p)}{1-p} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x}+\alpha \beta_{x x}+\beta \alpha_{x x} & =\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{3}(z)  \tag{64}\\
-\beta z_{t}+\frac{2(1+p)}{1-p} \beta_{x} z_{x}+\beta z_{x x}+2 \beta_{x} z_{x} & =\beta z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{4}(z)  \tag{65}\\
-\beta \beta_{t}+\frac{1+p}{1-p} \beta_{x}^{2}+\beta \beta_{x x} & =\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{5}(z)  \tag{66}\\
\frac{1+p}{1-p} \beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} & =\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{6}(z)  \tag{67}\\
\alpha & =\beta \Lambda_{7}(z) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4.1. If $\alpha(x, t)$ is to be obtained from an equation of the form $\alpha(x, t)=\tilde{\alpha}(x, t)+\beta(x, t) \Lambda(z)$, then we may set $\Lambda(z)=0$.

Remark 4.2. If $\beta(x, t)$ is given by an equation of the form $\beta(x, t)=\tilde{\beta}(x, t) \Lambda(z)$, then we may choose $\Lambda(z)=1$.

Remark 4.3. If the equation $\Lambda(z)=\tilde{z}(x, t)$ is to be solved for $z$, then we may write $\Lambda(z)=z$.
In view of Remark 4.1, we satisfy (68) by taking $\alpha=\Lambda_{7}=0$. And equation (67) simply gives $\Lambda_{6}=\frac{1+p}{1-p}$. With $\alpha=0$, equations (63), (64) and (62) become $\Lambda_{2}=\Lambda_{3}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-p}{2} V(x)=\beta^{2} z_{x}^{2} \Lambda_{1}(z) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta_{0} e^{\sigma x+t h t}, \quad z=z_{0} e^{\phi x+\mu t} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $z$ lies in the interval $[l, 0)$ when $x \in[0, \infty)$ and $t \in[0, \infty)$. Equations (65), (66) and (69), requires that $\Lambda_{5}$ is proportional to $z^{-2}, \Lambda_{4}$ is proportional to $z^{-1}$ and $\Lambda_{1}$ is proportional to $z^{n_{1}}$ respectively we indeed find that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda_{5}=l_{5} z^{-2}, \quad l_{5}=-\frac{\theta}{\phi^{2}}+\frac{2}{1-p} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\phi^{2}} \\
\Lambda_{4}=l_{4} z^{-1}, \quad l_{4}=-\frac{\mu}{\phi^{2}}+\frac{4}{1-p} \frac{\sigma}{\phi}+1 \\
\Lambda_{1}=l_{1} z^{n_{1}}, \quad V(x, t)=\frac{2}{1-p} \beta_{0}^{2} z_{0}^{n_{1}+2} \phi^{2} l_{1} e^{2(\sigma x+\theta t)} e^{\left(2+n_{1}\right)(\phi x+\mu t)} \tag{73}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting for $\Lambda_{n}(z), n=1,2, \ldots, 7$, equation (61) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} f f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1+p}{1-p} z^{2} f^{\prime^{2}}+l_{5} f^{2}+l_{4} z f f^{\prime}+l_{1} z^{n_{1}+2}=0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

On inserting $f(z)=F(\theta), \theta=\log z$, equation (74) transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
F F^{\prime \prime}-\frac{p+1}{p-1}{F^{\prime^{2}}}^{2}+\left(l_{4}-1\right) F F^{\prime}+l_{5} F^{2}+l_{1} e^{\left(n_{1}+2\right) \theta}=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $f(0)$ is finite corresponds to $F(-\infty)$ is finite. We transform (75) through $F(\theta)=e^{\frac{n_{1}+2}{2} \theta} G(\theta), l_{1}=\epsilon$ to the autonomous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{-2\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{p-1}-1+l_{4}\right] G G^{\prime}+G G^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{-\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}}{2(p-1)}+\left(l_{4}-1\right) \frac{n_{1}+2}{2}+l_{5}\right] G^{2}-\frac{p+1}{p-1} G^{\prime^{2}}+\epsilon=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $G(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow-\infty$ since $n_{1}>0$. Writing $G=G_{0}(z)+\epsilon G_{1}(z)$ in (76) and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{i}, i=0,1$ to 0 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{-2\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{p-1}-1+l_{4}\right] G_{0} G_{0}^{\prime}+G_{0} G_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{-\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}}{2(p-1)}+\left(l_{4}-1\right) \frac{n_{1}+2}{2}+l_{5}\right] G_{0}^{2}-\frac{p+1}{p-1} G_{0}^{\prime^{2}} } & =0  \tag{77}\\
{\left[\frac{-2\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{p-1}-1+l_{4}\right]\left(G_{0} G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{1} G_{0}^{\prime}\right)+G_{0} G_{1}^{\prime \prime}+G_{1} G_{0}^{\prime \prime} } & \\
+2\left[\frac{-\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}}{2(p-1)}+\left(l_{4}-1\right) \frac{n_{1}+2}{2}+l_{5}\right] G_{0} G_{1}+2 \frac{p+1}{p-1} G_{0}^{\prime} G_{1}^{\prime}+1 & =0 \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily verified that a solution of (77) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(z)=p_{1} e^{k \theta} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a negetive root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1+\frac{p+1}{p-1}\right] k^{2}+\left[\frac{-2\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{p-1}-1+l_{4}\right] k+\left[\frac{-\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}}{2(p-1)}+\left(l_{4}-1\right) \frac{n_{1}+2}{2}+l_{5}\right]=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p_{1}>0$. Solving (80) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{(1-p)}{4}\left[\left(\frac{2\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{p-1}+1-l_{4}\right) \pm\left(\left(1-l_{4}\right)^{2}-\frac{8 l_{5}}{1-p}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a solution of (78) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(z)=p_{2} e^{-k \theta} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=-\frac{1}{\frac{4 p}{p-1} p_{0} p_{1}^{2}+2\left[\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}}{2(1-p)}+\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{2}\left(l_{4}-1\right)+l_{5}\right] p_{0}} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding solution of (76) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\theta)=p_{1} e^{k \theta}+\epsilon p_{2} e^{-k \theta} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ is an arbitrary constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\theta)=p_{1} e^{k+\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{2} \theta}+\epsilon p_{2} e^{-k+\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{2} \theta} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

On inserting $f(z)=F(\theta), \theta=\log z$ into (85), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=p_{1} z^{k+\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{2}}+\epsilon p_{2} z^{-k+\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{2}} . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\alpha=0$, (86) and (70 in (59) we get a solution of (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left[\beta_{0} e^{\sigma(x+t)}\right]^{2 /(1-p)}\left(p_{1} z_{0}^{k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}} \exp \left[(\phi x+\mu t)\left(k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}\right)\right]+\epsilon p_{2} z_{0}^{-k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}} \exp \left[(\phi x+\mu t)\left(-k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, t)=\frac{2}{1-p} \beta_{0}^{2} z_{0}^{n_{1}+2} \phi^{2} l_{1} e^{2(\sigma x+\theta t)} e^{\left(2+n_{1}\right)(\phi x+\mu t)} . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Results and Conclusions

The following solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\left[\frac{c^{2}}{2 v_{0}}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}\left(A / 2 x+b_{0}\right)^{2}, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

of (2), when the variable coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=v_{0}\left(\frac{A x}{2}+b\right)^{-2 p} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

is recovered from the classes of solutions of the nonlinear parabolic equation with the variable coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\Delta u+v_{0}\left(\frac{A x}{2}+b\right)^{-2 p} u^{p}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathcal{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty) . \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the Lie group of infinitesimal transformations to (91). In yet another study, we employed the direct method of Clarkson and Kruskal [6] to (91) to replace it by a nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} f f^{\prime \prime}+l_{5} f^{2}+\frac{1+p}{1-p} z^{2} f^{\prime 2}+l_{1} z^{n_{1}+2}=0 . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perturbative solutions of (92) are derived and then the corresponding intermediate asymptotics of (91) is presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\left[\beta_{0} e^{\sigma(x+t)}\right]^{2 /(1-p)}\left(p_{1} z_{0}^{k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}} \exp \left[(\phi x+\mu t)\left(k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}\right)\right]+\epsilon p_{2} z_{0}^{-k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}} \exp \left[(\phi x+\mu t)\left(-k+1+\frac{n_{1}}{2}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, t)=\frac{2}{1-p} \beta_{0}^{2} z_{0}^{n_{1}+2} \phi^{2} l_{1} e^{2(\sigma x+\theta t)} e^{\left(2+n_{1}\right)(\phi x+\mu t)} . \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Barenblatt [2] similarity solutions of (91) play the role of intermediate asymptotics of the general solutions of classes of initial value problems.

## References

[^1][4] G.W.Bluman and J.D.Cole, The general similarity solution of the heat equation, J. Math. Mech., 18(1969), 1035-1047.
[5] G.W.Bluman and S.Kumei, Symmetries and Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1989).
[6] P.A.Clarkson and M.D.Kruskal, New similarity reductions of the Boussinesq equations, J. Math. Phys., 36(1989), 22012213.
[7] George M.Murphy, Ordinary Differential Equations and their Solutions, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc, New Jersey, (1960).
[8] M.C.Nucci and P.A.Clarkson, The nonclassical method is more general than the direct method for symmetry reductions. An example of the Fitzugh-Nagumo equation, Phys. Lett., 164(1992), 49-56.


[^0]:    * E-mail: drgsivagami@gmail.com

[^1]:    [1] W.F.Ames, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Engineering, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, (1972).
    [2] G.I.Barenblatt, Similarity, Self-similarity and Intermediate Asymptotics, Consultant Bureau, New York, (1979).
    [3] C.M.Bender and S.A.Orszag, Advanced mathematical methods for Scientists and Engineers, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1978).

